

## Research Article

Sweta Tiwari

# $N$ -Laplacian critical problem with discontinuous nonlinearities

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the existence of a solution of the  $N$ -Laplacian critical problem with discontinuous nonlinearity of Heaviside type in a smooth bounded domain with respect to a positive parameter  $\lambda$ .

**Keywords:**  $N$ -Laplacian, critical growth, discontinuous nonlinearity

**MSC 2010:** 35J62, 35J92

DOI: 10.1515/anona-2014-0035

Received September 19, 2014; accepted February 3, 2015

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we discuss the following elliptic problems on smooth and bounded domain with the discontinuous nonlinearity:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda(h(u)e^{|u|^{N/N-1}} + \chi_{\{u \leq a\}}u^q) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain,  $\lambda, a > 0$  and  $0 < q < N - 1$ . The  $N$ -Laplacian operator is defined as  $\Delta_N u := |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u$ . Here we study the elliptic problem with discontinuous nonlinearity motivated from the following Trudinger–Moser inequality given in [21, 27]:

$$\sup_{\|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_N |u|^{N/(N-1)}} < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\alpha_N = N\omega_N^{1/(N-1)}$ ,  $\omega_N$  is the volume of  $S^{N-1}$  and  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N \right)^{1/N}$ . We also have the following assumptions on  $h(t)$ .

(A1) One has  $h(t) \in C^1(0, \infty)$ ,  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(t) > 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $f(t) = h(t)e^{t|t|^{N-1}}$  is nondecreasing in  $t$ .

(A2) For all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)e^{\epsilon|t|^{N/N-1}} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)e^{-\epsilon|t|^{N/N-1}} = 0.$$

(A3) There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds < K(f(t) + 1).$$

Problems with discontinuous nonlinearities have widely been studied in the last two decades because of their occurrence in mathematical physics. Such problems occur in various branches of mathematical physics, for example the obstacle problem, seepage surface problem and the Elenbass equations ([11–13]). Starting with the pioneering work of Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami in [7], there are many references where the existence and multiplicity results for the elliptic equation with continuous nonlinearity of concave-convex type are addressed. Subsequently, the quasilinear elliptic equations of concave-convex type continuous nonlinearities are studied in [16].

The main difficulty in studying the elliptic equations with discontinuous nonlinearities is the non-smoothness of the associated functional. Therefore, we need to use the notion of generalized gradients as discussed in the pioneering work of Clarke [14] which was later applied to the differential equation setting by Chang [13]. Adopting the generalized critical point theory in [8], the authors have studied the semilinear elliptic equation with concave-convex type discontinuous nonlinearity in bounded domain. In [18], the existence and multiplicity results for the semilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous and sublinear nonlinearities are studied in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In [26], the authors have proved the existence and multiplicity of solutions of (1.1) for  $N = 2$ . Also in [15], the existence of multiple solutions of the critical problem with discontinuous and singular nonlinearity is obtained. The quasilinear elliptic equations with discontinuous nonlinearities have been studied in [5] and [24].

With this introduction we state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ , there exists a solution of (1.1).*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some of the preliminary definitions and results which are required for setting the variational framework and for subsequent analysis. In Section 3 we prove the existence of the solution of (1.1). Lastly we conclude the paper in Section 4 quoting some open problems in this direction.

## 2 Preliminaries

We consider the following preliminary notions. Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, let  $X^*$  be its dual space and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes its duality action. Then for  $x, v \in X$  and a locally Lipschitz continuous function  $f$ , the generalized directional derivative  $f^0(x, v)$  (of  $f$  at  $x$  along  $v$ ) is defined as

$$f^0(x, v) = \limsup_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda \downarrow 0}} \frac{f(x + h + \lambda v) - f(x + h)}{\lambda}.$$

The generalized gradient of  $f$  at  $x$ , denoted by  $\partial f(x)$ , is defined as

$$\partial f(x) \subseteq X^*, \quad w \in \partial f(x) \text{ if and only if } \langle w, v \rangle \leq f^0(x, v) \text{ for all } v \in X.$$

We recall some properties of  $\partial f$  which are proved in [13].

**Lemma 2.1.** *The generalized gradient of a locally Lipschitz continuous function  $f$  has the following properties.*

- (i) For all  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\partial(kf)(x) = k\partial f(x)$ .
- (ii) If  $f, g$  are locally Lipschitz functions, then  $\partial(f + g)(x) \subseteq \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$ .
- (iii) If  $f$  is convex, then  $\partial f(x)$  coincides with the sub-differential of  $f$  in the sense of convex analysis.
- (iv) For each  $v \in X$ ,  $f^0(x, v) = \max\{\langle \xi, v \rangle : \xi \in \partial f(x)\}$ .
- (v) If  $x$  is a local minimum point for  $f$ , then  $0 \in \partial f(x)$ .
- (vi) If  $f$  is differentiable in  $x$  with differential  $f'(x) \in X^*$ , then  $\partial f(x) = \{f'(x)\}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a critical point of  $f$  if  $0 \in \partial f(x)$ .

