



# Precoating membranes with submicron super-fine powdered activated carbon after coagulation prevents transmembrane pressure rise: Straining and high adsorption capacity effects

Yuanjun Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Ryosuke Kitajima<sup>a</sup>, Nobutaka Shirasaki<sup>b</sup>, Yoshihiko Matsui<sup>b,\*</sup>, Taku Matsushita<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Graduate School of Engineering, Hokkaido University, N13W8, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, N13W8, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 26 October 2019

Received in revised form

6 March 2020

Accepted 26 March 2020

Available online 3 April 2020

### Keywords:

SSPAC

SPAC

Membrane fouling

TMP

Filtration

## ABSTRACT

Commercially available powdered activated carbon (PAC) with a median diameter of 12–42  $\mu\text{m}$  was ground into 1  $\mu\text{m}$  sized superfine PAC (SPAC) and 200 nm sized submicron SPAC (SSPAC) and investigated as a pretreatment material for the prevention of hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling during a submerged microfiltration (MF) process. Compared with PAC and SPAC, SSPAC has a high capacity for selective biopolymer adsorption, which is a characteristic found in natural organic matter and is commonly considered to be a major contributor to membrane fouling. Precoating the membrane surface with SSPAC during batch filtration further removes the biopolymers by straining them out. In lab-scale membrane filtration experiments, an increase in the transmembrane pressure (TMP) was almost completely prevented through a precoating with SSPAC based on its pulse dose after coagulation pretreatment. The precoated SSPAC formed a dense layer on the membrane preventing biopolymers from attaching to the membrane. Coagulation pretreatment enabled the precoated activated carbon to be rinsed off during hydraulic backwashing. The functionality of the membrane was thereby retained for a long-term operation. Precoating the membranes with SSPAC after coagulation is a promising way to control membrane fouling, and efficiently prevents an increase in the TMP because of the straining effect of the SSPAC and the high capacity of the SSPAC to adsorb any existing biopolymers.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Although low-pressure membrane technology (e.g., micro-filtration and ultrafiltration) is used worldwide in drinking water treatments, the practical application of this technology is constrained through membrane fouling (Filloux et al., 2016, 2012; Luo et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018). An increase in the transmembrane pressure (TMP) caused by membrane fouling, particularly hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling, leads to high rates of energy consumption and a long-term degradation of the system performance.

Natural organic matter (NOM) is present in all bodies of water and plays an important role in membrane fouling. The chemical structure of NOM, however, is not well understood because its

composition is variable and the chemical constituents that make up NOM have a wide range of molecular weights (Adusei-Gyamfi et al., 2019; Amy, 2008; Lee et al., 2004). The introduction of liquid chromatography-organic carbon detection (LC-OCD) used to separate NOM into various fractions (Huber et al., 2011) has revealed that a hydrophilic fraction that includes compounds with high molecular weights (also known as biopolymers) is the main cause of hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling (Ayache et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017; Kimura et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2013; Wang and Li, 2008; Zheng et al., 2010).

Activated carbon (AC) adsorption, coagulation, and other pretreatment methods have been widely investigated to remove NOM (particularly biopolymers) prior to membrane filtration and to thus retard the long-term buildup of TMP (Cheng et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2018; Fabris et al., 2007; Jarvis et al., 2012; Kimura and Oki, 2017; Lee et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2014; Su et al., 2017; Umar et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2013; Xing et al., 2019). AC adsorption, coagulation, and the combination of both have been studied for NOM removal in

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [matsui@eng.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:matsui@eng.hokudai.ac.jp) (Y. Matsui).

pilot plants (Keeley et al., 2016; Kweon et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014). Although pretreatment using only powdered activated carbon (PAC) in an ultrafiltration system has produced a high removal of NOM, the PAC itself has caused severe membrane fouling (Lin et al., 2001, 1999). Recent studies, however, have produced more satisfactory results; when PAC is dosed at the very beginning of the filtration or is pre-deposited (referred to as a precoating) on the surface of the membrane, membrane fouling is prevented to a certain extent (Campinas, 2010; Kim et al., 2008; Ye et al., 2006). The reasons for these inconsistent results may be the hydrophobicity of the membrane and the diverse characteristics of raw waters. PAC causes less fouling with hydrophilic membranes (Crozes et al., 1993), and helps reduce fouling when its use is combined with coagulation pretreatment, although such a combination does not completely eliminate fouling. As a result, TMP increases gradually during long-term operation (Kweon et al., 2009).

Furthermore, superfine PAC (SPAC, median diameter of  $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ ), which is produced through the milling of ordinary PAC, has been found to more rapidly adsorb NOM than PAC and to have a higher NOM adsorption capacity; in addition, the required dosages are smaller (Amaral et al., 2016; Bonvin et al., 2016; Matsui et al., 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004). Dosing with SPAC as a membrane pretreatment method in combination with coagulation has resulted in high rates of NOM removal and the ability to mitigate the buildup of TMP (Matsui et al., 2009). Although biopolymers are efficiently removed through a precoating with SPAC, the SPAC layer itself reduces the permeability of the membrane (Heijman et al., 2009). However, the efficacy of the SPAC precoating combined with coagulation pretreatment remains unexplored. Recent studies on submicron SPAC (SSPAC) with a median diameter of  $\sim 200 \text{ nm}$  have revealed that when the size of the PAC decreases from  $30 \mu\text{m}$  to  $140 \text{ nm}$ , its capacity to adsorb NOM increases over the entire range of SSPAC particle sizes (Pan et al., 2017).

