

# Smartphone addiction among medical students in mansoura university

Shiamaa Eldesokey<sup>a</sup>, Zeinab Gomaa<sup>b</sup>, Yomna Sabri<sup>b</sup>, Abdel-Hady El-Gilany<sup>c</sup>, Mohamed Elwasify<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Port Said Psychiatry Hospital, Port Said, Departments of <sup>b</sup>Psychiatry, <sup>c</sup>Public Health & Preventive Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

Correspondence to Mohamed Elwasify, Assistant Prof of Psychiatry and Addiction Medicine, Departement of Psychiatry, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. e-mail: drwasify2006@yahoo.com

**Received:** 27 December 2020

**Revised:** 30 December 2020

**Accepted:** 26 January 2021

**Published:** 2 April 2021

**Egyptian Journal of Psychiatry** 2021, 42:50-56

## Background

In the past few years, there has been a growing attention to smartphone addictions. Various studies conducted within the past decade have analyzed the harmful effects of smartphone overuse on university students including medical students.

## Objective

This cross-sectional study on 780 students estimated the prevalence of smartphone addiction and its associated factors in medical students of Mansoura University, Egypt.

## Patients and methods

A self-administered questionnaire was completed to gather data about Problematic Use of Mobile Phones scale, sociodemographic characteristics, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21, Insomnia Severity Index, and feeling of loneliness (UCLA) questionnaire.

## Results

The overall prevalence of smartphone addiction was 53.6%. The significant independent predictors of smartphone addiction are studying less than or equal to 4 h [adjusted odds ratio (AOR)=1.6], mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe depression (AOR=2.5 and 3.4, respectively), and severe/extreme severe stress (AOR=2.1).

## Conclusion

Smartphone addiction is common among medical students and closely related to psychological problems.

## Keywords:

addiction, anxiety, depression, Egypt, insomnia, loneliness, Mansoura, medical students, smartphone, stress

Egypt J Psychiatr 42:50-56  
© 2021 Egyptian Journal of Psychiatry  
1110-1105

## Background

According to Oxford Dictionaries (2016), smartphone is defined as a mobile phone that performs many of a computer's tasks. It usually has a touchscreen interface, internet access, and an operating system that can run downloaded applications.

They have become an increasingly important component of the daily life because of their many advantages (Alosaimi *et al.*, 2016). Smartphone is helpful for medical students to search for data about a specific disease or drug from the bedside of the patient, communicate to organize a learning session, view images, listen to podcasts, and download textbooks. Most of them used applications related to medicine that assisted them in clinical environment (Robinson *et al.*, 2013).

The idea of smartphones addiction is not proposed in the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders as nonsubstance-related disorder. Smartphone addiction involves four main

criteria: uncontrollable mobile use; behaviors like frequent checking for various applications; tolerance; and withdrawal and impairment either academic or functional or social (Lin *et al.*, 2016).

There were some suggestions that smartphone misuse is linked to several psychological and behavioral problems such as depression, anxiety, sleep disturbance, headaches, decreased concentration, memory loss, hearing loss, and fatigue (Khan, 2008; Thomee *et al.*, 2011; Machell *et al.*, 2015).

Smartphones are becoming increasingly indispensable in everyday life for most undergraduates with increasing the problem of addiction during adolescence and early adulthood. Despite this, there

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

is a dearth of knowledge about smartphone addiction among medical students in Egypt.

## Aim

The current study aims to estimate the prevalence of smartphone addiction and its associated factors among the undergraduate medical students in Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt.

## Patients and methods

### Study design and setting

This is a cross-sectional observational study directed during year 2018 in Faculty of Medicine of Mansoura University, Egypt. The medical schools in Egypt offer a 6-year-long degree program divided into three academic years followed by three clinical years.

This study was approved by the IRB of Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University (Code Number: 17.12.68). A written informed consent was obtained from participants prior to the admission after explaining the purpose of the study.

