



Vertebroplasty Increases the Incidence Of New Vertebral Compression Fractures Compared To Conservative Management

Maram Othman^{1,*} Meshal Alshaalan^{1,*} Abdulrahman Khawaji¹ Rawan Benkuddah¹
Hala Khalil² Khalid Alismail¹ Waleed Althobaity¹ Saleh Alreshoodi¹

¹Medical Imaging Department, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

²Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Scientific Computing, King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Address for correspondence Maram Al Othman, MD, Medical Imaging Department, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, (e-mail: Alothman.maram@gmail.com).

Arab J Intervent Radiol 2021;5:35–40.

Abstract

Background Vertebral osteoporotic fractures are a major cause of morbidity and disability among the elderly population.

This study sought to compare the incidence of new vertebral fractures in patients treated by vertebroplasty with that of those managed conservatively, while also assessing the potential risk factors contributing to the occurrence of these fractures.

Materials and Methods The details of a total of 121 eligible subjects with radiologically proven osteoporotic vertebral fractures were retrieved from our archive between January 2010 and September 2019 and divided, based on the treatment method, into percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) ($n = 60$) and nonsurgical treatment ($n = 61$). The included subjects' clinical data, demographic profiles, and imaging findings on plain radiography, CT, and MRI scans performed at baseline and within 24 months following treatment were reviewed and documented.

Results The difference in the incidence of new fractures was statistically significant ($p = 0.001$), with rates of 70% ($n = 32$) in the vertebroplasty group and 30% ($n = 14$) in the conservatively treated cases reported at a median follow-up time point of approximately 4 months. The presence of liver disease ($p = 0.037$), a history of transplantation ($p = 0.003$), the use of steroids ($p = 0.023$), a low-bone mineral density (BMD) score ($p = 0.023$), and a higher number of fractures on baseline imaging ($p = 0.013$) were associated with a greater risk of subsequent vertebral fractures.

Conclusions The incidence of acute fractures after PVP is higher than that among patients treated conservatively. Decision-making regarding the adoption of this intervention should take into consideration the risk factors leading to a greater risk of subsequent vertebral fractures, such as a higher number of fractures at baseline imaging, low-BMD score, patient comorbidities, and steroid use.

Keywords

- fracture
- osteoporosis
- vertebra
- vertebroplasty

*Joint first authors.

Background

The occurrence of new vertebral fractures is a known complication that may appear following vertebral augmentation, particularly in areas adjacent to the treated level in a manner attributed to the increased stiffness of the cemented vertebra, which alters vertebral biomechanics, transferring the load to the nonaugmented adjacent level and increasing the risk for fracture to approximately 12% at 2 years and 52% at 4 years.¹⁻⁵

Even though the role of vertebroplasty in facilitating a rapid reduction in pain, and an earlier return to daily life activities is a widely acceptable fact, significant controversy in the literature persists regarding whether vertebral augmentation increases the risk of vertebral fractures.⁶⁻¹¹

The present study aimed to determine the incidence of new osteoporotic spinal fractures in patients treated by vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment. It also sought to evaluate potential risk factors contributing to the occurrence of these fractures following vertebroplasty. The outcomes of this study may assist physicians in choosing the best treatment regimen for their patients.

Material and Methods

The Research Ethics Committee at KFSHRC Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement to collect informed consent. We retrospectively revised the data of patients who suffered from radiologically proven acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures—93 of whom underwent vertebroplasty and 361 of whom were treated conservatively—who were then followed-up with by plain radiography or cross-sectional imaging at KFSHRC between January 2010 and September 2019. The study exclusion criteria included an

absence of posttreatment imaging follow-up and the existence of vertebral fractures caused by malignancy or major trauma. Thirty-three patients who underwent vertebroplasty and 300 patients who were treated conservatively were excluded, based on these exclusion criteria.

The included subjects' clinical data, demographic profiles, and imaging findings on plain radiography, CT, and MRI scans performed at baseline and within 24 months following treatment were reviewed and documented.

