

## Research Article

Jie Xiao\*

## A new perspective on the Riesz potential

DOI: 10.1515/anona-2015-0183

Received December 31, 2015; accepted February 17, 2016

**Abstract:** This paper offers a new perspective to look at the Riesz potential. On the one hand, it is shown that not only  $\mathcal{L}^{q, qp^{-1}(n-\alpha p)} \cap \mathcal{L}^{p, \kappa-\alpha p}$  contains  $I_\alpha L^{p, \kappa}$  under the conditions  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $q(\kappa/p - \alpha) \leq \kappa \leq n$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \min\{n, 1 + \kappa/p\}$ , but also  $\mathcal{L}^{q, \lambda}$  exists as an associate space under the condition  $-q < \lambda < n$ , where  $I_\alpha L^{p, \kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{q, \lambda}$  are the Morrey–Sobolev and Campanato spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  respectively. On the other hand, a non-negative Radon measure  $\mu$  is completely characterized to produce a continuous map  $I_\alpha : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  under the condition  $1 < p < \min\{q, n/\alpha\}$  or  $1 < q \leq p < \min\{q(n - \alpha p)/(n - \alpha q(q - 1)^{-1}), n/\alpha\}$ , where  $L_{p,1}$  and  $L_\mu^q$  are the  $(p, 1)$ -Lorentz and  $(q, \mu)$ -Lebesgue spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  respectively.

**Keywords:** Riesz potential, Radon measure, Morrey and Campanato spaces

**MSC 2010:** 31B15, 46E30

## Introduction

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\alpha, p) \in (0, n) \times (1, \infty)$  let  $\nu$  and  $L_{\text{loc}}^p$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure and its induced locally  $p$ -Lebesgue integrable space respectively. We are interested in the Riesz potentials

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{for all } f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p.$$

Roughly speaking,  $I_\alpha L_{\text{loc}}^p$  is regarded as the space of tempered distributions having derivatives of order  $\alpha$  in  $L_{\text{loc}}^p$ .

Below is the classical Lebesgue–Sobolev space inclusion which plays a very important role in analysis and partial differential equations (cf., e.g., [4, 19, 21]):

$$I_\alpha L_{p,1} \subset I_\alpha L^p \subset \begin{cases} L^{\frac{n}{p-\alpha}} & \text{as } \alpha < \frac{n}{p}, \\ \text{BMO} & \text{as } \alpha = \frac{n}{p}, \\ C^{\alpha-\frac{n}{p}} & \text{as } 1 + \frac{n}{p} > \alpha > \frac{n}{p}. \end{cases}$$

Here and henceforth,  $L_{p,1}$  (as a subspace of  $L^p$ ), BMO and  $C^\beta$  are respectively the  $(p, 1)$ -Lorentz space, the John–Nirenberg space of functions with bounded mean oscillation and the Lipschitz space of order  $\beta$  – more precisely –

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f \in L_{p,1} \iff \|f\|_{L_{p,1}} = \int_0^\infty (\nu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| \geq t\}))^{\frac{1}{p}} dt < \infty, \\ f \in \text{BMO} \iff \|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_{(x,r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)} r^{-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |f - f_{B(x,r)}| d\nu < \infty, \\ f \in C^\beta \iff \|f\|_{C^\beta} = \sup_{x \neq y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(y)| |x - y|^{-\beta} < \infty \quad \text{for } \beta \in (0, 1), \end{array} \right.$$

\*Corresponding author: Jie Xiao: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C5S7, Canada, e-mail: jxiao@mun.ca

and

$$f_{B(x,r)} = (\nu(B(x,r)))^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} f \, d\nu$$

is the  $\nu$ -integral mean value of  $f$  over  $B(x,r)$  – the  $x$ -centred Euclidean ball with radius  $r$ .

