



Predictive Factors, Management and Clinical Outcomes of Coronary Obstruction Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: Insights from a Large Multicenter Registry

Henrique B. Ribeiro, MD John G. Webb, MD Raj R. Makkar, MD Mauricio G. Cohen, MD Samir Kapadia, MD Susheel Kodali, MD Corrado Tamburino, MD Marco Barbanti, MD Tarun Chakravarty, MD Hasan Jilaihawi, MD Jean-Michel Paradis, MD Fabio S. de Brito, Sergio J. Cánovas, MD Asim N. Cheema, MD Peter de Jaegere, MD Raquel del Valle, MD Paul T.L. Chiam, MD Raúl Moreno, MD Gonzalo Pradas, MD Marc Ruel, MD Jorge Salgado-Fernández, MD Rogerio Sarmento-Leite, MD Hadi Toeg, MD James L. Velianou, MD Alan Zajarias, MD Vasilis Babaliaros, MD Fernando Cura, MD Antonio E. Dager, MD Ganesh Manoharan, MD Stamatios Lerakis, MD Augusto Pichard, MD Sam Radhakrishnan, MD Marco Antonio Perin, MD Eric Dumont, MD Eric Larose, MD Sergio G. Pasian, MD Luis Nombela-Franco, MD Marina Urena, MD E.M. Tuzcu, MD Martin B. Leon, MD Ignacio J. Amat-Santos, MD Jonathon Leipsic, MD Josep Rodés-Cabau, MD

PII: S0735-1097(13)02947-1

DOI: [10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.040)

Reference: JAC 19153

To appear in: *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*

Received Date: 29 May 2013

Revised Date: 15 July 2013

Accepted Date: 23 July 2013

Please cite this article as: Ribeiro HB, Webb JG, Makkar RR, Cohen MG, Kapadia S, Kodali S, Tamburino C, Barbanti M, Chakravarty T, Jilaihawi H, Paradis J-M, de Brito Jr. FS, Cánovas SJ, Cheema AN, de Jaegere P, Valle Rd, Chiam PTL, Moreno R, Pradas G, Ruel M, Salgado-Fernández J, Sarmento-Leite R, Toeg H, Velianou JL, Zajarias A, Babaliaros V, Cura F, Dager AE, Manoharan G, Lerakis S, Pichard A, Radhakrishnan S, Perin MA, Dumont E, Larose E, Pasian SG, Nombela-Franco L, Urena M, Tuzcu EM, Leon MB, Amat-Santos IJ, Leipsic J, Rodés-Cabau J, Predictive Factors, Management and Clinical Outcomes of Coronary Obstruction Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: Insights from a Large Multicenter Registry, *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* (2013), doi: [10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.040).

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## **Predictive Factors, Management and Clinical Outcomes of Coronary Obstruction Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: Insights from a Large Multicenter Registry**

Henrique B. Ribeiro<sup>1</sup>, MD, John G. Webb<sup>2</sup>, MD, Raj R. Makkar<sup>3</sup>, MD, Mauricio G. Cohen<sup>4</sup>, MD, Samir Kapadia<sup>5</sup>, MD, Susheel Kodali<sup>6</sup>, MD, Corrado Tamburino<sup>7</sup>, MD, Marco Barbanti<sup>2,7</sup>, MD, Tarun Chakravarty<sup>3</sup>, MD, Hasan Jilaihawi<sup>3</sup>, MD, Jean-Michel Paradis<sup>6</sup>, MD, Fabio S. de Brito Jr.<sup>8</sup>, MD, Sergio J. Cánovas<sup>9</sup>, MD, Asim N. Cheema<sup>10</sup>, MD, Peter de Jaegere<sup>11</sup>, MD, Raquel del Valle<sup>12</sup>, MD, Paul T.L. Chiam<sup>13</sup>, MD, Raúl Moreno<sup>14</sup>, MD, Gonzalo Pradas<sup>15</sup>, MD, Marc Ruel<sup>16</sup>, MD, Jorge Salgado-Fernández<sup>17</sup>, MD, Rogerio Sarmiento-Leite<sup>18</sup>, MD, Hadi Toeg<sup>16</sup>, MD, James L. Velianou<sup>19</sup>, MD, Alan Zajarias<sup>20</sup>, MD, Vasilis Babaliaros<sup>21</sup>, MD, Fernando Cura<sup>22</sup>, MD, Antonio E. Dager<sup>23</sup>, MD, Ganesh Manoharan<sup>24</sup>, MD, Stamatios Lerakis<sup>21</sup>, MD, Augusto Pichard<sup>25</sup>, MD, Sam Radhakrishnan<sup>26</sup>, MD, Marco Antonio Perin<sup>8</sup>, MD, Eric Dumont<sup>1</sup>, MD, Eric Larose<sup>1</sup>, MD, Sergio G. Pasian<sup>1</sup>, MD, Luis Nombela-Franco<sup>1</sup>, MD, Marina Urena<sup>1</sup>, MD, E.M. Tuzcu<sup>5</sup>, MD, Martin B. Leon<sup>6</sup>, MD, Ignacio J. Amat-Santos<sup>27</sup>, MD, Jonathon Leipsic<sup>2</sup>, MD, Josep Rodés-Cabau<sup>1</sup>, MD

<sup>1</sup>Quebec Heart & Lung Institute, Laval University, Quebec City, Canada;

<sup>2</sup>St-Paul's Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada;

<sup>3</sup>Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, USA; <sup>4</sup>University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA; <sup>5</sup>Miller Family Heart & Vascular Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, USA; <sup>6</sup>Columbia University Medical Center/New York-Presbyterian Hospital, New York, USA;