Target population is Egyptian medical students in all educational years with no history of psychiatric diseases. Sample size was calculated using the following formula:  $n = Z_{1-\alpha}^2 p(1-p)/d^2$ , where  $p$  is the expected prevalence of smartphone addiction, which among Lebanese students was 44.6%,  $Z_{1-\alpha/2} = 1.96$ , and  $d =$ precision (margin of error) = 0.05 (Lwanga and Lemeshow, 1991; Hawi and Samaha, 2016). The sample size of 380 was multiplied by 2 to compensate for the design defect for cluster sampling method, and the total sample size is 760. The questionnaire was distributed to 842 students, and 780 (92.6% response rate) returned completed forms. Those who did not complete the questionnaires refused to participate in the study. Three clusters were selected from each educational year by systematic random samples from the list of sections or rounds. The questionnaire was distributed and collected during the class times.

### Tools

An Arabic self-administered questionnaire was used to collect the sociodemographic data, for example, age, sex, residence during the academic year, special habits (excess caffeine drinks and cigarette smoking), substance use, physical exercise, marital status, working while studying, number of studying hours/day, the year of study, and the academic achievement.

Smartphone addiction was assessed by Problematic Use of Mobile Phones (PUMP) scale (Merlo *et al.*,

2013). It includes 20 items that evaluate mobile phone use built on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders—criteria for substance use disorder such as tolerance, withdrawal, craving, social problems, facts about physical risk due to the usage of mobile phones, physical and psychological problems, using for longer time than planned, great deal of time spent, activities given up or reduced, and failure of fulfilling role duties. The respondents responded each PUMP scale question on a Likert-type scale, where strongly disagree corresponds to one and strongly agree corresponds to 5. The total score ranges from 20 (lowest score) to 100 (highest score). The Arabic version used in this research was translated by Alosaimi *et al.* (2016). There is no operational characteristic to identify the cutoff point of the PUMP scale. In our study, smartphone addiction is defined by having PUMP score more than or equal to mean PUMP score of studied students (67.9).

Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21 (Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995) was used to evaluate symptoms of anxiety, stress and depression, which is a summarized 21-item version of the full Depression Anxiety Stress Scale, which primarily consisted of 42 items. The three scales contain seven items that assess the dimensions of depression, anxiety, and stress. The severity ratings are grounded on percentile scores, with 0–78 classified as normal, 78–87 as mild, 87–95 as moderate, 95–98 as severe, and 98–100 as extremely severe. The Arabic version of the scale was used in the current study (Moussa *et al.*, 2001).

Insomnia was assessed by Insomnia Severity Index (Morin *et al.*, 2011). It is a seven-item self-reported questionnaire determining the nature, severity, and effect of insomnia over one month. The dimensions estimated include sleep onset, sleep maintenance, early morning awakening problems, sleep dissatisfaction, interference of sleep difficulties with daytime functioning, noticeability of sleep problems by others, and distress caused by the sleep difficulties. A five-point Likert scale is used to rate each item, yielding a total score ranging from 0 to 28. The total score is interpreted as follows: absence of insomnia (0–7); subthreshold insomnia (8–14); moderate insomnia (15–21); and severe insomnia (22–28). The Arabic Version of the scale was used in the present study (Suleiman and Yates, 2011).

Feeling of loneliness was assessed by UCLA Loneliness Scale (Russell, 1996). It is a 20-item Likert-type scale in which responses ranged from 1 (never) to 4 (always).

The scale includes nine positively worded items (1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 15, 16, 19, and 20) and 11 negatively worded items (2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, and 18) randomly disturbed throughout the scale. The degree of loneliness was categorized as mild (20–39), moderate (40–59), and severe (60–80). The Arabic version used for this study was translated by Daswqee (1998).