The functional  $I_\lambda : W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  associated to (1.1) is given by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} G(u),$$

where

$$G(s) = \int_0^s \chi_{\{t \leq a\}} t^q dt.$$

Now in view of [13, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2],  $w \in \partial I_\lambda(u)$  if and only if there exists a function  $\bar{w} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$\langle w, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u)v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^q \bar{w}v \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$$

and

$$\bar{w}(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}, \bar{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}] \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega,$$

where

$$\underline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}} = \liminf_{s(x) \rightarrow u(x)} \chi_{\{s(x) \leq a\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}} = \limsup_{s(x) \rightarrow u(x)} \chi_{\{s(x) \leq a\}}.$$

Note that  $\underline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}$  and  $\bar{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}$  are the characteristic functions of the set theoretical upper and lower limits of sub level sets. Hence  $u$  defines a critical point for  $I_\lambda$  if and only if there exists a function  $\bar{w} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u)v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^q \bar{w}v = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\bar{w}(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}, \bar{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}] \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.2.** A solution of (1.1) is a function  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $u$  satisfies (2.1)–(2.2).

**Definition 2.3.** The non-smooth functional  $I_\lambda : W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition at  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  if for every sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\|w_n\|_{W^{-1,N'}} = \min_{w \in \partial I(u_n)} \|w\|_{W^{-1,N'}} \rightarrow 0$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  which converges strongly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ , where  $W^{-1,N'}(\Omega)$  is the dual space of  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** By elliptic regularity, such a solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $p \geq 1$ . Thus on  $\Omega_a = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = a\}$  we get  $(-f(x, a)) \in [0, 1]$  which is a contradiction as  $f(x, a) > 0$ . Hence  $|\Omega_a| = 0$ , where  $|\Omega_a|$  is the  $N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega_a$ .

Now we recall the following result proved by Brezis–Lieb in [9].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain,  $\{u_n\} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , be such that  $\|u_n\|_{L^p} \leq C$  for some  $C > 0$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\|u_n - u\|_{L^p}^p - \|u_n\|_{L^p}^p + \|u\|_{L^p}^p] = 0.$$

Also we recall the following inequalities of [19, Lemma 4.1]. For  $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\begin{cases} [ (|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta) \cdot (\xi - \zeta) ] (|\xi|^p + |\zeta|^p)^{(2-p)/p} \geq (p-1)|\xi - \zeta|^p, & 1 < p < 2, \\ (|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta) \cdot (\xi - \zeta) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p |\xi - \zeta|^p, & p \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

### 3 Existence of a solution of (1.1)

In this section, we prove the existence of a solution of (1.1). First we note that the functional  $I_\lambda$  is locally Lipschitz and non-differentiable. We also prove the following result about  $I_\lambda$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** The functional  $I_\lambda$  satisfies the mountain pass geometry near 0.

*Proof.* First we prove that there exist  $\delta_0, r, \lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $I_\lambda(u) > \delta_0$  for all  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  with  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}} = r$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ . Note that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} G(u) \right| \leq C_1 \|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^{q+1},$$

and from (A2) and (1.2) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^u h(s) e^{|s|^{N/N-1}} ds \leq C_2 + \int_{\Omega} e^{(2|u|)^{N/N-1}} \leq C_3$$

for  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus for  $r < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda_0$  sufficiently small and  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}} = r$ , we get  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ ,

$$I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N - \lambda C_3 - \lambda C_1 \|u\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^{q+1} > \delta_0.$$

Also for  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $I_{\lambda}(t\phi) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and for  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we have  $I_{\lambda}(u) < 0$ . Thus  $I_{\lambda}$  satisfies the mountain pass geometry near 0.  $\square$

We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Palais–Smale sequence for  $I_{\lambda}$ . Then there exists some  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  and  $u$  is a critical point of  $I_{\lambda}$ .*

*Proof.* Here we follow the approach as in [23] and [25]. Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Palais–Smale sequence at  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $I_{\lambda}(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\|w_n\|_{W^{-1,N'}} = \min_{w \in \partial I_{\lambda}(u_n)} \|w\|_{W^{-1,N'}} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $W^{-1,N'}(\Omega)$  denotes the dual of  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . This implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u_n) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} G(u_n) = c + o(1) \quad (3.1)$$

and there exists some  $\overline{w}_n(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u_n(x) \leq a\}}, \overline{\chi}_{\{u_n(x) \leq a\}}]$  such that

$$\langle w_n, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_n u_n^q v \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ , where  $\langle w, v \rangle$  denotes the duality action of  $W^{-1,N'}(\Omega)$  on  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ .

By assumption (A3), there exist  $K_1 > 0$  and  $K_2 > N$  such that

$$F(t) \leq K_1 + \frac{tf(t)}{K_2} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Thus (3.1) and (3.2) give

$$\begin{aligned} c + \lambda \int_{\Omega} G(u_n) + K_1 |\Omega| + o(1) &\geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^N - \frac{\lambda}{K_2} \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) u_n \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{K_2} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + \frac{\lambda}{K_2} \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_n u_n^{q+1} + \frac{1}{K_2} \langle w_n, u_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Let  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Also as  $\|\overline{w}_n\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$ , we can assume  $\overline{w}_n \rightarrow \overline{w}$  in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in weak\* topology, where  $\overline{w}(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}, \overline{\chi}_{\{u(x) \leq a\}}]$ . Now note that  $\{|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n\}$  is bounded in  $(L^{N/(N-1)}(\Omega))^N$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n|^N &\rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega), \\ |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n &\rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } (L^{N/(N-1)}(\Omega))^N, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is a nonnegative regular measure and  $D'(\Omega)$  are the distributions on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\sigma > 0$  and

$$A_{\sigma} = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \text{for all } r > 0, \mu(B_r(x) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \geq \sigma\}.$$

Note that  $A_{\sigma}$  is a finite set. If not, then there exists a sequence of distinct points  $\{x_k\}$  in  $A_{\sigma}$ . Since for all  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu(B_r(x) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \geq \sigma$ , we get  $\mu(\{x_k\}) \geq \sigma$ . This implies  $\mu(A_{\sigma}) = \infty$ . But

$$\mu(A_{\sigma}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_{\sigma}} |\nabla u_n|^N \leq C < \infty.$$

Thus  $A_\sigma = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ . Let  $u \in W_0^{1,N}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain. Then there exist  $r_1$  and  $C_1$  depending only on  $N$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{r_1 \left( \frac{|u|}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^N(\mathcal{O})}} \right)^{N/N-1}} \leq C_1. \quad (3.3)$$

**Assertion 1.** For any relatively compact subset  $\mathcal{K} \subset \overline{\Omega} \setminus A_\sigma$  and  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $\frac{\sigma^{1/N-1}}{r_1} < 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(u_n)u_n = \int_{\mathcal{K}} f(u)u.$$

For this let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\mu(B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}) < \sigma$ . Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ ,  $\phi \equiv 1$  in  $B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(\Omega) \cap \overline{\Omega}$  and  $\phi \equiv 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega} \setminus B_{r_0}(x_0)$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |\nabla u_n|^N \phi \, dx = \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} \phi \, d\mu \leq \mu(B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \leq (1 - \epsilon)\sigma.$$

Therefore for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large and  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |\nabla u_n|^N \leq (1 - \epsilon)\sigma. \quad (3.4)$$

Using this in (3.3) we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n)|^q \leq C, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $q > 1$  such that  $\frac{q\sigma^{1/N-1}}{r_1} < 1$ .

Now we estimate

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n)u_n - f(u)u| \leq I_1 + I_2, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n) - f(u)||u|, \quad I_2 = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n)||u_n - u|.$$

By (3.5) and Hölder's inequality,  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To estimate  $I_1$ , let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u - \phi\|_{L^{q'}} < \epsilon$ . Then

$$I_1 \leq \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n)||u - \phi| + \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u_n) - f(u)||\phi| + \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}} |f(u)||u - \phi|. \quad (3.7)$$

Now using (3.5) and Hölder's inequality, it is easy to check that the first and the last terms of the right-hand side of (3.7) go to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now we claim that the middle term also goes to zero. Note that for  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  with  $f(u_n) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n \leq C_1$  we have  $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Indeed for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $A \subset \Omega$ ,  $|A| \leq \delta$ , we have

$$\int_A |u| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_A |f(u)| < \epsilon.$$

Now let  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $|\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq M_1\}| \leq \delta$ . Take  $M = \max\{M_1, \frac{C_1}{\epsilon}\}$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) - f(u) \right| \leq T_1 + T_2 + T_3,$$

where

$$T_1 = \int_{\{|u_n| \geq M\}} |f(u_n)|, \quad T_2 = \int_{\{|u| \geq M\}} |f(u)|, \quad T_3 = \left| \int_{\{|u_n| < M\}} |f(u_n)| - \int_{\{|u| < M\}} |f(u)| \right|.$$

