

Accepted Manuscript

Recent studies of the effects of sugars on brain systems involved in energy balance and reward: Relevance to low calorie sweeteners

Susan Murray, Alastair Tulloch, Kristen Criscitelli, Nicole M. Avena

PII: S0031-9384(16)30139-1
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.04.004)
Reference: PHB 11280

To appear in: *Physiology & Behavior*

Received date: 3 December 2015
Revised date: 2 April 2016
Accepted date: 4 April 2016



Please cite this article as: Murray Susan, Tulloch Alastair, Criscitelli Kristen, Avena Nicole M., Recent studies of the effects of sugars on brain systems involved in energy balance and reward: Relevance to low calorie sweeteners, *Physiology & Behavior* (2016), doi: [10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.04.004)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Submitted to: Physiology and Behavior

Recent Studies of the Effects of Sugars on Brain Systems Involved in
Energy Balance and Reward: Relevance to Low Calorie Sweeteners

Susan Murray, Alastair Tulloch, Kristen Criscitelli, Nicole M Avena

Department of Pharmacology and Systems Therapeutics, Icahn School of Medicine at
Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10025

Abstract: The alarmingly high rates of overweight and obesity pose a serious global health threat. Numerous factors can result in weight gain, one of which is excess consumption of caloric sweeteners. In an effort to aid weight loss efforts, many people have switched from caloric sweeteners to low calorie sweeteners, which provide sweet taste without the accompanying calories. In this review, we present an overview of the animal literature produced in the last 5 years highlighting the effects of sugar consumption on neural pathways involved in energy balance regulation and reward processing. We also examine the latest evidence that is beginning to elucidate the effects of low calorie sweeteners on these neural pathways, as well as how homeostatic and hedonic systems interact in response to, or to influence, sugar consumption.

Keywords:

Sucrose, Glucose, Low Calorie Sweeteners, Reward, Dopamine, Rats

Despite numerous adverse health consequences associated with excess body weight, including increased risk of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease [1, 2], rates of obesity and overweight continue to rise on both the national and global level [3]. Today, approximately 70% of American adults are classified as overweight or obese [4]. Excess weight gain is multi-determined and has been attributed to such factors as genetic susceptibility and sedentary lifestyle [5-9]. In addition, over the past several decades, the food landscape has shifted dramatically, and highly palatable, highly processed foods are now ubiquitous for most individuals [10]. Many such food options, including breakfast cereals, “nutrition” bars, cakes, condiments, flavored yogurts, and beverages like soda and sports drinks, contain high amounts of added sugars. Increasing evidence suggests that sugar consumption contributes to the current obesity epidemic [11-16], prompting the World Health Organization to create guidelines recommending children and adults reduce their intake of added sugars [17].

Given associations between excess sugar intake and the current crisis of obesity, several lines of research have been devoted to examining how sugars affect neural pathways implicated in energy intake and reward. A network of complex signals within the brain serve to regulate energy intake; the homeostatic system regulates feeding based on energy need, while hedonic eating behavior is primarily thought to be driven by neural systems associated with pleasure and reward [18]. In laboratory animals, self-administration of sugar has been shown to activate both the homeostatic and hedonic pathways [19].

Not surprisingly, low calorie sweeteners have become increasingly popular over the years as a means to facilitate weight loss efforts as well as to aid individuals with

diabetes to obtain euglycemia [20]. Unlike sugars, such as sucrose, high-fructose corn syrup, and glucose, which contain calories, low calorie sweeteners provide sweet taste with little to no calories. Low calorie sweeteners are often used in “diet” food items to maintain taste while decreasing a food or beverage’s caloric value. Examples of low calorie sweeteners include saccharine, aspartame, neotame, acesulfame potassium (Ace-K), sucralose, and advantame. Low calorie sweeteners are also sometimes used in combination with sugars.

Although the literature is replete with information regarding how low calorie sweeteners affect the taste signaling pathway [21-24] and gut microbiota [25-27], less is known about the effects on brain reward systems. However, in order to understand the effects of low calorie sweeteners on reward pathways, it is important to understand the ways in which natural forms of sugar act on these neural circuits as a point of comparison. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to present recent data published over the last 5 years from the laboratory animal literature illustrating the effects of sugar intake on both select homeostatic and hedonic neural systems, as well as evidence demonstrating how these two separate systems interact. Finally, we review recent findings from the preclinical and human literature demonstrating the effects of low calorie sweeteners on these same pathways.

Effects of Sugars on “Homeostatic” Neural Systems

The homeostatic system, which regulates feeding patterns based on energy need, is composed of two antagonistic pathways. The orexigenic pathway includes neuropeptide Y (NPY) and agouti-related protein (AgRP), which are known to stimulate

food intake [28] and are produced in the arcuate nucleus (ARC) of the hypothalamus, a critical region involved in homeostatic energy balance [29]. In contrast, the anorexigenic pathway, including proopiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons produced in the ARC, has the opposite effect by inhibiting food intake [28].