<sup>7</sup>Ferrarotto Hospital, University of Catania, Italy; <sup>8</sup>Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Sao Paulo, Brazil; <sup>9</sup>University General Hospital of Valencia, Spain; <sup>10</sup>St-Michael's Hospital, Toronto

University, Toronto, Canada; <sup>11</sup>Thoraxcenter-Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands; <sup>12</sup>Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain; <sup>13</sup>National Heart Centre, Singapore; <sup>14</sup>Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain; <sup>15</sup>Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Vigo, Spain;

<sup>16</sup>University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, Canada; <sup>17</sup>Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, La Coruña, Spain; <sup>18</sup>Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil;

<sup>19</sup>Hamilton General Hospital, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada; <sup>20</sup>Barnes Jewish Hospital, St Louis, USA; <sup>21</sup>Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, USA;

<sup>22</sup>Instituto Cardiovascular de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>23</sup>Angiografia de Occidente S.A., Cali, Colombia; <sup>24</sup>Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland; <sup>25</sup>MedStar Washington Hospital Center, Washington-DC, USA; <sup>26</sup>Sunnybrook Hospital, Toronto; <sup>27</sup>Hospital Clinico Universitario de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

**Short title:** Coronary obstruction following TAVI

**Word count:** 4517

**Relationship with industry:** Drs. John G. Webb and Josep Rodés-Cabau are consultants for Edwards Lifesciences and St-Jude Medical. Drs. Mauricio G. Cohen, Alan Zajarias, Stamatios Lerakis, Augusto Pichard and James L. Velianou are consultants for Edwards Lifesciences. Dr. Martin Leon reports a research grants for clinical trials from Edwards Lifesciences. Dr. Ganesh Manoharan is consultant for St-Jude Medical. Drs. Peter de Jaegere, Fabio Sandoli de Brito Jr.,

Paul T.L. Chiam, Marc Ruel, Marco Perin, Rogerio Sarmiento-Leite and Sam Radhakrishnan are consultants for Medtronic. The rest of the authors had no conflict of interest to disclose.

**Funding sources:** Henrique Barbosa Ribeiro MD, received funding via a research grant from the *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* – CNPQ (Brazil).

**Address for correspondence:**

Josep Rodés-Cabau, MD  
Quebec Heart & Lung Institute. Laval University  
2725 Chemin Ste-Foy.  
G1V 4G5 Quebec City, Quebec, Canada  
Phone: 418-6568711; FAX: 418-6564544  
E-mail: [josep.rodés@criucpq.ulaval.ca](mailto:josep.rodés@criucpq.ulaval.ca)

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To evaluate the main baseline and procedural characteristics, management and clinical outcomes of patients from a large cohort of patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) who suffered coronary obstruction (CO).

**Background:** Very few data exist on CO following TAVI.

**Methods:** This multicenter registry included a total of 44 patients who suffered symptomatic CO following TAVI of 6,688 patients (0.66%). Pre-TAVI computed tomography data was available in 28 CO patients and in a control group of 345 patients (comparisons were performed including all patients and a cohort matched 1:1 by age, gender, prior CABG, transcatheter valve type and size).

**Results:** Baseline and procedural variables associated with CO were older age ( $p<0.001$ ), female sex ( $p<0.001$ ), no prior CABG ( $p=0.043$ ), the use of a balloon-expandable valve ( $p=0.023$ ), and prior surgical aortic bioprosthesis ( $p=0.045$ ). The left coronary artery (LCA) was the one most commonly involved (88.6%). The mean LCA ostia height and sinus of Valsalva (SOV) diameters were lower in patients with obstruction compared to matched controls ( $10.7\pm0.4\text{mm}$  vs.  $13.3\pm0.3\text{mm}$ , OR: 2.17, 95%CI 1.62-2.90, and  $28.3\pm0.8\text{mm}$  vs.  $31.3\pm0.6\text{mm}$ , OR: 1.37, 95%CI 1.13-1.66). Most patients presented with persistent severe hypotension (68.2%) and ECG changes (56.8%). Percutaneous coronary intervention was attempted in 75% of the cases, being successful in 81.8%. Thirty-day mortality was of 40.9%. After a median follow-up of 12 (2-18) months, the cumulative mortality rate was of 45.5% and there were no cases of stent thrombosis or reintervention.

**Conclusions:** Symptomatic CO following TAVI was a rare but life-threatening complication that occurred more frequently in women, in patients receiving a balloon-expandable valve, and in those with a prior surgical bioprosthesis. Lower lying coronary ostium and shallow SOV were associated anatomic factors, and despite successful treatment, acute and late mortality remained very high, highlighting the importance of anticipating and preventing the occurrence of this complication.

**Key words:** transcatheter aortic valve implantation; percutaneous aortic valve replacement; coronary occlusion; coronary obstruction; percutaneous coronary intervention.

## ABBREVIATIONS

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate

logEuroSCORE: logistic EuroSCORE

LCA: left coronary artery

LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction

NYHA: New York heart association functional class

TAVI: transcatheter aortic valve implantation

RCA: right coronary artery

SOV: sinus of Valsalva

VARC: Valve academic research consortium

## INTRODUCTION

Symptomatic coronary obstruction due to the displacement of the calcified native valve leaflets over the coronary ostia is a potential complication of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). However, apart from reporting its incidence (usually <1%) in some TAVI studies (1-7), data on this life-threatening complication have been limited to case reports and very small case series (8), and to date there has been no large registry evaluating the baseline characteristics of patients suffering this complication, its management and clinical impact.