### Statistical analysis

Data are coded, processed, and analyzed using SPSS software package, version 16 (SPSS Inc., Released 2007. SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc.). Categorical data were presented as number and percentage. Non-normally distributed continuous data were described as median and minimum and maximum.  $\chi^2$  Fisher's exact test was used to test significance of categorical data as appropriate. Crude odds ratios (COR) with their 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Variables significantly associated with smartphone addiction in bivariate analysis were entered in stepwise logistic regression analysis using Forward Wald method. Adjusted odds ratios (AOR) with 95% confidence interval were calculated. Statistical significance was defined as *P* value less than or equal to 0.05.

### Results

Table 1 shows that the overall prevalence of smartphone addiction is 53.6%. The factors associated with mobile phone addiction are physical exercise (COR=1.8), studying for 4 h or less (COR=1.8), mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe depression (COR=3.0 and 6.1, respectively), mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe anxiety (COR=2.0 and 5.2, respectively), mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe stress (COR=2.4 and 4.6, respectively), mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe insomnia (COR=2.6 and 4.8, respectively), and severe/extreme severe loneliness (COR=1.6).

The significant independent predictors of smartphone addiction are studying less than or equal to 4 h (AOR=1.6), mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe depression (AOR=2.5 and 3.4, respectively), and severe/extreme severe stress (AOR=2.1) (Table 2).

Table 3 shows that 81.8% of students used smartphone for more than 3 years, 6.8% spent less than 8 h using smartphone, and 57.8% uses less than four applications. Smartphone was mainly used for social interacting purposes (66.8%), watching news (43.7%), academic tasks (39.2%), games (28.5%), education (22.4%), and scientific purposes (21).

### Discussion

Smartphone use is nearly universal among college students, especially medical ones. Extreme use of smartphones has departed up to a degree, classified as addictive behaviors. The topic has shown the consideration of many researchers. In this study, the prevalence of smartphone addiction was 53.6%, which is higher than rates reported in previous studies: 36.5 and 27.2% in Saudi Arabia (Alhazmi, 2018; Alburban *et al.*, 2019), 44.6% in Lebanon (Hawi and Samaha, 2016), 31.7% in Tunisia (Khan, 2008), 9.3% in Iran (Yahyazadeh *et al.*, 2017), 10% in Belarus (Szpakow *et al.*, 2011), 24.8% in South Korea (Kwon *et al.*, 2013), 12.8% (Spain), 21.5% Belgium (Lopez-Fernandez *et al.*, 2017), 16.9% in Switzerland (Haug *et al.*, 2015), 29.8% in China (Chen *et al.*, 2017), 31.3% in India (Nikhita *et al.*, 2015), 17.3% in Sudan, and 8.6% in Yemen (Alburban *et al.*, 2019). However, much higher rates were reported in India (85.40%) (Sethuraman *et al.*, 2018) and Jordan (59.8%) (Alburban *et al.*, 2019).

The reason for high smartphone usage in the present study could be owing to the lack of other sources of outdoor entertainment among medical students owing to preoccupation with studying and academic activities and finding smartphones as their only spring of entertainment and a way to relieve stress and anxiety. Adding to that, there is a constant obsession among young population about taking selfie and posting them on social media, which is easily available on smartphone, besides various applications of chatting, gaming, and social interaction (Sethuraman *et al.*, 2018). The wide variation of smartphone addiction between different studies could be attributed to different sample sizes, different population characteristics (age, sex, level of education, and culture), the extent of 4 G Wi-Fi coverage, and use of different tools for assessing levels of smartphone addiction. Another outlook may be universal variation in addiction liability, something which can be related to universal differences in average personality shape (Meisenberg, 2015).

By logistic regression, studying for less than or equal to 4 h is an independent significant risk factor for smartphone addiction. Smartphone addiction and preoccupation with the smartphone result in the neglect of other assignments and tasks (Walsh *et al.*, 2007). Many studies correlated smartphone usage with the decrease in academic achievement (Kubey *et al.*, 2001). Some studies emphasized the positive role of smartphones in proceeding students' learning (Markett *et al.*, 2006; Cheon *et al.*, 2012).