Recent evidence suggests that sugar intake differentially affects these two opposing pathways. After a sucrose preload, mice consumed more chow and this behavioral change was accompanied by variations in NPY and AgRP. Immediately following the preload, mice showed reduced expression of NPY and AgRP in the ARC. However, 30-60 minutes after the sucrose preload and right before the chow meal, mice showed a marked increase in both [30]. This suggests that sucrose consumption led to a temporary decrease in orexigenic peptides followed by activation of the orexigenic pathway, potentiating caloric consumption. In another recent study, mice maintained on a high fat diet and given limited access to sucrose-sweetened water (SSW) showed a down-regulation of POMC mRNA expression in the hypothalamus. In addition, these mice consumed greater amounts of the high fat diet on days that the SSW was available, suggesting that this reduction in satiety signaling may have facilitated hyperphagia in this group [31]. Chronic limited consumption of a high sucrose diet has also been shown to lead to decreased activity of the anorexigenic oxytocin system in the hypothalamus, which has been associated with satiety and meal termination [32].

Recent data indicate that the type of sugar ingested plays an important role in satiety. One animal study comparing the effects of 24 h access to sucrose, glucose, fructose, or high-fructose corn syrup found that glucose led to a marked upregulation of the satiety-inducing hormone, cholecystokinin (CCK), within the hypothalamus, while

fructose resulted in a downregulation of this peptide [33]. This suggests that, relative to fructose, glucose may be more effective in eliciting satiety. This is in line with animal research showing central administration of glucose to inhibit food intake and fructose to stimulate feeding [34]. Further, in humans, fructose ingestion to lead to lower levels of serum glucose, insulin, and glucagon-like polypeptide 1 (GLP-1), a hormone associated with increased satiety relative to glucose ingestion [35].

Effects of Sugar on “Hedonic” Neural Systems

Given that sweet foods and beverages are generally considered pleasurable, the effects of caloric sweeteners on brain mechanisms associated with processing reward, such as the mesolimbic dopamine (DA) system and opioid systems, have been an area of intense research in recent years. One such study observed decreased striatal DA concentrations following prolonged access to a sucrose solution in high-sucrose drinking rats [36], a finding also reported by this group in response to chronic exposure to ethanol [37]. Expression of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), an enzyme involved in DA synthesis, was also decreased in the striatum of high sucrose-drinking rats. Acute increases in DA release upon consumption of palatable food may, as the authors posit, initiate a negative feedback cycle, inhibiting DA synthesis, ultimately leading to both reduced TH expression and striatal DA concentrations. It is important to note that while reduced DA content may reflect neuroadaptations due to prolonged sucrose consumption, reduced DA has been observed in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), a brain region associated with reward, of rats prone to obesity even prior to excessive weight gain [38]. Thus, it is also possible that reduced striatal DA concentrations may have predisposed the animals to

excessive sucrose consumption, especially as only high-drinking rats were studied. Finally, in this study, high sucrose-drinking rats showed increased prolactin expression. Given the role of DA in inhibiting prolactin, reduced DA concentrations may have led to elevated prolactin.

Two recent studies have also explored the acute effects of sugar consumption on DA levels within the two subregions of the NAc, the shell and the core, given differential efferent projections from these regions. Rewarding substances such as drugs of abuse are known to elevate DA within the NAc shell and this response is thought to facilitate strong associations between the reward and related cues [39]. Using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry in food restricted rats, Cacciapaglia, Saddoris [40] found that sucrose-related cues lead to increased DA levels in both subregions of the NAc, however, DA levels were greater and sustained for longer in the shell. Increased DA levels were also observed in the NAc shell, but not the core, after lever pressing for sucrose. Together, these experiments implicate DA within the NAc shell, versus the core, in sucrose reward. Using microdialysis techniques in food-restricted animals, it has also been shown that while novel exposure to sucrose increases DA levels in the NAc shell, this effect wanes with repeated exposure, in contrast to what is seen with drugs of abuse [41]. Notably, rats trained to respond for sucrose did not show habituation of increased DA levels in the shell. This group also noted elevated DA levels in the shell, but not core, when animals responded for sucrose as well as in response sucrose-related cues during extinction. Interestingly, however, elevated DA levels were observed in both the shell and core regions when sucrose was delivered without the requirement of responding (“response non-contingent” sucrose feeding).