We recently conducted a systematic review of the literature on symptomatic coronary obstruction as a complication of TAVI that included a total of 24 cases, all of them reported as case reports or very small case series (8). In that study, reported cases of coronary obstruction following TAVI occurred more frequently in women and in patients receiving a balloon-expandable valve, and the left coronary artery (LCA) was the one most commonly involved. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was a feasible and successful treatment in most cases, but hemodynamic support and/or conversion to open heart surgery were frequently needed. This study, however, had the limitations inherent to a review that collects only the information described in publications. In addition to the possible omission of data and the selection bias inherent to published cases (reported cases might tend to have better outcomes than those that are not reported), obtaining data from case reports precluded any comparison with the entire TAVI population at risk and made it difficult to evaluate the baseline and procedural factors associated with this complication. The aim of the present study was therefore to evaluate the main baseline and procedural characteristics, management and clinical outcomes of patients suffering from coronary obstruction following TAVI from a large series of consecutive patients undergoing TAVI.

## METHODS

The present multicenter registry of coronary obstruction following TAVI collected retrospectively all cases with this complication from a total of 81 centers in North America, Europe, South America, and Asia, from January 2007 to January 2013. Gathered data included the main baseline clinical, echocardiographic, computed tomography (CT) and procedural characteristics of the cases. All information on clinical presentation, diagnosis and treatment of the coronary obstruction complication, as well as 30-day and late clinical outcomes were entered. The clinical events were defined according to the VARC-2 criteria (retrospective event assignment) (9). Also, all centers were asked to provide data on the entire population undergoing TAVI with no coronary obstruction in each center; the data included mean age and logistic EuroSCORE (logEuroSCORE), and the percentage of women, and patients with prior coronary artery disease and prior coronary artery bypass graft (CABG). The total number of TAVI cases per center, data on valve type, approach and valve-in-valve procedures (cases with a prior surgical aortic bioprosthesis) were also gathered.

**Computed tomography.** Data on coronary height, aortic annulus diameter and area, sinus of Valsalva (SOV) diameter, diameter of the sinotubular junction and severity of valve calcification (Agatston units) were also obtained in those patients with CT performed prior to the TAVI procedure. CT exams were evaluated in a central core-lab by 2 investigators (SP; HBR) and all measurements, but valve calcification severity, were performed with the CT images obtained following contrast injection. The techniques used for all these CT measurements have been described in detail in prior reports (10-12), and are summarized in Figure 1. The CT measurements from patients with coronary obstruction following TAVI were compared to those

obtained in a control group (no coronary obstruction) of 345 consecutive patients, obtained from January 2011 to December 2012, in 3 participating centers, with both valve types.

**Statistical analysis.** Categorical variables are reported as n (%) and continuous variables are expressed as mean (SD) or median (25th to 75th interquartile range [IQR]) depending on variable distribution. Group comparisons were analyzed using the Student t test or Wilcoxon rank sum test. The chi-square test and the Fisher exact test were performed for categorical variables. In order to further evaluate the CT variables associated with coronary obstruction, patients with this complication and without prior surgical bioprostheses were matched 1:1 with controls from a CT cohort of 345 patients using the bootstrap technique (1000 samples with replacement). The clinical variables used for the match were age ( $\pm 2$  years), gender, prior CABG, valve type and size. All analysis were conducted using the statistical package SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina) and the SPSS 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

## RESULTS

Of 6,688 patients who underwent a TAVI procedure in 81 centers worldwide, a total of 44 cases (0.66%) of acute coronary obstruction occurred following the procedure. The clinical and procedural characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1, and the main clinical and procedural characteristics of the coronary obstruction cases compared to the rest of the study population are shown in Table 2. Patients who suffered symptomatic coronary obstruction were older and more frequently women ( $p < 0.001$  for both), had less frequently a history of CABG ( $p = 0.043$ ), exhibited a higher risk profile as evaluated by logEuroSCORE ( $p < 0.001$ ), more frequently had a prior surgical aortic bioprosthesis ( $p = 0.045$ ), and had more frequently received a balloon-expandable valve ( $p = 0.023$  vs. self-expandable valve). The incidence of coronary obstruction according to valve type and the presence of a prior surgical bioprosthesis (“valve-in-



valve procedure”) are shown in Figure 2. The incidence of coronary obstruction according to the approach is shown in Figure 3.

**Clinical presentation, management and outcomes.** Data on clinical presentation and management of coronary obstruction, and 30-day outcomes are presented in Table 3. Coronary obstruction occurred at the ostium of the LCA in most (88.6%) cases and the diagnosis was made by coronary angiography in all patients but one (post-mortem). Coronary obstruction was related to the displacement of a calcified native aortic valve leaflet towards the coronary ostium in all patients but one (97.7%), who had an aortic valve cusp shearing and migration into the LCA. Most cases (68.2%) presented with severe persistent hypotension, and electrocardiographic (ECG) changes, mainly ST-segment elevation and ventricular arrhythmias, occurred in 56.8% of the patients.

Coronary revascularization was not attempted in 7 patients (15.9%). In 2 patients who received a CoreValve system coronary obstruction was resolved by snaring and removing the transcatheter valve towards the ascending aorta. One patient with partial obstruction of the right coronary artery (RCA) ostium was managed with medical treatment and no coronary revascularization was attempted. Another 3 patients died within the few minutes following a complete coronary obstruction of the LCA, with no time for any coronary revascularization attempt. PCI was attempted in 33 patients (75%), and it was successful (residual stenosis <20% and TIMI flow 3) in 81.8% of them.

