

RESEARCH

Open Access



Uniqueness of the Hadamard-type integral equations

Chenkuan Li^{1*}

*Correspondence: lic@brandonu.ca

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Brandon University, Brandon, Manitoba R7A 6A9, Canada

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to study the uniqueness of solutions of several Hadamard-type integral equations and a related coupled system in Banach spaces. The results obtained are new and based on Babenko's approach and Banach's contraction principle. We also present several examples for illustration of the main theorems.

MSC: 45E10; 34A12; 26A33

Keywords: Hadamard-type integral; Banach's fixed point theorem; Babenko's approach; Multivariate Mittag-Leffler function

1 Introduction

The Hadamard-type fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ for a function u is defined in [1, 2] as

$$(\mathcal{J}_{a+,\mu}^\alpha u)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^\mu \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} u(t) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where $\log(\cdot) = \log_e(\cdot)$, $0 < a < x < b$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding derivative is given by

$$(\mathcal{D}_{a+,\mu}^\alpha u)(x) = x^{-\mu} \delta^n x^\mu (\mathcal{J}_{a+,\mu}^{n-\alpha} u)(x), \quad \delta = x \frac{d}{dx},$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, $[\alpha]$ being the integral part of α . When $\mu = 0$, they take the forms

$$(\mathcal{J}_{a+}^\alpha u)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} u(t) \frac{dt}{t},$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_{a+}^\alpha u)(x) = \delta^n (\mathcal{J}_{a+}^{n-\alpha} u)(x),$$

respectively. In particular, for $\alpha = 1$,

$$(\mathcal{J}_{a+,\mu} u)(x) = (\mathcal{J}_{a+,\mu}^1 u)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)x^\mu} \int_a^x t^{\mu-1} u(t) dt,$$

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

which leads to definition of the space $X_\mu(a, b)$ of Lebesgue-measurable functions u on $[a, b]$ for which $x^{\mu-1}u(x)$ is absolutely integrable [2]:

$$X_\mu(a, b) = \left\{ u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|u\|_{X_\mu} = \int_a^b x^{\mu-1} |u(x)| dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Clearly, for $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1}\} \int_a^b |u(x)| dx &\leq \int_a^b x^{\mu-1} |u(x)| dx \leq \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1}\} \int_a^b |u(x)| dx, \quad \text{and} \\ 0 &< \min_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1}\} \leq \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $X_\mu(a, b)$ is a Banach space, since $L(a, b)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_L = \int_a^b |u(x)| dx$$

is complete and the norms $\|u\|_{X_\mu}$ and $\|u\|_L$ are equivalent.

We need the following lemmas shown by Kilbas [2].

Lemma 1.1 *If $\alpha > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < a < b < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha$ is bounded in $X_\mu(a, b)$, and for $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$,*

$$\|\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha u\|_{X_\mu} \leq K \|u\|_{X_\mu},$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left[\log \left(\frac{b}{a} \right) \right]^\alpha.$$

Lemma 1.2 *If $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$, then the semigroup property holds:*

$$\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\beta u = \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha+\beta} u.$$

There are a lot of studies on fractional differential and integral equations involving Riemann–Liouville or Caputo operators with boundary value problems or initial conditions [3–11]. Li and Sarwar [12] considered the existence of solutions for the following fractional-order initial value problems:

$$\begin{cases} ({}_C D_{0,t}^\alpha u)(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, and ${}_C D_{0,t}^\alpha$ is the Caputo derivative.

Wu et al. [13] studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions by fixed point theory for the following fractional differential equation with nonlinearity depending on fractional derivatives of lower order on an infinite interval:

$$\begin{cases} (D_{0+}^\alpha u)(t) + f(t, u(t), (D_{0+}^{\alpha-2} u)(t), (D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u)(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, \infty), \\ u(0) = u'(0) = 0, & (D_{0+}^{\alpha-1} u)(\infty) = \zeta, \end{cases}$$

where $2 < \alpha \leq 3$, D_{0+}^α , $D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}$, and $D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}$ are all Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives.

