

P. K. Jain, P. Sharma, V. Jain

A CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS INVOLVING A DIFFERENTIO-INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Abstract. This paper focuses on a generalized linear operator I^m which is a combination of both differential and integral operators. Involving this operator, a class $TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ ($\subseteq TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$) with respect to k -symmetric points is defined. Results based on coefficient inequalities and bounds for this class are obtained. Various integral representations and some consequent results for $TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ class are also determined. Further, results on partial sums are discussed.

1. Introduction

Let S denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic and univalent in the unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions, whose coefficients from the second one are real and non-positive, of the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n.$$

The convolution $*$ of two power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n * \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Based on the work of Silverman [16] on the class T of univalent functions, further studies involve various types of linear operators. We involve in this study, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, a generalized linear operator $I^m \equiv$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases: analytic functions, starlike and convex functions, convolution, subordination.

$I^m(A, B, \mu, \lambda) : T \rightarrow T$ which is defined for $A \geq 0, B \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda > -1$, by

$$(1.3) \quad I^m(A, B, \mu, \lambda)f(z) = \phi^m(A, B, \mu)(z) * R^\lambda f(z),$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad R^\lambda f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}} |a_n| z^n$$

is the Ruscheweyh derivative [10] of the function $f \in T$ of the form (1.2) with the Pochhammer symbol $(x)_n$ and

$$\phi^m(A, B, \mu)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{A}{1+\mu}(n-1) + \mu\right)^{m-1}}{(1+B(n-1))^m} z^n.$$

The operator I^m is recently considered in [4].

Let, for $A \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$, an integral operator $\mathbf{I}_{A,\mu} : T \rightarrow T$ be defined by

$$\mathbf{I}_{A,\mu}f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+\mu)}{A} z^{1-\frac{1+\mu}{A}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1+\mu}{A}-2} f(t), & A \neq 0, \\ f(z), & A = 0 \end{cases}$$

and a differential operator $\mathbf{D}_{A,\mu} : T \rightarrow T$ be defined by

$$\mathbf{D}_{A,\mu}f(z) = \frac{A}{1+\mu} z^{(2-\frac{1+\mu}{A})} \left(z^{(\frac{1+\mu}{A}-1)} f(z) \right)'.$$

We denote $\mathbf{I}_{A,0} \equiv \mathbf{I}_A$.

It is observed that the operator I^m is a *differentio-integral* operator and is a combination of the operators $\mathbf{I}_{A,\mu}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{A,\mu}$ defined on the Ruscheweyh derivative $R^\lambda f(z)$. We may express it as follows

$$I^0 f(z) \equiv \mathbf{I}_{A,\mu} R^\lambda f(z) = \frac{(1+\mu)}{A} z^{1-\frac{1+\mu}{A}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1+\mu}{A}-2} R^\lambda f(t), \quad A \neq 0,$$

$$= R^\lambda f(z), \quad A = 0,$$

$$I^1 f(z) \equiv \mathbf{I}_B \mathbf{J}^1 f(z) = \frac{z^{1-\frac{1}{B}}}{B} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{B}-2} \mathbf{J}^1 f(t), \quad B \neq 0,$$

$$= \mathbf{J}^1 f(z), \quad B = 0,$$

$$I^2 f(z) \equiv \mathbf{I}_B \mathbf{I}_B \mathbf{J}^2 f(z) = \mathbf{I}_B^2 \mathbf{J}^2 f(z), \quad B \neq 0,$$

$$= \mathbf{J}^2 f(z), \quad B = 0,$$

hence, for $m \geq 2$,

$$(1.5) \quad I^m f(z) \equiv \underbrace{\mathbf{I}_B \mathbf{I}_B \dots \mathbf{I}_B}_{m \text{ times}} \mathbf{J}^m f(z) = \mathbf{I}_B^m \mathbf{J}^m f(z), \quad B \neq 0 \\ = \mathbf{J}^m f(z), \quad B = 0$$

where for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the operator $\mathbf{J}^m \equiv \mathbf{J}^m(A, \mu, \lambda) = I^m(A, 0, \mu, \lambda)$ is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{J}^0 f(z) = I^0 f(z), \quad \mathbf{J}^1 f(z) = R^\lambda f(z), \quad \text{and for } m \geq 2, \\ \mathbf{J}^m f(z) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_{A, \mu} \mathbf{J}^{m-1} f(z), & A \neq 0, \\ R^\lambda f(z), & A = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right)$, and for $f \in T$ of the form (1.2),

$$(1.7) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{-j} f(\epsilon_k^j z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n^k |a_n| z^n \in T,$$

where $\phi_n^1 = 1$, and

$$\phi_n^k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{j(n-1)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n-1 = lk, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & n-1 = lk + p, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$

Points $\epsilon_k^j z$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ($k \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$) are called k -symmetric points. Clearly $f_1(z) = f(z)$ and $f_2(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(-z)}{2}$.