Given the established role of opioid signaling in hedonic processes [42], recent studies have also explored opioid involvement in the rewarding aspects of sugar consumption. Interestingly, Ostlund, Kosheleff [43] found no differences in sucrose intake during acquisition testing between mu-opioid receptor knockout (MOR KO) and control mice. However, MOR KO mice showed fewer average bursts of sucrose licking when food deprived and attenuated licking behavior when sucrose concentrations were increased, indicating reduced sensitivity to these manipulations. In a separate experiment, MOR KO mice displayed attenuated licking behavior in response to sucralose (a non-caloric sweetener) but not sucrose, extending the evidence that MOR signaling is involved in hedonic processing and raising the possibility that the caloric contribution of sucrose might explain why MOR KO mice did not show reduced levels of sucrose intake. Alternatively, sucrose consumption may not be affected in these animals due to activation of other intact pathways associated with reinforcement, such as the mesolimbic DA pathway or other opioid receptors. Interestingly, Castro and Berridge [44] recently identified a subregion located in the rostradorsal quadrant of the medial shell of the NAc as a hedonic “hotspot” as injections of mu-, kappa-, and delta agonists in this area specifically (relative to the other three quadrants of the medial shell) lead to greater intensity of positive hedonic reactions to sucrose.

A common behavioral marker of reward is the degree of craving a substance elicits. In animal models, craving can be assessed using a paradigm in which animals are trained to self-administer a rewarding substance and their responses are measured at two points during abstinence: very soon after the substance is removed and again after a prolonged period of abstinence. During extinction, animals are motivated to respond

either in the presence of or for the delivery of cues previously associated with the reward. Enhanced responding for cues at the later time point in abstinence has been noted following exposure to drugs of abuse, such as cocaine, as well as sucrose, a phenomenon termed “incubation of craving” [45]. Recent work shows age-related differences in incubation of sucrose craving, with adult and adolescent, but not young adolescent, rats demonstrating greater responding after the extended extinction period [46]. These behavioral findings were accompanied by reductions in 2-amino-3-(3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazol-4-yl) propionic acid/N-methyl-D-aspartate (AMPA/NMDA) ratios, a proxy of synaptic plasticity, in the NAc. Though these data are correlational, taken together, this suggests that age-dependent reductions in synaptic plasticity during abstinence from sucrose may contribute to enhanced craving of sucrose. This is in contrast to findings that show greater synaptic plasticity during incubation of craving for cocaine [47], suggesting different mechanisms underlying this phenomenon depending on the rewarding substance.

In an effort to dissociate the relative roles of the two monosaccharides that comprise sucrose (fructose and glucose) in reward and/or satiety, Rorabaugh, Stratford [48] employed a 12-h intermittent access paradigm, similar to that used in our laboratory [49], to promote bingeing on isocaloric solutions of fructose, sucrose, and glucose. During the first hour of access, when bingeing is typically most robust, rats bingeing on glucose consumed significantly less than those given access to fructose or sucrose. This may indicate that the glucose solution was perceived as less palatable relative to the other caloric sweeteners, or, alternatively, that it is perceived as more reinforcing and therefore, less may be needed to experience a similar rewarding effect. As mentioned earlier, it is

also possible that glucose may have been more satiating, resulting in less intake, given findings indicating this to be true in humans [50].

Interactions between “Homeostatic” and “Hedonic” Neural Systems

Recent evidence illustrates interactions between the homeostatic and hedonic systems in response to caloric sweetener intake. For example, prolonged fructose bingeing, elicited by an intermittent access paradigm, led to reduced neuronal activation (measured by c-Fos immunoreactivity [IR]) in the NAc shell and activated orexin neurons, which have been associated with both reward and satiety, in the lateral hypothalamic (LH) /perifornical area of rats [51]. It is postulated that this unusual pattern induced by fructose aligns with a feeding circuit proposed by the Kelley lab, in which the ventral pallidum (VP) forms a hyperphagic circuit that indirectly inhibits the NAc shell to activate the LH [52]. This pattern is seemingly unique to fructose ingestion and further study is needed to understand how this circuit may interact with more established pathways. This study also found that pretreatment with an orexin 1 receptor antagonist reduced feeding in both fructose- and chow-bingeing rats, suggesting that orexin 1 signaling is involved in food intake that is motivated by caloric need as opposed to palatability. However, only chow-bingeing rats showed reduced neuronal activation in the NAc shell, LH/perifornical area, or ventromedial hypothalamus in response to this manipulation [51].

Three recent studies approached this subject by introducing agents that typically act as homeostatic mechanisms into reward-related areas exogenously. In one such experiment, NPY increased the motivation to respond for sucrose when infused into the

ventral tegmental area (VTA) or NAc and increased sucrose consumption when infused into the NAc or LH [53]. Interestingly, the effect of NPY in the VTA was attenuated following pretreatment with a DA receptor antagonist, suggesting that this effect is dependent on changes in DA signaling. In another study, injection of melanocortin receptor agonists, which customarily decrease food intake, into the VTA decreased sucrose and saccharin intake as well as overall food intake [54]. Another study found injection of orexin into the posterior VP, a region considered to be a “hedonic hotspot,” to enhance positive hedonic reactions to sucrose [55]. Given that the VP receives orexin projections from the LH, the authors propose that during negative energy balance, orexin projections may magnify the pleasure derived from food. Though it remains unclear exactly how regulatory mechanisms like NPY, melanocortin, and orexin influence hedonic mechanisms under normal conditions, these findings offer compelling evidence of interactions between these two systems, which are frequently conceptualized disparately.