Ahmad and Ntouyas [14] considered a coupled system of Hadamard-type fractional differential equations and integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{1+}^{\alpha} u(t) = w_1(t, u(t), v(t)), & 1 < t < e, 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{1+}^{\beta} v(t) = w_2(t, u(t), v(t)), & 1 < t < e, 1 < \beta \leq 2, \\ u(1) = 0, & u(e) = \mathcal{J}_{1+}^{\gamma} u(\sigma_1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_1^{\sigma_1} (\log \frac{\sigma_1}{s})^{\gamma-1} u(s) \frac{ds}{s}, \\ v(1) = 0, & v(e) = \mathcal{J}_{1+}^{\gamma} v(\sigma_2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_1^{\sigma_2} (\log \frac{\sigma_2}{s})^{\gamma-1} v(s) \frac{ds}{s}, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $1 < \sigma_1 < e$, $1 < \sigma_2 < e$, and $w_1, w_2 : [1, e] \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ are continuous functions satisfying certain conditions. They showed the existence of solutions by Leray–Schauder’s alternative and the uniqueness by Banach’s fixed point theorem, based on the fact that for $1 < q \leq 2$ and $z \in C([1, e], R)$, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{1+}^q x(t) = z(t), & 1 < t < e, \\ x(1) = 0, & x(e) = \mathcal{J}_{1+}^{\gamma} x(\theta), \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution

$$x(t) = \mathcal{J}_{1+}^q z(t) + \frac{(\log t)^{q-1}}{Q} [\mathcal{J}_{1+}^{\gamma+q} z(\theta) - \mathcal{J}_{1+}^q z(e)],$$

where

$$Q = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_1^{\theta} (\log \frac{\theta}{s})^{\gamma-1} (\log s)^{q-1} \frac{ds}{s}}.$$

Let $g : [a, b] \times R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function. In this paper, we study the following nonlinear Hadamard-type (μ is arbitrary in R) integral equation in the space $X_{\mu}(a, b)$:

$$a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} u)(x) + \cdots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + u(x) = g(x, u(x)), \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha_n > \alpha_{n-1} > \cdots > \alpha_1 > 0$, and $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are complex numbers, not all zero.

To the best of the author’s knowledge, equation (1) is new in the framework of Hadamard-type integral equations. First, by Babenko’s approach we will construct the solution as a convergent infinite series in $X_{\mu}(a, b)$ for the integral equation

$$a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} u)(x) + \cdots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + u(x) = f(x), \quad (2)$$

where $f \in X_{\mu}(a, b)$. Then we will show that there exists a unique solution for equation (1) using Banach’s contraction principle. Furthermore, we present the solution for the Hadamard-type integral equation

$$a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} u)(x) + \cdots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} u)(x) = f(x) \quad (3)$$

by the Hadamard fractional derivative and show the uniqueness for the coupled system of integral equations

$$\begin{cases} a_n(\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} u)(x) + \cdots + a_1(\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + u(x) = g_1(x, u(x), v(x)), \\ b_n(\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\beta_n} v)(x) + \cdots + b_1(\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\beta_1} v)(x) + v(x) = g_2(x, u(x), v(x)), \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_n > \alpha_{n-1} > \cdots > \alpha_1 > 0$, $\beta_n > \beta_{n-1} > \cdots > \beta_1 > 0$, and there exist at least one nonzero a_i and one nonzero b_j for some $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We also present several examples for illustration of our results.

2 Main results

We begin by showing the solution for equation (2) as a convergent series in the space $X_\mu(a, b)$ by Babenko's approach [15], which is a powerful tool in solving differential and integral equations. The method itself is close to the Laplace transform method in the ordinary sense, but it can be used in more cases [16, 17], such as solving integral or fractional differential equations with distributions whose Laplace transforms do not exist in the classical sense. Clearly, it is always necessary to show the convergence of the series obtained as solutions. Podlubny [16] also provided interesting applications to solving certain partial differential equations for heat and mass transfer by Babenko's method. Recently, Li and Plowman [18] and Li [19] studied the generalized Abel's integral equations of the second kind with variable coefficients by Babenko's technique.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $f \in X_\mu(a, b)$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$. Then equation (2) has a unique solution in the space $X_\mu(a, b)$,*

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \cdots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \cdots + k_1 \alpha_1} f)(x), \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha_n > \cdots > \alpha_1 > 0$, and a_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are complex numbers, not all zero.