Procedural death occurred in 7 patients (15.9%), and among those patients who survived the procedure 11 had died at 30 days, leading to a 30-day mortality rate of 40.9%. The causes of death in these patients were sepsis (n=6), cardiogenic shock (n=4) and hypoxic brain injury (n=1). The 30-day mortality rate according to the type and results of coronary revascularization

treatment is shown in Figure 4. Thirty-day survival was of 66.7% among patients who received cardiopulmonary bypass as mechanical support (without CABG). In patients who survived the procedure, the median hospitalization length was of 6 (3-17) days, and echocardiographic data showed a mean residual gradient of  $10.9 \pm 7.9$  mmHg, and a valve area of  $1.66 \pm 0.36$  cm<sup>2</sup>. Residual aortic regurgitation was absent/trivial, mild and moderate in 33.4%, 58.3% and 8.3% of the patients, respectively.

At a median follow-up was of 12 (2-18) months, a total of 20 patients had died (cumulative mortality rate: 45.5%). Among those patients who survived at 30 days, a total of 2 patients died during the follow-up period of unknown causes. The vast majority of patients (95%) were in NYHA functional class I-II at follow-up. There were no cases of stent thrombosis or repeat revascularization. The Kaplan-Meier survival curves at 1-year follow-up are shown in Figure 5.

**CT data.** Pre-TAVI CT data were available in 28 of the 44 patients with coronary obstruction (63.6%). CT data of the patients with coronary obstruction compared to those of the control group are shown in Table 4. The main clinical characteristics of the CT control group were similar to the overall study population with no coronary obstruction following TAVI (Table 1 of the Supplemental Data). Patients with coronary obstruction exhibited a smaller aortic annulus area ( $p=0.002$ ), SOV diameter ( $p<0.001$ ), and sinotubular junction diameter ( $p=0.003$ ), as well as a lower LCA height ( $p<0.001$ ). As women represented the vast majority of patients in the coronary obstruction group, a separate analysis of the CT data in women only was also performed (Table 2 of the Supplemental Data).

The results of the case-matched analysis including 27 patients without prior surgical bioprosthesis in both groups are shown in Table 5. The SOV diameter remained smaller in the

coronary obstruction group (OR: 1.37, 95%CI 1.13-1.66) and LCA height lower as compared to controls (OR: 2.17, 95%CI 1.62-2.90). The individual data for LCA height and SOV diameters are shown in Figure 6. Up to 86% of the patients who had a coronary obstruction had a LCA height of <12 mm, compared to 26.4% of the patients in the control group ( $p<0.001$ ). The SOV diameter was <30 mm in 71.4% of the patients who had coronary obstruction compared to 33% of the patients in the control group ( $p<0.001$ ). Most patients (67.9%) who had coronary obstruction had both a LCA height <12 mm and a SOV diameter <30 mm compared to 13.3% of the patients in the control group ( $p<0.001$ ).

## DISCUSSION

**Coronary obstruction and TAVI: incidence and associated factors.** Potential concerns about the occurrence of coronary obstruction had been pointed out in the very first experimental models evaluating the TAVI technique (13,14), and the occurrence of this complications was also reported in the first human experiences of TAVI (15). The incidence of this complication in subsequent large TAVI series and registries has been low, and nearly systematically lower than 1% (1-7,16). The results of the present study, with a systematic evaluation of this complication in a multicenter cohort including >6,500 TAVI procedures, confirmed an incidence of coronary obstruction of <1% (0.66%).

While the incidence of this complication was low for the 2 transcatheter valve types (balloon-expandable and self-expandable), the coronary obstruction rate was as much as twice as high among patients who received a balloon-expandable valve (0.81% vs. 0.34% among those who received a self-expandable valve). A recent review of TAVI complications including all TAVI studies with  $\geq 100$  patients also found a tendency towards a higher incidence of coronary

obstruction in patients treated with a balloon-expandable valve (1.1%) compared to those treated with a self-expandable valve (0.4%) (16). This is also consistent with the systematic review of the reported cases of coronary obstruction to date, which involved a balloon-expandable valve in >80% of the cases (8). Differences in both the frame characteristics of the 2 transcatheter valve systems (straight stainless steel or cobalt chromium vs. nitinol with a concave shape at the level of coronary arteries) and the mechanisms for valve implantation (balloon-expandable vs. self-expandable) might partially explain these differences. However, the specific recommendations on SOV diameter and coronary ostia height for the CoreValve system implantation could also have played a role in these differences. In fact, whereas no specific formal recommendation for SOV width and coronary ostia height was provided for the implantation of the Edwards valve, a recommendation of a SOV width of  $\geq 27$  mm (for the 26-mm CoreValve) or  $\geq 28$  mm (for the 29-mm CoreValve) mm, and a coronary height of  $\geq 14$  mm is provided by the manufacturer for the implantation of the CoreValve system. While these specific recommendations might not have been followed by all CoreValve implanting centers, it may possibly have prevented a significant number of coronary obstructions with the CoreValve system.

The occurrence of coronary obstruction was also more frequent among patients with prior surgical aortic bioprosthesis (“valve-in-valve” procedures). The incidence of coronary obstruction of 2.4% in such patients was close to the 3.5% rate reported in a recent multicenter registry of “valve-in-valve” TAVI procedures (17). Some types of surgical aortic bioprosthesis such as stentless valves or stented valves with long aortic leaflets have been associated with this complication, and future studies with a much larger number of patients will be needed to further evaluate the factors associated with coronary obstruction in this specific group of patients.

