

Radosława Kranz* and Aleksandra Rzepka

On the approximation of integrable functions by some special matrix means of Fourier series

<https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2017-0034>

Received June 7, 2017; accepted December 7, 2017

Abstract: The results concerning pointwise approximation and product of summability methods corresponding to the theorems of Xh. Z. Krasniqi [Poincare J. Anal. Appl., 2014, 1, 1-8] and W. Łenski and B. Szal [Math. Slovaca, 2016, 66(6), 1-12] are generalized.

Some special cases are also formulated as corollaries.

Keywords: Degree of approximation, Fourier series, matrix means

MSC: 42A24

1 Introduction

Let L^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) be the class of all 2π -periodic real-valued functions, integrable in the Lebesgue sense, with p -th power over $Q = [-\pi, \pi]$ with the norm

$$\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} := \left(\int_Q |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{when } 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

Consider the trigonometric Fourier series

$$Sf(x) := \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (a_v(f) \cos vx + b_v(f) \sin vx)$$

and denote by

$$S_k f(x) := \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^k (a_v(f) \cos vx + b_v(f) \sin vx)$$

the k -th partial sums of Sf .

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ and $B := (b_{n,k})$ be infinite lower-triangular matrices with real entries, such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,-1} &= 0, \quad a_{n,k} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,k} \geq 0 \quad \text{when } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ a_{n,k} &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,k} = 0 \quad \text{when } k > n, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k} = 1, \quad \text{where } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

*Corresponding Author: Radosława Kranz: University of Zielona Góra, Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics, 65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, Poland, E-mail: R.Kranz@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Aleksandra Rzepka: University of Zielona Góra, Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics, 65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, Poland, E-mail: A.Rzepka@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

and let, for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$A_{n,m} = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{n,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}_{n,m} = \sum_{k=m}^n a_{n,k}.$$

Let the AB -transformation of $(S_k f)$ be given by

$$T_{n,A,B}f(x) := \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r a_{n,r} b_{r,k} S_k f(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Following L. Leindler [1] a sequence $c := (c_r)$ of nonnegative numbers tending to zero is called the *Mean Rest Variation Sequence*, or briefly $c \in MRBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{r=m}^{\infty} |c_r - c_{r+1}| \leq K(c) \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{m \geq r \geq m/2} c_r, \tag{3}$$

for every positive integer m .

Similarly, following W. Łenski and B. Szal [2], a sequence $c := (c_r)$ of nonnegative numbers will be called the *Mean Head Bounded Variation Sequence*, or briefly $c \in MHBVS$, if it has the property

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-m-1} |c_r - c_{r+1}| \leq K(c) \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{r=n-m}^n c_r, \tag{4}$$

for all positive integers $m < n$, where the sequence c has finitely many nonzero terms and the last nonzero term is c_n .

Moreover, we assume that the sequence $(K(\alpha_n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded, that is, that there exists a constant K , such that

$$0 \leq K(\alpha_n) \leq K$$

holds for every n , where $K(\alpha_n)$ denote the constants appearing in the inequalities (3) or (4) for the sequences $\alpha_n = (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Next, we assume that for every n and $0 \leq m < n$

$$\sum_{r=m}^{n-1} |a_{n,r} - a_{n,r+1}| \leq K \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{m \geq r \geq m/2}^m a_{n,r}$$

or

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-m-1} |a_{n,r} - a_{n,r+1}| \leq K \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{r=n-m}^n a_{n,r}$$

hold if $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n$ belongs to $MRBVS$ or $MHBVS$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, respectively.

Let

$$|A|_{n,m} = \begin{cases} A_{n,m}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS, \\ \bar{A}_{n,n-m}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MHBVS \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Phi_x(t) = \int_0^t |\varphi_x(u)| du,$$

where

$$\varphi_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x).$$

As a measure of pointwise approximation of a function f by $T_{n,A,B}f$ we will use the generalized pointwise modulus of continuity of f defined by the formula

$$\omega_x[f, \beta](t) := \frac{1}{t} \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \Phi_x(t) = \frac{1}{t} \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \int_0^t |\varphi_x(u)| du, \tag{5}$$

where β is a positive and non-decreasing function of t .