**Table 1 Smartphone addiction and its associated factors**

	Total	Smartphone addict [n (%)]	P	COR (95% CI)
Overall	780	418 (53.6)	–	
Age				
<20	245	136 (55.5)		1 (r)
20 and more	535	282 (52.7)	0.5	0.9 (0.7–1.2)
Sex				
Male	379	208 (54.9)		1 (r)
Female	401	210 (52.4)	0.5	0.9 (0.7–1.2)
Marital status				
Single	760	408 (53.7)		1 (r)
Married	20	10 (50)	0.7	0.8 (0.4–2.1)
Smoking				
Yes	31	15 (48.4)		1 (r)
No	749	403 (53.8)	0.6	1.2 (0.6–2.5)
Substance abuse				
Yes	4	4 (100)		
No	776	414 (53.4)	0.1	Undefined
Caffeine intake				
≤6	637	335 (52.6)		1 (r)
>6	143	83 (58)	0.2	1.2 (0.9–1.8)
Physical exercise				
Yes	146	61 (41.8)		1 (r)
No	634	357 (56.3)	0.002	1.8 (1.2–2.6)
Work during studying				
No	643	347 (54.0)		1 (r)
Yes	137	83 (60.6)	0.2	1.3 (0.9–1.9)
Educational stage				
Preclinical	390	204 (52.3)		1 (r)
Clinical	390	214 (54.9)	0.96	0.99 (0.7–1.3)
Studying hours				
≤4	545	316 (58)	≤0.001	1.8 (1.3–2.5)
>4	235	102 (43.4)		1 (r)
Depression				
No	167	43 (25.7)		1 (r)
Mild/moderate	237	120 (50.6)	≤0.001	3.0 (1.9–4.5)
Severe/extreme severe	376	255 (67.8)	≤0.001	6.1 (4.0–9.1)
Anxiety				
No	191	63 (33.3)		1 (r)
Mild/moderate	204	102 (50.0)	≤0.001	2.0 (1.7–3.1)
Severe/extreme severe	385	253 (65.7)	≤0.001	5.2 (3.5–7.6)
Stress				
No	254	85 (33.5)		1 (r)
Mild/moderate	220	120 (54.5)	≤0.001	2.4 (1.6–3.5)
Severe/extreme severe	306	213 (69.6)	≤0.001	4.6 (3.2–6.5)
Insomnia				
No	92	29 (31.5)		1 (r)
Mild/moderate	601	329 (54.7)	≤0.001	2.6 (1.6–4.2)
Severe	87	60 (69.0)	≤0.001	4.8 (2.6–9.1)
Loneliness				
Moderate	624	319 (51.1)		1 (r)
Severe/extreme severe	156	99 (63.5)	0.006	1.6 (1.2–2.4)

CI, confidence interval; COR, crude odds ratio; r, reference category.

In the present study, mild/moderate and severe/extreme severe depression (AOR=2.5 and 3.4, respectively) and severe/extreme severe stress (AOR=2.1) are significant independent predictors of smartphone addiction. There was a strong positive

relationship between smartphone addiction and depression (Yen *et al.*, 2009; Alhassan, 2015; Matar and Jaalouk, 2017). Previous studies reported that students who used their smartphones more frequently had higher depression and anxiety scores

**Table 2 Logistic regression analysis of significant independent predictors of smartphone addiction**

Parameters	B	P value	AOR (95% CI)
Studying hours			
≤4	–		1.6 (1.2–2.3)
>4	0.48	0.004	1 (r)
Depression			
No	–	≤0.001	1 (r)
Mild/moderate	0.9	≤0.001	2.5 (1.6–4.0)
Severe/extreme severe	1.2		3.4 (2.0–5.9)
Stress			
No	–	0.2	1 (r)
Mild/moderate	0.3	0.003	1.4 (0.9–2.1)
Severe/extreme severe	0.8		2.1 (1.3–3.5)
Constant	–1.4		
% correctly predicted	85.9		

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; r, reference category; B, regression coefficient.

