

BRIEF REPORT

Patients' Understanding of the Relationship Between Their Diabetes and Periodontal Disease

Oluwamurewa Oguntimein, PhD, MHS, CPH, CHES, James Butler III, DrPH, Med, Sharon Desmond, PhD, Kerry M. Green, PhD, Xin He, PhD, and Alice M. Horowitz, PhD

Introduction: There is a paucity of research on awareness, education, and interventions that address increased risk of developing periodontal disease, the sixth complication of diabetes. Examining the knowledge of patients with diabetes and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between periodontal disease and diabetes could inform future diabetes self-management care. We assessed the knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease; examined gender, education, and income differences in this knowledge and understanding, as well as other differences in dental hygiene practices.

Methods: A Web-based survey was conducted using a convenience sample of patients with diabetes.

Results: A total of 927 patients with diabetes participated in the study. Gender and education were significantly associated with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease in patients with diabetes. After controlling for diabetes duration, dental insurance status, and other covariates, males had less knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease compared with females ($P < .0001$). Those with higher education ($P < .002$) and those who received health care provider recommendations to obtain regular dental visits ($P < .00001$) had greater understanding of the association between diabetes and periodontal disease.

Conclusions: This study highlights the need for 1) educating male and low-education patients with diabetes in particular about their increased risk of periodontal disease and the need for regular dental visits, and 2) health care providers and dental health providers to work together to address the needs of their diabetic patients. (J Am Board Fam Med 2020;33:1004–1010.)

Keywords: Diabetes Mellitus, Health Behavior, Health Personnel, Oral Hygiene, Patient Navigation, Periodontal Diseases, Self-Management, Surveys and Questionnaires

Introduction

Background

In 2017, 83,564 Americans died from diabetes, making it 1 of the 10 leading causes of death.¹ Diabetes

management reached \$327 billion (\$237 billion direct medical costs and \$90 billion indirect costs).² These exorbitant costs are related to diabetes comorbidities: hypertension, heart disease, stroke, kidney disease, amputation, blindness, and periodontal disease.³ Currently, there are 30 million Americans living with diabetes.⁴

Research has assessed the knowledge and understanding of patients with diabetes of their increased risk for chronic diseases and complications.^{5–8} However, few studies have examined awareness, education, and interventions that address increased risk of developing periodontal disease, the sixth complication of diabetes.^{9,10} Diabetes and periodontal disease have a bidirectional relationship.^{11–17}

Based on this bidirectional relationship, periodontal disease is more prevalent among patients

This article was externally peer reviewed.
Submitted 19 December 2019; revised 1 May 2020; accepted 18 May 2020.

From the Department of Behavioral and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Maryland, College Park (OO, JB, KMG, AMH); Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Maryland, College Park (XH).

Funding: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

Corresponding author: Oluwamurewa Oguntimein, PhD, MHS, CPH, CHES, Department of Behavioral and Community Health, SPH Building #255, Suite 1234, 4200 Valley Drive, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-6011 (E-mail: murewa.oguntimein@gmail.com).

with diabetes. National Health Nutrition Examination Survey data suggest that prevalence of severe periodontitis in patients with diabetes was significantly higher than that of people without diabetes.¹⁸ Similarly, periodontitis is a predictor of diabetes death. One study of patients with diabetes found age- and sex-adjusted death rates were 3.7 for those with no or mild periodontitis, 19.6 for moderate periodontitis, and 28.4 for severe periodontitis. Periodontitis predicts death from diabetic nephropathy ($P < .01$) and ischemic heart disease ($P = .04$).¹⁹ Poorer periodontal health leads to poorer glycemic control.^{20–24} Thus, by treating periodontal disease, a positive effect on glycemic control is observed in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes.^{25–30} One meta-analysis (9 studies; 485 patients with diabetes) reported a significant reduction in HbA_{1c} of 0.46% (95% CI, 0.11–0.82; $P = .01$) following periodontal treatment.³¹ Despite evidence supporting a diabetes and periodontal disease bidirectional relationship, few studies have assessed the knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between these 2 diseases in patients with diabetes. This information is important for health care providers. It can shape their diabetes education messages for patients. The study reported here examined knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease (hereafter, bidirectional relationship) and factors associated with this knowledge in patients with diabetes.