The deviation $T_{n,A,B}f(\cdot) - f(\cdot)$ with lower-triangular infinite matrices A and B was investigated among others by W. Łenski and B. Szal [3], Xh. Z. Krasniqi [4], R. Kranz and A. Rzepka [5]. In this paper the results corresponding to the following theorems of Xh. Z. Krasniqi [4] and W. Łenski and B. Szal [2] are shown.

The series $Sf(x)$ with partial sums $S_j f(x)$ is said to be *summable to s by $A(E,r)$ -means* when the transformation

$$T_{n,A,(E,r)}f(x) := \sum_{v=0}^n \frac{a_{n,v}}{(1+r)^v} \sum_{j=0}^v \binom{v}{j} r^{v-j} S_j f(x), \quad (r > 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

tends to s , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where s is a finite number.

Theorem A [4] Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ be a positive lower-triangular matrix, such that $\sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1$ and $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^n$ is a non-increasing sequence, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Let $\beta(t)$ be a positive and non-decreasing function of t . If

$$\Phi_x(t) = o_x \left(\frac{t}{\beta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)} \right), \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and $\beta(t) \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then a sufficient condition for the Fourier series $Sf(x)$ to be summable to $f(x)$ by $A(E,r)$ means is

$$\int_1^n \frac{A_{n,[u]}}{u\beta(u)} du = O(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6}$$

A lower-triangular matrix $C = (c_{n,k})$ is called a *maximal hump matrix* if, for each n , there exists an integer $k_0 = k_0(n)$, such that $(c_{n,k})_{k=0}^{k_0-1}$ is non-decreasing for $0 \leq k < k_0$, and $(c_{n,k})_{k=k_0}^n$ is non-increasing for $k_0 \leq k \leq n$. Denote by

$$w_x^p f(\delta)_\beta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\varphi_x(u) \sin^\beta \frac{u}{2}|^p du \right)^{1/p} & \text{when } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_{0 < u \leq \delta} |\varphi_x(u) \sin^\beta \frac{u}{2}| & \text{when } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Theorem B [2] Let $f \in L^p$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If matrix A is a maximal hump matrix with $k_0^{-1} = O(n^{-1})$, such that $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS$ and

$$\left| \sum_{r=\mu}^v \sum_{k=0}^r b_{r,k} \sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \right| \ll \tau,$$

for $0 \leq \mu \leq v$ and $\tau = \left[\frac{\pi}{t} \right]$, with $t \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi \right]$, when $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$|T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x \left((n+1)^\beta \left[w_x^p f \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_\beta + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w_x^1 f \left(\frac{\pi}{k+1} \right)_\beta \right] \right)$$

for almost all considered x and $0 \leq \beta < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, when $p > 1$, and $\beta = 0$, when $p = 1$.

We shall write $J_1 \ll J_2$, if there exists a positive constant C , sometimes depending on some parameters, such that $J_1 \leq CJ_2$.

2 Statement of the results

Let w_x be a positive function of modulus continuity type, i.e., $w_x(0) = 0$, $w_x(t_1) \leq w_x(t_2) \leq w_x(t_1 + t_2) \leq w_x(t_1) + w_x(t_2)$, for any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 + t_2 \leq 2\pi$.

Now we can formulate our main results:

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and let the entries of the matrices $A = (a_{n,r})$ and $B = (b_{r,k})$ satisfy the conditions (1) and (2). Additionally, let $(b_{r,k})$ be such that

$$\left| \sum_{r=\mu}^v \sum_{k=0}^r b_{r,k} \sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \right| \ll \tau \tag{7}$$

holds, for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu \leq n$, and $\tau = \left[\frac{\pi}{t}\right]$, with $t \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi\right]$, when $n = 1, 2, \dots$

If a sequence $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS \cup MHBVS$,

$$|A|_{n,\tau} = O\left(\frac{\tau}{n+1}\right), \tag{8}$$

and for some x

$$\frac{1}{t} \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \int_0^t |\varphi_x(u)| du = O(w_x(t)), \tag{9}$$

where w_x has a continuous derivative, $\beta(t)$ and $t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)$ are positive and non-decreasing functions of t , then

$$|T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x(1) \left\{ w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t) + tw'_x(t)}{t} dt \right\}$$

holds, for all considered x .