Proof Equation (2) can be written as

$$(a_n \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} + \cdots + a_1 \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} + 1)u(x) = f(x).$$

By Babenko's method we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= (a_n \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} + \cdots + a_1 \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} + 1)^{-1} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (a_n \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} + \cdots + a_1 \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1})^k f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} (a_n \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n})^{k_n} \cdots (a_1 \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1})^{k_1} f(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n} \cdots a_1^{k_1} \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_1 \alpha_1} f(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} f)(x)$$

using Lemma 1.2 and the multinomial theorem. Note that

$$\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^0 f(x) = f(x).$$

It remains to show that series (5) converges in the space $X_\mu(a, b)$. By Lemma 1.1

$$\|\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} f(x)\|_{X_\mu} \leq K \|f\|_{X_\mu},$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1 + 1)} \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{X_\mu} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \frac{(|a_n|(\log \frac{b}{a})^{\alpha_n})^{k_n} \dots (|a_1|(\log \frac{b}{a})^{\alpha_1})^{k_1}}{\Gamma(k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1 + 1)} \|f\|_{X_\mu} \\ & = E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \|f\|_{X_\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) < \infty$$

is the value of the multivariate Mittag-Leffler function $E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ given in [7] at

$$z_1 = |a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \quad \dots, \quad z_n = |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n}.$$

Thus $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$, and the series on the right-hand of equation (5) is convergent.

To verify that the series is a solution, we substitute it into the left-hand side of equation (2):

$$\begin{aligned} & a_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{(k_n+1)\alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} f)(x) + \dots \\ & + a_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + (k_1+1)\alpha_1} f)(x) + \dots \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} f)(x) \\ & = a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} f)(x) + a_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{(k_n+1)\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) + \dots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& + a_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+(k_1+1)\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& + f(x) - a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} f)(x) - \dots - a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& = a_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{(k_n+1)\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) + \dots \\
& + a_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+(k_1+1)\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& + f(x) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) = f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{(k_n+1)\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) + \dots \\
& + a_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+(k_1+1)\alpha_1} f)(x) \\
& + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n\alpha_n+\dots+k_1\alpha_1} f)(x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by cancelation. Note that all series are absolutely convergent and the term rearrangements are feasible for cancelation.

Clearly, the uniqueness immediately follows from the fact that the integral equation

$$a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_n} u)(x) + \dots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_1} u)(x) + u(x) = 0$$

only has zero solution by Babenko's method. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Let $\nu > 0$ and $x \geq 0$. The incomplete gamma function is defined by

$$\gamma(\nu, x) = \int_0^x t^{\nu-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

From the recurrence relation [20]

$$\gamma(\nu + 1, x) = \nu \gamma(\nu, x) - x^\nu e^{-x}$$

we get

$$\gamma(\nu, x) = x^\nu \Gamma(\nu) e^{-x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^j}{\Gamma(\nu + j + 1)}. \quad (6)$$

Example 1 Let $0 < a < x < b$. Then the Hadamard-type integral equation

$$(\mathcal{J}_{a+,-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}u)(x) + u(x) = x^2$$

has the solution

$$u(x) = ax \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\log \frac{x}{a})^{j+\frac{1}{2}k}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}k + j + 1)}.$$

Indeed, it follows from Lemma 2.4 in [2] that

$$(\mathcal{J}_{a+,\mu}^{\alpha} t^w)(x) = \frac{\gamma(\alpha, (\mu + w) \log(x/a))}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (\mu + w)^{-\alpha} x^w,$$

where $\mu + w > 0$.

By Theorem 2.1

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\mathcal{J}_{a+,-1}^{\frac{1}{2}k} t^2)(x) = x^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\gamma(k/2, \log(x/a))}{\Gamma(k/2)}.$$

Applying equation (6), we have

$$\gamma(k/2, \log(x/a)) = (\log x/a)^{k/2} \Gamma(k/2) \frac{a}{x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log x/a)^j}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}k + j + 1)}.$$

Thus

$$u(x) = ax \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\log \frac{x}{a})^{j+\frac{1}{2}k}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}k + j + 1)}$$

is a solution in the space $X_{\mu}(a, b)$.

The following theorem shows the uniqueness of solution of equation (1).

Theorem 2.2 Let $g : [a, b] \times R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function and suppose that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in [a, b]$,

$$|g(x, y_1) - g(x, y_2)| \leq C|y_1 - y_2|, \quad y_1, y_2 \in R.$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$CE_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) < 1.$$

Then equation (1) has a unique solution in the space $X_{\mu}(a, b)$ for every $\mu \in R$.