Methods

Study Design

In August 2019, we conducted a Web-based survey to understand the knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship in adult patients with diabetes. We assessed gender, education and income, differences, and gender, education, and income differences regarding health care providers recommending regular dental visits. All data were self reported.

Sample and Procedure

Participants were ≥ 18 years old, living with type 1 or type 2 diabetes, read, spoke, and understood English. They were recruited from a marketing research company's online patient panel because of its efficiency with reaching a broad population.^{32,33} Each participant provided consent, completed the survey, and received a \$6 gift card for participating. The University of Maryland–

College Park Institutional Review Board approved this research.

Measures

The survey was developed using validated questions and scales from previous surveys. We included diabetes and periodontal disease questionnaire of Smith and colleagues³⁴ to measure knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship.³⁴ Demographic questions (gender, age, race/ethnicity, education, employment, marital status, income, smoking habits) were from the Behavioral Risk factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).³⁵ Participants' diabetes type, family history, treatment regimen, diabetes education session attendance, and health care provider recommendations for regular dental visits were queried.

For analysis, education was dichotomized into 12th grade and below versus above 12th grade, and annual income into low ($\leq \$50,000$), middle ($\$51,000$ – $\$100,000$), or high ($\geq \$101,000$) to better reveal the association between health care providers dental visit recommendations and outcomes of interest. Brushing and flossing questions were obtained from the existing literature.^{36–38} One BRFSS question captured participants dental visit practices.³⁵

Analytic Procedures

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the demographic information for categorical variables proportions, survey responses on the 5-point Likert scale (percentages) were reported, and continuous variables were reported as means with standard deviations. Multiple linear regression was used to evaluate the association of gender, education, and income with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship. Chi-squares determined gender, education, and income differences in health care providers' dental visit recommendations. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

Of the 1587 participants who consented to participate, 927 (58%) completed the survey, 223 (14.0%) were excluded because the quota for female participants was met, and 437 (27.5%) did not complete the survey. Participants were majority female ($n = 527$; 56.9%) with a mean age of 54.63 (± 14.56) years. More than half of the participants were white ($n = 581$;

62.7%), 341 (36.8%) had a high-school diploma or GED, 297 (32.0%) were retired, 441 (47.6%) were married, and 468 (50.5%) had attended a diabetes education session in the past. Knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship was 5.79 ± 2.366 (range, 0 to 10). Overall, 52.5% reported brushing their teeth more than once a day, 42.4% visited the dentist twice a year, and 38.6% flossed once or more per day (Table 1).

Health Care Provider Recommending Regular Dentist Visits

Forty-one percent indicated their health care provider recommended regular dental visits. Education and income differences were associated with health care provider recommending regular dental visits; 38.6% with a high school diploma or less indicated that their health care provider recommended regular dentist visits compared with 45.5% of participants with more than a high school diploma ($P=.037$). Only 37.1% of low-income participants indicated that their health care provider recommended regular dentist visits, whereas 48.3% of middle-income and 53.8% high-income participants ($P=.0001$) received dental visit recommendations.

Health Care Provider Recommending Dental Visits' Associations: Gender, Education, Income

The association of gender, education, and income with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship was examined using linear regression (Table 2). We controlled for diabetes type, disease duration, education session, treatment, family history, smoking status, marital status, dental insurance, and health care provider recommending dental visits.

