

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Single-walled carbon nanotubes covalently functionalized with cysteine: A new alternative for the highly sensitive and selective Cd(II) quantification

Authors: Fabiana A. Gutierrez, Jose Miguel

Gonzalez-Dominguez, Alejandro Ansón-Casaos, Javier

Hernández-Ferrer, María D. Rubianes, María T. Martínez,

Gustavo Rivas



Authors: Fabiana A. Gutierrez, Jose Miguel

Gonzalez-Dominguez, Alejandro Ansón-Casaos, Javier

Hernández-Ferrer, María D. Rubianes, María T. Martínez,

Gustavo Rivas

PII: S0925-4005(17)30626-3

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.04.026>

Reference: SNB 22112

To appear in: *Sensors and Actuators B*

Received date: 11-1-2017

Revised date: 28-3-2017

Accepted date: 6-4-2017

Please cite this article as: <http://dx.doi.org/>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

<AT>SINGLE-WALLED CARBON NANOTUBES COVALENTLY  
FUNCTIONALIZED WITH CYSTEINE: A NEW ALTERNATIVE FOR THE  
HIGHLY SENSITIVE AND SELECTIVE Cd(II) QUANTIFICATION

<AU>Fabiana A. Gutierrez<sup>a</sup>, Jose Miguel Gonzalez-Dominguez<sup>b,c</sup>, Alejandro Ansón-  
Casaos<sup>b</sup>, Javier Hernández-Ferrer<sup>b</sup>, María D. Rubianes<sup>a</sup>, María T. Martínez<sup>b\*</sup>

##Email##mtmartinez@icb.csic.es##/Email##, Gustavo Rivas<sup>a\*</sup>

##Email##grivas@fcq.unc.edu.ar##/Email##

<AFF><sup>a</sup>INFIQC. Departamento de Físico Química. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas.  
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Ciudad Universitaria. 5000 Córdoba, Argentina.

<AFF><sup>b</sup>Instituto de Carboquímica (CSIC), C/ Miguel LuesmaCastán 4, E-50018  
Zaragoza, Spain

<AFF><sup>c</sup>Current address: MSOC-Nanochemistry Group, Faculty of Chemistry,  
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha. Avda. Camilo José Cela S/N, 13071, Ciudad Real  
(Spain)

<PA>\* Corresponding author.

<ABS-Head><ABS-HEAD>Graphical abstract

<ABS-P>► **Rivas et al.**

<ABS-HEAD>Highlights► S-triphenylmethyl cysteine is covalently attached to  
oxidized SWCNTs through the amino groups. ► Cd(II) is preconcentrated at  
GCE/SWCNT-Cys through complex formation at open circuit potential. ►  
GCE/SWCNT-Cys allows the highly sensitive (sub-ppb levels) quantification of Cd(II).  
► Recovery studies in groundwater samples shows an excellent agreement with ICP.

<ABS-HEAD>**Abstract**

<ABS-P>This work is focused on the development of an electrochemical sensor  
for the quantification of Cd(II) based on the use of glassy carbon electrodes  
(GCE) modified with a dispersion of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs)  
covalently functionalized with cysteine (Cys). Cd(II) is preconcentrated at the  
electrode surface by complex formation at open circuit potential, followed by the  
reduction at -0.900 V and the final anodic voltammetric stripping in a 0.020 M  
acetate buffer solution pH 5.00. The functionalization of SWCNTs was performed  
through the reaction between the carboxylic groups of oxidized SWCNT and  
amino groups of S-triphenylmethyl cysteine using a coupling chemistry agent  
based on benzotriazol for the activation of carboxylic residues.

<ABS-P>There was a linear relationship between Cd oxidation signal and Cd(II)  
concentration between 1.0 and 300.0  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  Cd(II), with a sensitivity of  $(49 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{A} \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{L}$  and a detection limit of  $0.3 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ . The reproducibility was 1.7 % using  
the same dispersion and 3.8 % using 3 different dispersions. The sensor was  
challenged with groundwater samples enriched with Cd(II) showing excellent  
recovery percentages and excellent agreement with the values obtained by ICP-  
MS.

<KWD>Keywords: **Carbon nanotubes; Electrochemical sensor; Cadmium; Complex formation; Cysteine-functionalized SWCNT; Covalently functionalization**

## <H1>1.INTRODUCTION

The control of the amount of heavy metals released to the environment has received enormous attention in the last years due to the direct impact on the human health and the economic damage associated with the contamination problems [1,2]. Several methodologies have been proposed for the detection of heavy metals, including atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), neutron activation analysis (NAA), X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and ion chromatography (IC) [3-9]. However, although these techniques offer high sensitivity and selectivity, they present some disadvantages that restrict their routine use like complex sample preparation, use of sophisticated instruments, and the requirement of skilled personnel.

Electrochemical techniques have demonstrated to be an important alternative due to their simplicity, relatively low cost, sensitivity, possibility of miniaturization and decentralized work [10].

Different electrochemical sensors have been proposed. Ghaemi et al. [11] have reported a ion-selective sensor for Cd quantification based on the use of 1,13-bis (8-quinolyl)-1,4,7,10,13-pentaoxatridecane as supramolecular carrier. p-tert-butylcalix[6]arene has been demonstrated to be a very efficient membrane for the development of fast, reproducible, stable and selective Cd ion-selective electrodes [12]. Chow et al. [13] have proposed the nanomolar detection of Cu(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) mixtures at gold electrodes modified with thiocetic acid and peptides. Yantasee et al. [14] have detected Cu(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) in non-pretreated natural waters using glassy carbon electrodes (GCE) modified with thiol functionalized mesoporous silica and Nafion Bismuth film electrode, introduced in 2000 by Wang et al. [15] have demonstrated to be highly successful for the quantification of heavy metal ions at sub  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  level [16-19]. Antimony film [20, 21] and stannum film [22, 23] electrodes have been also used for the sensitive quantification of Cd(II).

Nanomaterials have demonstrated to be very useful for the development of electrochemical sensors due to their unique mechanical, magnetic, electrical, optical, and catalytic properties [24-27]. Wu et al. [28] have described the electrochemical determination of Hg(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Cd(II) using GCE modified with mesoporous MgO nanosheets. A sensitive detection of Tl(I), Pb(II) and Hg(II) has been proposed using anionic liquid/graphene/carbon paste electrode [29]. Ruecha et al. [30] have described an electrochemical sensor for the simultaneous detection of Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) using a graphene–

polyaniline nanocomposite electrode. The incorporation of graphene oxide at 4-aminophenyl modified gold electrodes have demonstrated to be highly successful for the sensitive quantification of Pb(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) [31]. Carbon nanotubes modified with different ligands have been also used for the quantification of heavy metals [32-35].