Thus, for $f \in T$ of the form (1.2), we write for convenience, the series expansion of (1.3) as

$$(1.8) \quad I^m f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| z^n,$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad P_n = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{A}{1+\mu}(n-1)\right)^{m-1}}{(1+B(n-1))^m} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}$$

and for $f_k \in T$ of the form (1.7),

$$I^m f_k(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n \phi_n^k |a_n| z^n.$$

We also note that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator \mathbf{J}^m defined by (1.6) includes various earlier defined operators, some of them based on the multiplier P_n

are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.10) \quad \mathbf{J}^m &\equiv R^\lambda \text{ if } P_n = \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}, \\
 \mathbf{J}^m &\equiv R_{m,A}^\lambda \text{ if } P_n = (1+A(n-1))^{m-1} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}, \\
 \mathbf{J}^m &\equiv R_m^{\lambda,\mu} \text{ if } P_n = \left(\frac{n+\mu}{1+\mu}\right)^{m-1} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}, \\
 \mathbf{J}^m &\equiv J_{A,\mu}^{m-1} \text{ if } P_n = \left(1 + \frac{A}{1+\mu}(n-1)\right)^{m-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Operator $R_{m,A}^\lambda$ is called the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator whereas $R_{1,A}^\lambda \equiv R_{m,0}^\lambda \equiv R^\lambda$ is the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [10]. Operators $R_{m,A}^\lambda, R_{m,1}^\lambda$ and $R_m^{\lambda,\mu}$ are earlier studied in [15] ([12]), [13] and [14] respectively by Shaqsi and Darus. Further, $R_m^{0,\mu} \equiv R_m^\mu$ is studied by Flett [8].

Operator $J_{A,\mu}^{m-1}$ is defined in [2] by Lupaş and is introduced by Cătuş [5] for p -valent functions. Also $J_{A,0}^{m-1} \equiv S_A^{m-1}$ is the generalized Sălăgean operator defined by Al-Oboudi [3] ([1]) whereas $S_0^{m-1} \equiv S^{m-1}$ is the Sălăgean operator [11] of order $m-1$. The operator $J_{1,\mu}^{m-1}$ is studied by Cho and Srivastava [6] ([7]) and $J_{1,1}^{m-1}$ is studied by Urelgaddi and Somanatha [17].

From the operators I^m and \mathbf{J}^m defined by (1.3) and (1.6), respectively, we find an identity operator: $I = I^0(0, B, \mu, 0) \equiv I^1(A, 0, \mu, 0) \equiv \mathbf{J}^1(A, \mu, 0) \equiv \mathbf{J}^m(0, \mu, 0) : T \rightarrow T$ with $If(z) = f(z)$.

A function $f \in T$ is said to be in $TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, a class of starlike functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and type β ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) with respect to k -symmetric points involving the operator I^m , if and only if for $z \in U$, $I^m f_k(z) \neq 0$,

$$(1.11) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} + (1-2\alpha)} \right| < \beta, z \in U.$$

Further, $f \in T$ is said to be in $TC_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, a class of convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and type β ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) with respect to k -symmetric points involving the operator I^m , if and only if $zf' \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. Denote $TS_1(I^m; \alpha, \beta) = TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$; $TC_1(I^m; \alpha, \beta) = TC(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ and $TS_2(I^m; \alpha, \beta) = TS_s(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$; $TC_2(I^m; \alpha, \beta) = TC_s(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$.

We note that the classes $TS(I; \alpha, \beta) \equiv S^*(\alpha, \beta)$ and $TC(I; \alpha, \beta) \equiv C^*(\alpha, \beta)$ were studied by Gupta and Jain in [9].

In this study, involving a generalized linear operator I^m which is a *differentio-integral* operator, a class $TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ ($\subseteq TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$) with respect to k -symmetric points of univalent functions belonging to the class T , is defined. Results based on coefficient inequalities and bounds for this class are obtained. Various integral representations and some consequent results are determined for $TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ class. Further, results on partial sums are discussed for the class $TS(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$.