Gender was significantly associated with diabetes and periodontal diseases' bidirectional relationship ($b = -0.038$; $P < .0001$; 95% CI, -0.056 to -0.019) (Table 2). Males had less knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship. Education was also associated with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship after controlling for covariates ($b = 0.030$, $P < .002$; 95% CI, 0.011 – 0.049) (Table 2). Participants with more than a high school diploma had more knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship than those with less education. In addition, health care provider recommending regular dental visits was positively associated with knowledge and understanding ($b = 0.036$; $P < .0001$; 95% CI, 0.018 – 0.054) of the bidirectional

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients with Diabetes (n = 927)

Characteristics	Total Response, N (%)
Gender	
Female	527 (56.9)
Male	400 (43.1)
Age, years, mean (SD)	54.63(14.6)
Ethnicity and race	
Non-Hispanic White	581 (62.7)
Black/African American	147(15.9)
Hispanic, Latino, Spanish origin	142(15.3)
Pacific Islander	25 (2.7)
Asian	17(1.8)
Other	11 (1.2)
Education	
Never attended school or only attended kindergarten	2(0.2)
Grades 1 to 8	27(2.9)
Grades 9 to 11	146(15.7)
Grade 12 or GED	341 (36.8)
College years 1 to 3	207 (22.3)
College 4 years or more	130 (14.0)
Masters	53 (5.7)
Doctoral/professional degree	21(2.3)
Employment	
Employed	266 (28.7)
Self employed	46 (5.0)
Out of work for 1 year or more	25(2.7)
Out of work for less than 1 year	7 (0.8)
Homemaker	73 (7.9)
Student	15 (1.6)
Retired	297(32.0)
Unable to work	195(21.0)
Prefer not to answer	3 (0.3)
Income	
\$25000 or less	312(33.7)
\$26000 to \$35,000	105 (11.3)
\$36000 to \$50,000	147 (15.9)
\$51000 to \$75,000	39 (15.0)
\$76000 to \$100,000	76 (8.2)
\$101000 to \$150,000	81 (8.7)
\$151000 to \$200,000	21 (2.3)
\$201000 or more	15 (1.6)
Don't know/not sure	7(0.8)
Prefer not to answer	24(2.6)
Marital status	
Married	441 (47.6)
Never married	192 (20.7)
Divorced	129 (13.9)
Widowed	73 (7.9)
A member of an unmarried couple	56 (6.0)

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics	Total Response, N (%)
Separated	31 (3.3)
Prefer not to answer	5 (0.5)
Self efficacy	
Mean \pm SD (range)	23.04 \pm 4.48 (4 to 29)
Diabetes type	
Type 1	95 (10.2)
Type 2	832 (89.8)
Diabetes treatment	
Yes	917 (98.9)
No	10 (1.1)
Family history of diabetes	
Yes	712 (76.8)
No	207 (22.3)
Don't know/not sure	8 (0.9)
Diabetes education session in the past	
Yes	468 (50.5)
No	455 (49.1)
Prefer not to answer	4 (0.4)
Healthcare provider recommended regular dentist visit	
Yes	380 (41.0)
No	532 (57.4)
Don't know/not sure	8 (0.9)
Prefer not to answer	7 (0.8)
Dental insurance	
Yes	577 (62.2)
No	347 (37.4)
Prefer not to answer	3 (0.3)
Diabetes and periodontal disease knowledge and understanding scale	
Mean \pm SD (range)	5.79 \pm 2.366 (0 to 10)
Dentist visit frequency	
Once a year	200 (21.6)
Twice a year	393 (42.4)
Once every 2 years	52 (5.6)
Only for emergency treatment	69 (7.4)
Years ago	53 (5.7)
More than 5 years ago	114 (12.3)
Never been	18 (1.9)
Prefer not to answer	28 (3.0)
Brushing frequency	
Once a day	331 (35.7)
More than once a day	487 (52.5)
Once/twice a week	59 (6.4)
Flossing frequency	
Never	161 (17.4)
Hardly ever	204 (22.0)
Once/twice a week	176 (19.0)

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics	Total Response, N (%)
Once a day	221 (23.8)
More than once a day	137 (14.8)
Prefer not to answer	28 (3.0)

SD, standard deviation; GED, General Equivalency Diploma.