Now

$$T_1 = \int_{\{|u_n| \geq M\}} \frac{|f(u_n)u_n|}{|u_n|} \leq \frac{C_1}{M_1} \leq \epsilon$$

and

$$T_2 = \int_{\{|u| \geq M\}} |f(u)| < \epsilon$$

since  $|\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq M_1\}| \leq \delta$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \left| \int_{\{|u_n| < M\}} |f(u_n)| - \int_{\{|u| < M\}} |f(u)| \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{|u_n| < M\}} (|f(u_n)| - |f(u)|) \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\{|u_n| < M\}} - \chi_{\{|u| < M\}}) |f(u)| \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that  $\chi_{\{|u_n| < M\}} (|f(u_n)| - |f(u)|) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Also

$$|\chi_{\{|u_n| < M\}} (|f(u_n)| - |f(u)|)| \leq \begin{cases} |f(u)| & \text{if } |u_n| \geq M, \\ C + |f(u)| & \text{if } |u_n| < M, \end{cases}$$

where  $C = \sup_{\{|u_n| < M\}} |f(u_n)|$ . Thus by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, the first term on the right-hand side of (3.8) goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also since

$$\{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| < M\} \setminus \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| < M\} \subset \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq M\},$$

this implies

$$\int_{\Omega} (\chi_{\{|u_n| < M\}} - \chi_{\{|u| < M\}}) |f(u)| \leq \int_{\{|u| \geq M\}} |f(u)| < \epsilon.$$

Thus the second term on the right-hand side of (3.8) also goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Using this in (3.6) and (3.7) we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \bar{\Omega}} |f(u_n)u_n - f(u)u| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and as  $\mathcal{K}$  is compact, Assertion 1 follows.

**Assertion 2.** Let  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  be such that  $B_{\epsilon_0}(x_i) \cap B_{\epsilon_0}(x_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and

$$\Omega_{\epsilon_0} = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : |x - x_j| \geq \epsilon_0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} (|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Indeed, let  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $\phi \equiv 1$  in  $B_{1/2}(0)$  and  $\phi \equiv 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus B_1(0)$ . Take

$$\psi_\epsilon = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \phi\left(\frac{x - x_j}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Then  $0 \leq \psi_\epsilon \leq 1$ ,  $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega}_\epsilon = \bar{\Omega} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_\epsilon(x_j)$ ,  $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 0$  in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m B_{\epsilon/2}(x_j)$  and  $\{\psi_\epsilon u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Now taking  $v = \psi_\epsilon u_n$  in (3.2) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u_n|^N \psi_\epsilon + |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\epsilon u_n - \lambda f(u_n) u_n \psi_\epsilon - \lambda \bar{w}_n u_n^{q+1} \psi_\epsilon] \leq \epsilon_n \|\psi_\epsilon u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Again taking  $v = -\psi_\epsilon u$  in (3.2) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} [-|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u \psi_\epsilon - |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_\epsilon u + \lambda f(u_n) u \psi_\epsilon + \lambda \bar{w}_n u_n^q u \psi_\epsilon] \leq \epsilon_n \|\psi_\epsilon u\|_{W_0^{1,N}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Also from the convexity of  $t \mapsto |t|^N$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} (|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \psi_{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting this as

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^N \psi_{\epsilon} - |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u \psi_{\epsilon} - |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_n \psi_{\epsilon} + |\nabla u|^N \psi_{\epsilon}, \quad (3.12)$$

from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_{\epsilon} (u_n - u) + \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla u_n) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)(u_n - u) \psi_{\epsilon} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_n u_n^q (u_n - u) \psi_{\epsilon} + \epsilon_n \| \psi_{\epsilon} u_n \| + \epsilon_n \| \psi_{\epsilon} u \|_{W_0^{1,N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now as by Young's inequality, for given  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\delta} > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_{\epsilon} (u_n - u) &\leq \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^N + C_{\delta} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}|^N |u_n - u|^N \\ &\leq \delta C + C_{\delta} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}|^{Nr} \right)^{1/r} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^{Ns} \right)^{1/s}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where  $C$ ,  $r$  and  $s > 0$  with  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ . Thus we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi_{\epsilon} (u_n - u) \leq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Also noting that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla u_n) = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_n u_n^q (u_n - u) \psi_{\epsilon} = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Also by Assertion 1, taking  $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon/2}$  one can check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)(u_n - u) \psi_{\epsilon} = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Now from (3.14)–(3.17), (3.9) follows.