2. Coefficient inequalities

We now give results for the class $TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let a linear operator I^m , under its parametric conditions, be defined by (1.3). A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\} \phi_n^k \right] P_n |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha),$$

where P_n is given by (1.9). The result is sharp, for the extremal function given for some $n \geq 2$ by

$$(2.2) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1 - \alpha)}{[n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\} \phi_n^k] P_n} z^n.$$

Proof. Consider for $z \in U$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |z(I^m f(z))' - I^m f_k(z)| - \beta |z(I^m f(z))' + (1 - 2\alpha) I^m f_k(z)| \\ & < \left| -\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \phi_n^k) P_n |a_n| z^{n-1} \right| \\ & \quad - \beta \left| 2(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n + (1 - 2\alpha) \phi_n^k\} P_n |a_n| z^{n-1} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \phi_n^k) P_n |a_n| - 2\beta(1 - \alpha) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta \{n + (1 - 2\alpha) \phi_n^k\} P_n |a_n| \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if (2.1) holds. This proves that the condition (1.11) is true. Hence, $f \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, let for $z \in U$, $0 \neq I^m f_k(z) \in T$,

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta, z \in U.$$

Since $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq |z|$, $z \in U$, we have

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right\} \right| < \beta.$$

On choosing such real value of $z \in U$ for which $\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f_k(z)}$ is real and then taking $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we get

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - \phi_n^k) P_n |a_n|}{2(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{n + (1 - 2\alpha) \phi_n^k\} P_n |a_n|} \leq \beta,$$

which proves (2.1). Equality in (2.1) holds for the function given by (2.2). This proves Theorem 1. ■

COROLLARY 1. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\}] P_n |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha),$$

where P_n is given by (1.9). The result is sharp, the extremal function is given for some $n \geq 2$ by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1 - \alpha)}{[n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\}] P_n} z^n.$$

COROLLARY 2. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_s(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\} \frac{\{1 - (-1)^n\}}{2} \right] P_n |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha),$$

where P_n is given by (1.9). The result is sharp, the extremal function is given for some $n \geq 2$ by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1 - \alpha)}{\left[n(1 + \beta) - \{1 - \beta(1 - 2\alpha)\} \frac{\{1 - (-1)^n\}}{2} \right] P_n} z^n.$$

COROLLARY 3. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\} \phi_n^k \right] Q_n |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1-\alpha),$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad Q_n = \left(1 + \frac{A}{(1+\mu)}(n-1) \right)^{m-1} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}}.$$

The result is sharp, for the extremal function given for some $n \geq 2$ by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\} \phi_n^k] Q_n} z^n.$$

REMARK 1. Results, similar to Corollaries 1 and 2 can also be obtained for $TS(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$ and $TS_s(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$ classes.

COROLLARY 4. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[1 + \beta(3-2\alpha)]},$$

where P_n is given by (1.9).

Proof. From Theorem 1, we have

$$(2.7) \quad [2(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\}] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| \\ \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\} \phi_n^k \right] P_n |a_n| \leq 2\beta(1-\alpha),$$

which proves the result. ■

THEOREM 2. Let the linear operator I^m under its parametric conditions along with $\frac{A}{1+\mu} \leq B$, be defined by (1.3). If a function $f \in T$ of the form (1.2) satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\}] \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\ \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(1+B)^m}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{1+\mu}\right)^{m-1}},$$

then $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\}] P_n |a_n| \\ \leq \frac{1}{(1+B)^m} \left(1 + \frac{A}{1+\mu}\right)^{m-1} \\ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\}] \frac{(\lambda+1)_{n-1}}{(1)_{n-1}} |a_n| \\ \leq 2\beta(1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

if (2.3) holds. Hence, by Corollary 1, $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. ■

3. Bounds

THEOREM 3. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad r - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[1+\beta(3-2\alpha)]} r^2 &\leq |I^m f(z)| \\ &\leq r + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[1+\beta(3-2\alpha)]} r^2, \quad |z| = r < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds are sharp for the function:

$$(3.2) \quad I^m f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[1+\beta(3-2\alpha)]} z^2, \quad z = \pm r \quad (r < 1).$$

Proof. From (1.8) and the Corollary 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I^m f(z)| &= \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| z^n \right| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| \\ &\leq r + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{[1+\beta(3-2\alpha)]} r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get the other side of inequality (3.1). Since, equality attains for the function given by (3.2), the sharpness is verified. ■

COROLLARY 5. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then $I^m f$ maps the disk U onto a domain that contains the disk $\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{1+\beta}{[1+\beta(3-2\alpha)]} \right\}$.