Proof Let $u \in X_{\mu}(a, b)$. Then $g(x, u(x)) \in X_{\mu}(a, b)$ since

$$|g(x, u(x))| \leq |g(x, u(x)) - g(x, 0)| + |g(x, 0)| \leq C|u(x)| + |g(x, 0)| \in X_{\mu}(a, b)$$

by noting that $g(x, 0)$ is a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Define the mapping T on $X_\mu(a, b)$ by

$$T(u)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} g(t, u(t)))(x).$$

In particular, for $k = 0$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} g(t, u(t))(x) = g(x, u(x)).$$

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\|T(u)\|_{X_\mu} \leq E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \|g(x, u(x))\|_{X_\mu}.$$

Clearly,

$$\|g(x, u(x))\|_{X_\mu} \leq C \|u\|_{X_\mu} + \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1} |g(x, 0)|\} (b-a) < \infty.$$

Hence T is a mapping from $X_\mu(a, b)$ to $X_\mu(a, b)$. It remains to prove that T is contractive. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u) - T(v)\|_{X_\mu} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \\ &\quad \cdot |a_n|^{k_n} \dots |a_1|^{k_1} \|\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} (g(t, u(t)) - g(t, v(t)))(x)\|_{X_\mu} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \frac{(|a_n| (\log \frac{b}{a})^{\alpha_n})^{k_n} \dots (|a_1| (\log \frac{b}{a})^{\alpha_1})^{k_1}}{\Gamma(k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1 + 1)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|g(t, u(t)) - g(t, v(t))\|_{X_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|g(t, u(t)) - g(t, v(t))\|_{X_\mu} \leq C \|u - v\|_{X_\mu},$$

we derive

$$\|T(u) - T(v)\|_{X_\mu} \leq C E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \|u - v\|_{X_\mu}.$$

Therefore T is contractive. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

Example 2 Let $a = 1$, $b = e$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a unique solution for the following Hadamard-type integral equation:

$$(\mathcal{J}_{1^+, \mu}^{1.5} u)(x) + (\mathcal{J}_{1^+, \mu} u)(x) + u(x) = \frac{x^2}{9(1+x^2)} \sin u(x) + \cos(\sin x) + 1. \quad (7)$$

Clearly, the function

$$g(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{9(1+x^2)} \sin y + \cos(\sin x) + 1$$

is a continuous function from $[1, e] \times R$ to R and satisfies

$$|g(x, y_1) - g(x, y_2)| \leq \frac{x^2}{9(1+x^2)} |\sin y_1 - \sin y_2| \leq \frac{x^2}{9(1+x^2)} |y_1 - y_2| \leq \frac{1}{9} |y_1 - y_2|.$$

Obviously, $a_2 = a_1 = 1$, and $\log b/a = 1$. By Theorem 2.2 we need to calculate the value

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1.5k_2 + k_1 + 1)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1+0.5j)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1+0.5j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1+0.5j)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} = 2^k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1.5k_2 + k_1 + 1)} \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{k!} \\ &= 1 + 2 + \frac{2 \cdot 2}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} + \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5} + \cdots \\ &\leq 1 + 2 + 2 + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^0 \right) + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^1 + \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 + \cdots \\ &= \frac{16}{3} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} = \frac{25}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1.5k_2 + k_1 + 1)} < \frac{25}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{9} < 1.$$

By Theorem 2.2 equation (7) has a unique solution.

Remark 1 There are algorithms for computation of the Mittag-Leffler function [21]

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta \in R, z \in C,$$

and its derivative. In particular,

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = -\frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi\alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-r^{1/\alpha}}}{r^2 - 2rz \cos(\pi\alpha) + z^2} dr - \frac{1}{z}, \beta = 1 + \alpha,$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\arg z| > \pi\alpha$, $z \neq 0$.

The Mittag-Leffler function is widely used in studying fractional differential equations and fractional calculus. Li [22] studied three classes of fractional oscillators and obtained the solutions of the first class in terms of the Mittag-Leffler function.

Define the product space $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$ with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = \|u\|_{X_\mu} + \|v\|_{X_\mu}.$$

Clearly, $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$ is a Banach space.

Now we can extend Theorem 2.2 to the coupled system of the Hadamard-type integral equations given by (4).