Since  $\epsilon_0$  is arbitrary, we get  $\nabla u_n(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x)$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and hence  $|\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \rightharpoonup |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u$  weakly in  $(L^{N/(N-1)}(\Omega))^N$ . Thus passing to the limit in (3.2), for any  $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u)v - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}v = 0.$$

This implies that  $u$  is a critical point of  $I_{\lambda}$ . □

Now we prove the following compactness result.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Palais–Smale sequence at  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}} < (\alpha_N)^{N-1},$$

where  $\alpha_N = N\omega_N^{1/(N-1)}$  and  $\omega_N$  is the volume of  $S^{N-1}$ . Then, up to a subsequence,  $\{u_n\}$  converges strongly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* As  $\{u_n\}$  is a Palais–Smale sequence at  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then as in Lemma 3.2  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  for some  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Let  $r_n = u_n - u_0$ . Then we have  $r_n \rightharpoonup 0$  and

$$\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N = \|r_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + \|u_0\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + o(1),$$

thanks to Lemma 2.2. Also,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_0 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(u_0)u_0$$

and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $\rho_n(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u_n(x) \leq a\}}, \bar{\chi}_{\{u_n(x) \leq a\}}]$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{N-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n) \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho_n u_n^q \phi \leq \epsilon \|\phi\|_{W_0^{1,N}}$$

for all  $\phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  and  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . Now taking  $\phi = u_n$  and using Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\|r_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + \|u_0\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho_n u_n^{q+1} \leq \epsilon \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}} + o(1). \quad (3.18)$$

Now as  $\|\rho_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$ , we can assume  $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$  in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with respect to the weak\* topology and  $\rho(x) \in [\underline{\chi}_{\{u_0(x) \leq a\}}, \bar{\chi}_{\{u_0(x) \leq a\}}]$ . Thus using the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, equation (3.18) implies

$$\|r_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + \|u_0\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_0)u_0 - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho u_0^{q+1} \leq \epsilon \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)r_n + o(1). \quad (3.19)$$

Also as  $u_0$  is a critical point of  $I_\lambda$ , we have  $0 \in \partial I_\lambda(u_0)$ . Thus for all  $\phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{N-2} \nabla u_0 \nabla \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_0) \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \rho u_0^q \phi \geq 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore (3.19) and (3.20) give

$$\|r_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N \leq \epsilon \|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)r_n + o(1). \quad (3.21)$$

Also using Hölder's and Sobolev inequalities and choosing  $n$  large such that for  $s > 1$ ,  $s\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^{N/N-1} \leq (\alpha_N)^{(N-1)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f(u_n)r_n| &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(u_n)|^s \right)^{1/s} \left( \int_{\Omega} |r_n|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \exp\left( s\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^{N/N-1} \left| \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}}} \right|^{N/N-1} \right) \right)^{1/s} \left( \int_{\Omega} |r_n|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \\ &\leq C \|r_n\|_{L^{s'}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Thus (3.21) implies  $\|r_n\|_{W_0^{1,N}} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence up to a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  converges strongly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

Set  $\Lambda = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : \text{problem (1.1) admits a solution}\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have  $0 < \Lambda < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\rho > 0$  small we have

$$-\infty < c_0 = \inf_{B_\rho} I_\lambda < 0.$$

Now by applying Ekeland's variational principle, there exists some  $u_\epsilon \in \overline{B_\rho}$  such that

(i)  $I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) < c_0 + \epsilon$ ,

(ii)  $I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) < I_\lambda(u) + \epsilon \|u - u_\epsilon\|_{W_0^{1,N}}$ , for  $u \neq u_\epsilon$ ,  $u \in \overline{B_\rho}$ .

As  $I_\lambda(u_\epsilon) < c_0 + \epsilon < \inf_{\partial B_\rho} I_\lambda$  for  $0 < \epsilon < \inf_{\partial B_\rho} I_\lambda - \inf_{\overline{B_\rho}} I_\lambda$ , we have  $u_\epsilon \in B_\rho$ . Let  $t > 0$  with  $v_t = u_\epsilon + tv \in B_\rho$  for  $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Thus from (ii) we get

$$\frac{I_\lambda(u_\epsilon + tv) - I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)}{t} \geq -\epsilon \|v\|_{W_0^{1,N}}.$$

Now for  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , this gives

$$-\epsilon \|v\|_{W_0^{1,N}} \leq (I_\lambda)^0(u_\epsilon, v) = \max_{w \in \partial I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)} \langle w, v \rangle \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega).$$

Interchanging  $v$  and  $-v$  we get

$$\min_{w \in \partial I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)} \langle w, v \rangle \leq \epsilon \|v\|_{W_0^{1,N}} \quad \text{for all } v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$$

and hence

$$\sup_{\|v\|_{W_0^{1,N}}=1} \min_{w \in \partial I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)} \langle w, v \rangle \leq \epsilon.$$

This on applying Ky Fan's min-max theorem ([10, Proposition 1.8]) implies  $\min_{w \in \partial I_\lambda(u_\epsilon)} \langle w, v \rangle \leq \epsilon$  which ensures the existence of a Palais–Smale sequence  $\{u_n\}$  with  $I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c_0$  and

$$\|w_n\|_{W^{-1,N'}} = \min_{w \in \partial I_\lambda(u_n)} \|w\|_{W^{-1,N'}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the existence of the solution  $u_\lambda$  follows from Lemma 3.2. Also using Lemma 3.3 we have  $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . This implies  $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_0 < 0$  and hence  $u_\lambda \neq 0$ . Now the strong maximum principle implies that  $u_\lambda > 0$ . This proves  $\Lambda > 0$ .