COROLLARY 6. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS_k(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$(3.3) \quad |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{(1+\mu)}\right)^{m-1} (\lambda+1) [1 + \beta(3-2\alpha)]} r^2,$$

$$(3.4) \quad |f(z)| \geq r - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{(1+\mu)}\right)^{m-1} (\lambda+1) [1 + \beta(3-2\alpha)]} r^2.$$

The bounds are sharp for the function:

$$(3.5) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{(1+\mu)}\right)^{m-1} (\lambda+1) [1 + \beta(3-2\alpha)]} z^2, \\ z = \pm r \quad (r < 1),$$

and the disk U is mapped by f onto a domain that contains the disk:

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \left(1 - \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)}{\left(1 + \frac{A}{(1+\mu)}\right)^{m-1} (\lambda+1) [1 + \beta(3-2\alpha)]} \right) \right\}.$$

From (2.1) and (2.3), we have $TS_k(I^m; \alpha, \beta) \subseteq TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. We prove next results for $TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ class.

4. Integral representations

THEOREM 4. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad I^m f(z) = z \exp \left\{ 2(1-\alpha) \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(t)}{(1-t\varphi(t))} dt \right\},$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and $|\varphi(z)| < \beta$, $z \in U$.

Proof. From (4.1), we get

$$\left[\log \frac{I^m f(z)}{z} \right]' = 2(1-\alpha) \frac{\varphi(z)}{(1-z\varphi(z))}$$

or,

$$\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1 = 2(1-\alpha) \frac{z\varphi(z)}{(1-z\varphi(z))}$$

or,

$$\frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} + (1-2\alpha)} = z\varphi(z),$$

which proves that $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, let

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} = w(z),$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < \beta$. So, letting $w(z) = z\varphi(z)$ with $|\varphi(z)| < \beta$, $z \in U$, we get the representation (4.1). ■

REMARK 2. Results of Gupta and Jain [9] for the classes $S^*(\alpha, \beta)$ and $C^*(\alpha, \beta)$ follow from our results obtained in Theorems 1, 3 and 4.

THEOREM 5. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$(4.3) \quad I^m f(z) = \exp \int_0^z \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)w(t)}{t(1 - w(t))} dt,$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic and $|w(z)| < \beta$, $z \in U$.

Proof. From (4.3), we get for $z \in U$, $0 \neq I^m f(z)$,

$$(\log I^m f(z))' = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)w(z)}{z(1 - w(z))}, z \in U,$$

which proves

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)w(z)}{1 - w(z)},$$

and hence,

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta,$$

which proves that $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. Similarly, converse part can be proved. ■

THEOREM 6. A function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\beta z}{1 - \beta z}, z \in U.$$

Proof. Let (4.5) holds, we get by subordination property

$$\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)w(z)}{1 - w(z)},$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic and $|w(z)| < \beta \leq 1$, $z \in U$. Hence,

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1}{\frac{z(I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta,$$

which proves that $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$. ■

5. Some consequent results

THEOREM 7. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then for $\zeta, |\zeta| = 1$,

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{z + Kz^2}{(1 - z)^2} * I^m f(z) \right] \neq 0,$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad K = \frac{\{1 - (1 - 2\alpha)\beta\zeta\}}{2(1 - \alpha)\beta\zeta}.$$

Or, equivalently

$$(5.3) \quad 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n - 1)K] P_n |a_n| z^{n-1} \neq 0,$$

where P_n is given by (1.9).

Proof. If $f \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

$$\frac{z(I^m f(z))' - I^m f(z)}{z(I^m f(z))' + (1 - 2\alpha)I^m f(z)} \neq \beta\zeta$$

and hence,

$$\frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)\beta\zeta} \left\{ z(I^m f(z))' (1 + \beta\zeta) - I^m f(z) \{1 - (1 - 2\alpha)\beta\zeta\} \right\} \neq 0.$$

On writing

$$I^m f(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)} * I^m f(z), \quad z(I^m f(z))' = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} * I^m f(z),$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\{1 - (1-2\alpha)\beta\zeta\} z}{2(1-\alpha)\beta\zeta} \right\} * I^m f(z) \right] \neq 0,$$

which proves (5.1). Further, using the expansions, we get (5.3). ■

REMARK 3. For K given by (5.2) and $|z| = r < 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n-1)K] P_n |a_n| z^{n-1} \right| &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n-1)K] P_n |a_n| r^{n-1} \\ &> 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n + (n-1)K] P_n |a_n| \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

if (2.3) is true and hence, negation (5.3) is verified for the class $TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$.