Effects of Low Calorie Sweeteners on “Homeostatic” and “Hedonic” Neural Systems

Despite their widespread use, we are only beginning to understand the effects of low calorie sweeteners on the brain. Research does show that the human brain is capable of dissociating sweet taste from calories [56, 57]. Laboratory animal research is beginning to elucidate the effects of low calorie sweeteners on select homeostatic and hedonic neural systems and their effect on feeding behavior.

Both melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) and orexin promote feeding [58-60]. A recent study measured phosphorylated cyclic AMP response element binding protein

(pCREB), a marker of neural activity, in both MCH and orexin neurons of fasted rats in response to glucose, saccharin or water. While only glucose reduced pCREB expression in MCH neurons in all rats, both glucose and saccharin, but not water, significantly reduced pCREB expression in orexin neurons of female rats [61]. Similarly, binge consumption of either sucrose or saccharin leads to reduced orexin mRNA expression in the LH of mice [62]. It should be noted that although low calorie sweeteners do not provide calories, their consumption can lead to gastric distension, which has been shown to lower IR expression of orexin in the LH [63], making it important for future studies to control for this, perhaps using paired water intake. Notably, reduced sucrose- and saccharin- bingeing have been observed following treatment with an orexin receptor 1 antagonist [62], which appears inconsistent with the notion that orexin receptor 1 signaling mediates feeding driven by caloric need versus palatability mentioned earlier [51].

Several studies have investigated whether the caloric contribution of sweeteners influences their rewarding properties. For example, Aoyama et al. [64] assessed responding for a saccharin-related cue in rats during prolonged abstinence from the solution as a measure of craving and seeking behavior. Indeed, responding was significantly greater with greater abstinence, demonstrating that saccharin is capable of eliciting an “incubation of craving,” similar to what has been seen with sucrose [65] and cocaine [66]. In fact, there was no difference between the magnitude of the incubation of craving for saccharin and sucrose [67]. Additionally, similar to sucrose, limited access to saccharin has been shown to induce excessive binge eating [62]. In food-restricted mice, preference for a non-caloric blend of saccharin and sucralose surpassed that for fructose,

but not sucrose and glucose [68]. Taken together, these studies provide behavioral evidence that sweet taste, independent of caloric content, is sufficiently rewarding to motivate feeding and seeking behavior.

Under conditions of caloric deficit, recent studies demonstrate an important role for the post-ingestive effects of caloric sweeteners in food reward and preference. While both sucrose and saccharin-related cues evoked a sharp increase in DA within the NAc core of food-restricted rats, both sucrose-related cues and consumption resulted in a significantly greater DA response relative to saccharin [69]. In a recent study conducted in ad libitum and food deprived rats, saccharin and sucrose led to different responses based on physiological state. Unsurprisingly, food deprived rats significantly increased responding for sucrose compared to saccharin, whereas non-food deprived rats showed comparable efforts to obtain sucrose or saccharin [70]. Consistent with this, habituation of DA in the NAc was seen in response to both types of sweeteners in non-food deprived animals, whereas habituation was only seen in response to saccharin among food deprived animals [70]. In one study using intragastric infusion of glucose or saccharin in awake, fasted rats during functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), glucose lead to greater blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) activation in several brain regions, including key components of the mesolimbic DA pathways (e.g., the VTA and NAc), compared to saccharin. Moreover, glucose, but not saccharin, evoked a BOLD response in the hypothalamus [71]. Thus, when bypassing the taste pathway via intragastric infusion and in a fasted state, a caloric sweetener led to more pronounced activation of both hedonic and homeostatic regions.

Although the focus of this review is on recent studies using animal models, human studies that are particularly relevant warrant discussion. Recent studies in humans suggest that repeated low calorie sweetener consumption alters brain responses to caloric sweeteners. Subjects who reported higher low calorie sweetener intake showed a reduced BOLD response in the amygdala in response to sucrose [72]. Additionally, Green and Murphy [73] found that relative to non-diet soda drinkers, individuals who consumed diet soda regularly showed greater activation in the VTA as well decreased activation in the right caudate in response to saccharin. In contrast to these findings, Griffioen-Roose et al. [74] did not observe a difference in hedonic value, measured by both behavioral tasks and fMRI, between participants with repeated exposure to low calorie sweeteners and sugar-sweetened beverages suggesting that low calorie sweeteners do not modify reward value (though subjects who exclusively consume “light versions” of foods and beverages were excluded). Finally, conditioning with low calorie sweeteners or sugar-sweetened beverages led to similar reports of expected fullness following consumption, leading the authors to conclude that low calorie sweeteners may, in fact, be advantageous for weight management. To this point, recent meta-analyses show that although observational, prospective studies show a small positive association between low-calorie sweetener use and BMI, randomized control trials suggest slight but significant benefits of low-caloric sweeteners substitution for weight loss [75,76].