To determine the biopolymer adsorption capacity of SSPAC, we conducted adsorption isotherm experiments using PAC/SPAC/SSPAC and biopolymers in natural river water. Furthermore, we conducted batch-scale submerged membrane filtration experiments to elucidate the mechanism of the biopolymer removal. Laboratory-scale membrane filtration experiments with treatments combining PAC/SPAC/SSPAC and coagulation under different dosing regimes were further investigated to compare the ability of the treatments to prevent a long-term increase in TMP during filtration with periodic backwashes.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. ACs

In this study, we used wood-based PAC (Taiko-W, Futamura Chemical Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan), SPAC, and SSPAC. A PAC slurry was made by dosing PAC into pure water (Milli-Q water, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The PAC concentration was consistently within the range of 10–15% (w/w). The PAC slurry was then milled in a closed chamber with alumina balls (diameters of 5 and 10 mm) at 45 rpm for 5 h to obtain AC with a median diameter (D50) of  $\sim 4 \mu\text{m}$ . The milled slurry was then further milled using a bead mill (LMZ015, Ashizawa Finetech, Ltd., Chiba, Japan) with zirconium dioxide beads (diameter of 0.3 mm) in recirculation mode at 2590 rpm for 30 min to produce SPAC with a D50 of  $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ . SSPAC was produced from the same AC slurry using a bead (diameter of 0.1 mm) mill at 3884 rpm for 2 h to achieve a D50 of  $\sim 200 \text{ nm}$ .

We measured the size distribution of the AC particles using a laser-light-scattering instrument (Microtrac MT3300EXII, Nikkiso Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan). A dispersant (Triton X-100, Kanto Chemical

Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was dosed into the samples, which were then sonicated (150 W, 19.5 kHz) for approximately 1 min in the case of PAC/SPAC and for 6 min for SSPAC to break up the particle aggregates and determine the true particle sizes (Pan et al., 2016). The particle size distributions of the ACs are shown in Fig. 1S of the supplementary information (SI).

### 2.2. Water

The water of the Wanigawa River (Ibaraki, Japan) was sampled in May and November of 2017. The samples were shipped to the authors' laboratory and designated as raw water-1 and raw water-2, respectively. The water qualities of the two waters were not significantly different (Table 1S, SI). The samples were then filtered through mixed cellulose ester (MCE) membrane filters with a pore size of  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  ( $\phi 142 \text{ mm}$ , Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) to obtain a working solution for the adsorption isotherm experiments (Section 2.3) and batch precoat filtration experiments (Sections 2.4 and 2.5). A coated cellulose acetate membrane filter with a pore size of  $10 \mu\text{m}$  ( $\phi 142 \text{ mm}$ , Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to produce 7 L of raw water for the experiments involving the AC addition and submerged membrane filtration with a backwash (Section 2.6). Ultraviolet absorbance at 260 nm (UV260) and the concentrations of biopolymer, humic substances, and total organic carbon (TOC) were used as metrics of the concentrations. TOC concentration was determined using a TOC analyzer (Model 900, Sievers Instruments, Boulder, CO, USA). In addition, the UV260 was analyzed using a UV spectrophotometer (UV-1800 with a 5 cm cell, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The biopolymer and HS concentrations were analyzed using an HPLC system (1100 series, Agilent Tech, Tokyo, Japan) consisting of a single column (Toyopearl HW-50S,  $250 \text{ mm} \times 20 \text{ mm}$ , Tosoh Inc., Tokyo, Japan), an injection system (injection rate of 1.2 mL/min), a UV detector, and a TOC analyzer (M9e, Central Kagaku Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The use of this system to measure the biopolymer and HS concentrations corresponds to the application of the size-exclusion chromatography method developed by Huber et al. (2011).

### 2.3. Adsorption isotherm experiments

The PAC/SPAC/SSPAC particles were injected into shaking flasks containing 100 mL of raw water-2 at fixed carbon dosages of 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 mg/L. The sealed flasks were then shaken at room temperature ( $20^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 1 week. The water in the flasks was taken out and then centrifuged. The supernatant was filtered through two stacked membrane filters, namely, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), with a pore size of  $0.2 \mu\text{m}$  (Dismic-25CS, Advantec Toyo Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to remove residual AC that may interfere with further water quality analyses.

### 2.4. Batch precoat single filtration

The PAC, SPAC, and SSPAC particles and two sizes of polystyrene latex spheres (D50 values of 100 and 200 nm, henceforth referred to as PSL100 and PSL200, Micromod Partikeltechnologie GmbH, Rostock, Germany) were separately dosed into 50 mL of raw water-1. Each suspension was poured into a membrane filter funnel with a flat sheet MCE membrane (pore size of  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\phi 47 \text{ mm}$ , and an effective membrane filtration area of  $9.6 \text{ cm}^2$ , Merck KGaA). Next, 40 mL of the suspension was then filtered through the membrane by a vacuum ( $\sim 80 \text{ kPa}$ ) to obtain an initial AC deposition mass of 0.17, 0.35, or  $0.53 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  on the surface of the membrane. Ten milliliters of the suspension remained in the funnel. Another 50 mL of raw water-1 was then poured carefully into the funnel without breaking the precoating, and the filtration was resumed. The

filtration was continued until 50 mL of the filtrate was collected for the biopolymer analysis. The increase in the AC deposition mass during the 50-mL filtration was small (10%).

### 2.5. Batch precoat repeat filtration

Fifty milliliters of raw water-1 containing 84 mg/L of an AC suspension was poured into a membrane filter funnel, and 40 mL was filtered through the membrane to form an AC precoat. Then, 50 mL of raw water-1 was added to the funnel and allowed to pass through the filter. This process (the addition of 50 mL of raw water-1 + filtration) was repeated until the total filtrate volume reached 2090 mL per filter. The filtrates were then sampled to determine the biopolymer concentrations. In some of the experiments, an AC precoat was applied after the AC suspension was sonicated (150 W, 19.5 kHz) for 3 min.

### 2.6. AC addition and submerged membrane filtration with backwash

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Raw water-2 was fed by means of a peristaltic pump with a constant flow rate of 0.64 mL/min into a rectangular tank (interior dimensions of 1.1 cm × 1.1 cm, water depth of 27.5 cm) in which a hollow fiber PVDF membrane fiber with a pore size of 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  (Asahi Kasei Corp., Tokyo, Japan) with its tip closed was submerged (the PVDF fiber had been purchased as a membrane module and cut to a length of 14 cm with an effective filtration area of 6 cm<sup>2</sup>). Prior to use in the experiments, every fiber was tested to ensure that the TMP was within the range of 46–48 kPa during filtration of Milli-Q water at a flow rate of 15 m/day (625 L/m<sup>2</sup> h). Filtration at a flow rate of 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup> h) was achieved by applying a vacuum to the inside of the membrane. The filtration lasted for 28 h with a periodic hydraulic backwash. The hydraulic backwash was conducted at 7 h intervals by introducing pure water at 50 kPa from the filtrate side, and the suspension in the tank was then drained. Membrane filtrate was collected at 30 min intervals for analysis.