**Table 3 Distribution of variables related to smartphone use**

Variables	n (%) (N=780)
Duration of smartphone use (years)	
<1	36 (4.6)
1–≤3	106 (13.6)
>3	638 (81.8)
Hours spent using smartphone	
<8	513 (65.8)
≥8	267 (34.2)
Number of used applications	
<4	451 (57.8)
≥4	329 (42.2)
Purpose of using the applications <sup>a</sup>	
Watching news	341 (43.7)
Social interacting	521 (66.8)
Academic tasks	306 (39.2)
Games	223 (28.5)
Athletic	120 (15.3)
Educational	175 (22.4)
Religious	147 (18.8)
Scientific	169 (21.6)
Others (e.g. calling, calculation)	159 (20.4)

<sup>a</sup>Multiple responses.

(Hwang *et al.*, 2012; Demirci *et al.*, 2015; Tamura *et al.*, 2017).

One of the influencing reasons of smartphone addiction is increased stress levels trailed by a decrease in restraint, which eventually leads to the over-practice of smartphones (Chen *et al.*, 2017). Two previous studies reported no association between having smartphone and symptoms of depression and concluded that addiction is linked to self-control rather than the possession of the phone itself (Lemola *et al.*, 2015; Choi *et al.*, 2015).

Smartphones may source anxiety and depression, and extreme use of them may alter the biological clock, root

sleep disorders, and cognitive, emotional, and mental symptoms. Furthermore, adolescents often wake up owing to the announcements they accept, and failure to sleep at night reduces the synthesis of melatonin (Cain and Gradisar, 2010).

In the current study, 65.8% of participants spent less than 8 h/day using smartphone, and 66.8% of participants used smartphone for social interacting. These findings are in a close agreement with the pattern of smartphone use in other countries. In Saudi Arabia, 34.2% of participants spent more than 8 h daily (Alosaimi *et al.*, 2016) and 55.8% of students used smartphone more than 5 h daily (Alhazmi, 2018). In Malaysia, 65.9% of students use more than 3 h/day (Nikmat, 2018) and 30% used smartphones more than 3 h in India (Kurugodiyavar, 2018).

Javid *et al.* (2011) examined the consequences of mobile phone on the academic achievement of university students. Utmost of the students answered that they used mobile devices to chat with their instructors and colleagues to discuss materials related to their study. They also used the mobile devices to segment valuable information with their classmates and to check a dictionary for educational aims. Nevertheless, they settled that the mobile phone wastes their time and money.

More research should be done among all sectors of the society besides teaching programs, which may help the students understand the risk of smartphone addiction and how to manage their stress related to the burden of the study.

### Strengths and limitations of the study

To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few studies done that have evaluated the prevalence of smartphone addiction among medical students, and it detects various associated factors in the form of depression, anxiety, stress, loneliness, insomnia, and other sociodemographic factors in Egypt. This study has some limitations: (a) it was done in one medical college, so the results cannot be generalized to all medical students nationwide; (b) reporting and recall biases cannot be removed, as the students can manipulate the information; (c) there was no comparison group using the same forms or from nonmedical students; (d) the cross-sectional design did not determine whether depression–stress–anxiety–insomnia–feeling of loneliness were causes of smartphone addiction or whether smartphone dependence may be a coping strategy for students to get rid of these problems; and

(e) arbitrary cutoff point was taken to define smartphone addiction, as no validated cutoff point is available.

## Conclusion

Smartphone addiction is prevalent among undergraduate medical students and closely related to psychological problems.