Also by the isolatedness of the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_N$  on  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ , it can be proved that  $\Lambda < \infty$ . Indeed, for  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that (1.1) admits a solution  $u_{\lambda_n}$  for  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ , we can find  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that  $\lambda f(t) > (\lambda_1 + \epsilon)t^{N-1}$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda^*$  and  $t > 0$ . Then  $u_{\lambda_n}$  is a super-solution and for  $\mu < \lambda_1 + \epsilon$  such that  $\mu\phi_1 \leq u_{\lambda_n}$ ,  $\mu\phi_1$  is a sub-solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = (\lambda_1 + \epsilon)u^{N-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_N$  in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  and  $\phi_1$  is the first eigenfunction. Then by the monotone iteration method, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the above problem admits a solution. This contradicts that  $\lambda_1$  is isolated. Thus  $\Lambda < \infty$ .  $\square$

We prove the following comparison principle for the  $N$ -Laplacian problem with discontinuous nonlinearity.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $v, w \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  with  $v > 0$ ,  $w \geq 0$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N v \geq \chi_{\{v \leq a\}} v^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N w \leq \chi_{\{w \leq a\}} w^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

Then  $v \geq w$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > v(x)\}$ . Then multiplying (3.22) by  $\frac{w^N}{v^{N-1}} \chi_{\mathcal{A}}$  and (3.23) by  $w \chi_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{w^N}{v^{N-1}} \right) \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}} \chi_{\{v \leq a\}} v^q \frac{w^N}{v^{N-1}} \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\nabla w|^N \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}} \chi_{\{w \leq a\}} w^q w. \quad (3.25)$$

Now as by Picone's identity for  $N$ -Laplacian in [4],

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} |\nabla w|^N \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}} |\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{w^N}{v^{N-1}} \right),$$

from (3.24) and (3.25) we get

$$0 \geq \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{\chi_{\{v \leq a\}} v^q}{v^{N-1}} - \frac{\chi_{\{w \leq a\}} w^q}{w^{N-1}} \right) w^N. \quad (3.26)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{A} = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > v(x)\} \cap \{x \in \Omega : a \geq w(x)\}, \\ A_2 &= \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > v(x)\} \cap \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > a \geq v(x)\}, \\ A_3 &= \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > v(x)\} \cap \{x \in \Omega : v(x) > a\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\int_{A_3} \left( \frac{\chi_{\{v \leq a\}} v^q}{v^{N-1}} - \frac{\chi_{\{w \leq a\}} w^q}{w^{N-1}} \right) w^N = 0.$$

Also as  $q < N - 1$ , using the definition of  $\chi_{\{t \leq a\}}$  one can check that

$$\int_{A_i} \left( \frac{\chi_{\{v \leq a\}} v^q}{v^{N-1}} - \frac{\chi_{\{w \leq a\}} w^q}{w^{N-1}} \right) w^N \geq 0$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Using this in (3.26) we infer that  $|\mathcal{A}| = 0$ . Hence  $v \geq w$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** For all  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$ , problem (1.1) admits a solution.

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{u}$  be the minimal solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda(h(u)e^{|u|^{N/N-1}} + u^q) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

with  $\|\bar{u}\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . The existence of  $\bar{u}$  follows from [17]. Also by minimizing the corresponding functional over  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  one can easily show the existence of the unique solution  $\underline{u}$  for  $\lambda > 0$  of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda \chi_{\{u \leq a\}} u^q & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.28)$$

Then  $\underline{u}$  and  $\bar{u}$  are sub-solution and super-solution for (1.1), respectively. Also by Lemma 3.5, one has  $\underline{u} \leq \bar{u}$ . Let  $M_\lambda = \{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) : \underline{u} \leq u \leq \bar{u}\}$ . As  $I_\lambda$  is coercive and weakly lower semi-continuous over  $M_\lambda$ , there exists some  $u_\lambda \in M_\lambda$  such that  $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda} I_\lambda(u)$ . Now we claim that  $u_\lambda$  solves problem (1.1). For this, let  $\phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  and  $v_\epsilon = u_\lambda + \epsilon\phi - \phi^\epsilon + \phi_\epsilon$ , where  $\phi^\epsilon = (u_\lambda + \epsilon\phi - \bar{u})^+$  and  $\phi_\epsilon = (u_\lambda + \epsilon\phi - \underline{u})^-$ . Let  $0 < t < 1$  such that  $u_\lambda + t(v_\epsilon - u_\lambda) \in M_\lambda$ . Then as