AC was added to the system in a pulse dose prior to the start of the filtration (referred to as Methods A, B, and C in Figs. 2S–4S, SI) after hydraulic backwash or added through a continuous dose (referred to as Methods D and E in Figs. 5S and 6S, SI) throughout the entire filtration process. In the pulse AC dose experiments, two methods of AC injection were used (Fig. 7S, SI). In the direct pulse

dose methods (Methods A and B), AC was injected directly into the membrane tank followed by 3 min of bubbling with air at 3.2–5.2 L/min from the bottom of the tank. In the indirect pulse dose method (Method C), AC and raw water were mixed vigorously in a bottle, and the mixture was then injected into the membrane tank. In all experiments, AC dosages were fixed at 5 mg-C/L. The dosage in the case of a pulse dose was expressed as the average dosage, which was equated to the mass of AC (milligram) divided by the volume (liter) of treated raw water.

In Methods B–E (Figs. 3S–6S, SI), a static mixer was placed in the feed line to the tank. Polyaluminum chloride coagulant (basicity 2.1; sulfate ion 2% (w/w), Taki Chemical Co., Hyogo, Japan) was injected at 2 mg-Al/L and mixed with a static mixer. In Methods B and C (pulse AC dose), coagulant injection and mixing were applied before the AC dose (Figs. 3S and 4S, SI). In Methods D and E (continuous AC dose), coagulant injection and mixing were conducted either before or after the AC dose (Figs. 5S and 6S, SI).

Raw water was supplemented with HCl or NaOH such that the filtrate pH became roughly constant at 7.5. The suction pressure was recorded based on the voltage using a digital pressure meter (GC61, Nagano Keiki Products, Tokyo, Japan) and converted into pressure using calibration curves determined during each experiment. The experiment was conducted in a room with a temperature of ~25 °C. During the experiment, the water temperature was measured using a digital thermometer (LR5011, Hioki E.E. Corp., Nagano, Japan), and TMP was normalized to 25 °C to avoid the influence of changes in the viscosity.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Biopolymer and TOC adsorption capacities

Adsorption isotherms of biopolymer, TOC, and UV260 were obtained on SSPAC, SPAC, and PAC (Figs. 8S–10S, SI). The adsorption capacities of the three ACs for biopolymer, TOC, and UV260 increased in order of PAC < SPAC < SSPAC. This order corresponds to the descending order of the AC particle size. The fact that the adsorption capacities were enhanced as the particle size of the AC decreased is in accordance with the recent discovery that the adsorption capacity of AC toward adsorbates with a high molecular weight increases as the particle size of the AC decreases (D50 from 30  $\mu\text{m}$  to 140 nm) (Pan et al., 2017). This is because the molecules are mostly adsorbed on the exterior of the particles (Ando et al.,





Fig. 1. Laboratory setup for additions of activated carbon and coagulant and for submerged membrane filtration with backwash.




**Fig. 2.** Plots of solid-phase concentration ( $q$ ) at an equilibrium liquid-phase total organic carbon (TOC) concentration of 1.8 mg/L and a biopolymer concentration of 0.02 mg/L versus the median diameter ( $D_{50}$ ) of the activated carbons (ACs). The data are taken from Figs. 8S and 9S, SI. Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

2011; Matsui et al., 2014, 2013, 2011). The change in the AC particle size caused by the milling does not result in any substantial change in the internal pore area (Pan et al., 2017).

To further clarify the dependence of the adsorption capacity on the AC particle size, we plotted the solid-phase concentration at equilibrium with a liquid-phase concentration of 1.8 mg/L (TOC) and 0.02 mg/L (biopolymer) against the  $D_{50}$  of the AC particles (Fig. 2). The adsorption capacity of the AC for the biopolymer was smaller than that for the TOC because biopolymers are constituents of NOM, and the biopolymer concentrations are therefore lower than the NOM concentrations (which are determined from the TOC). As the  $D_{50}$ s of the ACs decreased, their capacities to adsorb both biopolymers and TOC increased. However, the adsorption capacity for the biopolymer depended more strongly on the AC particle size than did the adsorption capacity for the TOC. These trends held when the data were plotted for different equilibrium liquid-phase concentrations (Fig. 11S, SI). Of relevance to the capacity dependency on the AC particle size is the adsorption of high molecular-weight compounds mainly on the exterior of the AC particles owing to their limited intraparticle diffusion distances (Ando et al., 2011; Matsui et al., 2011). Ando et al. (2010) reported that the capacity dependency is large for large molecules. In our study, therefore, the strong dependence of the biopolymer adsorption capacity on the AC particle size was likely related to the large molecular size of the biopolymers in the NOM. Because of the different degrees of capacity dependency between the biopolymer and NOM, the biopolymer/TOC concentration ratio after AC contact decreased with the SSPAC and SPAC dosages, but increased with the PAC dosage (Fig. 12S, SI). Moreover, the biopolymer/TOC concentration ratio decreased more rapidly after the SSPAC contact than after the SPAC contact. These results indicate that SSPAC selectively adsorbs the biopolymer from the NOM compared with SPAC and PAC.

Because biopolymers are commonly considered to be a major membrane foulant (Huber et al., 2011; Kimura et al., 2014; Myat et al., 2014; Tian et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2010), these results suggest that adsorption pretreatment by SSPAC will mitigate the membrane fouling and attenuate the TMP buildup more efficiently than SPAC and PAC pretreatment. Heijman et al. (2009) have a higher biopolymer removal by SPAC than by PAC and suggest that if



**Fig. 3.** Fractions of biopolymers that remained after passing through a precoat membrane versus amounts of activated carbon (AC) or polystyrene latex spheres (PSLs) precoating the membrane. Biopolymer concentration was determined for 50-mL filtrate samples taken after precoating by filtering a 40-mL sample. Error bars indicate ranges of two measurements by liquid chromatography-organic carbon detection methodology. Raw water-1 was used in this experiment.