The motivation of this work was to transfer the fascinating ability of Cys to complexate heavy metals [36-38] to the development of an electrochemical sensor for the quantification of Cd (II) based on the modification of GCE with a dispersion of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) covalently functionalized with L-cysteine (Cys) (SWCNT-Cys) and the efficient complex formation between HS residues of Cys and Cd(II) [37, 38].

It is widely known that carbon nanotubes (CNTs) need to be functionalized to facilitate the dispersion in aqueous media and to make possible the preparation of CNT-based electrochemical sensors [39-42]. We have recently reported an electrochemical sensor for Cu(II) based on the use of GCE modified with multi-walled carbon nanotubes non-covalently functionalized with polyhistidine (GCE/MWCNT-Polyhistidine) through the complex formation of Cu(II) with the histidine residues present at GCE/MWCNT-Polyhistidine [39]. Morton et al. [43] have proposed the modification of GCE with oxidized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) covalently functionalized with cysteine (Cys), although in this case they have used the carbodiimide/N-hydroxysuccinimide chemistry, a strategy completely different to the one we are proposing here and without using protective groups for thiol groups. The resulting sensor has been used for the quantification of Cu(II) and Pb(II), although the analytical performance was not really competitive compared to previously reported electrochemical sensing strategies. A similar modification scheme has been also used as a sorbent for preconcentration of heavy metals [39].

In the following sections we report the synthesis of the Cys-modified SWCNT; the characterization of the resulting modified nanostructures using different techniques (FTIR, Raman, TGA, XPS, and electrochemical techniques); and the analytical application of GCE/SWCNT-Cys for the highly sensitive and selective quantification of Cd(II).

## <H1>2. EXPERIMENTAL

### <H2>2.1. Materials and reagents

Single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT, AP-SWNT grade) were purchased from Carbon Solutions Inc. (Riverside, California). Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (SDBS), anhydrous N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF), O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (EDIPA), S-triphenylmethylcysteine (S-Trt-Cys), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), triethylsilane (Et<sub>3</sub>Si), dichloromethane, diethyl ether, quinone (Q) and hydroquinone (H<sub>2</sub>Q) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrogen peroxide (30% v/v aqueous solution), Hg<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, (CdSO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·8H<sub>2</sub>O

and  $\text{Pb}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2\text{Pb}(\text{OH})_2$  were received from Anedra. Other chemicals were reagent grade and used without further purification.

A 0.200 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00 was used as supporting electrolyte. Ultrapure water ( $\rho = 18 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) from a Millipore-MilliQ system was used for preparing all the solutions. All the experiments were conducted at room temperature.

## <H2>2.2. Apparatus

Electrochemical experiments were performed with Epsilon (BAS), TEQ 4 and Autolab PGSTAT128 N potentiostats. The electrodes were inserted into the cell (BAS, Model MF-1084) through holes in its Teflon cover. A platinum wire and Ag/AgCl, 3M NaCl (BAS, Model RE-5B) were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. All potentials are referred to the latter. A magnetic stirrer (BASi Cell stand) set at 800 rpm and a stirring bar provided the convective transport during the amperometric measurements.

Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) measurements were performed with a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer. The samples were prepared with spectroscopic-grade KBr. Micro Raman spectroscopy experiments were performed with a HORIBA JobinYvon spectrometer (model HR 800 UV) using a green laser at 532nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), was performed with an ESCAPlus Omicron spectrometer equipped with a Mg anode (1253.6 eV) working at 150 W (15 mA, 10 kV). CASA software was used for the peak deconvolution.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were carried out with a Setaram balance, model Setsys Evolution 16/18. The experiments were performed using a nitrogen inert flow and a heating ramp of  $10^\circ\text{Cmin}^{-1}$ .

Sonication treatments were carried out with a sonicator probe VCX 130W (Sonics and Materials, Inc.) of 20 kHz frequency with a titanium alloy microtip (3 mm diameter).

Amperometric experiments were performed in an acetate buffer solution (0.020 M, pH 5.00) by applying the desired potential and allowing the transient current to decay to a steady-state value prior to the addition of the analyte and subsequent current monitoring.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) experiments were carried out in a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00 by applying a sinusoidal potential perturbation of 10 mV in the frequency range of  $10^5 - 10^{-1} \text{ Hz}$  and a working potential corresponding to the formal potential of a  $2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M Q/H}_2\text{Q}$  solution (0.050 V). The impedance spectra were analyzed and fitted using the Z-view program.

## <H2>2.3. Synthesis of functionalized SWCNT

From the scarce reports found for the covalent functionalization of CNTs with Cys [39, 43] the use of the bare aminoacid is a common feature. With this approach, it is difficult to ascertain if the reaction occurred through classical amidation or thioester formation (which may also be formed with carbodiimides) [44]. In this work, we have undertaken the functionalization using thiol-protected Cys to avoid thioester formation, and we have set the reaction conditions to guide the amide bond formation throughout the  $\alpha$ -amino group of Cys and to hinder any unwanted side-reaction such as peptidic condensation.

SWCNT were purified by oxidation at 350°C for 2h under air atmosphere and further reflux in 3M HCl for 2h. Purified SWCNT were oxidized in a 3 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/HNO<sub>3</sub> mixture (50:50 v/v) by refluxing for 3h (called SWCNT-Ox). Once filtered, rinsed with deionized water and over-dried, the solid was functionalized with Cys (SWCNT-Cys). In a typical experiment, 100 mg of SWCNT-Ox were placed in a round-bottom flask and bath-sonicated for 1h in a 0.5 % w/v sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) aqueous solution. After that, the suspension was transferred to a Schlenk flask, purged with Ar under magnetic stirring, and cooled with a water/ice bath. The COOH residues of SWCNT-Ox were activated with 300mg of HBTU and 1mL of EDIPA while the medium was kept at 0°C for 45min. Once activated, a volume of 100 mL of 8.1 mM S-trt-Cys solution (prepared in DMF) was dropwise incorporated to the SWCNT dispersion in a period of 24h, at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to take place at room temperature for 48h and under these conditions, the covalent attachment of Cys was intended through the  $\alpha$ -amine of L-Cys. A gentle continuous flow of Ar and magnetic stirring were kept along the whole functionalization process. The reaction product was filtered through a 0.1 $\mu$ m pore size Teflon membrane and washed with DMF, ethanol and acetone (Scheme 1).

The deprotection of the aminoacid was performed by cleaving the tritylprotective groups with TFA [45] and Et<sub>3</sub>Si acting as a scavenger [46]. An amount of 100mg of SWCNT-S-Trt-Cys powder was redispersed in 25mL of dichloromethane by sonication with an ultrasound bath. After the addition of 1 mL of TFA and 5 eq. of Et<sub>3</sub>Si, the mixture was left at room temperature for 2h under stirring conditions and finally filtered through a 0.1 $\mu$ m pore size Teflon membrane, rinsed with dichloromethane and diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum at room temperature (Scheme 1).