Conclusions

Recent research extends earlier evidence showing that sweeteners impact neural mechanisms involved in maintaining energy homeostasis and processing reward. Based on these reports, it appears that caloric sweeteners differ in their ability to promote satiety

and, in fact, some appear to potentiate feeding. Moreover, both caloric and non-caloric sweeteners appear to act on brain reward mechanisms in ways that likely perpetuate their intake. Further, though both types of sweeteners are perceived as rewarding, not surprisingly, especially under conditions of caloric deficit, caloric sweeteners act as more potent reinforcers. Finally, greater research is focused on understanding how neural systems, such as those involved in regulating energy balance and rewarding processes, interact to modulate feeding behavior.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Kevin Kim for his assistance with drafting parts of the manuscript. This work was supported, in part, but USPHS grant DA-03123 (NMA).

References:

- [1] Martin-Rodriguez, E., Guillen-Grima, F., Marti, A., Brugos-Larumbe, A. Comorbidity associated with obesity in a large population: The APNA study. *Obesity research & clinical practice*. 2015.
- [2] Danaei, G., Lu, Y., Hajifathalian, K., Rimm, E. B., Woodward, M., Ezzati, M. Metabolic mediators of body-mass index and cardiovascular risk--authors' reply. *The Lancet*. 2014,383:2043-4.
- [3] Ng, M., Fleming, T., Robinson, M., Thomson, B., Graetz, N., Margono, C., et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *The Lancet*. 2014,384:766-81.
- [4] Ogden, C. L., Carroll, M. D., Kit, B. K., Flegal, K. M. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011-2012. *Jama*. 2014,311:806-14.
- [5] Ogden, C. L., Yanovski, S. Z., Carroll, M. D., Flegal, K. M. The Epidemiology of Obesity. 2007,*Gastroenterology*.132:2087-102.
- [6] Vucetic, Z., Kimmel, J., Totoki, K., Hollenbeck, E., Reyes, T. M. Maternal High-Fat Diet Alters Methylation and Gene Expression of Dopamine and Opioid-Related Genes. *Endocrinology*. 2010,151:4756-64.
- [7] Llewellyn, C., Wardle, J. Behavioral susceptibility to obesity: Gene-environment interplay in the development of weight. *Physiol. Behav*. 2015.
- [8] What Causes Overweight and Obesity? Explore Overweight and Obesity National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute2012.
- [9] Tilg, H. Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and microbiota: multiple interactions. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol*. 2010,44 Suppl 1:S16-8.
- [10] Swinburn, B. A., Sacks, G., Hall, K. D., McPherson, K., Finegood, D. T., Moodie, M. L., et al. The global obesity pandemic: shaped by global drivers and local environments. *The Lancet*. 2011,378:804-14.
- [11] Louzada, M. L., Baraldi, L. G., Steele, E. M., Martins, A. P., Canella, D. S., Moubarac, J. C., et al. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and obesity in Brazilian adolescents and adults. *Prev. Med*. 2015,81:9-15.
- [12] Te Morenga, L., Mallard, S., Mann, J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2013,346:e7492.
- [13] Zurriaga, O., Perez-Panades, J., Quiles Izquierdo, J., Gil Costa, M., Anes, Y., Quinones, C., et al. Factors associated with childhood obesity in Spain. The OBICE study: a case-control study based on sentinel networks. *Public health nutrition*. 2011,14:1105-13.