SPAC is evenly loaded on a membrane, it will remove the biopolymers and thereby decrease the membrane fouling. However, SSPAC is clearly superior to the SPAC.

### 3.2. Biopolymer removal by batch precoat single filtration

Fig. 3 shows the results of precoat experiments during which PAC, SPAC, SSPAC, PSL100, and PSL200 particles were each deposited on a 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  MCE flat sheet membrane filter to produce a precoat layer, and a sample water containing biopolymers was then passed through the membrane with a precoat. As described in Section 2.4, the raw water in the experiments was filtered through a 0.1- $\mu\text{m}$  MCE membrane filter. Therefore, biopolymers were not removed simply by the water passing through the 0.1- $\mu\text{m}$  MCE membrane filters used for the precoat (Fig. 13S, SI). The fact that the biopolymers were not removed by the membrane filtration alone make it clear that the biopolymer removal was possible only when the membrane was precoated with polystyrene latex particles or AC particles.

The biopolymer removal rates increased as the amount of particles precoating the membrane increased. However, the removal by the PSL-precoated membrane reached a plateau after a certain amount of PSL precoat. The plateau of the biopolymer removal rate was higher for the PSL100 particles than for the PSL200 particles. Biopolymer removal by the SPAC/SSPAC-precoated membranes was high and can be attributed to the adsorption of biopolymers onto the AC. However, the removal by a PSL precoat cannot be attributed to adsorption because no adsorption occurred (Fig. 14S, SI). Biopolymer removal from the PSL100 particles may have been due to a straining effect. If the ratio of particle diameter to media diameter is greater than 0.15, the particle will be stained by the media (Crittenden et al., 2012). Therefore, the PSL100 (100 nm) media could strain particles with the size  $> 15$  nm. On the other hand, biopolymer of extremely large molecular weights ( $> 1$  million Da) has high fouling potentials for MF (Kimura et al., 2018), and such molecular weights could be converted to molecular diameters  $> 17$  nm (Weiss et al., 2018). The estimation of the



molecular diameters >17 nm is in accordance with the particle size distribution of the biopolymer determined by membrane filtration (Fig. 15S, SI). Therefore, most of the biopolymer molecules would be greater than 15 nm in size. The biopolymer molecules are too large to pass through the interstitial spaces between the PSL particles and are captured as the flow of water moves them through the particles. The higher rate of biopolymer removal by the precoating of PSL100 versus the PSL200 particles is in accordance with this postulated straining mechanism. The difference in removal rates can be explained if there is variability in the sizes of the biopolymer molecules (Kimura et al., 2018). The interstitial spaces are smaller in a precoating by PSL100 particles versus that by PSL200 particles, and the former can therefore filter the biopolymer molecules over a wider range of molecular sizes, including relatively small molecules. The fact that the straining effect can remove biopolymer molecules larger than a certain size but cannot filter biopolymers smaller than this size explains why the biopolymer removal plateaued as the amount of PSL precoating increased. The abilities of PAC, SPAC, and SSPAC to remove biopolymers were also consistent with the particle sizes of the ACs: SSPAC achieved the highest removal, followed by SPAC and then PAC. This result reflects the higher adsorption capacity as well as the higher straining effect of SSPAC.

### 3.3. Biopolymer removal by batch precoat repeated filtration

We conducted batch precoat repeated filtration experiments to further clarify the straining effect of SPAC and SSPAC particles on the biopolymer removal. Fig. 4 shows the percentage of biopolymers remaining in the filtrates versus the filtration volume per membrane surface area. The fact that the biopolymer concentration in the filtrate was low at the beginning of the filtration (i.e., the biopolymer removal was high) was probably due to the fact that the AC was fresh and had a high adsorption capacity. The biopolymer concentration in the filtrate increased as the filtration progressed but did not reach the influent concentration level. The biopolymer concentration plateaued at a level of less than the inflow concentration. This result indicates that there was a certain degree of removal maintained by the straining effect even after the adsorption capacity had been fully saturated. This stable level of removal was higher with the SSPAC precoating than with the SPAC precoating, and was higher when AC particles were sonicated before precoating than when they were not (compare the white and gray symbols in Fig. 4). SSPAC and SPAC particles mildly agglomerate when produced by milling (Pan et al., 2016). AC particles sufficiently dispersed by sonication can therefore deposit densely on a membrane and thereby strengthen the straining effect.

A biopolymer is known to be a membrane-fouling substance. The high biopolymer removal by SSPAC owing to its high adsorption capacity and straining effect strongly suggests that precoating a membrane with SSPAC can mitigate the increase in TMP during the operation of a membrane filtration system.

### 3.4. Changes in TMP during AC addition and membrane filtration with backwash

Fig. 5 shows the changes in the TMP during filtration with periodic backwashes. During the experiments (Method A), AC was dosed just after every backwash using a direct pulse dose to make a precoat on the membrane. Among the three ACs, SSPAC alleviated the increase in the TMP the most, followed by SPAC and PAC. TMP increased rapidly without an AC pretreatment. However, even with SSPAC pretreatment, TMP increased with time. Hydraulic backwashes, which were conducted every 7 h, canceled the increase in the TMP to only a certain extent. The implication here is that





Fig. 4. Relative concentration of biopolymer versus filtration volume per membrane surface area of water samples. The amount of powdered activated carbon (PAC) deposited on the membrane for precoating was 0.38 mg/cm². Panel A: SPAC. Panel B: SSPAC. Raw water-1 was used in this experiment.

hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling cannot be stopped simply by precoating with SSPAC.

The addition of SPAC during membrane filtration is already being used in full-scale water treatments (Kanaya et al., 2015). SPAC has not been added intermittently to form a precoat, but instead has been added continuously prior to coagulation pretreatment. A continuous SPAC addition followed by coagulation pretreatment has successfully mitigated an increase in the TMP better than coagulation pretreatment alone, and the efficacy of adding SPAC followed by coagulation pretreatment (Matsui et al., 2009) implies the important role of coagulation in controlling the membrane fouling. We therefore conducted experiments using both coagulation and AC pretreatment. Fig. 6 shows a comparison of the changes in the TMP in systems with and without coagulation pretreatment.