Theorem 2.3 *Let $g_1, g_2 : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions and suppose that there exist nonnegative constants C_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, such that for all $x \in [a, b]$ and $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$,*

$$|g_1(x, u_1, v_1) - g_1(x, u_2, v_2)| \leq C_1|u_1 - u_2| + C_2|v_1 - v_2|,$$

$$|g_2(x, u_1, v_1) - g_2(x, u_2, v_2)| \leq C_3|u_1 - u_2| + C_4|v_1 - v_2|.$$

Furthermore, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} q = & \max\{C_1, C_2\} E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \\ & + \max\{C_3, C_4\} E_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, 1)} \left(|b_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_1}, \dots, |b_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_n} \right) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then system (4) has a unique solution in the product space $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$ for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Let $u, v \in X_\mu(a, b)$. Then $g_1(x, u(x), v(x)), g_2(x, u(x), v(x)) \in X_\mu(a, b)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(x, u(x), v(x))| & \leq |g_1(x, u(x), v(x)) - g_1(x, 0, 0)| + |g_1(x, 0, 0)| \\ & \leq C_1|u(x)| + C_2|v(x)| + |g_1(x, 0, 0)| \in X_\mu(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

by noting that $g_1(x, 0, 0)$ is a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Furthermore,

$$\|g_1(x, u(x), v(x))\|_{X_\mu} \leq C_1\|u\|_{X_\mu} + C_2\|v\|_{X_\mu} + \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1} |g_1(x, 0, 0)|\} (b-a) < \infty$$

for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define the mapping T on $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$ by

$$T(u, v) = (T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v)),$$

where

$$T_1(u, v)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} \\ \cdot \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n \alpha_n + \dots + k_1 \alpha_1} g_1(t, u(t), v(t))(x),$$

and

$$T_2(u, v)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} b_n^{k_n} \dots b_1^{k_1} \\ \cdot \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n \beta_n + \dots + k_1 \beta_1} g_2(t, u(t), v(t))(x).$$

Clearly, from the proof of Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\|T_1(u, v)\|_{X_\mu} \leq E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \\ \cdot \left(C_1 \|u\|_{X_\mu} + C_2 \|v\|_{X_\mu} + \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1} |g_1(x, 0, 0)|\} (b-a) \right) < \infty$$

and

$$\|T_2(u, v)\|_{X_\mu} \leq E_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, 1)} \left(|b_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_1}, \dots, |b_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_n} \right) \\ \cdot \left(C_3 \|u\|_{X_\mu} + C_4 \|v\|_{X_\mu} + \max_{x \in [a, b]} \{x^{\mu-1} |g_2(x, 0, 0)|\} (b-a) \right) < \infty.$$

Hence

$$\|T(u, v)\| = \|T_1(u, v)\|_{X_\mu} + \|T_2(u, v)\|_{X_\mu} < \infty,$$

which implies that T maps the Banach space $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$ into itself. It remains to show that T is contractive. Indeed,

$$\|T_1(u_1, v_1) - T_1(u_2, v_2)\|_{X_\mu} \leq E_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1)} \left(|a_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, |a_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\alpha_n} \right) \\ \cdot \max\{C_1, C_2\} (\|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_\mu} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_\mu}),$$

and

$$\|T_2(u_1, v_1) - T_2(u_2, v_2)\|_{X_\mu} \leq E_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, 1)} \left(|b_1| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_1}, \dots, |b_n| \left(\log \frac{b}{a} \right)^{\beta_n} \right) \\ \cdot \max\{C_3, C_4\} (\|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_\mu} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_\mu}).$$

Thus

$$\|T(u_1, v_1) - T(u_2, v_2)\| = \|T_1(u_1, v_1) - T_1(u_2, v_2)\|_{X_\mu} + \|T_2(u_1, v_1) - T_2(u_2, v_2)\|_{X_\mu} \\ \leq q (\|u_1 - u_2\|_{X_\mu} + \|v_1 - v_2\|_{X_\mu}),$$

where $q < 1$ by assumption. By Banach's contractive principle system (4) has a unique solution in the space $X_\mu(a, b) \times X_\mu(a, b)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Let $AC[a, b]$ be the set of absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$, which coincides with the space of primitives of Lebesgue-measurable functions [3]:

$$h \in AC[a, b] \quad \text{if and only if} \quad h(x) = h(a) + \int_a^x \psi(t) dt, \quad \psi \in L[a, b].$$

Clearly, if $f \in AC[a, b]$ with $0 < a < b < \infty$, then $x^\mu f(x) \in AC[a, b]$ since $x^\mu \in AC[a, b]$.