The median ages of the conservatively managed patients and those treated with the percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) protocol were 64 years and 67 years, respectively. There were significantly more patients with liver disease ($n = 23/60$), a history of liver transplant ($n = 25/60$), and those using steroids ($n = 32/60$) in the vertebroplasty group. We also recorded a significantly lower mean bone mineral density (BMD) score among patients who underwent vertebroplasty ($p < 0.001$). Only 12 subjects from nonsurgical treatment (NST) group and 18 subjects from the vertebroplasty group were on antiresorptive medication. Meanwhile, patients' sex and age did not statistically correlate with the risk of subsequent new vertebral fractures. The characteristics of both groups are listed in ►Table 1.

Acute vertebral osteoporotic fractures were defined as occurrences of newly developed spinal pain on palpation, with imaging evidence of acute vertebral collapse/fracture line on various modalities or on MRI as bone marrow edema-like signals, corresponding to the compressed trabecula with or without significant end-plate depression or height loss.

The location and number of fractures at baseline and follow-up, the levels managed by vertebral augmentation procedures, the frequency of vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty sessions, and related complications were assessed.

Table 1 Summary of all included patients' characteristics

	NST ($n = 61$)	PVP ($n = 60$)	Total ($n = 121$)	p -value ^a
	n (%)			
Male	27 (44)	23 (38)	50 (41)	0.508
Liver disease	11 (32)	23 (68)	34 (28)	0.013
Renal disease	2 (29)	5 (71)	7 (5.8)	0.234
History of transplant	11 (31)	25 (69)	36 (30)	0.004
Fractures at FU	14 (30)	32 (70)	46 (38)	0.001
Antiresorptive medications	12 (40)	18 (60)	30 (25)	0.188
Vitamin D/Ca ²⁺	34 (44)	44 (56)	78 (64.5)	0.043
Steroids	19 (37)	32 (63)	51 (42)	0.023
	(Mean \pm SD)			
BMD	2.18 \pm 1.21	3.03 \pm 0.99	2.62 \pm 1.18	< 0.001 ^b
	Median (min-max)			
Age (years)	64 (16–90)	67.5 (16–90)	66 (16–90)	0.156 ^c

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; FU, follow-up; NST, nonsurgical treatment; PVP, percutaneous vertebroplasty.

^aPearson's Chi-square test

^b p -value derived from Student's t -test analysis

^c p -value derived from Mann–Whitney U test

Vertebral augmentation procedures in our institution are performed by board-certified musculoskeletal radiologists. Conventional vertebroplasty is the most frequently performed intervention, with kyphoplasty and implant-assisted vertebroplasty adopted in a few selected cases.

Patients are considered to be eligible for such interventions if they present with severe spinal pain, aggravated by palpation of the affected levels without radicular symptoms, and fail to respond to conservative treatment.

Vertebroplasty

All our procedures are conducted with aseptic techniques and general anesthesia. Eleven or 13-gauge coaxial vertebroplasty needle is advanced, using a costovertebral or transpedicular approach, with the needle tip positioned within the anterior two-thirds of the vertebral body on the lateral projection. Subsequently, the polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) mixture is injected under continuous fluoroscopic monitoring, with the operator watching for any cement leakage. After achieving adequate cement distribution, the needles are carefully withdrawn. All patients are instructed to follow the standard postprocedure precautions.

Statistics

Descriptive statistical analyses were conducted for baseline and demographic characteristics, adopting mean and standard deviation or median values for continuous variables. Intergroup comparisons were performed using the Chi-squared test for categorical variables or a parametric *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables.

Single and multiple logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine the type of treatment as a predictor of fracture occurrence at follow-up.

We used the Kaplan-Meier (KM) curve to describe the rate of acute fracture over the observation period. For comparing KM curves, we used the log-rank test (Mantel-Haenszel) to assess differences between the two treatment groups.