**Fig. 5.** TMP versus filtration time for powdered activated carbon (PAC), superfine PAC (SPAC), and submicron SPAC (SSPAC). The experiments were conducted by Method A, where direct pulse dosing (explained in Figs. 2S and 7S, SI) was used. Backwash interval was 7 h. Filtration rate was 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup>h). Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

**Panel A: SPAC direct pulse dose w/o coagulation (Method A)**



**Panel B: SPAC direct pulse dose w/ coagulation (Method B)**




**Fig. 7.** Photographs of a membrane tank during backwash. Panel A is a picture of the system without coagulation pretreatment (Method A). Panel B is a picture of the system with coagulation pretreatment (Method B). Direct pulse dosing (explained in Figs. 2S, 3S, and 7S, SI) was used in the experiments. Backwash pressure was 50 kPa. Filtration rate was 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup> h). Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

water and the same AC dose. The depositions on the membrane will therefore be similar, although the fact that the rate of increase in the TMP during each filtration cycle was lower with coagulation than without coagulation suggests that the material deposited on the filters was more permeable in the former case.

Membrane fouling, which causes an increase in the TMP, is divided into hydraulically reversible and irreversible fouling. On the one hand, hydraulically reversible fouling can be physically removed (e.g., using a backwash). On the other hand, hydraulically irreversible fouling can be removed only through chemical cleaning methods, which require more time and effort than a backwash (Kimura et al., 2008; Peiris et al., 2013). The control of hydraulically irreversible fouling is therefore extremely important for a reduction in the operational cost during the membrane filtration process. The dotted lines in Fig. 6 show the changes in the increase in TMP through hydraulically irreversible fouling. SPAC dosing through direct pulses mitigates irreversible fouling better with coagulation pretreatment than without such a pretreatment. Fig. 7 shows photographs of a membrane-submerged tank during a hydraulic backwash (Fig. 16S shows similar results in the case of SSPAC). The membrane remained black because of AC accumulation in the system without coagulation pretreatment, whereas the membrane became white because of a detachment of the floc particles during a backwash in the system with coagulation pretreatment. A more severe hydraulically irreversible fouling that occurs without coagulation pretreatment may therefore be due to the attachment of AC particles on the membrane along with the NOM, including biopolymers. Coagulation alleviates the attachment of AC particles on the membrane. Hydrolysis of the aluminum polymer formed from the polyaluminum chloride coagulant will impede the strong attachment of AC to the membrane and can thereby facilitate the release of the AC attached to the membrane during a backwash.


Membrane filtration experiments with continuous and pulse AC dosing were conducted to compare the effectiveness of a pre-coating as a means of controlling the membrane fouling. In the pulse AC dose experiments, AC was dosed only after the hydraulic backwash was applied to re-form the pre-coating. Two methods were used to continuously apply an AC dose. The method for dosing the AC before coagulation (Method D) was taken from the methodology in use at full-scale water treatment plants (Kanaya et al., 2015); to



**Fig. 6.** TMP versus filtration time with/without coagulation. The experiments were conducted by Methods A and B, where direct pulse dosing (explained in Figs. 2S, 3S and 7S, SI) of superfine powdered activated carbon (SPAC) was used. Dotted lines show TMP rise due to hydraulically irreversible fouling. Backwash interval was 7 h. Filtration rate was 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup> h). Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

The fact that TMP increases at a much lower rate with coagulation than without coagulation indicates that coagulation pretreatment before AC dosing is necessary to mitigate membrane fouling.

It is widely known that coagulation can remove biopolymers to a certain extent and mitigate an increase in the TMP (Jung et al., 2006; Kimura et al., 2018; Wray and Andrews, 2014). During coagulation treatment, NOMs (including biopolymers) are coagulated to form large flocs. This process increases the permeability of the gel cake layer formed on the membrane surface and thereby mitigates an increase in the TMP. Experiments with and without coagulation pretreatment (Fig. 6) were conducted using the same




**Fig. 8.** TMP as a function of filtration time when membrane filtration was conducted after SSPAC adsorption and coagulation pretreatment. The experiments were conducted by Methods B, D, and E (explained in Figs. 3S, 5S and 6S, respectively, SI) to compare direct pulse dose and continuous dose. Backwash interval was 7 h. Filtration rate was 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup>h). Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

facilitate a comparison with the pulse AC results, the method for dosing the AC continuously after coagulation (Method E) was identical to that used in the pulse dose experiments (Method B) in terms of the sequence applied.

Fig. 17S (SI) and Fig. 8 compare the changes in TMP between the systems with continuous AC dosing (Methods D and E) and pulse AC dosing (Method B, for precoating) for SPAC (Fig. 17S) and SSPAC (Fig. 8). For SSPAC, the TMPs increase at slower rates in both the direct and the indirect pulse dose experiments than in the continuous dose experiments. The superiority of the pulse dose to the continuous dose methodology for preventing an increase in the TMP was confirmed during the experiments in which SSPAC was added as a pulse or continuously after coagulation treatment. The amount of SSPAC used to precoat the membrane was higher for the pulse dose method than for the continuous dose method from the beginning of the filtration. The precoating prevented biopolymers from attaching to the membrane through the adsorptive removal of the biopolymers and based on the straining effect described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2. The superiority of the pulse dose method over continuous dosing for precoating was clear for SSPAC (Fig. 8), but was less apparent for SPAC (Fig. 17S, SI). Precoating using AC to mitigate membrane fouling was therefore effective when the AC particles were within the submicron range. In other words, continuous dosing, which is simpler than pulse dosing, is a reasonable dosing method if the AC particles are within the micron range.