The following results are from Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 5.5(a) in [2].

(i) If $\alpha > \beta > 0$ and $\mu \in R$, then for $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^\beta \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha u = \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha-\beta} u.$$

(ii) If $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^\alpha u = u.$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $\alpha_n > \dots > \alpha_1 > \alpha_0$ with $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$, and let $f \in AC[a, b]$. In addition, let $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be complex numbers, not all zero. Then equation (3) has a unique solution in the space $X_\mu(a, b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) = & a^\mu f(a) x^{-\mu} \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{-\alpha_0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} \\ & \cdot \frac{(\log \frac{x}{a})^{k_n(\alpha_n-\alpha_0)+\dots+k_1(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)}}{\Gamma(k_n(\alpha_n-\alpha_0)+\dots+k_1(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)+1-\alpha_0)} \\ & + \mu \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} \\ & \cdot (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n(\alpha_n-\alpha_0)+\dots+k_1(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)+1-\alpha_0} f)(x) \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_n=k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \dots a_1^{k_1} \\ & \cdot (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{k_n(\alpha_n-\alpha_0)+\dots+k_1(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)+1-\alpha_0} f')(x). \end{aligned}$$

Proof It follows from Theorem 5.3 in [2] that

$$(\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x) = \frac{x^{-\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)} \left[f_0(a) \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{-\alpha_0} + \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t} \right)^{-\alpha_0} f_0'(t) dt \right],$$

where $f_0(x) = x^\mu f(x) \in AC[a, b]$. We first claim that $(\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x) \in X_\mu(a, b)$. Indeed,

$$\int_a^b x^{\mu-1} x^{-\mu} \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{-\alpha_0} dx = \int_a^b \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{-\alpha_0} d \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right) = \frac{(\log \frac{b}{a})^{1-\alpha_0}}{1-\alpha_0} < \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{x^{-1}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)} \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\alpha_0} f'_0(t) dt \in X_\mu(a, b)$$

by noting that $f'_0(t) \in L[a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)} \int_a^b \frac{1}{x} \left| \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\alpha_0} f'_0(t) dt \right| dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)} \int_a^b |f'_0(t)| dt \int_t^b \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\alpha_0} d\left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right) = K \int_a^b |f'_0(t)| dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha_0)} \left(\log \frac{b}{a}\right)^{1-\alpha_0}.$$

For $u \in X_\mu(a, b)$, equation (3) turns out to be

$$a_n (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_n - \alpha_0} u)(x) + \cdots + a_1 (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0} u)(x) + u(x) = (\mathcal{D}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x)$$

by applying the fractional differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_0}$ to both sides. Then by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_n = k} \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} a_n^{k_n} \cdots a_1^{k_1} \\ &\quad \cdot (\mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{k_n(\alpha_n - \alpha_0) + \cdots + k_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0)} \mathcal{D}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

To remove the differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_0}$, we compute the Hadamard-type fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ for the first term in $(\mathcal{D}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{J}_{a^+, \mu}^{\alpha} \frac{f_0(a) t^{-\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)} \left(\log \frac{t}{a}\right)^{-\alpha_0} \\ &= \frac{f_0(a)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\mu} \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{-\mu} \left(\log \frac{t}{a}\right)^{-\alpha_0} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \frac{f_0(a) x^{-\mu}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_0)\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{a}\right)^{-\alpha_0} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable

$$\tau = \frac{\log(t/a)}{\log(x/a)},$$

we get

$$\int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\log \frac{t}{a}\right)^{-\alpha_0} \frac{dt}{t} = \left(\log \frac{x}{a}\right)^{\alpha-\alpha_0} \int_0^1 (1-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \tau^{-\alpha_0} d\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{\alpha - \alpha_0} B(\alpha, 1 - \alpha_0) \\
&= \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{\alpha - \alpha_0} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(1 - \alpha_0)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \alpha_0)},
\end{aligned}$$

where B denotes the beta function. Hence

$$\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha} \frac{f_0(a) t^{-\mu}}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha_0)} \left(\log \frac{t}{a} \right)^{-\alpha_0} = \frac{f_0(a) x^{-\mu}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 - \alpha_0)} \left(\log \frac{x}{a} \right)^{\alpha - \alpha_0}. \quad (9)$$