More generally:

Theorem 2. Let $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and let the entries of the matrices $A = (a_{n,r})$ and $B = (b_{r,k})$ satisfy the conditions (1) and (2). Additionally, let $(b_{r,k})$ satisfy (7), for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu \leq n$, and $\tau = \left[\frac{\pi}{t}\right]$, with $t \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi\right]$, when $n = 1, 2, \dots$

If a sequence $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS \cup MHBVS$ satisfies (8), $t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)$, $\beta(t)$ are positive and non-decreasing functions of t , then

$$|T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x)| = O_x \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta]\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta(k+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta]\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_n(k)}{\beta(k+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta]\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \right)$$

holds, for all considered x , where

$$a_n(k) = \begin{cases} a_{n,k+1}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS, \\ a_{n,n-k-1}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MHBVS. \end{cases}$$

3 Corollaries

Finally, we give some corollaries as an application of our results.

Corollary 1. Theorem A, from Xh. Z. Krasniqi's paper [4, Theorem 3.1], and Theorem 1 are comparable. Condition (6) is more general than (8), but condition (7) is satisfied by a more general class of summability methods.

Corollary 2. Taking $\beta(t) = t^\eta$, ($t > 0, 0 < \eta \leq 1$) and assuming

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t |\varphi_x(u)| du = O_x(t^\alpha), \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$$

we get

$$\omega_x[f, \beta](t) = O_x(t^{\alpha-\eta}), \quad \text{for } \alpha > \eta.$$

Hence, under the assumptions for the matrices $A = (a_{n,r})$ and $B = (b_{r,k})$ from Theorem 2, we have

$$|T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x)| = o_x(1)$$

for almost all considered x .

Example 3. Let $a_{n,r} = \frac{1}{n+1}$, when $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, $a_{n,r} = 0$, when $r > n$, $b_{r,k} = \binom{r}{k} \frac{\gamma^k}{(1+\gamma)^r}$, when $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$, and $b_{n,r} = 0$, when $k > r$ with $\gamma > 0$. Clearly, the sequence $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS \cup MHBVS$ and $|A|_{n,\tau} = O\left(\frac{\tau}{n+1}\right)$, for $\tau = \left[\frac{\pi}{t}\right]$, with $t \in \left[\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi\right]$, when $n = 1, 2, \dots$. W. Łanski and B. Szal proved in [2, proof of Corollary 2.4.1] that the sequence $(b_{r,k})_{k=0}^r$ satisfies the condition

$$\left| \sum_{r=\mu}^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^r b_{r,k} \sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2} \right| \ll \tau,$$

for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu$. So conditions (7) and (8) are satisfied. If $f \in L^p$ and (9) holds, where $\beta(t)$ and $t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)$ are positive and non-decreasing functions of t , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^r} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \gamma^k S_k f(x) - f(x) \right| = O_x(1) \left\{ w_x \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) + \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t) + t w'_x(t)}{t} dt \right\}.$$

Remark 1. Analogously, for sequences $(a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n$ and $(b_{r,k})_{k=0}^r$ defined as above, we can derive the following estimation from Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^r} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \gamma^k S_k f(x) - f(x) \right| \\ &= O_x \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta(k+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(t)$ and $t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)$ are positive and non-decreasing functions of t .

4 Auxiliary results

We begin this section with some notation following A. Zygmund [6, Section 5 of Chapter II].