relationship. However, income was not significantly associated with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship, after controlling for covariates ($P = .068$).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that examined patients with diabetes' characteristics (gender, education, income), health care providers' recommending regular dental visits and knowledge and understanding the diabetes and periodontal disease bidirectional relationship. We identified factors that predicted less understanding, which is important for targeted prevention/education efforts. Gender, education, health care providers' recommending regular dental visits and diabetes treatment were all associated with knowledge and understanding of the bidirectional relationship; interestingly, income was not associated with knowledge and understanding. It is imperative for health care providers to educate their prediabetics and patients with diabetes, about their increased risk of developing periodontitis. Educational sessions should include preventing periodontitis by brushing twice a day, flossing at least once a day, and obtaining routine dental care.³⁹ Only 41.0% of participants indicated their health care provider recommended regular dentist visits because of their diabetes. Our findings underscore the critical role of health care providers regarding oral health education in diabetes self management. Research has shown that receiving dental hygiene information from health care providers after diabetes diagnosis was significantly associated with dental hygiene knowledge and practices.⁴⁰ Providers ought to recommend diabetes education sessions to newly diagnosed patients with diabetes and refresher sessions for current patients with diabetes. Because periodontal disease exacerbates diabetes, they should encourage all diabetic patients to have regular dentist visits.

Table 2. Adjusted Linear Regression Analysis Predicting Knowledge and Understanding of the Bidirectional Relationship between Diabetes and Periodontal Disease (n = 867)

Variables	B	SE _B	P-Value	95% CI
Gender (<i>reference, Female</i>)				
Males	−0.038	0.009	.0001 [‡]	−0.054, −0.019
Education (<i>reference, 12th grade or less</i>)				
Above 12th grade	0.030	0.010	.002 [†]	0.011, 0.049
Income (<i>reference, low</i>)				
Middle	−0.010	0.011	.38	−0.031, 0.012
High	0.026	0.014	.07	−0.002, 0.055
Diabetes treatment (<i>reference, No</i>)				
Yes	0.109	0.040	.006 [*]	0.031, 0.186
HCP recommend dentist visit (<i>reference, No</i>)				
Yes	0.036	0.009	.0001 [‡]	0.018, 0.054
Employment (<i>reference, Employed</i>)				
Other	−0.002	0.010	.82	−0.022, 0.017
Health literacy (<i>reference, Adequate</i>)				
Marginal/inadequate	−0.056	0.011	.0001 [‡]	−0.077, −0.034
Diabetes type (<i>reference, type 2 diabetes</i>)				
Type 1 diabetes	0.028	0.015	.06	−0.001, 0.057
Marital status (<i>reference, Married</i>)				
Not married	−0.002	0.011	.83	−0.024, 0.019
Previously married	−0.002	0.011	.84	−0.024, 0.019
Race/ethnicity (<i>reference, non-Hispanic White</i>)				
Black or African American	0.046	0.073	.53	−0.097, 0.188
Hispanic/Latino/Spanish origin	0.071	0.073	.33	−0.071, 0.214
Asian	0.081	0.078	.30	−0.072, 0.234
Pacific Islander	0.081	0.077	.29	−0.070, 0.231
Other	0.053	0.082	.52	−0.108, 0.214
Diabetes education session (<i>reference, Yes</i>)				
No	0.057	0.089	.32	−0.118, 0.233
Diabetes duration	0.004	0.003	.16	−0.002, 0.010
Dental insurance (<i>reference, Yes</i>)				
No	−0.013	0.009	.16	−0.031, 0.005
Smoking status (<i>reference, Yes</i>)				
No	−0.015	0.010	.16	−0.035, 0.006
Family history of diabetes (<i>reference, Yes</i>)				
No	−0.009	0.010	.39	−0.029, 0.011

B, unstandardized regression coefficient; HCP, health care provider; SE_B, Standard error of the coefficient.

**P* < .05; [†]*P* < .005; [‡]*P* < .0001.

As noted, periodontal disease is the sixth complication of diabetes. Thus, as eye exams, podiatrist visits, and nutritionist consultations are incorporated into diabetes management programs, dental visits should also be incorporated.^{9,10} During initial and follow-up medical appointments for patients with diabetes, health care providers should refer such patients to a dentist and encourage them to keep regular dental appointments.