A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

The statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences for Windows version 25 software program (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 121 cases with acute osteoporotic compression fractures were included in our study, divided into two main groups of patients who underwent vertebroplasty (*n* = 60) or were treated conservatively (*n* = 61). Three patients who were treated conservatively presented to our emergency department with recurrent acute vertebral fractures after a period of six to 12 months and were treated nonsurgically as well. Moreover, three patients from the vertebroplasty group underwent a second vertebroplasty session, and one patient underwent three separate sessions for additional acute fractures.

The incidence rates of acute vertebral fractures were 70% (*n* = 32) in the vertebroplasty group and 30% (*n* = 14) in the conservatively treated group; thus, there was a statistically significant difference noted between the groups regarding the incidence rate (*p* = 0.001). This finding was also established by assessing the KM curves. Specifically, the NST group was at a lower risk for the development of new fractures during follow-up as compared with the PVP group (*p* = 0.024, log-rank test). Subsequent acute fractures occurred in both groups within a similar median follow-up period of 4.2 (0.4–20.1) months.

Most of the vertebral fractures observed had developed in a single vertebra in both groups, accounting for 71.4% (*n* = 10/14) among the conservatively managed patients and 71.9% (*n* = 23/32) among the vertebroplasty cases. The maximum number of acutely fractured vertebrae seen during a single follow-up appointment was five vertebrae in both groups. There was a positive trend for the probability of subsequent vertebral fractures in relation to the number of acute fractures at baseline noted (*p* = 0.013). Our findings were confirmed using a simple and multiple logistic regression analysis of the relationship between acute fractures during follow-up and potential risk factors as shown in ► Tables 2 and 3.

The location and number of vertebral fractures at initial and follow-up imaging in both groups are displayed in ► Fig. 1. Both adjacent and nonadjacent levels to the fractured

Table 2 Simple logistic regression of fracture presence at FU in relation to potential risk factors (*n* = 121)

	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Treatment groups		
NST	Reference	
PVP	3.84 (1.75–8.40)	0.001
Age	0.98 (0.96–1.01)	0.189
Gender		
Male	Reference	
Female	1.34 (0.63–2.85)	0.45
Baseline no. of acute fractures	1.44 (1.08–1.93)	0.013
Baseline BMD	1.53 (1.06–2.20)	0.023
Transplant		
Yes	Reference	
No	0.30 (0.13–0.67)	0.003
Liver disease		
Yes	Reference	
No	0.42 (0.19–0.95)	0.037
Renal disease		
Yes	Reference	
No	0.23 (0.042–1.21)	0.082

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; CI, confidence interval; FU, follow-up; NST, nonsurgical treatment; OR, odds ratio; PVP, percutaneous vertebroplasty.

Table 3 Multiple logistic regression of fracture presence at FU in relation to potential risk factors ($n = 121$)

	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Treatment groups		
NST	Reference	
PVP	3.56 (1.28–9.87)	0.015
Age	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.093
Gender		
Male	Reference	
Female	3.02 (0.99–9.22)	0.052
Baseline no. of acute fractures	1.09 (0.77–1.55)	0.612
Baseline BMD	1.14 (0.72–1.80)	0.581
Transplant		
Yes	Reference	
No	0.17 (0.02–1.81)	0.14
Liver disease		
Yes	Reference	
No	1.88 (0.18–19.68)	0.598

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; CI, confidence interval; FU, follow-up; NST, nonsurgical treatment; OR, odds ratio; PVP, percutaneous vertebroplasty.

Note: Adjusted for treatment group, age, sex, baseline no. of acute fractures, baseline BMD, transplant, liver disease

vertebrae were involved; however, adjacent fractures represented the predominant finding in both groups. Twenty of 32 fractures (62.5%) occurred immediately adjacent to the original vertebral fracture after PVP as compared with nine of 14 adjacent fractures (64.3%) in the conservative treatment group. Examples from imaging follow-up of two cases are presented in ►Figs. 2 and 3.