The second term in $(\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f)(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha_0)} \int_a^x x^{-\mu} \left(\log \frac{x}{t} \right)^{-\alpha_0} f_0'(t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha_0)} \int_a^x \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\mu} \left(\log \frac{x}{t} \right)^{1 - \alpha_0 - 1} [t^{-\mu+1} f_0'(t)] \frac{dt}{t} \\
&= \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{1 - \alpha_0} (t^{-\mu+1} f_0'(t)) = \mu (\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{1 - \alpha_0} f)(x) + \mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{1 - \alpha_0} (t f'(t))(x).
\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Therefore the solution immediately follows by substituting equations (9) and (10) into equation (8). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Remark 2 It seems impossible to deal with the case $\alpha_0 \geq 1$ along the same lines as $\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0} f \notin X_{\mu}(a, b)$ for $f \in \text{AC}[a, b]$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha_0}$ is not a bounded operator on $\text{AC}[a, b]$. The single-term Hadamard-type integral equation

$$\mathcal{J}_{a+, \mu}^{\alpha} u = f, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

was studied in [2] with the necessary and sufficient conditions given in Theorem 3.1.

3 Conclusions

Using Babenko's approach and Banach's contraction principle, we have derived the uniqueness of solution for several Hadamard-type integral equations and related coupled system. The results obtained are new in the present configuration of integral equations.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

This work is supported by NSERC (Canada 2019-03907).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The author prepared, read, and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 September 2020 Accepted: 28 December 2020 Published online: 09 January 2021

References

1. Kilbas, A.A.: Hadamard-type fractional calculus. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38**, 1191–1204 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbulsci.2011.12.004>
2. Kilbas, A.A.: Hadamard-type integral equations and fractional calculus operators. *Oper. Theory, Adv. Appl.* **142**, 175–188 (2003)
3. Samko, S.G., Kilbas, A.A., Marichev, O.I.: *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon & Breach, New York (1993)
4. Li, C.: Several results of fractional derivatives in $D'(R_+)$. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **18**, 192–207 (2015)
5. Li, C., Clarkson, K.: Babenko's approach to Abel's integral equations. *Mathematics* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6030032>
6. Li, C., Huang, J.: Remarks on the linear fractional integro-differential equation with variable coefficients in distribution. *Fract. Differ. Calc.* **10**, 57–77 (2020)
7. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006)
8. Marasi, H., Piri, H., Aydi, H.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **9**, 4639–4646 (2016)
9. Zhang, L., Ahmad, B., Wang, G., Agarwal, R.P.: Nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations on unbounded domains in a Banach space. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **249**, 51–56 (2013)
10. Ahmad, B., Nieto, J.J.: Existence results for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional integro differential equations with integral boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2009**, Article ID 708576 (2009)
11. Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: Fractional differential inclusions with fractional separated boundary conditions. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **15**, 362–382 (2012)
12. Li, C., Sarwar, S.: Existence and continuation of solutions for Caputo type fractional differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **2016**, Article ID 207 (2016)
13. Wu, J., Zhang, X., Liu, L., Wu, Y., Cui, Y.: Convergence analysis of iterative scheme and error estimation of positive solution for a fractional differential equation. *Math. Model. Anal.* **23**, 611–626 (2018)
14. Ahmad, B., Ntouyas, S.K.: A fully Hadamard type integral boundary value problem of a coupled system of fractional differential equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **17**, 348–360 (2014)
15. Babenko, Y.I.: *Heat and Mass Transfer*. Khimiya, Leningrad (1986) (in Russian)
16. Podlubny, I.: *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, New York (1999)
17. Li, C., Li, C.P., Clarkson, K.: Several results of fractional differential and integral equations in distribution. *Mathematics* **6**(6), 97 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6060097>
18. Li, C., Plowman, H.: Solutions of the generalized Abel's integral equations of the second kind with variable coefficients. *Axioms* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms8040137>
19. Li, C.: The generalized Abel's integral equations on R^n with variable coefficients. *Fract. Differ. Calc.* **10**, 129–140 (2020)
20. Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, New York (1980)
21. Gorenflo, R., Loutchko, J., Luchko, Y.: Computation of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ and its derivative. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **5**, 491–518 (2002)
22. Li, M.: Three classes of fractional oscillators. *Symmetry* **10**, 40 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10020040>

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)