$$0 \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_\lambda(u_\lambda + t(v_\epsilon - u_\lambda)) - I_\lambda(u_\lambda)}{t} \leq (I_\lambda)'(u_\lambda)(v_\epsilon - u_\lambda),$$

there exists some  $w_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $w_\epsilon(x) \in [\chi_{\{u_\lambda(x) \leq a\}}, \bar{\chi}_{\{u_\lambda(x) \leq a\}}]$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla (v_\epsilon - u_\lambda) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_\lambda)(v_\epsilon - u_\lambda) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \bar{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q (v_\epsilon - u_\lambda) \geq 0.$$

This implies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_\lambda) \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \bar{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} (E^\epsilon - E_\epsilon), \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$E^\epsilon = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \phi^\epsilon - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_\lambda) \phi^\epsilon - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi^\epsilon$$

and

$$E_\epsilon = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \phi_\epsilon - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_\lambda) \phi_\epsilon - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi_\epsilon.$$

Now using (A1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E^\epsilon}{\epsilon} &\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \phi^\epsilon - \lambda f(u_\lambda) \phi^\epsilon - \lambda \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi^\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla \phi^\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \phi^\epsilon - \lambda f(u_\lambda) \phi^\epsilon - \lambda \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi^\epsilon) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla \phi^\epsilon + \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} ((f(\bar{u}) - f(u_\lambda)) \phi^\epsilon + \frac{\lambda}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (\bar{u}^q - \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q) \phi^\epsilon) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla (\bar{u} - u_\lambda) + \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega^\epsilon} (|\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda - |\nabla \bar{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \bar{u}) \cdot \nabla \phi = o_\epsilon(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega^\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega : (u_\lambda + \epsilon \phi)(x) > \bar{u}(x)\}$  and the second last inequality follows from (2.3). Similarly, we can show that  $\frac{E_\epsilon}{\epsilon} \leq o_\epsilon(1)$ . Thus from (3.29) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^{N-2} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_\lambda) \phi - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \overline{w}_\epsilon u_\lambda^q \phi \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega).$$

Hence  $u_\lambda$  solves (1.1). □

**Lemma 3.7.** For  $\lambda = \Lambda$ , problem (1.1) admits a solution.

*Proof.* To show the existence of a solution to (1.1) for  $\lambda = \Lambda$ , we take  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, \Lambda)$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As there exists a solution  $u_{\lambda_n}$  of (1.1) for  $\lambda = \lambda_n$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\lambda_n}|^{N-2} \nabla u_{\lambda_n} \cdot \nabla \phi = \lambda_n \left( \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda_n}) \phi + \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{u_{\lambda_n}(x) \leq a\}} u_{\lambda_n}^q \phi \right) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega). \quad (3.30)$$

Taking  $\phi = u_{\lambda_n}$  in (3.30),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\lambda_n}|^N = \lambda_n \left( \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda_n}) u_{\lambda_n} + \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{u_{\lambda_n}(x) \leq a\}} u_{\lambda_n}^{q+1} \right). \quad (3.31)$$

Moreover, by the definition of  $u_{\lambda_n}$  we have

$$I_{\lambda_n}(u_{\lambda_n}) = \min_{u \in M_{\lambda_n}} I_{\lambda_n}(u) \leq I_{\lambda_n}(\underline{u}) < \frac{1}{2} \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N.$$

This implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N < \frac{1}{N} \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} F(u_{\lambda_n}) + \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} G(u_{\lambda_n}). \quad (3.32)$$

From (3.31) and (3.32) we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda_n}) u_{\lambda_n} - \int_{\Omega} F(u_{\lambda_n}) < \frac{1}{N \lambda_n} \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^2 + \int_{\Omega} G(u_{\lambda_n}) - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{u_{\lambda_n} \leq a\}} u_{\lambda_n}^{q+1}. \quad (3.33)$$

Also, from (A3), for any  $K > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{N} f(t)t - F(t) \geq \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{K} \right) f(t)t - C \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Thus, from (3.33) and (3.34), for  $K > N$  we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{K}\right) \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda_n}) u_{\lambda_n} < \frac{1}{N\lambda_n} \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^2 + \int_{\Omega} G(u_{\lambda_n}) - \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\{u_{\lambda_n} \leq a\}} u_{\lambda_n}^{q+1} + C. \quad (3.35)$$