## 2.4. Preparation of GCE modified with SWCNT-Cys

**2.4.1. Preparation of SWCNT-Cys dispersion:** the dispersion was obtained by sonicating 0.5 mg of SWCNT-Cys with 1.0 mL of water for 5.0 min with ultrasonic probe. Dispersions of SWCNT and SWCNT-Ox were prepared in a similar way.

**2.4.2. Modification of GCE with SWCNT-Cys (GCE/SWCNT-Cys):** GCEs were polished with alumina slurries of 1.0, 0.30, and 0.05  $\mu$ m for 2 min each. Before modification with SWCNT-Cys, the electrodes were cycled (10 cycles) in a 0.050 M phosphate buffer solution pH 7.40 between -0.300 V and 0.800 V at 0.050 Vs<sup>-1</sup>. The modification was performed by immobilization of an aliquot of 20  $\mu$ L of

SWCNT-Cys dispersion on top of the GCE and the solvent was allowed to evaporate at room temperature. The modified electrodes were cycled for ten times between -0.200 V and 0.800 V at 0.050 V s<sup>-1</sup> before starting the electrochemical experiments. GCE/SWCNT and GCE/SWCNT-Ox were prepared in a similar way by using the corresponding dispersions.

## <H2>2.5. Quantification of Cd(II)

The steps for the quantification of Cd(II) are the following:

(I) *Preconcentration*: performed at open circuit potential by immersion of GCE/SWCNT-Cys in the Cd(II) solution (prepared in a 0.020 M acetate buffer pH 5.00) for 5.0 min under stirring conditions.

(II) *Washing*: the GCE/SWCNT-Cys containing the preconcentrated Cd(II) was washed with a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00 for 10s and then transferred to a fresh acetate buffer solution.

(III) *Reduction*: the preconcentrated Cd(II) was reduced at -0.900 V for 180 s in a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00.

(IV) *Stripping*: the anodic stripping was performed in a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00 by scanning the potential between -0.900 V and 0.500 V at 0.010 V/s using Linear sweep voltammetry staircase (Step potential: 0.032 V).

1.1.1. The analytical signals were obtained

from the oxidation currents of the accumulated and further reduced Cd(II) after subtracting the background currents.

All measurements were performed at room temperature.

## <H1>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### <H2>3.1. Characterization of SWCNT-Cys

#### <H2>3.1.a. FTIR spectroscopy

Figure 1A displays the FTIR spectra for SWCNT-Ox and SWCNT-Cys. FTIR spectrum for SWCNT-Ox show bands associated to the presence of oxygenated groups, typically at  $1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (C-O stretching),  $1320\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (OH stretching), and  $1727\text{ cm}^{-1}$  (C=O stretching). The presence of the carboxylate ion can be also detected from the bands at  $1580\text{--}1620\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1320\text{ cm}^{-1}$  [47, 48]. After functionalization with Cys, the consumption of COOH groups is denoted by the change in the ratio between the intensity of the band at  $1723\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and the intensity of the rest of carboxylic-based bands. This region becomes more populated after reaction, exhibiting the most important contribution between  $1600$  and  $1624\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to the amide bond. The functionalization with Cys also causes a pronounced increase in the intensity of  $\text{Csp}^3\text{-H}$  vibrations in methylene groups ( $\sim 2840\text{--}2950\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), associated to the aliphatic segments of the functional groups. The bands due to the stretching of S-H and C-S ( $2550\text{--}2600\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) were not observed in the spectrum of SWCNT-Cys possibly due to the strong interactions between Cys and SWCNT and the small amount of Cys attached to the CNT [49].

It is interesting to note that two new IR bands appear after functionalization with Cys, that may be ascribed to the carboxylic acid group present in the aminoacid moiety [50]. One is located at  $620\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and could be attributed to the stretching vibration of the HC-COOH bond, while the other band at  $1376\text{ cm}^{-1}$  is characteristic of the symmetric stretching of  $\alpha$ -aminoacid's  $\text{CO}_2$  [50]. We may also discern the existence of amide N-H bands, whose stretching vibrations typically appear in the range of  $1390\text{--}1430\text{ cm}^{-1}$  with a narrow weak-intensity fashion [45], and whose bending ( $1610\text{--}1630\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and rocking ( $1100\text{--}1110\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) vibrations in Cys [50] could explain the sharpening of these two regions in our IR spectrum.

<H2>3.1.b. Raman spectroscopy



Figure 1B shows typical Raman spectra for SWCNT, SWCNT-Ox and SWCNT-Cys. All the spectra present the radial breathing mode (RBM) at low frequencies, the D band at around 1300-1400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , and the tangential multifeature G-band at around 1600  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . G-band presents the doublet structure (split into G+ and G- components) characteristic of semiconducting/metallic SWCNT [51]. The comparison of SWCNT and SWCNT-Ox spectra (Fig. 1B and Table 1) shows an increment in the D/G ratio and a shifting of the G and D bands to higher wavenumbers, associated to the presence of defects and disorder in the graphitic material due to the conversion of  $\text{sp}^2$  carbons into  $\text{sp}^3$  by the action of the strong oxidative treatment [52, 53]. The changes of full widths at half maximum (FWHM) for D and G bands are associated to the presence of basal plane defects due to the vacancies and oxygenated groups at the graphitic structure [54]. After functionalization with Cys, the wavenumbers of D and G bands are higher than those for SWCNT and slightly smaller than those for SWCNT-Ox, while the D/G ratio decreases due to the partial deoxygenation and restoration of the  $\text{sp}^2$  conjugated network after TFA treatment and the steric stress due to the newly formed amide bonds on the surface of SWCNT-Ox [54].

### <H2>3.1.c.TGA

Figure 2 shows TGA profiles obtained for SWCNT (a), SWCNT-Ox (b) and SWCNT-Cys (c). The slight weight loss ( $\sim 6$  wt %) observed in the thermograms corresponding to SWCNT (Fig. 2a) indicates that they possess a very low amount of functional groups and that the oxygenated residues incorporated upon air oxidation are mostly removed during the HCl reflux. In the case of SWCNT-Ox

(Fig. 2b), the amount of carboxylic groups, estimated from the release observed between 150 and 300 °C, was 0.66 mmol/g SWCNT. The weight loss observed between 300 and 500°C is associated with the desorption of other oxygenated groups, mostly quinones, phenols and acid anhydrides [55, 56].

In the case of SWCNT-Cys sample, considering the temperature frame of 150-350°C (beyond which a simple  $\alpha$ -aminoacid with no aromatic residues should be already pyrolyzed), the absolute difference in weight loss between SWCNT-ox and SWCNT-Cys may be ascribed to the amount of anchored Cys. The progressive mass loss beyond 350°C is less steep in SWCNTs-Cys than in SWCNTs-ox, indicating the higher thermal stability of functionalized SWCNTs, in good agreement with the fact that the oxidized sample experienced a deoxygenation during the last step of the functionalization process (TFA treatment). These results give an additional support to the lower D-band observed in Raman spectroscopy.