It is clear that

$$S_k f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) D_k(t) dt$$

and

$$T_{n,A,B} f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r a_{n,r} b_{r,k} D_k(t) dt,$$

where

$$D_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^k \cos vt = \frac{\sin \frac{(2k+1)t}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$T_{n,A,B} f(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r a_{n,r} b_{r,k} D_k(t) dt.$$

Now, we formulate some estimates of the considered kernel.

Lemma 1. (see [6]) If $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$|D_k(t)| \leq k + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma 2. (see [2]) Let $B = (b_{r,k})_{k=0}^r$ be such that condition (7) holds for $0 \leq \mu \leq \nu$. If $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^n \in MRBVS$, then

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^n a_{n,r} \sum_{k=0}^r b_{r,k} D_k(t) \right| \ll \tau A_{n,\tau}$$

and if $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^n \in MHBVS$, then

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^n a_{n,r} \sum_{k=0}^r b_{r,k} D_k(t) \right| \ll \tau \bar{A}_{n,n-\tau},$$

where $\tau = [\pi/t]$ and $t \in [\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi]$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

5 Proofs of the results

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let

$$T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \right) G_n(t) \varphi_x(t) dt,$$

where

$$G_n(t) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r a_{n,r} b_{r,k} D_k(t)$$

and

$$\left| T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} G_n(t) \varphi_x(t) dt \right| + \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} G_n(t) \varphi_x(t) dt \right| = |I_1| + |I_2|.$$

For the first term, from Lemma 1 and (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^r a_{n,r} b_{r,k} |D_k(t)| \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} |\varphi_x(t)| dt \\ &\ll (n+1) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} |\varphi_x(t)| dt \ll \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second one, from (7) and Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} |G_n(t)| |\varphi_x(t)| dt \ll \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_x(t)) dt \\ &= \left[\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t} \Phi_x(t) \right]_{t=\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{t=\pi} - \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \Phi_x(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t} \right) dt \\ &\ll \left\{ |A|_{n,1} \Phi_x(\pi) - (n+1) |A|_{n,n+1} \Phi_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \Phi_x(t) \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta(\frac{\pi}{t})} \right) \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta(\frac{\pi}{t})} \right) \right] dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \left[|A|_{n,1} \Phi_x(\pi) - (n+1) \Phi_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right] + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \Phi_x(t) \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} \right) \right] dt \\ &+ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \Phi_x(t)}{t} \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{[\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)]^2} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \right) \right] dt \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now we estimate Σ_1 , Σ_2 and Σ_3 separately. Namely, from (8), (9) and by $\frac{w_x(t)}{t} \leq 2 \frac{w_x(u)}{u}$, for $0 < u < t$,

$$\Sigma_1 \leq |A|_{n,1} \Phi_x(\pi) \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)\beta(1)} w_x(\pi) \ll \frac{1}{\beta(1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right).$$

For the second term, integrating by parts and from (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &\leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} t w_x(t) \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} \right) \right] dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} t w_x(t) \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} \right) \right] dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} w_x(t) \right]_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} (w_x(t) + t w'_x(t)) \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{|A|_{n,n+1}}{\beta(n+1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} (w_x(t) + t w'_x(t)) \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} dt \\ &\ll \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t) + t w'_x(t)}{t} \frac{1}{t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the monotonicity of the function $t\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)$ we get

$$\Sigma_2 \ll \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t) + t w'_x(t)}{t} dt.$$

Further, from (8) and by the monotonicity of the function w_x

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3 &\leq \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t)}{t} \frac{t |A|_{n,\tau}}{[\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)]^2} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \right) \right] dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x(t)}{t} \frac{1}{[\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)]^2} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \right) \right] dt \leq \\ &\leq w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{[\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)]^2} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right) \right) \right] dt \ll \frac{1}{\beta(1)} w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates we obtain the desired result. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem 2

As usual let

$$|T_{n,A,B}f(x) - f(x)| \leq |I_1| + |I_2|.$$

From Lemma 1 and (5), we get

$$|I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\beta(n+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right).$$