While women represent about 50% of the patients treated with TAVI, the vast majority (>80%) of patients who had coronary obstruction following TAVI were women. This was consistent with prior data from reported cases of coronary obstruction as a complication of TAVI, mainly single case reports or small case series, which involved women in 83% of the cases (8). The association between female sex and coronary obstruction may be due to anatomic differences in aortic SOV dimensions and coronary height according to sex. Prior CT studies have already shown the smaller aortic SOV dimensions and lower coronary ostia take-off in women, irrespective of the presence of aortic stenosis (11,18), and these sex differences in aortic SOV dimensions and coronary height were also observed in the pre-TAVI CT exams of our control group including >300 patients ( $33.8 \pm 3.9$  mm vs.  $29.7 \pm 3.1$  mm for SOV dimensions;  $14.1 \pm 2.1$  mm vs.  $12.7 \pm 1.8$  mm for LCA coronary height in men and women, respectively;  $p < 0.001$  for both). It has been shown that coronary obstruction following TAVI is mainly due to the displacement of the calcified native cusp over the coronary ostia, and this was also the mechanism of coronary obstruction in 98% of the patients in the present study. It is therefore not surprising that aortic SOV dimensions and coronary height were shown to be important factors associated with the occurrence of coronary obstruction following TAVI in this study. Patients with coronary obstruction exhibited a lower coronary ostia take-off of the LCA. The mean LCA height in patients with coronary obstruction was of about 11 mm (10 mm in women), as compared to about 13 mm in those patients without coronary obstruction. Importantly, most patients who suffered coronary obstruction (about 80% overall, 96% of the women) had a LCA height of <12 mm, suggesting that this may be a more accurate cutoff than the 10-mm cutoff suggested by both the ACC/AATS/SCAI/STS and the CT-TAVI expert consensus (10,19), and the 14-mm cutoff suggested by the manufacturer regarding the CoreValve implantation.

Moreover, the 12 mm cutoff would be in the upper limit of the 95% CI from the coronary obstruction cases and would not be included in the lower limit for the controls. The RCA ostia take-off is usually higher than that of the LCA (11,12), and this is probably the reason why RCA obstruction after TAVI is very infrequent (only 11% of the cases in the present series). While the RCA ostia height was also found to be lower in patients who had RCA obstruction after TAVI, the low number of patients with this complication precluded drawing any reliable conclusions about the RCA cutoff height associated with an increased risk.

Although coronary ostia height is an important factor associated with coronary obstruction following TAVI, a significant number of patients in the coronary obstruction group suffered this complication despite a LCA coronary height of  $>12$  mm (21.4%), indicating that factors other than coronary height are also involved in this complication. A narrow aortic root leaving little room to accommodate the native aortic leaflets may also contribute to coronary obstruction after TAVI. In fact coronary obstruction was associated with a certain degree of aortic root effacement as compared to the control group. Most patients (64.3%) who suffered this complication had an aortic SOV diameter of  $<30$  mm, as compared to about one third of the patients in the control group. In fact only a minority of the patients who did not suffer coronary obstruction had both, a coronary height of  $<12$  mm and an aortic SOV diameter of  $<30$  mm (13.3%), meaning that the combination of these 2 anatomic factors has to be taken into account when evaluating the possibility of coronary obstruction due to TAVI. The degree of valve calcification as a global measure was not associated with the occurrence of coronary obstruction in this study, suggesting that this is probably not the main anatomic factor associated with post-TAVI coronary obstruction. However, the presence of bulky calcium nodules was not

specifically evaluated and its role in the occurrence of some cases of coronary obstruction cannot be ruled out.

In those patients considered at high-risk for coronary obstruction, we would suggest to implement additional security measures during the TAVI procedure such as simultaneous angiography during balloon valvuloplasty to depict coronary obstruction or coronary protection with a guide wire in the presence of clinical and anatomical parameters of risk. Finally, the use of a transcatheter valve that can be repositioned or retrieved in case of coronary obstruction following valve implantation should probably be recommended in such cases.

**Coronary obstruction following TAVI: management and clinical outcomes.** Most of the patients with coronary obstruction presented with persistent severe hypotension, about half of them exhibited ECG changes, mainly ST-segment elevation, and more than one third had ventricular arrhythmias. These data suggest that in case of persistent hypotension following valve implantation, coronary obstruction should be included in the differential diagnosis irrespective of ECG changes, and prompt echocardiography to detect new segmental abnormalities and/or coronary angiography to detect coronary obstruction should be performed.

The present study also showed that PCI was the preferred strategy for the treatment of coronary obstruction following TAVI. Importantly, PCI was feasible (attempted in 75% of the patients) and had a success rate of 81.8%. Still, urgent CABG or mechanical hemodynamic support (mainly cardiopulmonary bypass) were needed in 14% and 36% of the patients, respectively, underscoring the importance of performing these procedures in highly experienced centers with cardiac surgery facilities. These results differ from those of a recent systematic review of the literature including small case series and case reports, where PCI was attempted in 96% of the patients and was successful in 91% of them (8). In fact, the reported patients might

have tended to pursue a better outcome than those who were not published (“selection bias”).

This is also supported by the fact that our 30-day death rate was as high as 41%, as compared to <10% in the systematic review of reported cases (8). The mortality rate was high after successful PCI (22%) or CABG (50%) and increased to as much as 100% in case of unsuccessful PCI.

While these results suggest that PCI as a first attempt for coronary revascularization is a reasonable strategy, it also highlights the importance of both obtaining coronary flow restoration very rapidly and being ready to change the therapeutic strategy (cardiopulmonary bypass, CABG) if coronary flow is not restored within a few minutes of the attempted PCI.

**Study limitations.** Only cases with symptomatic coronary obstruction were gathered; there might have been cases with previous CABG in which coronary obstruction occurred without clinical symptoms (“graft protection”). Available data from baseline clinical characteristics in the global cohort of TAVI patients were limited to a few clinical variables and logEuroSCORE.