#### <H2>3.1.d. XPS

Figure 3 shows the C1s (Fig. 3 A) and N1s (Fig. 3 B) core level spectra of SWCNT-Cys, which include several components ascribed to the different covalent bonds present in the sample. All the deconvoluted bands match those reported for Cys [57]. The functionalization of SWCNTs-ox with Cys provides C-H, C-N and C-S bonds which are gathered in the band at 285.1 eV, representing a 25.8% of the total area in this region. Subsequent bands at 286.3 (C-O bonds), 287.5 (C=O bonds) and 288.8 eV (O-C=O bonds) could correspond to the remaining oxygen groups on the surface of SWCNTs, such as phenols, lactones or non-reacted carboxylic acids. The band at 290.3 eV is often observed for carbon nanotubes and is assigned to the  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transitions in delocalized structures containing  $sp^2$  carbon bonds [58]. The N1s spectrum (Fig 3B) presents two main components, with nearly the same area ratio, namely the band corresponding to C-NH bond at 399.7 eV and the one corresponding to O=C-NH-

C bonds at 401.3 eV [59]. Both are present in amide linkages but only the latter one is exclusive to them.

### <H2>3.1.f. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy and amperometry

The electronic properties of GCE/SWCNT-Cys were characterized by EIS. Figure 4 depicts the Nyquist plots obtained for GCE/SWCNT (a), GCE/SWCNT-Ox (b) and GCE/SWCNT-Cys (c) at 0.050 V using  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M Q/H<sub>2</sub>Q solution as redox marker. The experimental data were fitted with a Randles circuit (where  $R_s$  is the electrolytic resistance,  $R_{ct}$  the charge transfer resistance,  $C_{dl}$  the double layer capacitance and  $W$  the Warburg impedance).  $R_{ct}$  decreases when GCE is modified with SWCNT-Ox ( $(8.4 \pm 0.4)$  vs  $(6.7 \pm 0.5)$   $\Omega$  for GCE/SWCNT and GCE/SWCNT-Ox, respectively), indicating that the presence of the oxygenated groups facilitates the charge transfer for the couple Q/H<sub>2</sub>Q. In the case of GCE/SWCNT-Cys (c) the  $R_{ct}$  is almost the double than the one obtained for GCE/SWCNT-Ox ( $(11.9 \pm 0.2)$  vs  $(6.7 \pm 0.5)$   $\Omega$ ), suggesting that Cys residues partially block the surface of SWCNT, hindering the charge transfer of the redox probe.

In order to obtain additional information about the accessibility of CNTs for the charge transfer and to make a correlation with the EIS results, we also performed amperometric determinations at 0.700 V at GCE/SWCNT-Ox and GCE/SWCNT-Cys using hydrogen peroxide as redox indicator. The sensitivity obtained at GCE/SWCNT-Ox ( $(13.5 \pm 0.2)$   $\mu\text{AmM}^{-1}$ ) was almost 2.6 times higher than the one obtained at GCE/SWCNT-Cys ( $(5.5 \pm 0.3)$   $\mu\text{AmM}^{-1}$ ), confirming that the functionalization of SWCNT-Ox with Cys produces a partial blockage of the charge transfer. These results represent an additional evidence, in an indirect way, of the efficient functionalization of SWCNT-Ox with Cys.

### <H2>3.2. Analytical applications of GCE/SWCNT-Cys for the quantification of

#### Cd(II)

As it was previously indicated, Cd(II) is accumulated at GCE/SWCNT-Cys at open circuit potential through the complex formation with the SH residues of Cys [38, 45], it is further reduced at -0.900 V and finally reoxidized by scanning the potential from -0.900 V to 0.500 V. The optimization of the different conditions was performed through the evaluation of Cd(II) oxidation signal. The effect of the amount of SWCNT on the oxidation current of Cd was evaluated using GCE modified with dispersions containing different amount of SWCNT-Cys from 0.25 to 2.00  $\text{mgmL}^{-1}$  (Figure SI-1A). Cd-oxidation currents increase almost linearly with the amount of SWCNT-Cys up to 0.50  $\text{mgmL}^{-1}$ , to remain almost constant thereafter due to a saturation effect. Therefore, the selected amount of SWCNT-Cys was 0.50  $\text{mgmL}^{-1}$ .

Considering that the analytical signal of the sensor is obtained from the oxidation of Cd present at GCE/SWCNT-Cys, the selection of the conditions for Cd(II) accumulation and Cd(II) reduction is critical to ensure an efficient analytical performance. Figure SI-1B displays the effect of the accumulation time of Cd(II)

at GCE/SWCNT-Cys at open circuit potential on the oxidation signal of Cd (from 1.0 to 10.0 min). The peak current increases with the preconcentration time from 1.0 to 5.0 min, to remain almost constant thereafter due to the saturation of the available complexing sites. Hence, a preconcentration time of 5.0 min was selected for further work. The effect of the potential applied to reduce the preconcentrated Cd(II) was evaluated between -1.200V and -0.800V. The best compromise between sensitivity, reproducibility and resolution of the final oxidation signal of Cd was obtained by applying a potential of -0.900 V. More negative potentials are not convenient due to the generation of hydrogen bubbles that makes the signal highly irreproducible. The oxidation current of Cd increases with the time that the electrode is hold at -0.900 V up to 180 s, to keep almost constant for longer times. Thus, the reduction of the accumulated Cd(II) was performed by applying -0.900 V for 180 s.

Before presenting the analytical performance of GCE/SWCNT-Cys, is important to discuss the advantages of the covalent attachment of Cys to SWCNT-Ox on Cd(II) sensing. We evaluate these advantages by comparing the response obtained for 300.0  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  Cd(II) at GCE/SWCNT, GCE/SWCNT-Ox and GCE/SWCNT-Cys (Figure 2-SI). No voltammetric signal was obtained at GCE/SWCNT since no Cd was accumulated, while at SWCNT-Ox the oxidation signal for the preconcentrated and further reduced Cd(II) was 20 times lower than the one obtained at GCE/SWCNT-Cys ( $(0.24 \pm 0.03)$  vs  $(4.9 \pm 0.7)$   $\mu\text{A}$  at SWCNT-Ox and GCE/SWCNT-Cys, respectively). No peaks were obtained at GCE/SWCNT-Cys in the absence of Cd(II). These results clearly demonstrate that, even when the carboxylate residues of SWCNTox allow the accumulation of Cd(II) by complex formation and facilitated electrostatic interaction, there is a selective preconcentration of Cd(II) at GCE/SWCNT-Cys due to the complex formation with Cys residues.