Standard vertebroplasty, as described in our methodology, was performed in all the cases included in this study, except for in three patients who underwent implant-assisted vertebroplasty. The maximum number of treated vertebrae in a single session was four vertebrae. The treated levels were located between D5 and S1, with L1 constituting the most frequently cemented vertebra.

One patient who underwent vertebroplasty for a D11 vertebral fracture developed cord compression 3 months after the procedure, which was attributed to combined disc herniation and cement leakage into the D11–12 disc as shown in ►Fig. 4. This patient experienced severe pain related to the



Fig. 2 Case 1. 31-year-old female known case of rheumatoid arthritis presented with acute vertebral fractures at D12 and L2 vertebra. MRI (a, b) at baseline show superior end plates compression fractures involving D12 and L2 vertebrae (arrows), with corresponding bone marrow edema on T1-weighted image (T1WI) and short tau inversion recovery (STIR), a newly developed superior end plate compression fractures noted at D8, L3, L5 vertebrae (open arrows) on follow-up MRI (c, d).



Fig. 3 Case 2. 61-year-old male status postliver transplant complained of severe thoracolumbar pain. Baseline MRI (a, b) shows superior end plates compression fractures involving D11 and L1 vertebrae (arrows), lateral and frontal projections obtained postvertebroplasty (c, d) show the unilateral left transpedicular approach, with adequate cement distribution within the treated levels, a superior end plate depression on T1-weighted image (T1WI) seen at the sandwiched D12 vertebra on follow-up MRI (e).

new adjacent fractures; however, he did not suffer from any focal neurological deficits and was treated conservatively. The remaining procedures were successfully accomplished without major complications. Nevertheless, minor technical complications in the form of cement leakage into the intervertebral discs in 15 of 60 (25%) sessions or the paravertebral veins in five of 60 (8.3%) sessions were observed. The analysis of these procedural complications revealed no significant association with the occurrence of subsequent new fractures.

Discussion

Vertebral augmentation techniques are mostly deployed in patients who have failed to show a benefit with conservative management. Their main advantages include the provision of immediate pain relief, stabilization of the fracture, and avoidance of the complications associated with strict bed rest. Yuan et al reviewed 10 randomized controlled trials (RCTs)




Fig. 1 Location and number of vertebral fractures



Fig. 4 Case 3. 50-year-old male status postliver transplant presented with acute midthoracolumbar. Baseline MRI (**a, b**) shows D11 anterior wedging and marrow edema on T1-weighted image (T1WI) and short tau inversion recovery (STIR), lateral and frontal projections obtained postvertebroplasty (**c, d**) display extrusion of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) injectate into the inferior D11-12 disc space, the subsequent MRI (**e, f**) demonstrate progressive D11 vertebral collapse with the leaked cement and the parent disc material herniate into the epidural space, resulting in moderate spinal canal stenosis on axial T2-weighted image (T2WI), additional adjacent acute compression fractures of both D10 and D12 are noted on STIR, causing focal kyphosis as well.

involving a total of 626 patients managed with vertebral augmentation and 528 patients treated conservatively and found that vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty resulted in a greater degree of pain relief and higher quality of life as compared with NST.¹²

The merit of vertebroplasty is still being questioned. The occurrence of new vertebral fractures following vertebroplasty is one of the most common complications, which has a negative impact on the therapeutic goal of these procedures. These fractures can be recurrent, adjacent, or nonadjacent to the treated level.¹³

Structural spinal alterations as a result of vertebral compression fractures generally increase the incidence of new vertebral body fractures by 19.2% within the first year of diagnosis, as reported by Lindsay et al.^{14,15}

PMMA injection into the fractured vertebrae during vertebral augmentation is presumed to reduce the compliance of this vertebra, which would alter the biomechanics of load transfer, positioning the remaining vertebrae at greater risk of collapse.¹⁶ Polikeit et al stated that cement augmentation assists with increasing the strength of the fractured vertebra; yet it places a greater load on the adjacent vertebrae.¹⁷