We believe this is the first study to assess the characteristics regarding knowledge and understanding of

the diabetes and periodontal disease bidirectional relationship among patients with diabetes. Nonetheless, our study has limitations. This study was cross-sectional. Thus, no causal inferences can be made from the results. We could not confirm participant's diabetes diagnosis, whether their diabetes was controlled, their dental hygiene status, or dental care history. Identifying ways of confirming diabetes diagnosis and control, and dental care history (routine and acute care dental visits, cleanings) should be addressed by future research. Since the survey was

online, patients with diabetes without access to a computer, the internet, or a smart phone were excluded. Hence, we recommend a comprehensive survey approach—that is, surveys administered in doctors' and dentists' offices, clinics, and community centers.

This study highlights the importance of informing patients with diabetes about their increased risk for developing periodontal disease. Moreover, there is a need for health care providers to recommend that their diabetic patients regularly see a dentist and for dental health providers to remind their diabetic patients to brush and floss regularly to prevent periodontal disease and improve glycemic control. Both provider types should include one another on their respective care and treatment teams. This can be an important first step in eliminating dental- and diabetes-related disparities that leads to patients with diabetes achieving better quality of life.

To see this article online, please go to: <http://jabfm.org/content/33/6/1004.full>.

References

1. Heron MD. Leading causes for 2017. National vital statistics reports. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2019.
2. American Diabetes Association. Economic costs of diabetes in the US in 2017. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41:917–28.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2018. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/data/statistics/statistics-report.html>. Accessed February 16, 2019.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes report card 2017. Updated 2018. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/library/diabetesreportcard2017-508.pdf>. Accessed January 15, 2019.
5. Merz CNB, Buse JB, Tuncer D, Twillman GB. Physician attitudes and practices and patient awareness of the cardiovascular complications of diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;40:1877–81.
6. Parving H, Lewis J, Ravid M, Remuzzi G, Hunsicker L. Prevalence and risk factors for microalbuminuria in a referred cohort of type II diabetic patients: a global perspective. *Kidney Int* 2006;69:2057–63.
7. Shepherd J, Barter P, Carmena R, et al. Effect of lowering LDL cholesterol substantially below currently recommended levels in patients with coronary heart disease and diabetes: the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:1220–6.
8. Thapa R, Poudyal G, Maharjan N, Bernstein PS. Demographics and awareness of diabetic retinopathy among diabetic patients attending the vitreo-retinal service at a tertiary eye care center in Nepal. *Nepal J Ophthalmol* 2012;4:10–6.
9. Taylor GW. Bidirectional interrelationships between diabetes and periodontal diseases: an epidemiologic perspective. *Ann Periodontol* 2001;6:99–112.
10. Saini R, Saini S, Sugandha R. Periodontal disease: the sixth complication of diabetes. *J Fam Commun Med* 2011;18:31.
11. Preshaw PM, Alba AL, Herrera D, et al. Periodontitis and diabetes: a two-way relationship. *Diabetologia* 2012;55:21–31.
12. Morita I, Inagaki K, Nakamura F, et al. Relationship between periodontal status and levels of glycated hemoglobin. *J Dent Res* 2012;91:161–6.
13. Mirza B, Syed A, Izhar F, Ali Khan A. Bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease: review of evidence. *J Pakistan Med Assoc* 2010;60:766–8.
14. Casanova L, Hughes F, Preshaw P. Diabetes and periodontal disease: a two-way relationship. *Br Dent J* 2014;217:433–7.
15. Lalla E, Papapanou PN. Diabetes mellitus and periodontitis: a tale of two common interrelated diseases. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2011;7:738–48.
16. Gümüş P, Buduneli N. Diabetes mellitus and periodontitis: signs of a bidirectional relationship. *Eur Med J* 2013;1:30–6.
17. Albert DA, Ward A, Allweiss P, et al. Diabetes and oral disease: implications for health professionals. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2012;1255:1–15.
18. Tsai C, Hayes C, Taylor GW. Glycemic control of type 2 diabetes and severe periodontal disease in the US adult population. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2002;30:182–92.
19. Saremi A, Nelson RG, Tulloch-Reid M, et al. Periodontal disease and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:27–32.
20. Negrato CA, Tarzia O, Jovanović L, Chinellato LEM. Periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2013;21:1–12.
21. Kanjirath PP, Kim SE, Inglehart MR. Diabetes and oral health: the importance of oral health-related behavior. *J Dent Hyg* 2011;85:264–72.
22. Sanz M, Ceriello A, Buysschaert M, et al. Scientific evidence on the links between periodontal diseases and diabetes: consensus report and guidelines of the joint workshop on periodontal diseases and diabetes by the International Diabetes Federation and the European Federation of Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol* 2018;45:138–49.
23. Kim EK, Lee SG, Choi YH, et al. Association between diabetes-related factors and clinical periodontal parameters in type-2 diabetes mellitus. *BMC Oral Health* 2013;13:64.