Fig. 9 shows comparative plots of TMP versus the filtration time for direct and indirect pulse dose methods (Fig. 7S, SI) combined with a coagulation treatment (Methods B and C described in Figs. 3S and 4S, respectively). Analogous comparisons between SPAC and SSPAC are shown in Fig. 18S (SI). The indirect pulse dose method resulted in a more stable and lower TMP than the direct pulse dose method for both SPAC and SSPAC. With the indirect pulse dose method, water was manually shaken vigorously after the injection of the AC. With the direct pulse dose method, the AC was injected into the tank and mixed with raw water by bubbling for 3 min. The TMP was therefore lower with indirect dosing than with direct dosing because indirect pulse dosing produced a well-




**Fig. 9.** TMP as a function of filtration time when membrane filtration was conducted after SPAC/SSPAC adsorption and coagulation pretreatment. SPAC (Panel A) and SSPAC (Panel B) with direct pulse dose and indirect pulse dose (Method B and C, respectively, as explained in Figs. 3S, 4S and 7S, SI) were used in this experiment. Backwash interval was 7 h. Filtration rate was 1.7 m/day (70.8 L/m<sup>2</sup> h). Raw water-2 was used in this experiment.

mixed AC suspension. The implication here is that complete dispersion of the AC before being deposited on the membrane is a key for a better precoating.

### 3.5. Biopolymer and HS removal during AC addition and membrane filtration with backwash

During the filtration process, filtrate samples were taken and analyzed regarding the biopolymer and HS concentrations (Fig. 10). During experiments with a direct pulse dose but without coagulation, the removal was mostly higher for biopolymers than for HS. Biopolymer removal was improved by reducing the AC particle size and through coagulation pretreatment. The improvement of the



**Fig. 10.** Box and whisker plots of biopolymers (Panel A) and humic substances (HS, Panel B) concentrations in filtrates for different combinations of coagulation and powdered activated carbon (PAC) treatment. Horizontal lines within boxes represent median values, the upper and lower lines of the boxes represent the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively, and the upper and lower bars outside the boxes indicate the maximum and minimum values, respectively.

removal was more apparent for biopolymers than for HS. The biopolymer concentrations in the filtrate were reduced by pre-coating with SSPAC, followed by SPAC and PAC. This order was the same as that of the TMP reduction (Fig. 5). During experiments with direct pulse SSPAC dosing without coagulation, the high percentage of biopolymer removal (75%) indicated that the biopolymers were removed primarily by the thin cake layer formed with SSPAC on top of the membrane before the biopolymers could reach and foul the membrane. However, the quantitative contributions of the coagulation, adsorption, and straining effects on the biopolymer removal, as well as the mitigation of the increase TMP, have yet to be investigated.

The fact that biopolymer removal was also higher with pulse SSPAC dosing than with continuous SSPAC dosing supports the merit of using a pre-coating to prevent membrane fouling. In the direct SSPAC pulse dosing experiments, biopolymer removal was higher without coagulation than with coagulation. This result was unexpected but can be explained if a denser deposition of SSPAC on the membrane without coagulation than with coagulation leads to a higher biopolymer removal. It should be noted, however, that without coagulation, the membrane was severely fouled by the AC itself (section 3.4).

#### 4. Conclusions

- (1) The capacity of AC to adsorb a biopolymer increases with a decrease in the AC particle size and hence follows the order PAC < SPAC < SSPAC. SSPAC selectively adsorbs a biopolymer from NOM compared with SPAC and PAC. The superiority of SSPAC for biopolymer adsorption suggests that it has potential application in the control of membrane fouling.

- (2) Biopolymers are also physically removed by a SPAC/SSPAC layer which pre-coats the membrane. The SSPAC pre-coating removes biopolymers through a better straining than SPAC; sonication can disperse agglomerated SPAC/SSPAC to produce a denser precoat and thereby enhance the straining effect.
- (3) Coagulation is indispensable in the AC precoat filtration. Coagulation not only removes biopolymers it also facilitates the detachment of AC particles from the membrane during hydraulic backwashing, and in this way prevents hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling by AC.
- (4) The pulse dosing of SSPAC (for pre-coating a submerged membrane) shows superiority in alleviating the buildup of TMP owing to hydraulically irreversible membrane fouling versus continuous dosing. The fact that an indirect pulse dosing of SSPAC preceded by coagulation pretreatment achieves the best prevention of an overall increase in the TMP indicates that AC dispersion is important for a pre-coating.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H06362. The authors gratefully acknowledge Futamura Chemical for providing PAC samples.



## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115757>.