Reporting of cases of coronary obstruction cases was done on a voluntary basis and there was no external monitoring done to verify the accuracy of the data reported by each center. CT data were available in about 2/3 of the coronary obstruction patients and in a control group of 345 patients. While this was a small control group as compared to the entire TAVI study population, it still represents one the largest series with pre-TAVI CT data to date (8,11,12,20-22). Also, the main clinical characteristics of the control group were similar to the rest of the study population, and both LCA height and SOV diameter remained as associated factors with coronary obstruction after performing a case-matched comparison. Coronary angiograms leading to the diagnosis of coronary obstruction were analyzed by the investigators of each center, with no centralized analyses. Although the present study represents a large series of coronary obstruction cases following TAVI, the relatively low number of events and CT exams precluded the



performance of a multivariate analysis to evaluate the independent predictors of coronary obstruction in this population. Future prospective studies with a very large number of patients with systematic CT measurements will be needed to confirm these results.

In conclusion, the present study including the largest series of patients with coronary obstruction following TAVI to date confirmed that this is a rare but life-threatening complication of TAVI that occurred more frequently in women, in patients receiving a balloon-expandable valve, and in those with a prior surgical bioprosthesis. Lower lying coronary ostium ( $<12$  mm) and shallow SOV ( $<30$  mm) were related anatomic factors, and despite successful treatment (mainly PCI) in most cases periprocedural mortality remained very high, highlighting the importance of anticipating and preventing the occurrence of this complication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank Melanie Cote, MSc, and Serge Simard, MSc, from the Quebec Heart & Lung Institute for technical support and statistical analysis, respectively.

**REFERENCES**

1. Eltchaninoff H, Prat A, Gilard M, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation: early results of the FRANCE (FRench Aortic National CoreValve and Edwards) registry. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:191-7.
2. Linke A, Gerckens U, Wenaweser P, et al. Treatment of high risk aortic stenosis patients with transcatheter Medtronic CoreValve implantation: results from the international multicenter ADVANCE study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:E8-E8 (abstract).
3. Rodes-Cabau J, Webb JG, Cheung A, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation for the treatment of severe symptomatic aortic stenosis in patients at very high or prohibitive surgical risk: acute and late outcomes of the multicenter Canadian experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:1080-90.
4. Smith CR, Leon MB, Mack MJ, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic-valve replacement in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:2187-98.
5. Thomas M, Schymik G, Walther T, et al. Thirty-day results of the SAPIEN aortic Bioprosthesis European Outcome (SOURCE) Registry: A European registry of transcatheter aortic valve implantation using the Edwards SAPIEN valve. *Circulation* 2010;122:62-9.
6. Thomas M, Schymik G, Walther T, et al. One-year outcomes of cohort 1 in the Edwards SAPIEN Aortic Bioprosthesis European Outcome (SOURCE) registry: the European registry of transcatheter aortic valve implantation using the Edwards SAPIEN valve. *Circulation* 2011;124:425-33.

7. Zahn R, Gerckens U, Grube E, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation: first results from a multi-centre real-world registry. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:198-204.
8. Ribeiro HB, Nombela-Franco L, Urena M, et al. Coronary Obstruction Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: A Systematic Review. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013;6(5):452-461.
9. Kappetein AP, Head SJ, Genereux P, et al. Updated standardized endpoint definitions for transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the valve academic research consortium-2 consensus document. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:1438-54.
10. Achenbach S, Delgado V, Hausleiter J, Schoenhagen P, Min JK, Leipsic JA. SCCT expert consensus document on computed tomography imaging before transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI)/transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR). *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2012;6:366-80.
11. Buellesfeld L, Stortecky S, Kalesan B, et al. Aortic root dimensions among patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013;6:72-83.
12. Tops LF, Wood DA, Delgado V, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of the aortic root with multislice computed tomography implications for transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;1:321-30.
13. Andersen HR, Knudsen LL, Hasenkam JM. Transluminal implantation of artificial heart valves. Description of a new expandable aortic valve and initial results with implantation by catheter technique in closed chest pigs. *Eur Heart J* 1992;13:704-8.

14. Flecher EM, Curry JW, Joudinaud TM, Kegel CL, Weber PA, Duran CM. Coronary flow obstruction in percutaneous aortic valve replacement. An in vitro study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;32:291-4; discussion 295.
15. Webb JG, Chandavimol M, Thompson CR, et al. Percutaneous aortic valve implantation retrograde from the femoral artery. *Circulation* 2006;113:842-50.
16. Khatri PJ, Webb JG, Rodes-Cabau J, et al. Adverse Effects Associated With Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: A Meta-analysis of Contemporary Studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2013;158:35-46.
17. Dvir D, Webb J, Brecker S, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement for degenerative bioprosthetic surgical valves: results from the global valve-in-valve registry. *Circulation* 2012;126:2335-44.
18. Vasan RS, Larson MG, Levy D. Determinants of echocardiographic aortic root size. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 1995;91:734-40.
19. Holmes DR, Jr., Mack MJ, Kaul S, et al. 2012 ACCF/AATS/SCAI/STS expert consensus document on transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:1200-54.
20. Akhtar M, Tuzcu EM, Kapadia SR, et al. Aortic root morphology in patients undergoing percutaneous aortic valve replacement: evidence of aortic root remodeling. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;137:950-6.
21. Apfaltrer P, Schymik G, Reimer P, et al. Aortoiliac CT angiography for planning transcatheter aortic valve implantation: aortic root anatomy and frequency of clinically significant incidental findings. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2012;198:939-45.

22. Messika-Zeitoun D, Serfaty JM, Brochet E, et al. Multimodal assessment of the aortic annulus diameter: implications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:186-94.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

### FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Multidetector computed tomography evaluation pre-TAVI.**

Computed tomography angiographic measurements in the long-axis view for the right (A) and left (B) coronary artery height. The coronary height was measured from the aortic annulus plane to the lower level margin of the right (A) and left (B) coronary ostia. While maintaining the orientation the images are scrolled up to allow for short axis measurement of the sinus of Valsalva (C) and then down to provide measures of the annulus/basal ring (D).