## Acknowledgements

Authors' contributions: S.E.: data collection and drafting the manuscript. Z.G.: supervision and coordination of all research activities, revision of final draft for important intellectual contents. Y.S.: revision of results, manuscript for intellectual contents. A.-H.E.-G.: research design, data analysis and interpretation. M.E.: conception of research idea, revision of results, manuscript for intellectual contents. All authors read the final manuscript and agreed about its contents. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- Albursan IS, Al Qudah MF, Dutton E, Hashem Hassan EMA, Attallah Bakhiet SF, Alfnan AA, Aljomaa SS, Hammad HI (2019). National, sex and academic discipline difference in smartphone addiction: a study of students in Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Yemen and Sudan. *Community Ment Health J* 55:825–830.
- Alhassan M (2015). Smartphone usage among medical students in Saudi Arabia. *Int J Sci Res* 6:2227–2229.
- Alhazmi A (2018). Prevalence and factors associated with smartphone addiction among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. *Pak J Med Sci* 34:984–988.
- Alosaimi FD, Alyahya H, Alshahwan H, Al Mahyijari N, Shaik SA (2016). Smartphone addiction among university students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J* 37:675–683.
- Cain N, Gradisar M (2010). Electronic media use and sleep in school-aged children and adolescents: a review. *Sleep Med* 11:735–742.
- Chen B, Liu F, Ding S, Ying X, Wang L, Wen Y (2017). Gender differences in factors associated with smartphone addiction: a cross-sectional study among medical college students. *BMC Psychiatry* 17:1–10.
- Cheon J, Lee S, Crooks SM, Song J (2012). An investigation of mobile learning readiness in higher education based on the theory of planned behavior. *Computers Educ* 59:1054–1064.
- Choi S, Kim D, Choi J, Ahn H, Choi E, Song W (2015). Comparison of risk and protective factors associated with smartphone addiction and internet addiction. *J Behav Addict* 4:308–314.
- Daswqee M (1998). UCLA loneliness scale: translation and adaptation. Cairo, Egypt: Al-Anglo Almesria Publishing.
- Demirci K, Akgonul M, Akpinar A (2015). Relationship of smartphone use severity with sleep quality, depression, and anxiety in university students. *J Behav Addict* 4:85–92.
- Haug S, Castro RP, Kwon M, Filler A, Kowatsch T, Schaub MT (2015). Smartphone use and smartphone addiction among young people in Switzerland. *J Behav Addict* 4:299–307.
- Hawi N, Samaha M (2016). To excel or not to excel: strong evidence on the adverse effect of smartphone addiction on academic performance. *Computers Educ* 98:81–89.
- Hwang KH, Yoo YS, Cho OH (2012). Smartphone overuse and upper extremity pain, anxiety, depression, and interpersonal relationships among college students. *J Korea Contents Assoc* 12:365–375.
- Javid M, Malik MA, Gujjar AA (2011). Mobile phone culture and its psychological impacts on students' learning at the university level. *Lang India* 11:416–422.
- Khan MM (2008). Adverse effects of excessive mobile phone use. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 21:289–293.
- Kubey RW, Lavin MJ, Barrows JR (2001). Internet use and collegiate academic performance decrements: early findings. *J Commun* 51:366–382.
- Kurugodiyavar MD (2018). Impact of smartphone use on quality of sleep among medical students. *Int J Comm Med Public Health* 5:101–109.
- Kwon M, Lee JY, Won WY, Park JW, Min JA, Hahn C, *et al.* (2013). Development and validation of a smartphone addiction scale (SAS). *PLoS ONE* 8: e56936.
- Lemola S, Perkinson-Gloor N, Brand S, Dewald-Kaufmann JF, Grob A (2015). Adolescents' electronic media use at night, sleep disturbance, and depressive symptoms in the smartphone age. *J Youth Adolesc* 44:405–418.
- Lin YH, Chiang CL, Lin PH, Chang LR, Ko CH, Lee YH, Lin SH (2016). Proposed diagnostic criteria for Smartphone addiction. *PLoS ONE* 11: e163010.