Using (3.31), (3.35) and the Sobolev inequality, we estimate  $\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}$  as

$$\|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N < K_1 \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + K_2 \int_{\Omega} u_{\lambda_n}^{q+1} + C \leq K_1 \|\underline{u}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^N + K_2 S \|u_{\lambda_n}\|_{W_0^{1,N}}^{q+1} + C, \quad (3.36)$$

where  $S$  is the best constant in Sobolev embedding. As  $0 < q < N - 1$ ,  $\{u_{\lambda_n}\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Thus  $u_{\lambda_n} \rightharpoonup u_{\Lambda}$  weakly for some  $u_{\Lambda} \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ . Now it is easy to show that  $u_{\Lambda}$  solves (1.1) for  $\lambda = \Lambda$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the existence of the solution of the critical elliptic problem with the regular and discontinuous nonlinearities of Heaviside type. The non-smoothness of the associated functional is overcome by casting the sub-super solution method into a variational framework by a notion of generalized gradient for Lipschitz continuous functional.

The multiplicity results in case of  $N$ -Laplacian is an open problem in this direction. Here we would like to mention that for proving the multiplicity for  $N$ -Laplacian problem with continuous nonlinearity, the standard approach is to show that the first solution is a local minimum of the associated functional in  $C^1$  topology and then use the “ $W^{1,N}$  versus  $C^1$ ” arguments for establishing the mountain pass geometry around this solution. But in case of the discontinuous nonlinearity, having a solution which is a local minimum of the associated functional in  $C^1$  topology is itself an open question.

## References

- [1] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the  $n$ -Laplacian, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **17** (1990), no. 3, 393–413.
- [2] Adimurthi and J. Giacomoni, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a singular and critical elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **8** (2006), no. 5, 621–656.
- [3] Adimurthi and K. Sandeep, A singular Moser–Trudinger embedding and its applications, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **13** (2007), no. 5–6, 585–603.
- [4] W. Allegretto and Y. X. Huang, A Picone’s identity for the  $p$ -Laplacian and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* **32** (1998), no. 7, 819–830.
- [5] C. O. Alves and A. M. Bertone, A discontinuous problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian operator and critical exponent in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **42** (2003), 1–10.
- [6] C. O. Alves, A. M. Bertone and J. V. Gonçalves, A variational approach to discontinuous problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **265** (2002), 103–127.
- [7] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **122** (1994), no. 2, 519–543.
- [8] M. Badiale and G. Tarantello, Existence and multiplicity results for elliptic problems with critical growth and discontinuous nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal.* **29** (1997), no. 6, 639–677.
- [9] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 486–490.
- [10] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg and G. Stampacchia, Remarks on Ky Fan’s min-max theorem, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **6** (1972), 293–300.
- [11] K. C. Chang, On the multiple solutions of the elliptic differential equations with discontinuous nonlinear terms, *Sci. Sinica* **21** (1978), 139–158.
- [12] K. C. Chang, The obstacle problem and partial differential equations with discontinuous nonlinearities, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **33** (1980), no. 2, 117–146.

- [13] K. C. Chang, Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and their applications to partial differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80** (1981), no. 1, 102–129.
- [14] F. H. Clarke, Generalized gradients and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (1975), 247–262.
- [15] R. Dhanya, S. Prashanth, K. Sreenadh and S. Tiwari, Critical growth elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with singular discontinuous nonlinearities, *Adv. Differential Equations* **19** (2014), no. 5–6, 409–440.
- [16] J. García Azorero and I. Peral, Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in a quasilinear critical problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **43** (1994), 941–957.
- [17] J. Giacomoni, S. Prashanth and K. Sreenadh, A global multiplicity result for  $N$ -Laplacian with critical nonlinearity of concave-convex type, *J. Differential Equations* **232** (2007), no. 2, 544–572.
- [18] Y. Haitao and W. Shaoping, An elliptic problem with discontinuous sublinear nonlinearities in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Anal.* **51** (2002), no. 6, 921–939.
- [19] P. Lindqvist, On the equation  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 1, 157–164.
- [20] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **1** (1985), no. 2, 45–121.
- [21] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** (1971), 1077–1092.
- [22] D. Motreanu and V. Radulescu, *Variational and Nonvariational Methods in Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems*, Nonconvex Optim. Appl. 67, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [23] R. Panda, On semilinear Neumann problems with critical growth for the  $n$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.* **26** (1996), 1347–1366.
- [24] X. Shang, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a discontinuous problems with critical Sobolev exponents, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **385** (2012), 1033–1043.
- [25] M. de Souza and J. M. do Ó, On a singular and nonhomogeneous  $N$ -Laplacian equation involving critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **380** (2011), 241–263.
- [26] K. Sreenadh and S. Tiwari, Multiple positive solutions of singular and critical elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with discontinuous nonlinearities, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **20** (2013), 1831–1850.
- [27] N. S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech.* **17** (1967), 473–483.