Figure 5A shows the voltammetric response obtained after preconcentration of increasing concentrations of Cd(II) at GCE/SWCNT-Cys for 5.0 min at open circuit potential, followed by a reduction step at -0.900 V for 180 s and the final anodic voltammetric stripping in a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00. A well-defined response is observed in the whole range of concentrations from 1.0 to 300.0  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  Cd(II). A small shifting in the oxidation peak potential towards positive values is observed with the increment of Cd(II) concentration. The corresponding calibration plot is shown in Fig.5B. A linear relationship between the oxidation signal and Cd(II) concentration is obtained between 1.0 and 300.0  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$  with a sensitivity of  $(49 \pm 2) \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{A} \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{L}$  and a

detection limit of  $0.3 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  (calculated as  $3 \times \sigma/S$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the blank signal and  $S$  the sensitivity). The reproducibility, obtained from the sensitivity of different calibration plots, was 1.7 % using the same SWCNT-Cys dispersion and 4 different electrodes, and 3.8 % using 3 different dispersions and 4 electrodes per dispersion.

The selectivity of the assay was evaluated by challenging the sensor with different metals. Figure 5C shows the voltammetric response for  $20 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Cd(II) in the absence and presence of  $100 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Pb(II), Hg(II), Co(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Cr(III), As(III), Ir (IV) and  $20 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Cu(II). Four well-defined oxidation current peaks were obtained at  $-0.760$ ,  $-0.580$ ,  $-0.093$ , and  $0.28$  V for Cd(II), Pb(II), Cu(II) and Hg(II), respectively. The Cd oxidation peak currents obtained in the absence ( $0.799 \pm 0.08$ )  $\mu\text{A}$  and presence ( $0.789 \pm 0.09$ )  $\mu\text{A}$  of Pb(II), Hg(II), Co(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Cr(III), As(III), Ir (IV) and Cu(II), indicating that there is no interference of these metals on the determination of Cd(II). The addition of  $100 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Tl(I) to the previous mixture produced a shifting in the Cd(II) oxidation peak potential of  $-20$  mV as well as a decrease in the Cd(II) oxidation signal of 15%. Lower concentrations of Tl(I) did not produce any change. These results clearly demonstrate the excellent selectivity of GCE/SWCNT-Cys towards Cd(II) in the presence of other metals.

Table 2 summarizes the analytical parameters of different Cd(II) electrochemical sensors reported in the last years. Is important to remark that, at variance with most of the electrochemical sensors for Cd(II), where the preconcentration of Cd(II) is performed by reduction at very negative potentials [ 61-74, 18, 20, 21], in the case of our sensor the preconcentration of Cd(II) is done at open circuit potential, through the specific Cd(II)–Cys complexation and subsequent medium exchange, largely decreasing the risk of possible interference of other metallic species able to be reduced at the preconcentration potential. The analytical performace of GCE/SWCNT-Cys is highly competitive since it presents similar or lower detection limits than most of the sensing strategies reported in the table and on of the widest linear range. Even when a strict comparison of sensitivities is not possible, the results obtained with our sensor are similar or better than those presented in table 2. The difference in the affinity of Cys towards other metals [60] represent an additional contribution to the selectivity of the assay. Despite the time for the assay is longer than those reported for metal film electrodes, our sensor presents several advantages that make it a sensitive and selective alternativeto to quantify Cd(II).

To evaluate the practical application of the proposed electrochemical sensor, we determine the recovery of Cd (II) in groundwater samples taken from the city of Cordoba (Argentina) by triplicate using the GCE/SWCNT-Cys. The results are summarized in Table 3. Three different concentrations of Cd(II) were added to the

groundwater samples (10.0, 50.0 and 100  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) with excellent recoveries. The method was validated using ICP-MS with excellent agreement.

## <H2>4.8. CONCLUSIONS

We reported here the advantages of a new electrochemical sensor for Cd(II) based on the modification of GCE with a dispersion of SWCNT covalently functionalized with Cys. At variance with most of the existing electrochemical sensing schemes, in this case we propose a preconcentration of Cd(II) at open circuit potential through the complex formation with Cys residues, minimizing the possibility of potential interference of other metallic cations. The combination of the electroactivity of SWCNT and the high affinity of Cys towards Cd(II) made possible the highly sensitive and selective quantification of Cd(II) even in the presence of an excess of several heavy metal ions. The proposed sensor was successfully used for the quantification of Cd(II) in groundwater samples with excellent correlation with ICP-MS, demonstrating to be a very interesting alternative for further applications in environmental monitoring.

## <ACK>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank CONICET, SECyT-UNC, ANPCyT, MINCyT-Córdoba, Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) project ENE2013-48816-C5-5-R, and the Government of Aragon and the European Social Fund under project grant DGA-FSE-T66 CNN for the financial support.

## <REF>References

<BIBL>

- [1] R. Wennrich, B. Daus, K. Müller, H. Stärk, L. Brüggemann, P. Morgenstern,;1; *Env. Poll.* 165 (2012) 59-66.
- [2] P. Ramnani, N.M. Saucedo, A. Mulchandani,;1; *Chemosphere* 143 (2016) 85.
- [3] M. Hesham, S. Sadeek; M. Abu Rehab, Z. Doa,;1; *Microchem. J.* 128 (2016) 1–6.
- [4] C. R. Armendáriz, T. Garcia, A. Soler, Á.J. Gutiérrez Fernández, D. Glez-Weller, G. González, L.A. Hardisson de la Torre, C. Revert Gironés,;1; *Environmental Research* 143 (2015) 162–169.
- [5] K.M. J.C. Dimpe, N. Ngila, P.N. Mabuba,;1; Nomngongo, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 76–78 (2014) 42–48.
- [6] B. Caballero-Segura, P. Ávila-Pérez, C.E. Barrera Díaz, J.J. Ramírez García, G. Zarazúa, R. Balan Ortiz-Oliveros,;1; *Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* 94 (2014) 1288–1301..
- [7] Ö. Tunç Dede,;1; *Anal. Methods* 8 (2016) 5087-5094.
- [8] S. Su, M. He, N. Zhang, C. Cui,;1; *Anal. Methods* 6 (2014) 1182-1188.
- [9] O. F. M. Olorundare, T. A. Msagati, R. W. M.;1; Krause, J. O. Okonkwo, B. Mamba, *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* 12 (2015) 2389-2400.
- [10] C. Zhu, G. Yang, H. Li, Y. Lin,;1; *Anal. Chem.* 87 (2015) 230-249.
- [11] A. Ghaemi, H. Tvakkoli, T. Mombeni,;1; *Mat. Sci. & Engineering C* 38 (2014) 186-191.
- [12] V. K. Gupta, S. Kumar, R. Singh, L.P. Singh, S.K. Shvora, B. Sethi,;1; *J. Mol. Liquids* 195 (2014) 65-68.