- [14] Hu, F. B. Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases. *Obes. Rev.* 2013,14:606-19.
- [15] Malik, V. S., Schulze, M. B., Hu, F. B. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* 2006,84:274-88.
- [16] Zheng, M., Rangan, A., Olsen, N. J., Bo Andersen, L., Wedderkopp, N., Kristensen, P., et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages consumption in relation to changes in body fatness over 6 and 12 years among 9-year-old children: the European Youth Heart Study. *European journal of clinical nutrition.* 2014,68:77-83.
- [17] Scott, J. A., Kwok, Y. Y., Synnott, K., Bogue, J., Amarri, S., Norin, E., et al. A comparison of maternal attitudes to breastfeeding in public and the association with breastfeeding duration in four European countries: results of a cohort study. *Birth.* 2015,42:78-85.
- [18] Alonso-Alonso, M., Woods, S. C., Pelchat, M., Grigson, P. S., Stice, E., Farooqi, S., et al. Food reward system: current perspectives and future research needs. *Nutr. Rev.* 2015,73:296-307.
- [19] Figlewicz, D. P., Bennett-Jay, J. L., Kittleson, S., Sipols, A. J., Zavosh, A. Sucrose self-administration and CNS activation in the rat. *American journal of physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology.* 2011,300:R876-84.
- [20] Evert, A. Sweeteners- sugar substitutes. *Medline Plus U.S National Library of Medicine* 2013.
- [21] Simon, B. R., Parlee, S. D., Learman, B. S., Mori, H., Scheller, E. L., Cawthorn, W. P., et al. Artificial sweeteners stimulate adipogenesis and suppress lipolysis independently of sweet taste receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2013,288:32475-89.
- [22] Inoue, M., Glendinning, J. I., Theodorides, M. L., Harkness, S., Li, X., Bosak, N., et al. Allelic variation of the Tas1r3 taste receptor gene selectively affects taste responses to sweeteners: evidence from 129.B6-Tas1r3 congenic mice. *Physiological genomics.* 2007,32:82-94.
- [23] Mailliet, E. L., Cui, M., Jiang, P., Mezei, M., Hecht, E., Quijada, J., et al. Characterization of the Binding Site of Aspartame in the Human Sweet Taste Receptor. *Chemical senses.* 2015,40:577-86.
- [24] Davidson, T. L., Martin, A. A., Clark, K., Swithers, S. E. Intake of high-intensity sweeteners alters the ability of sweet taste to signal caloric consequences: implications for the learned control of energy and body weight regulation. *Quarterly journal of experimental psychology (2006).* 2011,64:1430-41.
- [25] Suez, J., Korem, T., Zeevi, D., Zilberman-Schapira, G., Thaïss, C. A., Maza, O., et al. Artificial sweeteners induce glucose intolerance by altering the gut microbiota. *Nature.* 2014,514:181-6.
- [26] Frankenfeld, C. L., Sikaroodi, M., Lamb, E., Shoemaker, S., Gillevet, P. M. High-intensity sweetener consumption and gut microbiome content and predicted gene function in a cross-sectional study of adults in the United States. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 2015,25:736-42.e4.
- [27] Abou-Donia, M. B., El-Masry, E. M., Abdel-Rahman, A. A., McLendon, R. E., Schiffman, S. S. Splenda alters gut microflora and increases intestinal p-glycoprotein and

cytochrome p-450 in male rats. *Journal of toxicology and environmental health. Part A.* 2008,71:1415-29.

[28] Hahn, T. M., Breininger, J. F., Baskin, D. G., Schwartz, M. W. Coexpression of Agrp and NPY in fasting-activated hypothalamic neurons. *Nature neuroscience.* 1998,1:271-2.

[29] Schneeberger, M., Gomis, R., Claret, M. Hypothalamic and brainstem neuronal circuits controlling homeostatic energy balance. *J Endocrinol.* 2014,220:T25-46.

[30] Gaysinskaya, V. A., Karatayev, O., Shuluk, J., Leibowitz, S. F. Hyperphagia induced by sucrose: relation to circulating and CSF glucose and corticosterone and orexigenic peptides in the arcuate nucleus. *Pharmacology, biochemistry, and behavior.* 2011,97:521-30.

[31] Soto, M., Chaumontet, C., Even, P. C., Nadkarni, N., Piedcoq, J., Darcel, N., et al. Intermittent access to liquid sucrose differentially modulates energy intake and related central pathways in control or high-fat fed mice. *Physiol. Behav.* 2015,140:44-53.

[32] Mitra, A., Gosnell, B. A., Schioth, H. B., Grace, M. K., Klockars, A., Olszewski, P. K., et al. Chronic sugar intake dampens feeding-related activity of neurons synthesizing a satiety mediator, oxytocin. *Peptides.* 2010,31:1346-52.

[33] Colley, D. L., Castonguay, T. W. Effects of sugar solutions on hypothalamic appetite regulation. *Physiology & behavior.* 2015,139:202-9.

[34] Cha, S. H., Wolfgang, M., Tokutake, Y., Chohann, S., Lane, M. D. Differential effects of central fructose and glucose on hypothalamic malonyl-CoA and food intake. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2008,105:16871-5.

[35] Page, K. A., Sinha, R., Sherwin, R. S. Differential effects of fructose and glucose on cerebral blood flow--reply. *Jama.* 2013,309:1769.

[36] Ahmed, S., Kashem, M. A., Sarker, R., Ahmed, E. U., Hargreaves, G. A., McGregor, I. S. Neuroadaptations in the striatal proteome of the rat following prolonged excessive sucrose intake. *Neurochem. Res.* 2014,39:815-24.