## References

- Adusei-Gyamfi, J., Ouddane, B., Rietveld, L., Cornard, J.P., Criquet, J., 2019. Natural organic matter-cations complexation and its impact on water treatment: a critical review. *Water Res.* 160, 130–147.
- Amaral, P., Partlan, E., Li, M., Lapolli, F., Mefford, O.T., Karanfil, T., Ladner, D.A., 2016. Superfine powdered activated carbon (S-PAC) coatings on microfiltration membranes: effects of milling time on contaminant removal and flux. *Water Res.* 100, 429–438.
- Amy, G., 2008. Fundamental understanding of organic matter fouling of membranes. *Desalination* 231, 44–51.
- Ando, N., Matsui, Y., Kurotobi, R., Nakano, Y., Matsushita, T., Ohno, K., 2010. Comparison of natural organic matter adsorption capacities of super-powdered activated carbon and powdered activated Carbon. *Water Res.* 44, 4127–4136.
- Ando, N., Matsui, Y., Matsushita, T., Ohno, K., 2011. Direct observation of solid-phase adsorbate concentration profile in powdered activated carbon particle to elucidate mechanism of high adsorption capacity on super-powdered activated carbon. *Water Res.* 45, 761–767.
- Ayache, C., Pidou, M., Croué, J.P., Labanowski, J., Poussade, Y., Tazi-Pain, A., Keller, J., Gernjak, W., 2013. Impact of effluent organic matter on low-pressure membrane fouling in tertiary treatment. *Water Res.* 47, 2633–2642.
- Bonvin, F., Jost, L., Randin, L., Bonvin, E., Kohn, T., 2016. Super-fine powdered activated carbon (SPAC) for efficient removal of micropollutants from wastewater treatment plant effluent. *Water Res.* 90, 90–99.
- Campinas, M., 2010. Assessing PAC contribution to the NOM fouling control in PAC/UF systems. *Water Res.* 44, 1636–1644.
- Chen, F., Peldszus, S., Elhadidy, A.M., Legge, R.L., Van Dyke, M.L., Huck, P.M., 2016. Kinetics of natural organic matter (NOM) removal during drinking water bio-filtration using different NOM characterization approaches. *Water Res.* 104, 361–370.
- Cheng, X., Liang, H., Ding, A., Zhu, X., Tang, X., Gan, Z., Xing, J., Wu, D., Li, G., 2017. Application of Fe(II)/peroxymonosulfate for improving ultrafiltration membrane performance in surface water treatment: comparison with coagulation and ozonation. *Water Res.* 124, 298–307.
- Crittenden, J.C., Trussell, R.R., Hand, D.W., Howe, K.J., Tchobanoglous, G., 2012. *MWH's Water Treatment: Principles and Design*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Crozes, G., Anselme, C., Mallevialle, J., 1993. Effect of adsorption of organic matter on fouling of ultrafiltration membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 84, 61–77.
- Ding, Q., Yamamura, H., Yonekawa, H., Aoki, N., Murata, N., Hafuka, A., Watanabe, Y., 2018. Differences in behaviour of three biopolymer constituents in coagulation with polyaluminium chloride: implications for the optimisation of a coagulation–membrane filtration process. *Water Res.* 133, 255–263.
- Fabris, R., Lee, E.K., Chow, C.W.K., Chen, V., Drikas, M., 2007. Pre-treatments to reduce fouling of low pressure micro-filtration (MF) membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 289, 231–240.
- Filloux, E., Gallard, H., Croue, J.P., 2012. Identification of effluent organic matter fractions responsible for low-pressure membrane fouling. *Water Res.* 46, 5531–5540.
- Filloux, E., Gernjak, W., Gallard, H., Croue, J.P., 2016. Investigating the relative contribution of colloidal and soluble fractions of secondary effluent organic matter to the irreversible fouling of MF and UF hollow fibre membranes. *Separ. Purif. Technol.* 170, 109–115.
- Heijman, S.G.J., Hamad, J.Z., Kennedy, M.D., Schippers, J., Amy, G., 2009. Submicron powdered activated carbon used as a pre-coat in ceramic micro-filtration. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 9, 86–91.
- Huang, B.C., Guan, Y.F., Chen, W., Yu, H.Q., 2017. Membrane fouling characteristics and mitigation in a coagulation-assisted microfiltration process for municipal wastewater pretreatment. *Water Res.* 123, 216–223.
- Huber, S.A., Balz, A., Abert, M., Pronk, W., 2011. Characterisation of aquatic humic and non-humic matter with size-exclusion chromatography - organic carbon detection - organic nitrogen detection (LC-OCD-OND). *Water Res.* 45, 879–885.
- Jarvis, P., Sharp, E., Pidou, M., Molinder, R., Parsons, S.A., Jefferson, B., 2012. Comparison of coagulation performance and floc properties using a novel zirconium coagulant against traditional ferric and alum coagulants. *Water Res.* 46, 4179–4187.
- Jung, C.W., Son, H.J., Kang, L.S., 2006. Effects of membrane material and pretreatment coagulation on membrane fouling: fouling mechanism and NOM removal. *Desalination* 197, 154–164.
- Kanaya, S., Kawase, Y., Mima, S., Sugiura, K., Murase, K., Yonekawa, H., 2015. Drinking Water Treatment Using Superfine PAC (SPAC): Design and Successful Operation History in Full-Scale Plant. *Am. Water Work. Assoc. Salt Lake City, Utah, USA*, pp. 624–631.
- Keeley, J., Jarvis, P., Smith, A.D., Judd, S.J., 2016. Coagulant recovery and reuse for drinking water treatment. *Water Res.* 88, 502–509.
- Kim, J., Cai, Z., Benjamin, M.M., 2008. Effects of adsorbents on membrane fouling by natural organic matter. *J. Membr. Sci.* 310, 356–364.
- Kimura, K., Maeda, T., Yamamura, H., Watanabe, Y., 2008. Irreversible membrane fouling in microfiltration membranes filtering coagulated surface water. *J. Membr. Sci.* 320, 356–362.
- Kimura, K., Oki, Y., 2017. Efficient control of membrane fouling in MF by removal of biopolymers: comparison of various pretreatments. *Water Res.* 115, 172–179.
- Kimura, K., Shikato, K., Oki, Y., Kume, K., Huber, S.A., 2018. Surface water biopolymer fractionation for fouling mitigation in low-pressure membranes. *J. Membr. Sci.* 554, 83–89.
- Kimura, K., Tanaka, K., Watanabe, Y., 2014. Microfiltration of different surface waters with/without coagulation: clear correlations between membrane fouling and hydrophilic biopolymers. *Water Res.* 49, 434–443.
- Kweon, J.H., Hur, H.W., Seo, G.T., Jang, T.R., Park, J.H., Choi, K.Y., Kim, H.S., 2009. Evaluation of coagulation and PAC adsorption pretreatments on membrane filtration for a surface water in Korea: a pilot study. *Desalination* 249, 212–216.
- Lee, J.-W., Choi, S.-P., Moon, H., Shim, W.-G., Thiruvenkatachari, R., 2006. Submerged microfiltration membrane coupled with alum coagulation/powdered activated carbon adsorption for complete decolorization of reactive dyes. *Water Res.* 40, 435–444.
- Lee, N., Amy, G., Croué, J.P., Buisson, H., 2004. Identification and understanding of fouling in low-pressure membrane (MF/UF) filtration by natural organic matter (NOM). *Water Res.* 38, 4511–4523.
- Lin, C.F., Huang, Y.J., Hao, O.J., 1999. Ultrafiltration processes for removing humic substances: effect of molecular weight fractions and PAC treatment. *Water Res.* 33, 1252–1264.
- Lin, C.F., Liu, S.H., Hao, O.J., 2001. Effect of functional groups of humic substances on UF performance. *Water Res.* 35, 2395–2402.
- Luo, W., Arhatari, B., Gray, S.R., Xie, M., 2018. Seeing is believing: insights from synchrotron infrared mapping for membrane fouling in osmotic membrane bioreactors. *Water Res.* 137, 355–361.
- Ma, M., Liu, R., Liu, H., Qu, J., 2014. Mn(VII)-Fe(II) pre-treatment for *Microcystis aeruginosa* removal by Al coagulation: simultaneous enhanced cyanobacterium removal and residual coagulant control. *Water Res.* 65, 73–84.
- Matsui, Y., Aizawa, T., Kanda, F., Nigorikawa, N., Mima, S., Kawase, Y., 2007. Adsorptive removal of geosmin by ceramic membrane filtration with super-powdered activated carbon. *J. Water Supply Res. Technol. - Aqua* 56, 411–418.
- Matsui, Y., Ando, N., Yoshida, T., Kurotobi, R., Matsushita, T., Ohno, K., 2011. Modeling high adsorption capacity and kinetics of organic macromolecules on super-powdered activated carbon. *Water Res.* 45, 1720–1728.
- Matsui, Y., Fukuda, Y., Inoue, T., Matsushita, T., Aoki, N., Mima, S., 2004. Enhancing an adsorption-membrane hybrid system with microground activated carbon. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply* 4, 189–197.
- Matsui, Y., Hasegawa, H., Ohno, K., Matsushita, T., Mima, S., Kawase, Y., Aizawa, T., 2009. Effects of super-powdered activated carbon pretreatment on coagulation and trans-membrane pressure buildup during microfiltration. *Water Res.* 43, 5160–5170.
- Matsui, Y., Murase, R., Sanogawa, T., Aoki, N., Mima, S., Inoue, T., Matsushita, T., 2005. Rapid adsorption pretreatment with submicrometre powdered activated carbon particles before microfiltration. *Water Sci. Technol.* 51, 249–256.
- Matsui, Y., Nakao, S., Taniguchi, T., Matsushita, T., 2013. Geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol removal using superfine powdered activated carbon: shell adsorption and branched-pore kinetic model analysis and optimal particle size. *Water Res.* 47, 2873–2880.
- Matsui, Y., Sakamoto, A., Nakao, S., Taniguchi, T., Matsushita, T., Shirasaki, N., Sakamoto, N., Yurimoto, H., 2014. Isotope microscopy visualization of the adsorption profile of 2-methylisoborneol and geosmin in powdered activated carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 10897–10903.
- Matsui, Y., Sanogawa, T., Aoki, N., Mima, S., Matsushita, T., 2006. Evaluating submicron-sized activated carbon adsorption for microfiltration pretreatment. *Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply* 6, 149–155.
- Myat, D.T., Stewart, M.B., Mergen, M., Zhao, O., Orbell, J.D., Gray, S., 2014. Experimental and computational investigations of the interactions between model organic compounds and subsequent membrane fouling. *Water Res.* 48, 108–118.
- Pan, L., Matsui, Y., Matsushita, T., Shirasaki, N., 2016. Superiority of wet-milled over dry-milled superfine powdered activated carbon for adsorptive 2-methylisoborneol removal. *Water Res.* 102, 516–523.
- Pan, L., Nishimura, Y., Takaesu, H., Matsui, Y., Matsushita, T., Shirasaki, N., 2017. Effects of decreasing activated carbon particle diameter from 30 μm to 140 nm on equilibrium adsorption capacity. *Water Res.* 124, 425–434.
- Peiris, R.H., Jaklewicz, M., Budman, H., Legge, R.L., Moresoli, C., 2013. Assessing the role of feed water constituents in irreversible membrane fouling of pilot-scale ultrafiltration drinking water treatment systems. *Water Res.* 47, 3364–3374.
- Su, Z., Liu, T., Yu, W., Li, X., Graham, N.J.D., 2017. Coagulation of surface water: observations on the significance of biopolymers. *Water Res.* 126, 144–152.
- Tian, J., Ernst, M., Cui, F., Jekel, M., 2013. Correlations of relevant membrane foulants with UF membrane fouling in different waters. *Water Res.* 47, 1218–1228.
- Umar, M., Roddick, F., Fan, L., 2016. Impact of coagulation as a pre-treatment for UVC/H2O2-biological activated carbon treatment of a municipal wastewater reverse osmosis concentrate. *Water Res.* 88, 12–19.
- Wang, S., Liu, C., Li, Q., 2013. Impact of polymer flocculants on coagulation-microfiltration of surface water. *Water Res.* 47, 4538–4546.
- Wang, X.M., Li, X.Y., 2008. Accumulation of biopolymer clusters in a submerged membrane bioreactor and its effect on membrane fouling. *Water Res.* 42, 855–862.
- Wang, Y., Ting, K.H., Wu, H., Leslie, G., Waite, T.D., 2014. Removal of phosphorus from wastewaters using ferrous salts - a pilot scale membrane bioreactor study. *Water Res.* 57, 140–150.