- [13] E. Chow, D. Ebrahimi, J.J. Gooding, D.B. Hibbert,;1; *Analyst* 131 (2006) 1051–1057.[14] ] W. Yantasee, B. Charnhattakorn, G.E. Fryxell, Y. Lin, C. Timchalk, R. Shane Addleman, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 620 (2008) 55–63.
- [15] J. Wang, J. Lu, S.B. Hocevar, P.A. Farías, B. Ogorevc,;1; *Anal. Chem.*, 2000, 72, 3218-3222..
- [16] V. Jovanovski, N.I. Hrastnik, S.B. Hocevar,;1; *Electrochem. Comm.*, 2015, 57, 1-4.
- [17] G. Zhao, Y. Yin, H. Wang, G. Liu, Z. Wang,;1; *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, 220, 267-275.
- [18] T. Zidaric, V. Jovanovski, E. Menart, M. Zorko, M. Kolar, M. Veber, S.B. Hocevar, *Sensors and Actuators B. Chemical*,;1; 2017, <C>(in press)</C>
- [19] V. Rehaceka, I. Hotovya, M. Vojisa, T. Kupsb, L. Spiess,;1; *Electrochim. Acta* 63 (2012) 192–196.
- [20] V. Jovanovski, S.B. Hocevar, B. Ogorevc,;1; *Electroanal.* 21 (2009) 2321-2324.
- [21] M. Slavec, S.B. Hocevar, L. Baldrianova, E. Tesarova, J. Svancara, B. Ogorevc, K. Vytras,;1; *Electroanal.* 22 (2010) 1617-1622.
- [22] N. B. Li, W.W. Zhu, J.H. Luo, H. Q Luo,;1; *Analyst* 137 (2012) 614–617.
- [23] Z. Wang, H. Wang, Z. Zhang, X. Yang, G. Liu,;1; *Electrochim. Acta* 120 (2014) 140-146.
- [24] N. Yang, X. Chen, T. Ren, P. Zhang, D. Yang,;1; *Sensors and Actuators B. Chemical* 207 (2015) 690-715.
- [25] S. Kumar, W. Ahlawat, R. Kumar, N. Dilbaghi,;1; *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 70 (2015) 498-503.
- [26] N. Wang, M. Lin, H. Dai, H. Ma,;1; *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 79 (2016) 320–326.
- [27] M. Hasanzadeh, N. Shadjou, M. De la Guardia,;1; *Trends Anal. Chem.* 72 (2015) 123–140.
- [28] Z. Wu, C. Xu, H. Chen, Y. Wu, H. Yu, Y. Ye, F. Gao,;1; *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids*, 74 (2013) 1032–1038.
- [29] H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, H. Khoshshafar, M. Rezaei, S.J. Sabounchei, S. Mehdi,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 870 (2015) 56–66.
- [30] N. Ruecha, N. Rodthongkum, D. M. Cate, J. Volckens, O. Chailapakul, C.S. Henry,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 874 (2015) 40–48.
- [31] Y. Zhang, M. Qi, G. Liu,;1; *Electroanal.* 27 (2015) 1–10.
- [32] A. Afkhami, H. Bagheri, H. Khoshshafar, M. Saber-Tehrani, M. Tabatabae, A. Shirzadmehr,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta* 746 (2012) 98–106.
- [33] ] G. D. Vuković, A.D. Marinkovic, M. Colić, M. Ristic, R. Aleksić, Perić- A. A. P. S. Grujić, Uskoković,;1; *Chemical Engineering Journal* 157 (2010) 238–248.
- [34] P. Blondeau, F.X. Rius-Ruiz, A. Düzgün, J. Riu, F.X. Rius,;1; *Materials Science and Engineering C* 31 (2011) 1363–1368.
- [35] G. D.;1; Vuković, A.D. Marinković, S.D. Skapinc, M. Ristic, R. Aleksic, A.A. Peric-Grujić, P.S. Uskokovic, *Chemical Engineering Journal* 173 (2011) 855–865.
- [36] G. Berthon,;1; *Pure Appl. Chem.* 67 (1995) 1117-1240.
- [37] N.M. Bandaru, N. Reta, H. Dalal, A.V. Ellis, J. Shapter, N.H. Voelcker,;1; *J. Hazardous Materials* 261 (2013) 534–541..
- [38] H.A.M. Elmahadi, G.M. Greenway,;1; *J. Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 8 (1993) 1009-1014.

- [39] P. Dalmasso, M.L. Pedano, G.A. Rivas,;1; *Electroanal.* 27 (2015) 2164-2170.
- [40] E.N. Primo, F.A. Gutierrez, G.L. Luque, P.R. Dalmasso, A. Gasnier, Y. Jalit, M. Moreno, M.V. Bracamonte, M. Eguílaz Rubio, M.L. Pedano, C. Rodríguez, N.F. Ferreyra, M.D. Rubianes, S. Bollo, G.A. Rivas,;1; *Analytica Chimica Acta* 805 (2013) 19–35.
- [41] Y. Wei, X. Ling, L. Zou, D. Lai, H. Lu, Y. Xu,;1; *Colloid Surface A* 482 (2015) 507-513.
- [42] M. Eguílaz, F. Gutierrez, J.M. González-Domínguez, M.T. Martínez, G. Rivas *Biosens., I; Bioelectron.* 86 (2016) 308–314.
- [43] J. Morton, N. Havens, A. Mugweru, A.K. Wanekaya,;1; *Electroanal.* 21 (2009) 1597–1603.
- [44] Y. Mori, M. Seki,;1; *Org. Synth.* 11 (2007) 28.
- [45] I. Photaki, T. Papadimitriou, C. Sakarellos, P. Mazarakis, L. Zervas,;1; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 92, (1970) 2683-2687.
- [46] D. A. Pearson, M. Blanchette, M.L. Baker, C.A. Guindon,;1; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 30 (1989) 2739-2742.
- [47] R.A.;1; Meyers Interpretation of Infrared Spectra, A Practical Approach, John Coates in Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry John Wiley & Sons Ltd, <PL>Chichester</PL>, 2000.
- [48] C.N.R. Rao,;1; *Chemical applications of infrared spectroscopy*, <PL>New York</PL> and London, 1963.
- [49] M. Amiri, H. Eynaki, Y. Mansoori,;1; *Electrochim. Acta*, 123 (2014) 362–368..
- [50] S. F. Parker,;1; *Chem. Phys.* 424 (2013) 75-79.
- [51] M.S. Dresselhaus, G. Dreseelhaus, M. Hofmann,;1; *Vibrational Spectroscopy* 45 (2007) 71-81.
- [52] S. Niyogi, E. Bekyarova, M.E. Itkis, H. Zhang, K. Shepperd, J.; Hicks, M. Sprinkle, C. Berger, C.N. Lau, W.A. de Heer, E.H. Conrad, R.C. Haddon,;1; *Nano Lett.*, 10 (2010) 4061.
- [53] S. Stankovich, D. Dikin,;1; Piner, R.D.; Kohlhaas, K.; Kleinhammes, A.; Jia, Y., *Carbon* 2007, 45, 1558–1565].