Many studies in the literature have investigated whether vertebral augmentation procedures are correlated with higher rates of new vertebral fractures as compared with NST. A meta-analysis published in 2017 by Zhang et al, who assessed a total of 12 RCTs and prospective studies, reported no increase existed in the incidence of adjacent-level fractures following vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty as compared with after NST.¹⁸ Another study conducted by Trout et al determined that vertebral bodies adjacent to those treated with vertebroplasty experience a greater risk for fractures, typically occurring sooner than in nonadjacent ones.¹³

Nevertheless, the results of our study have revealed that the incidence of subsequent fractures was significantly higher among those who underwent vertebral augmentation relative to patients who received NST.

Lindsay et al performed an observational study including 381 women who sustained osteoporotic vertebral fractures and stated that the presence of prevalent vertebral fractures significantly enhanced the risk after an incident fracture from 4% in those with no fractures to 24% in those with two or more fractures.¹⁴ In this study, we also observed a significant relationship between the number of acute fractures at baseline and the risk of new fractures at follow-up. This might explain the higher incidence of subsequent fractures in our PVP patients, in addition to their significantly lower BMD score, greater use of steroids, and co-existence of comorbidities.

Several other risk factors have been also linked to vertebral fractures following vertebral augmentation, such as older patient age, low body mass index (BMI), and intradiscal cement leak.¹⁹ Additional vertebroplasty complications are also related to the extravasation of cement outside the fractured vertebra into the adjacent intervertebral disc, epidural space, and anterior venous plexus. The incidence of asymptomatic extravasation is 20% to 30% versus 0.1% for a symptomatic or serious leak.²⁰

A recent prospective RCT by Yang et al and several other publications have emphasized the role of vertebroplasty as a successful method for achieving adequate pain relief and improved functional outcomes.²¹⁻²³ Some of our cases were assessed with a visual analog pain score; yet the lack of standardized methods by which to document pain scores as well as the functional status in either group precluded us from including this as a measurable outcome in our study.

Our study has several limitations. The most important of all is the fact that it was a retrospective review of medical charts and imaging studies. Another issue was the lack of clinical data regarding patient compliance with antiresorptive medication, due to inconsistent documentation of these factors in the records. Conducting further prospective studies and RCTs specifically focused on the comparative incidence of vertebral fractures and associated risk factors would have a significant impact on the decision to perform vertebroplasty procedures, especially in elderly patients with multiple comorbidities and posttransplant patients.

Conclusion

There was an increased incidence of acute fractures recorded after percutaneous vertebroplasty as compared with in patients treated conservatively. Although vertebroplasty is considered to be a relatively safe, minimally invasive procedure, conducting such an intervention in our patients should be tailored to individual cases, based on standard appropriateness criteria and should take into consideration the risk factors associated with a heightened risk of subsequent vertebral fractures such as a greater number of fractures at baseline imaging, a low BMD score, patient comorbidities, and steroid use.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Research Ethics Committee (REC) at KFSHRC in Riyadh.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable

Availability of Data and Material

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

No funding were received for the current study.

Authors' Contributions

Study conception and design: M.A, M.S.

Acquisition of data: A.K, R.B.

Analysis and interpretation of data: H.K, K.I, W.T.

Drafting of manuscript: M.A, M.S.

Critical revision: S.R.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to Mr. Fahad Alderwish, clinical application specialist. for retrieving the required studies from the PACS.