For the second term, from Lemma 2 and by (10) in the previous proof we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} |G_n(t)| |\varphi_x(t)| dt \ll \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t} \frac{d}{dt} (\Phi_x(t)) dt \\ &\leq \left[|A|_{n,1} \Phi_x(\pi) - (n+1) \Phi_x \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right] + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \Phi_x(t) \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \right) \right] dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \frac{\Phi_x(t)}{t} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) \right] dt = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate Λ_1 , Λ_2 and Λ_3 . For Λ_1 from (5) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &\ll \frac{1}{n+1} \Phi_x(\pi) - (n+1) \Phi_x \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \ll \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\pi}{\beta(1)} \omega_x[f, \beta] (\pi) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta(k+1)} \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, by the monotonicity of the function $\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)$ and $\Phi_x(t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{1}{t} \beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \Phi_x(t) t \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \right) \right] dt \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k+1}{\pi} \beta(k+1) \Phi_x \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} t \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{t\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \right) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Further, integrating by parts and using (5) and (8) we obtain

$$\Lambda_2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \left[\frac{|A|_{n,k+1}}{\beta(k+1)} - \frac{|A|_{n,k}}{\beta(k)} + \frac{\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{1}{t^2 \beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} dt \right].$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A|_{n,k+1}}{\beta(k+1)} - \frac{|A|_{n,k}}{\beta(k)} &\leq \frac{|A|_{n,k+1} - |A|_{n,k}}{\beta(k+1)} = \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{a_{n,k+1}}{\beta(k+1)}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MRBVS \\ \frac{a_{n,n-k-1}}{\beta(k+1)}, & \text{when } (a_{n,r})_{r=0}^n \in MHBVS \end{cases} := \frac{a_n(k)}{\beta(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\Lambda_2 \ll \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_x[f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \left[\frac{a_n(k)}{\beta(k+1)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1}{\beta(k+1)} \right].$$

For the third term by (5) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_3 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{\Phi_x(t)}{t} \frac{|A|_{n,\tau}}{\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) \right] dt \\ &\ll \frac{\pi}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \frac{\Phi_x(t)}{t} \frac{1}{t\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right)} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{\pi}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k+1}{\pi} \Phi_x \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \frac{k+1}{\pi \beta(k+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) \right] dt \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_x [f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \frac{1}{k\beta(k)} \frac{(k+1)^2}{\beta(k+1)} \int_{\frac{\pi}{k+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{k}} \left[-\frac{d}{dt} \left(\beta \left(\frac{\pi}{t} \right) \right) \right] dt \\ &\ll \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_x [f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \frac{1}{\beta(k)} \frac{k+1}{\beta(k+1)} [\beta(k+1) - \beta(k)]. \end{aligned}$$

By the monotonicity of $t\beta(\frac{\pi}{t})$, we can notice that $\frac{\pi}{k}\beta(k) \leq \frac{\pi}{k+1}\beta(k+1)$, for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(k+1) - \beta(k) &= \frac{1}{k}(k\beta(k+1) - k\beta(k)) \leq \frac{1}{k}((k+1)\beta(k) - k\beta(k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{k}\beta(k). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$A_3 \ll \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta(k+1)} \omega_x [f, \beta] \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right).$$

The desired result follows by combining these estimates. □

References

- [1] Leindler L., Integrability conditions pertaining to Orlicz space, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 2007, 8(2), art. 38
- [2] Łenski W., Szal B., On approximation of functions by some hump matrix means of Fourier series, *Math. Slovaca*, 2016, 66(6), 1-12
- [3] Łenski W., Szal B., Approximation of functions from $L^p(\omega)_\beta$ by general linear operators of their Fourier series, *Marcinkiewicz Centenary Volume*, Banach Center Publications, 2011, 95, 339-351
- [4] Krasniqi Xh. Z., On a generalized product summability of Fourier series, *Poincare J. Anal. Appl.*, 2014, 1, 1-8
- [5] Kranz R., Rzepka A., On the approximation of functions from L^p by some special matrix means of Fourier series, *Fasciculi Mathematici*, 2015, 55, 81-90
- [6] Zygmund A., *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge, 2002