- [54] M. V. Bracamonte, G.I. Lacconi, S.E. Urreta, L.E.F. Foa Torres,;1; *J. Phys. Chem. C. 118* (2014) 15455–15459..
- [55] P. Canete-Rosales, V. Ortega, A. Alvarez-Lueje, S. Bollo, M. Gonzalez, A. Ansón, M.T. Martinez,;1; *Electrochim. Acta* 62 (2012) 163.
- [56] A. Ansón-Casaos, M. Gonzalez, J.M. Gonzalez-Dominguez, M.T. Martinez,;1; *Langmuir* 27 (2011) 7192-7198.
- [57][https://srdata.nist.gov/xps/query\\_chem\\_name\\_detail.aspx?ID\\_NO=2410&CName=D L-cysteine](https://srdata.nist.gov/xps/query_chem_name_detail.aspx?ID_NO=2410&CName=D L-cysteine).
- [58] K. Yang, M.Y. Gu, Y.P. Guo, X.F. Pan and G. H. Mu,;1; *Carbon* 47 (2009) 1723–1737.
- [59] J. M. González-Domínguez, F.A. Gutiérrez, J. Hernández, A. Ansón-Casaos, M.D. Rubianes, G. Rivas, M.T. Martínez,;1; *J. Mat. Chem B. 3* (2015) 3870-3884.
- [60] H. Sigel, C.P. Da Costa, R.B. Martin,;1; *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 219–221 (2001) 435–461.
- [61] K. C. Armstrong, C.E. Royce, N. Dansby-Sparks, J.Q. Chambers, Z.-L. Xue,;1; *Talanta* 82 (2010) 675–680.
- [62] H. Bagheri, A. Afkhami, H. Khoshshafar, M. Rezaei, A. Shirzadmehr,;1; *Sensors and Actuators B. Chemical* 186 (2013) 451–460.
- [63] E. Roy, S. Patra, R. Madhuri, P.K. Sharma,;1; *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 56690-56700.
- [64] H. Yang, J. Li, X. Lu, G. Xi, Y. Yan,;1; *Materials Research Bulletin* 48 (2013) 4718–4722.
- [65] H. Lin, M. Li, D. Mihailovi,;1; *Electrochim. Acta* 154 (2015) 184–189.
- [66] G.-J. Lee, C.K. Kim, M.K. Lee, C.K. Rhee,;1; *Electroanal.* 22 (2010) 530–535.
- [67] A. Afkhami, F. Soltani-Felehgari, T. Madrakian, H. Ghaedi, M. Rezaeivala,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta* 771 (2013) 21–30.
- [68] U. Injang, P. Noyrod, W. Siangproh, W. Dungchai, S. Motomizu, O. Chailapakul,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta* 668 (2010) 54–60.
- [69] M. Firmino de Oliveira, A.A. Saczk, L.L. Okumura, A. Pires Fernandes, M. de Moraes, N. Ramos Stradiotto,;1; *Anal Bioanal. Chem.* 380 (2004) 135–140..
- [70] J. Li, J. Zhang, H. Wei, E. Wang,;1; *Analyst* 134 (2009) 273–277..

[71] X. Yuan, Y. Zhang, L. Yang, W. Deng, Y. Tan, M.M. Qingji Xie,;1; *Analyst* 140 (2015) 1647–1654.

[72] H. Huang, T. Chen, X. Liu,;1; H.mMa, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 852 (2014) 45–54.

[73] R.-X. Xua, X.-Y. Yu, C. Gao, Y.-J. Jiang, D.-D. Han, J.-H. Liu, X.-J. Huang,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 790 (2013) 31–38.

[74] R. Güell, G. Aragay, C. Fontàs, E. Anticó, A. Merkoçi,;1; *Anal. Chim. Acta* 627 (2008) 219–224.

[75] E. Svobodova-Tesarova, L. Baldrianova, M. Stoces, I. Svancara, K. Vytras, S.B. Hocevar, B. Ogorevc,;1; *Electrochimica Acta* 56 (2011) 6673–6677.

</BIBL>

#### Biographies

<BIO>**Gustavo A. Rivas** obtained his Ph. D. in Chemistry from Cordoba National University (Cordoba, Argentina). He did the postdoctoral training at University of Valence, Valence (Spain) and at New Mexico State University, Las Cruces (USA). At present, he is full professor at Cordoba National University and Superior Researcher at Argentine Research Council (CONICET). Dr. Rivas was the head of the Department of Physical Chemistry at the Faculty of Chemical Sciences (2008-2010). He was President of the Argentinean Society of Analytical Chemists between 2005 and 2007. From 2016 Professor Rivas is Academic of the National Academy of Sciences of Argentina. He is the recipient of the Ranwell Caputto Award from National Academy of Sciences of Argentina (2001), Rafael Labriola Award from Argentinean Society of Chemistry (2004), and Konex Award in Nanotechnology (2013). He has over 150 publications including peer-reviewed papers and book chapters, with more than 7500 citations and impact factor of 47. His research interests focus on the design and characterization of electrochemical (bio)sensors based on nanostructured materials for the quantification of (bio)markers of clinical and environmental relevance.

<Figure>**Figure 1:** A) FT-IR spectra for: SWCNT-Ox and SWCNT-Cys. B) Raman spectra for: SWCNT, SWCNT-Ox and SWCNT-Cys.

<Figure>**Figure 2.** TGA plots for: (a) SWCNT, (b) SWCNT-Ox, (c) SWCNT-Cys.

<Figure>**Figure 3.** Deconvoluted (A) C1s and (B) N1s XPS spectra of SWCNT-Cys.

<Figure>**Figure 4.** Niquist plots for the impedance spectra obtained for different electrodes: GCE/SWCNT (a), GCE/SWCNT-Ox (b) and GCE/SWCNT-Cys (c). Frequency range: 10 KHz to 10 mHz; Potential perturbation: 10 mV; Working potential: 0.050 V. Redox indicator:  $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M Q/H<sub>2</sub>Q solution. Supporting electrolyte: 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00.

<Figure>**Figure 5.** (A) Voltammetric response obtained after preconcentration of different concentration of Cd(II) (from  $1.0 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  and  $300.0 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ ) at GCE/SWCNT-Cys at open circuit potential for 5.0 min, followed by a reduction step at -0.900 V for 180 s and the final anodic voltammetric stripping in a 0.020 M acetate buffer solution pH 5.00. (B) Calibration plot for Cd(II) obtained from the peak currents corresponding to the LSV recordings. (C) Linear scan voltammograms for  $20 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Cd(II) in the absence (solid line) and presence (dashed line) of  $100 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Pb(II), Hg(II), Co(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Cr(III), As(III), Ir (IV) and  $20 \mu\text{gL}^{-1}$  Cu(II).

<Figure>**Scheme 1-Rivas et al.**

Tables

<Table>Table 1. RAMAN parameters obtained from data at Fig. 1B.