- Weiss, V.U., Golesne, M., Friedbacher, G., Alban, S., Szymanski, W.W., Marchetti-Deschmann, M., Allmaier, G., 2018. Size and molecular weight determination of polysaccharides by means of nano electrospray gas-phase electrophoretic mobility molecular analysis (nES GEMMA). *Electrophoresis* 39, 1142–1150.
- Wray, H.E., Andrews, R.C., 2014. Optimization of coagulant dose for biopolymer removal: impact on ultrafiltration fouling and retention of organic micro-pollutants. *J. Water Process Eng.* 1, 74–83.
- Xing, J., Liang, H., Xu, S., Chuah, C.J., Luo, X., Wang, T., Wang, J., Li, G., Snyder, S.A., 2019. Organic matter removal and membrane fouling mitigation during algae-rich surface water treatment by powdered activated carbon adsorption pretreatment: enhanced by UV and UV/chlorine oxidation. *Water Res.* 159, 283–293.
- Ye, M., Zhang, H., Wei, Q., Lei, H., Yang, F., Zhang, X., 2006. Study on the suitable thickness of a PAC-precoated dynamic membrane coupled with a bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment. *Desalination* 194, 108–120.
- Yu, W., Liu, Teng, Crawshaw, J., Liu, Ting, Graham, N., 2018. Ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membrane fouling by natural organic matter: mechanisms and mitigation by pre-ozonation and pH. *Water Res.* 139, 353–362.
- Zheng, X., Ernst, M., Huck, P.M., Jekel, M., 2010. Biopolymer fouling in dead-end ultrafiltration of treated domestic wastewater. *Water Res.* 44, 5212–5221.