[37] Kashem, M. A., Ahmed, S., Sarker, R., Ahmed, E. U., Hargreaves, G. A., McGregor, I. S. Long-term daily access to alcohol alters dopamine-related synthesis and signaling proteins in the rat striatum. *Neurochemistry international.* 2012,61:1280-8.

[38] Rada, P., Bocarsly, M. E., Barson, J. R., Hoebel, B. G., Leibowitz, S. F. Reduced accumbens dopamine in Sprague-Dawley rats prone to overeating a fat-rich diet. *Physiology & behavior.* 2010,101:394-400.

[39] Di Chiara, G. Nucleus accumbens shell and core dopamine: differential role in behavior and addiction. *Behav Brain Res.* 2002,137:75-114.

[40] Cacciapaglia, F., Saddoris, M. P., Wightman, R. M., Carelli, R. M. Differential dopamine release dynamics in the nucleus accumbens core and shell track distinct aspects of goal-directed behavior for sucrose. *Neuropharmacology.* 2012,62:2050-6.

[41] Bassareo, V., Cucca, F., Musio, P., Lecca, D., Frau, R., Di Chiara, G. Nucleus accumbens shell and core dopamine responsiveness to sucrose in rats: role of response contingency and discriminative/conditioned cues. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2015,41:802-9.

[42] Pecina, S., Smith, K. S., Berridge, K. C. Hedonic hot spots in the brain. *The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry.* 2006,12:500-11.

- [43] Ostlund, S. B., Kosheleff, A., Maidment, N. T., Murphy, N. P. Decreased consumption of sweet fluids in mu opioid receptor knockout mice: a microstructural analysis of licking behavior. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)*. 2013,229:105-13.
- [44] Castro, D. C., Berridge, K. C. Opioid hedonic hotspot in nucleus accumbens shell: mu, delta, and kappa maps for enhancement of sweetness "liking" and "wanting". *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2014,34:4239-50.
- [45] Grimm, J. W., Barnes, J., North, K., Collins, S., Weber, R. A general method for evaluating incubation of sucrose craving in rats. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*. 2011:e3335.
- [46] Counotte, D. S., Schiefer, C., Shaham, Y., O'Donnell, P. Time-dependent decreases in nucleus accumbens AMPA/NMDA ratio and incubation of sucrose craving in adolescent and adult rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2014,231:1675-84.
- [47] Conrad, K. L., Tseng, K. Y., Uejima, J. L., Reimers, J. M., Heng, L. J., Shaham, Y., et al. Formation of accumbens GluR2-lacking AMPA receptors mediates incubation of cocaine craving. *Nature*. 2008,454:118-21.
- [48] Rorabaugh, J. M., Stratford, J. M., Zahniser, N. R. Differences in bingeing behavior and cocaine reward following intermittent access to sucrose, glucose or fructose solutions. *Neuroscience*. 2015,301:213-20.
- [49] Rada, P., Avena, N. M., Hoebel, B. G. Daily bingeing on sugar repeatedly releases dopamine in the accumbens shell. *Neuroscience*. 2005,134:737-44.
- [50] Luo, S., Monterosso, J. R., Sarpelleh, K., Page, K. A. Differential effects of fructose versus glucose on brain and appetitive responses to food cues and decisions for food rewards. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2015,112:6509-14.
- [51] Rorabaugh, J. M., Stratford, J. M., Zahniser, N. R. A relationship between reduced nucleus accumbens shell and enhanced lateral hypothalamic orexin neuronal activation in long-term fructose bingeing behavior. *PloS one*. 2014,9:e95019.
- [52] Kelley, A. E., Baldo, B. A., Pratt, W. E., Will, M. J. Corticostriatal-hypothalamic circuitry and food motivation: integration of energy, action and reward. *Physiology & behavior*. 2005,86:773-95.
- [53] Pandit, R., Luijendijk, M. C., Vanderschuren, L. J., la Fleur, S. E., Adan, R. A. Limbic substrates of the effects of neuropeptide Y on intake of and motivation for palatable food. *Obesity*. 2014,22:1216-9.
- [54] Yen, H. H., Roseberry, A. G. Decreased consumption of rewarding sucrose solutions after injection of melanocortins into the ventral tegmental area of rats. *Psychopharmacology*. 2015,232:285-94.
- [55] Ho, C. Y., Berridge, K. C. An orexin hotspot in ventral pallidum amplifies hedonic 'liking' for sweetness. *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013,38:1655-64.
- [56] Frank, G. K., Oberndorfer, T. A., Simmons, A. N., Paulus, M. P., Fudge, J. L., Yang, T. T., et al. Sucrose activates human taste pathways differently from artificial sweetener. *Neuroimage*. 2008,39:1559-69.
- [57] Smeets, P. A., Weijzen, P., de Graaf, C., Viergever, M. A. Consumption of caloric and non-caloric versions of a soft drink differentially affects brain activation during tasting. *Neuroimage*. 2011,54:1367-74.