<Table>**TABLE 1-RIVAS ET AL**

	Peak p o s i t i o n o f D b a n d / c	Peak p o s i t i o n o f G b a n d / c	D F W H M/ cm <sup>-1</sup>	G F W H M/ cm <sup>-1</sup>	D/G r e l a t i o n

	$\text{m}^{-1}$	$\text{cm}^{-1}$			
SWCNT	1335	1588	64	25	$0.09 \pm 0.01$
SWCNT-ox	1345	1594	92	26	$0.15 \pm 0.03$
SWCNT-Cys	1344	1592	77	24	$0.069 \pm 0.005$

<Table>Table 2. Comparison of the analytical performance of GCE/SWCNT-Cys with those of different methods reported for the detection of Cd(II).

Electrode	Electrochemical stripping technique	Procedure	Sensitivity	Detection limit	Linear Range	References
BiBE	SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.4V Accumulation time: 180s	$(0.112 \pm 0.005) \mu\text{A} \mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$0.054 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	$10 - 100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[61]
$\text{PPh}_3/\text{MWCNTs}/\text{IL}/\text{CPE}$	SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.2V Accumulation time: 75s	$0.585 \mu\text{A/nM}$	$2 \times 10^{-2} \text{nM}$	$0.1 - 150 \text{nM}$	[62]
Electropolymerization of arginine at MWCNT	DPSV	Accumulation potential: -0.5V	$(0.463 \pm 0.004) \mu\text{A} \mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$2.12 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	$4.16 \text{ to } 205.92 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[63]

modified PGE			Accumulation time: 5s				
Bi/Nafion/GC		DPV	Accumulation potential: -1.2V Accumulation time: 180s	-----	$10\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	5– $1000\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[64]
$\text{Mo}_6\text{S}_3\text{I}_6$ NWs/GC		DPASV	Accumulation potential: -1.1V Accumulation time: 240s	$0.0893\mu\text{A L}\mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$0.10\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	0.5– $150\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[65]
Bismuth Nanopowder Electrodes		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.35V Accumulation time: 180s	$3.28\pm 0.16$ (mA/(ppb $\text{cm}^2$ ))	$2.54\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	-	[66]
L-MSNPs/CPE		ASSWV	Accumulation potential: -1.1V Accumulation time: 60s	$(0.579 \pm 0.016)$ $\mu\text{A L}\mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$0.3\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	1.5– $1000.0\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[67]
Bi-SPCNTes		ASV	Accumulation potential: -1.4V Accumulation time:	$0.5865\mu\text{A L}\mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$0.8\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	12– $100\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[68]

			180s				
MFEs		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.1V Accumulation time: 120s	34.5 ( $10^7 \mu\text{A mol}^{-1}\text{L}$ )	9.70 ( $10^{-9} \text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	9.8 – $1923 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[69]
BFE		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.4V Accumulation time: 120s	----	$1.39 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	20 - 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[70]
3DAGN– STP/GCE further modified with a bismuth film		DPV	Accumulation potential: -1.1V Accumulation time: 300s	9.05 ( $\mu\text{A}/(\text{ppb cm}^2)$ ).	$0.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	1– $70 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[71]
GO–MWCNTs /Bi hybrid nanocomposites		DPASV	Accumulation potential: -1.4V Accumulation time: 180s	$0.2358 \mu\text{A L} \mu\text{g}^{-1}$	$0.1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $0.2 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	0.5 - 30 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	[72]
MgSiO <sub>3</sub> /Nafion /GCE		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.4V Accumulation time: 180s	Cd(II): $6.15 \mu\text{A} \mu\text{M}^{-1}$	$1.86 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M}$	0.1-1.0 $\mu\text{M}$	[73]

SPEs		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.1V Accumulation time: 120s	----	$2.9\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$10-3000\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	[74]
Sb-CPE		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1V Accumulation time: 300s	----	$1.4\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$10-100\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	[75]
SbFE		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1V Accumulation time: 60s	----	$1.1\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$25-80\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	[20]
nsBiFE		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.2V Accumulation time: 120s	----	$0.4\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$20-100\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	[18]
SbFME		SWASV	Accumulation potential: -1.2V Accumulation time: 120s	----	$1.9\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$20-100\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	[21]
SWCNT-Cys		LSASV	Accumulation potential:	$(49\pm 2)\times 10^{-}$	$0.3\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	$1-300\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$	This work

		OCP Accumulation time: 180s	$^3\mu\text{A}\mu\text{g}^{-1}$		1	
--	--	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	---	--

BiBE: bismuth bulk electrode.

PPh<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs/IL/CPE: triphenylphosphine-modified carbon nanotube composite with a ionicliquid as pasting binder

PGE: pencil graphite electrode

Bi/Nafion/GC: GCE modified with Bi nanoparticles and Nafion.

Mo<sub>6</sub>S<sub>3</sub>I<sub>6</sub> NWs/GC: Molybdenum-chalcogenide-halide nanowires/ glassy carbon electrode.

BTPP: N,N-bis(3(2-thenyidenimino)propyl)piperazine

L-MSNPs:ligand modified silica nanoparticles

L:N,N-bis(3(2-thenyidenimino)propyl)piperazine

SPCEs: Screen-printed carbon electrodes

SPEs: screen-printed electrodes

MFes: Mercury film electrodes

SPCNTE: screen-printed carbon nanotubes electrodes

BFE:bismuth film electrode

3DAGNs: three-dimensional activated graphene networks

STP: sulfonate-terminated polymer

GO: Graphene oxide

MWCNTs: multiwall carbon nanotubes

MgSiO<sub>3</sub>:nanostructured magnesium silicate hollow spheres

Sb-CPE: carbon paste bulk-modified with antimony powder

SbFE: antimony film electrode

nsBiFE: nanostructured bismuth film electrode

SbFME: antimony film microelectrode

<Table>**TABLE 2-RIVAS ET AL**

<Table>Table 3. Analytical application of GCE/SWCNT-Cys for the quantification of Cd(II) added to groundwater samples. Comparison to the results obtained with ICP-MS.

<Table>**TABLE 3-RIVAS ET AL**

Samples	CD(II) added ( $\mu\text{g}\text{L}^{-1}$ )	This sensor	Recovery (%)	ICP-MS
		Cd(II) found		Cd(II) found
<i>Groundwater</i>	0.00	0.00	---	---
	10.0	$10.1 \pm 0.5$	110.5	$12 \pm 1$



	50.0 100	49 ± 4 100 ± 6	106.7 100.8	51.7 ± 0.1 100 ± 2
--	-------------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------------

ICP-MS: inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry.

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....55-

68</errorimage>

<PA><errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC  
FILE THEN DELETE IT IF NOT

REQUIRE:.....T</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:.....S</errorimage>



[illegible]



[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



[illegible]

<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>  
<errorimage>STRIKE TEXT FOUND PLEASE VERIFY IT FROM INPUT DOC FILE  
THEN DELETE IT IF NOT REQUIRE:::\*\*\*\*\*</errorimage>