24. Borgnakke WS, Ylöstalo PV, Taylor GW, Genco RJ. Effect of periodontal disease on diabetes: systematic review of epidemiologic observational evidence. *J Clin Periodontol* 2013;40:S135–S152.
25. Stanko P, Izakovicova Holla L. Bidirectional association between diabetes mellitus and inflammatory periodontal disease. A review. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 2014;158:35–8.
26. Bascones-Martinez A, Gonzalez-Febles J, Sanz-Esporrin J. Diabetes and periodontal disease. Review of the literature. *Am J Dent* 2014;27:63–7.
27. Corbella S, Francetti L, Taschieri S, De Siena F, Fabbro MD. Effect of periodontal treatment on glycemic control of patients with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Invest* 2013;4:502–9.
28. Sun W, Chen L, Zhang S, Wu Y, Ren Y, Qin G. Inflammatory cytokines, adiponectin, insulin resistance and metabolic control after periodontal intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic periodontitis. *Intern Med* 2011;50:1569–74.
29. Teeuw WJ, Gerdes VE, Loos BG. Effect of periodontal treatment on glycemic control of diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:421–7.
30. Teshome A, Yitayeh A. The effect of periodontal therapy on glycemic control and fasting plasma glucose level in type 2 diabetic patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Oral Health* 2016;17:31.
31. Darré L, Vergnes JN, Gourdy P, Sixou M. Efficacy of periodontal treatment on glycaemic control in diabetic patients: a meta-analysis of interventional studies. *Diabetes Metab* 2008;34:497–506.
32. Dommeyer CJ, Baum P, Hanna RW, Chapman KS. Gathering faculty teaching evaluations by in-class and online surveys: their effects on response rates and evaluations. *Assess Eval Higher Educ* 2004;29:611–23.
33. Watt S, Simpson C, McKillop C, Nunn V. Electronic course surveys: does automating feedback and reporting give better results? *Assess Eval Higher Educ* 2002;27:325–37.
34. Smith RM, Fleming LE, Arheart KL, Wilkinson JD. Periodontal disease and diabetes: knowledge and attitudes assessment project. *Florida Public Health Review* 2007;4:12–7.
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Questionnaire. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2018. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/questionnaires/index.htm>. Accessed April 4, 2019.
36. Kumar S. Oral hygiene awareness among two non-professional college students in Chennai, India-A pilot study. *Oral Hygiene* 2012;5:31–36.
37. Llodra JC, Phantumvanit P, Bourgeois DM, Horn V. LLL 2: an international global level questionnaire on toothbrushing and use of fluoride toothpaste. *Int Dent J* 2014;64:20–6.
38. Hujoel P, Cunha-Cruz J, Kressin N. Spurious associations in oral epidemiological research: the case of dental flossing and obesity. *J Clin Periodontol* 2006;33:520–3.
39. American Dental Association Division of Communications. Preventing periodontal disease. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2001;132:1339.
40. Yuen HK, Wolf BJ, Bandyopadhyay D, Magruder KM, Salinas CF, London SD. Oral health knowledge and behavior among adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2009;86:239–46.