- [58] Sakurai, T., Amemiya, A., Ishii, M., Matsuzaki, I., Chemelli, R. M., Tanaka, H., et al. Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell*. 1998,92:1 page following 696.
- [59] Qu, D., Ludwig, D. S., Gammeltoft, S., Piper, M., Pelleymounter, M. A., Cullen, M. J., et al. A role for melanin-concentrating hormone in the central regulation of feeding behaviour. *Nature*. 1996,380:243-7.
- [60] Barson, J. R., Morganstern, I., Leibowitz, S. F. Complementary roles of orexin and melanin-concentrating hormone in feeding behavior. *International journal of endocrinology*. 2013,2013:983964.
- [61] Fukushima, A., Hagiwara, H., Yoshioka, N., Kimura, F., Akema, T., Funabashi, T. Expression of phosphorylated cyclic AMP response element-binding protein in melanin-concentrating hormone neurons and orexin neurons in male and female rats during ad-libitum feeding. *Neuroreport*. 2014,25:766-70.
- [62] Alcaraz-Iborra, M., Carvajal, F., Lerma-Cabrera, J. M., Valor, L. M., Cubero, I. Binge-like consumption of caloric and non-caloric palatable substances in ad libitum-fed C57BL/6J mice: pharmacological and molecular evidence of orexin involvement. *Behav Brain Res*. 2014,272:93-9.
- [63] Tang, M., Zhang, J., Xu, L., Chen, J. D. Implantable gastric stimulation alters expression of oxytocin- and orexin-containing neurons in the hypothalamus of rats. *Obesity surgery*. 2006,16:762-9.
- [64] Aoyama, K., Barnes, J., Grimm, J. W. Incubation of saccharin craving and within-session changes in responding for a cue previously associated with saccharin. *Appetite*. 2014,72:114-22.
- [65] Grimm, J. W., Fyall, A. M., Osincup, D. P. Incubation of sucrose craving: effects of reduced training and sucrose pre-loading. *Physiol. Behav*. 2005,84:73-9.
- [66] Grimm, J. W., Hope, B. T., Wise, R. A., Shaham, Y. Neuroadaptation. Incubation of cocaine craving after withdrawal. *Nature*. 2001,412:141-2.
- [67] Grimm, J. W., Ratliff, C., North, K., Barnes, J., Collins, S. Nicotine increases sucrose self-administration and seeking in rats. *Addiction biology*. 2012,17:623-33.
- [68] Sclafani, A., Zukerman, S., Ackroff, K. Postoral glucose sensing, not caloric content, determines sugar reward in C57BL/6J mice. *Chemical senses*. 2015,40:245-58.
- [69] McCutcheon, J. E., Beeler, J. A., Roitman, M. F. Sucrose-predictive cues evoke greater phasic dopamine release than saccharin-predictive cues. *Synapse*. 2012,66:346-51.
- [70] Scheggi, S., Secci, M. E., Marchese, G., De Montis, M. G., Gambarana, C. Influence of palatability on motivation to operate for caloric and non-caloric food in non food-deprived and food-deprived rats. *Neuroscience*. 2013,236:320-31.
- [71] Tsurugizawa, T., Uneyama, H. Differences in BOLD responses to intragastrically infused glucose and saccharin in rats. *Chemical senses*. 2014,39:683-91.
- [72] Rudenga, K. J., Small, D. M. Amygdala response to sucrose consumption is inversely related to artificial sweetener use. *Appetite*. 2012,58:504-7.
- [73] Green, E., Murphy, C. Altered processing of sweet taste in the brain of diet soda drinkers. *Physiology & behavior*. 2012,107:560-7.
- [74] Griffioen-Roose, S., Smeets, P. A., Weijzen, P. L., van Rijn, I., van den Bosch, I., de Graaf, C. Effect of replacing sugar with non-caloric sweeteners in beverages on the reward value after repeated exposure. *PLoS One*. 2013,8:e81924.

[75] Miller, P.E., Perez, V. Low-calorie sweeteners and body weight and composition: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2014,100:765-777.

[76] Rogers, P.J., Hogenkamp, P.S., de Graaf, C., Higgs, S., Luch, A., Ness, A.R., et al. Does low-energy sweetener consumption affect energy intake and body weight? A systematic review, including meta-analyses, of the evidence from human and animal studies. *International journal of obesity (Lond)*. 2015, Epub ahead of print.

Highlights:

- Sugar intake differentially affects the homeostatic and hedonic pathways
- Homeostatic and hedonic neural systems interact in response to sweetener intake
- Low calorie sweetener use has become increasingly popular
- Low calorie sweeteners have also been shown to affect both the homeostatic and hedonic neural systems