References

- Grados F, Depriester C, Cayrolle G, Hardy N, Deramond H, Fardellone P. Long-term observations of vertebral osteoporotic fractures treated by percutaneous vertebroplasty. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2000;39(12):1410–1414
- Lin EP, Ekholm S, Hiwatashi A, Westesson PL. Vertebroplasty: cement leakage into the disc increases the risk of new fracture of adjacent vertebral body. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2004;25(2):175–180
- Uppin AA, Hirsch JA, Centenera LV, Pfeifer BA, Pazianos AG, Choi IS. Occurrence of new vertebral body fracture after percutaneous vertebroplasty in patients with osteoporosis. *Radiology* 2003;226(1):119–124
- Berlemann U, Ferguson SJ, Nolte LP, Heini PF. Adjacent vertebral failure after vertebroplasty. A biomechanical investigation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002;84(5):748–752
- Lee WS, Sung KH, Jeong HT, et al. Risk factors of developing new symptomatic vertebral compression fractures after percutaneous vertebroplasty in osteoporotic patients. *Eur Spine J* 2006;15(12):1777–1783
- Farrokhi MR, Alibai E, Maghami Z. Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous vertebroplasty versus optimal medical management for the relief of pain and disability in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2011;14(5):561–569
- Diamond TH, Bryant C, Browne L, Clark WA. Clinical outcomes after acute osteoporotic vertebral fractures: a 2-year non-randomised trial comparing percutaneous vertebroplasty with conservative therapy. *Med J Aust* 2006;184(3):113–117
- Wang HK, Lu K, Liang CL, et al. Comparing clinical outcomes following percutaneous vertebroplasty with conservative therapy for acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *Pain Med* 2010;11(11):1659–1665
- Movrin I. Adjacent level fracture after osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture: a nonrandomized prospective study comparing balloon kyphoplasty with conservative therapy. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2012;124(9-10):304–311
- Diamond TH, Champion B, Clark WA. Management of acute osteoporotic vertebral fractures: a nonrandomized trial comparing percutaneous vertebroplasty with conservative therapy. *Am J Med* 2003;114(4):257–265
- Klazen CA, Lohle PN, de Vries J, et al. Vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (Vertos II): an open-label randomised trial. *Lancet* 2010;376(9746):1085–1092
- Yuan WH, Hsu HC, Lai KL. Vertebroplasty and balloon kyphoplasty versus conservative treatment for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95(31):e4491
- Trout AT, Kallmes DF, Kaufmann TJ. New fractures after vertebroplasty: adjacent fractures occur significantly sooner. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27(1):217–223
- Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C, et al. Risk of new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture. *JAMA* 2001;285(3):320–323
- Lindsay R, Burge RT, Strauss DM. One year outcomes and costs following a vertebral fracture. *Osteoporos Int* 2005;16(1):78–85
- Xie W, Jin D, Wan C, et al. The incidence of new vertebral fractures following vertebral augmentation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015;94(37):e1532
- Polikeit A, Nolte LP, Ferguson SJ. The effect of cement augmentation on the load transfer in an osteoporotic functional spinal unit: finite-element analysis. *Spine* 2003;28(10):991–996
- Zhang H, Xu C, Zhang T, Gao Z, Zhang T. Does percutaneous vertebroplasty or balloon kyphoplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures increase the incidence of new vertebral fractures? A meta-analysis. *Pain Physician* 2017;20(1):E13–E28
- Zhang Z, Fan J, Ding Q, Wu M, Yin G. Risk factors for new osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures after vertebroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2013;26(4):E150–E157 Review
- Saracen A, Kotwica Z. Complications of percutaneous vertebroplasty: an analysis of 1100 procedures performed in 616 patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95(24):e3850
- Yang W, Song J, Liang M, Cui H, Chen H, Yang J. Functional outcomes and new vertebral fractures in percutaneous vertebroplasty and conservative treatment of acute symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *World Neurosurg* 2019;131:e346–e352
- Wang B, Guo H, Yuan L, Huang D, Zhang H, Hao D. A prospective randomized controlled study comparing the pain relief in patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures with the use of vertebroplasty or facet blocking. *Eur Spine J* 2016;25(11):3486–3494
- Zuo XH, Zhu XP, Bao HG, et al. Network meta-analysis of percutaneous vertebroplasty, percutaneous kyphoplasty, nerve block, and conservative treatment for nonsurgery options of acute/subacute and chronic osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs) in short-term and long-term effects. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018;97(29):e11544