**Figure 2. Incidence of coronary obstruction according to valve type and valve-in-valve procedures.**

Incidence of coronary obstruction following transcatheter aortic valve implantation with self-expandable or balloon-expandable valves, as well as in native or prosthetic aortic valves.

**Figure 3. Incidence of coronary obstruction according to the different approaches for TAVI.**

Incidence of coronary obstruction following transcatheter aortic valve implantation through the transfemoral, transapical, and transaortic/trans-subclavian approaches.

**Figure 4. Mortality rate at 30 days according to the type and results of the treatment for coronary obstruction.**

Mortality at 30 days following successful PCI, unsuccessful PCI or CABG after the occurrence of coronary obstruction.

PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft.

**Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curves at 1-year follow-up.**

Survival curve showing a mortality rate of 45.5% at 1-year follow-up after transcatheter aortic valve implantation complicated with coronary obstruction.

**Figure 6. Individual data for the left coronary artery height and aortic sinus of Valsalva diameter according to the occurrence of coronary obstruction overall (A, C), and in women only (B, D).**

Individual data on computed tomography from the patients with coronary obstruction and controls showing that up to 86% of the patients with coronary obstruction had a LCA height of <12 mm, compared to 26% of the patients in the control group (A). In women, up to 96% of the patients with coronary obstruction group had a LCA<12mm compared to 36% in the control group (B). The SOV diameter was <30 mm in 71% of the patients who had coronary obstruction versus 33% in the controls (C). In women, up to 78% of the patients in the coronary obstruction group had a SOV<30 mm versus 55% in the control group (D).

LCA: left coronary artery; SOV: sinus of Valsalva.

**Table 1. Baseline and Procedural Characteristics of the Patients with Coronary Obstruction Following TAVI (n=44)**

<b>Clinical variables</b>	
Age (years)	83.1 ± 8.0
Female sex	37 (84.1)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.3 ± 6.0
NYHA class	
I-II	6 (15)
III-IV	34 (85)
Diabetes	15 (35.7)
Dyslipidemia	25 (59.5)
Hypertension	41 (97.6)
Coronary artery disease	19 (43.2)
Previous myocardial infarction	6 (14.3)
Prior PCI	9 (21.4)
Prior CABG	4 (9.1)
Patent LIMA/graft to LAD	2 (50)
Complete revascularization prior to TAVI	31 (73.8)
Prior aortic valve surgery	3 (6.8)
Previous pacemaker	8 (19.5)
Cerebrovascular disease	9 (21.4)
Peripheral vascular disease	17 (40.5)
COPD	11 (26.2)



Porcelain aorta	3 (7.1)
eGFR (< 60 mL/min)	23 (54.8)
logEuroSCORE (%)	23.2 ± 16.2

### **Echocardiographic variables**

Mean aortic gradient (mmHg)	54.5 ± 17.8
Aortic valve area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.53 ± 0.19
LVEF (%)	53.5 ± 14.7
Annulus size (mm)	20.4 ± 1.5

### **Procedural variables**

#### **Approach**

Transfemoral	30 (68.2)
Transapical	13 (29.5)
Transaortic	1 (2.3)

Valve-in-valve	3 (6.8)
----------------	---------

#### **Prosthesis size (mm)**

23 mm	25 (56.8)
26 mm	15 (34.1)
29 mm	3 (6.8)
31 mm	1 (2.3)

#### **Prosthesis type**

Balloon-expandable valve (Sapient/Sapient XT)	37 (84.1)
Self-expandable valve	7 (15.9)

(CoreValve)

Balloon pre-dilatation	40 (90.9)
Balloon post-dilatation	8 (18.2)

---

Values are expressed as n (%) or mean ( $\pm$ SD).

NYHA: New York Heart Association; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; LIMA: left internal mammary artery; LAD: left anterior descending artery; TAVI: transcatheter aortic valve implantation; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration ratio; logEuroSCORE: logistic EuroSCORE predicted risk of mortality; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.

**Table 2. Main Clinical and Procedural Characteristics, According to the Occurrence of Coronary Obstruction Following TAVI**

	<b>Coronary Obstruction (n=44)</b>	<b>Controls (n=6,644)</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>Clinical variables</b>			
Age (years)	83.1 ± 8.0	81.0 ± 7.1	<0.001
Female	37 (84.1)	3,408 (51.3)	<0.001
Prior CAD	19 (45.2)	2,270 (55.5)*	0.102
Previous CABG	4 (9.1)	919 (22.5)*	0.043
LogEuroSCORE (%)	23.2 ± 16.2	18.1 ± 13.6	<0.001
<b>Procedural variables</b>			
Valve type			0.023
Sapien/Sapien XT	37 (84.1)	4,533 (68.2)	
CoreValve	7 (15.9)	2,066 (31.1)	
Others	-	45 (0.7)	
Approach			0.442
Transfemoral	30 (68.2)	4,904 (73.8)	
Transapical	13 (29.5)	1,546 (23.3)	
Transaortic/trans-subclavian	1 (2.3)	194 (2.9)	
Valve-in-valve	3 (6.8)	118 (1.8)	0.045

Values are expressed as n (%) or mean (±SD).