- Lopez-Fernandez O, Kuss DJ, Romo L (2017). Self-reported dependence on mobile phones in young adults: a European cross-cultural empirical survey. *J Behav Addict* 6:168–177.
- Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF (1995). Manual for the depression anxiety stress. manual for the depression anxiety stress scales. 2nd ed. Sydney: Psychology Foundation of Australia.
- Lwanga S, Lemeshow S (1991). Sample size determination in health studies. A practical manual. Geneva: WHO.
- Machell KA, Goodman FR, Kashdan TB (2015). Experiential avoidance and wellbeing: daily diary analysis. *Cogn Emot* 29:351–359.
- Markett C, Sánchez IA, Weber S, Tangney B (2006). Using short message service to encourage interactivity in the classroom. *Comput Educ* 46:280–293.
- Matar BJ, Jaalouk D (2017). Depression, anxiety, and smartphone addiction in university students – a cross sectional study. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0182239.
- Meisenberg G (2015). Do we have valid country-level measures of personality? *Mankind Quart* 55:360–382.
- Merlo LJ, Stone AM, Bibbey A (2013). Measuring problematic mobile phone use: development and preliminary Psychometric properties of the PUMP scale. *J Addict* 912807.
- Morin CM, Belleville G, Belanger L, Ivers H (2011). The Insomnia Severity Index: psychometric indicators to detect insomnia cases and evaluate treatment response. *Sleep* 34:601–608.
- Moussa MT, Lovibond PF, Laube R (2001). Psychometric properties of an Arabic version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS21). Sydney: New South Wales Transcultural Mental Health Centre, Cumberland Hospital.
- Nikhita CS, Jadhav PR, Ajinkya SA (2015). Prevalence of mobile phone dependence in secondary school adolescents. *J Clin Diagn Res* 11:6–9.
- Nikmat WA (2018). The use and addiction to smart phones among medical students and staffs in a public university in Malaysia. *J Psychiatry* 19:2231–7805.
- Oxford Dictionaries. Smartphone [Updated 2016; cited 2015 Sep 26]. Available from: <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/smartphone>
- Robinson T, Cronin T, Ibrahim H, Jinks M, Molitor T, Newman J, Shapiro J (2013). Smartphone use and acceptability among clinical medical students: a questionnaire-based study. *J Med Syst* 37:9936.
- Rusell D (1996). UCLA loneliness scale (version 3): reliability, validity and factor structure. *J Philosophical Stud* 20:473–497.
- Sethuraman AR, Rao S, Charlette L, Thatkar P, Vincent V (2018). Smartphone addiction among medical college students in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Int J Comm Med Public Health* 5:4273–4277.
- Suleiman KH, Yates BC (2011). Translating the insomnia severity index into Arabic. *J Nurs Scholars* 43:49–53.
- Szpakow A, Stryzhak A, Prokopowicz W (2011). Evaluation of threat of mobile phone addiction among Belarusian University students. *Prog Health Sci* 1:96–101.
- Tamura H, Nishida T, Tsuji A, Sakakibara H (2017). Association between excessive use of mobile phone and insomnia and depression among Japanese adolescents. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 14:701.

Thomee S, Harenstam A, Hagberg M (2011). Mobile phone use and stress, sleep disturbances, and symptoms of depression among young adults – a prospective cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 11:66.

Walsh S, White K, Young R (2007). Over-connected? Qualitative exploration of the relationship between Australian youth and their mobile phones. *J Adolesc* 31:77–92.

Yahyazadeh S, Fallahi-Khoshknab M, Norouzi K, Dalvandi A (2017). The prevalence of smart phone addiction among students in medical sciences universities in Tehran 2016. *J Nurs Midwif* 26:1–9.

Yen CF, Tang TC, Yen JY (2009). Symptoms of problematic cellular phone use, functional impairment and its association with depression among adolescents in Southern Taiwan. *J Adolesc* 32:863–873.