THEOREM 8. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(I^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} \right\} > \frac{1 - (1-2\alpha)\beta}{1 + \beta}, \quad z \in U.$$

Proof. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z (I^m f(z))'}{I^m f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) P_n |a_n| z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n| z^{n-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) P_n |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_n |a_n|} \\ &\leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\beta}{1 + \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

if inequality (2.3) holds and hence, we get the result. ■

6. Partial sums

Let

$$f_1(z) = z, \quad f_p(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| z^n, \quad p \geq 2$$

be the partial sums of functions $f \in T$ of the form (1.2).

THEOREM 9. If a function $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \in TS(\mathbf{J}^m; \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$(6.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{f_p(z)} \right) > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{p+1}},$$

$$(6.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f_p(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \frac{c_{p+1}}{1 + c_{p+1}}.$$

Proof. In view of the Remark 1, from Corollary 3, we get

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n |a_n| \leq 1,$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{[n(1+\beta) - \{1 - \beta(1-2\alpha)\}] Q_n}{2\beta(1-\alpha)},$$

and Q_n is given by (2.6). It is easy to verify that

$$c_{n+1} > c_n > 1, n \geq 2.$$

Hence,

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| + c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n |a_n| \leq 1.$$

Set

$$w_1(z) = c_{p+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_p(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{p+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 - \frac{c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| z^{n-1}}.$$

With the use of (6.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{w_1(z) - 1}{w_1(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{-c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| z^{n-1} - c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| - c_{p+1} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n|} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the result (6.1). Similarly, if

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(z) &= (1 + c_{p+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_p(z)}{f(z)} - \left(\frac{c_{p+1}}{1 + c_{p+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 1 + \frac{(1 + c_{p+1}) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, with the use of (6.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{w_2(z) - 1}{w_2(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 + c_{p+1}) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} + (1 + c_{p+1}) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + c_{p+1}) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^p |a_n| - (c_{p+1} - 1) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n|} \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (6.2). This proves Theorem 9. ■

References

- [1] M. Acu, S. Owa, *Note on a class of starlike functions*, RIMS, Kyoto, 2006.
- [2] A. Alb Lupaş, *A note on a subclass of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformation*, Int. J. Open Prob. Com. Anal. 2(2) (2010).
- [3] F. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salegean operator*, Int. J. Math. Sci. 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [4] A. A. Amer, M. Darus, *On some properties for new generalized derivative operator*, Jordan J. Math. Stat. (JJMS) 4(2) (2011), 91–101.
- [5] A. Cătuş, *On certain class of p-valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations*, Proceedings Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications, August 20–24, 2007, TC Istanbul Kultur University, Turkey, 241–250.
- [6] N. E. Cho, T. H. Kim, *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-close functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(3) (2003), 399–410.
- [7] N. E. Cho, H. M. Srivastava, *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*, Math. Comput. Modelling 37(1–2) (2003), 39–49.
- [8] T. M. Flett, *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38 (1972), 746–765.
- [9] V. P. Gupta, P. K. Jain, *Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 14 (1976), 409–416.
- [10] St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49 (1975), 109–115.
- [11] G. S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag), 1013 (1983), 362–372.
- [12] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, *On univalent functions with respect to k-summetric points defined by a generalization Ruscheweyh derivative operators*, J. Anal. Appl. 7(1) (2009), 53–61.
- [13] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, *An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions*, J. Math. & Stat. 4(1) (2008), 46–50.

- [14] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, *A multiplier transformation defined by convolution involving n th order polylogarithms functions*, International Mathematical Forum 4 (2009), 1823–1837.
- [15] K. Al-Shaqsi, M. Darus, *Differential subordination with generalized derivative operator*, AJMMS (to appear).
- [16] H. Silverman, *A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 21(3) (1991), 1099–1125.
- [17] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, *Certain classes of univalent functions*, Current topics in analytic function theory, World. Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N.Y., (1992), 371–374.

P. K. Jain

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

DELHI 110007, INDIA

Current address:

18, KAMAYANI KUNJ

69, IP EXTENSION

DELHI 110092, INDIA

E-mail: pawankrjain@yahoo.com

P. Sharma

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND ASTRONOMY

UNIVERSITY OF LUCKNOW

LUCKNOW 226007, INDIA

E-mail: sharma_poonam@lkouniv.ac.in

V. Jain

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MAHARAJA AGRASEN COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

DELHI 110093, INDIA

E-mail: vanitajain 2011@yahoo.com

Received September 12, 2011.