CAD: coronary artery disease; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; logEuroSCORE: logistic EuroSCORE predicted risk of mortality

\*Data available for 4,386 patients

**Table 3. Clinical Presentation and Management of Coronary Obstruction****Following TAVI (n=44)**

<b>Obstructed coronary artery</b>	
Left coronary artery	39 (88.6)
Right coronary artery	2 (4.5)
Both	3 (6.8)
<b>Timing</b>	
After balloon valvuloplasty	4 (9.1)
After valve implantation	31 (70.5)
After balloon post-dilatation	4 (9.1)
Within 24 hours following TAVI	4 (9.1)
More than 24 hours following TAVI	1 (2.3)
<b>Clinical Presentation</b>	
Severe persistent hypotension	30 (68.2)
ECG changes	25 (56.8)
ST-segment elevation	14 (56.0)
Ventricular fibrillation	7 (28.0)
Ventricular tachycardia	3 (12.0)
Atrial fibrillation	2 (8.0)
Left bundle branch block	2 (8.0)
<b>Stenosis severity</b>	
Partial occlusion	25 (56.8)
Complete occlusion	19 (43.2)

**Treatment**

<u>PCI attempted</u>	33 (75.0)
Successful	27 (81.8)
Stent successfully implanted	25 (75.8)
Guide-wire protection only	1 (3.0)
Catheter cannulation only	1 (3.0)
Unsuccessful	6 (18.2)
Coronary cannulation failure	2 (33.3)
Wire crossing failure	2 (33.3)
Stent could not be advanced	1 (16.7)
Stent implanted but no flow	1 (16.7)

Type of stent

Bare metal stent(s)	6 (24.0)
Drug eluting stent(s)	17 (68.0)
Bare metal and drug eluting stents	2 (8.0)

Urgent CABG

Conversion to open heart surgery	2 (6.1)
----------------------------------	---------

**Procedural Complications**

Need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation	18 (40.9)
Need for hemodynamic support	16 (36.4)
CPB	7 (43.8)
IABP	4 (25.0)
Fem-Fem CPB	3 (18.8)
ECMO	1 (6.3)
Impella	1 (6.3)
Inotropes	30 (68.2)
Valve embolization	2 (4.5)
Need for a second valve	3 (6.8)
Cardiac tamponade	3 (6.8)

**30-day Outcomes**

Myocardial infarction	21 (47.7)
Peak CK-MB ( $\mu\text{g/l}$ )	82.4 [24.3-240.6]
New Q waves*	5 (35.7)

New left bundle branch block	4 (9.1)
New Pacemaker	1 (2.3)
Major vascular complications	5 (11.4)
Major or life-threatening bleeding	7 (15.9)
Acute renal failure	9 (20.4)
Dialysis	2 (4.5)
Stroke	4 (9.1)
Death	18 (40.9)
Hospitalization length, days	6 [3-17]

---

Values are expressed as n (%) or median [IQR]

TAVI: transcatheter aortic valve implantation; ECG: electrocardiographic; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG: coronary artery bypass graft; CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass; IABP: intra-aortic balloon pump; Fem-Fem: femoral-femoral bypass; ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

\* After excluding the patients with procedural death.



**Table 4. Computed Tomography Data, According to the Occurrence of Coronary Obstruction Following TAVI**

	<b>Coronary Obstruction (n=28)</b>	<b>Controls (n=345)</b>	<b>p</b>
Annulus diameter (mm)	22.9 ± 3.1	24.4 ± 2.9	0.010
Annulus area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	387 [375-424]	476 [405-560]	0.002
Aortic SOV diameter (mm)	28.1 ± 3.8	31.9 ± 4.1	<0.001
Sinotubular junction (mm)	25.2 ± 3.1	28.0 ± 3.9	0.003
Relation prosthesis size/annulus	1.09 ± 0.11	1.05 ± 0.09	0.084
Relation SOV/annulus	1.25 ± 0.17	1.31 ± 0.14	0.054
Left coronary height (mm)	10.6 ± 2.1	13.4 ± 2.1	<0.001
Right coronary height (mm)	12.4 ± 3.2	14.1 ± 2.4	0.003
Left coronary height* (mm)	10.4 ± 2.0	13.5 ± 2.0	<0.001
Right coronary height <sup>†</sup> (mm)	11.3 ± 2.1	14.0 ± 2.4	0.048
Calcium score (Agatston units)	2,354 ± 1,187	2,872 ± 1,726	0.290

Values are expressed as mean (±SD) or median [IQR]

SOV: sinus of Valsalva.

\*Cases of right coronary artery obstruction excluded.

<sup>†</sup>Cases of left coronary artery obstruction excluded.

**Table 5. Computed Tomography Data from the Case-matched Analysis, According to the Occurrence of Coronary Obstruction Following TAVI**

	<b>Coronary Obstruction</b>	<b>Controls</b>	<b>OR (95% CI)</b>	<b>p</b>
Annulus diameter (mm)	23.0 ± 0.6	23.6 ± 0.4	1.15 (0.92-1.45)	0.510
Annulus area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	410 ± 18	458 ± 17	1.01 (0.99-1.02)	0.126
Aortic SOV diameter (mm)	28.3 ± 0.8	31.3 ± 0.6	1.37 (1.13-1.66)	0.011
Relation prosthesis size/annulus	1.08 ± 0.02	1.05 ± 0.02	0.02 (0.01-3.99)	0.315
Relation SOV/annulus	1.26 ± 0.04	1.34 ± 0.03	20 (1.28-333)	0.003
Left coronary height (mm)	10.7 ± 0.4	13.3 ± 0.3	2.17 (1.62-2.90)	<0.001
Right coronary height (mm)	12.7 ± 0.8	14.2 ± 0.4	1.36 (1.10-1.68)	0.047
Calcium score (Agatston units)	2,284 ± 318	2,733 ± 313	1.00 (0.99-1.1)	0.333

Values are expressed as mean (± SE)

CI: confidence interval

SOV: sinus of Valsalva