As a matter of fact, the above inclusion for BMO and  $C^\beta$  can be obtained from an elementary computation: since

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} \left| |w-y|^{\alpha-n} - |w-z|^{\alpha-n} \right| d\nu(y) d\nu(z) \leq \frac{r^{2n+1}}{(r+|w|)^{n+1-\alpha}}$$

holds for all  $(x,r,w) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  (where  $A \leq B$  means that there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $A \leq cB$ ), it follows that

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |(I_\alpha f) - (I_\alpha f)_{B(x,r)}| \, d\nu \leq r^\alpha \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

Using the Fubini theorem and the Hölder inequality yields that if  $p' = p/(p-1)$  and  $0 < \alpha < \min\{n, 1+n/p\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,r)} |(I_\alpha f) - (I_\alpha f)_{B(x,r)}| \, d\nu &\leq (\nu(B(x,r)))^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} |(I_\alpha f)(y) - (I_\alpha f)(z)| \, d\nu(y) \, d\nu(z) \\ &\leq r^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( |f(w)| \int_{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} \left| |y-w|^{\alpha-n} - |z-w|^{\alpha-n} \right| \, d\nu(y) \, d\nu(z) \right) d\nu(w) \\ &\leq r^{-n} \|f\|_{L^p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} \left| |y-w|^{\alpha-n} - |z-w|^{\alpha-n} \right| \, d\nu(y) \, d\nu(z) \right)^{p'} d\nu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq r^{n+1} \|f\|_{L^p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (r+|w|)^{p'(\alpha-n-1)} \, d\nu(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq r^{n+\alpha-\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Interestingly, the preceding calculation of mean oscillation actually tells us that the Lebesgue–Sobolev space  $I_\alpha L^p$  is contained in the Campanato space  $\mathfrak{L}^{1,n/p-\alpha}$  (cf. Theorem 1 for a detailed information), and moreover suggests exploiting not only the associate space  $\mathfrak{S}^{\infty,n/p-\alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}^{1,n/p-\alpha}$  (cf. Theorem 2 for a complete account) but also the  $\mathfrak{S}^{q',\lambda}$ -Sobolev space  $I_\alpha \mathfrak{S}^{q',\lambda}$  whose precise description is presented in Theorem 3 which may be regarded as an associate form of Theorem 1. Even more interestingly, the oscillatory nature of  $I_\alpha L^p$  motivates us to characterize a nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $I_\alpha$  continuously maps  $L_{p,1}$  into the  $(q,\mu)$ -Lebesgue space  $L_\mu^q$ ; see Theorem 4.

## 1 Morrey–Sobolev spaces in Campanato spaces

Significantly, the foregoing Lebesgue–Sobolev space inclusion can be extended to the following Morrey–Sobolev space inclusion:

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset \begin{cases} L^{\frac{\kappa}{p-\alpha},\kappa} \cap L^{p,\kappa-\alpha p} & \text{as } \alpha < \frac{\kappa}{p}, \\ \text{BMO} & \text{as } \alpha = \frac{\kappa}{p}, \\ C^{\alpha-\frac{\kappa}{p}} & \text{as } 1 + \frac{\kappa}{p} > \alpha > \frac{\kappa}{p}, \end{cases}$$

where  $L^{p,\gamma}$  is the Morrey space of order  $(p,\gamma)$  – more precisely –

$$f \in L^{p,\gamma} \iff \|f\|_{L^{p,\gamma}} = \sup_{(x,r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)} \left( r^{\gamma-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |f|^p \, d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \quad \text{under } \gamma \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

The cases  $\alpha \leq \kappa/p$  of the last inclusion are due to Adams (cf. [2, 4]). To check the case  $1 + \kappa/p > \alpha > \kappa/p$ , set  $\beta = \alpha - \kappa/p \in (0, 1)$ . Because  $I_\beta \text{BMO}$  is contained in  $C^\beta$  according to Strichartz's papers [22, 23], it follows that (cf. [6, Remark 9])

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} = I_\beta I_{\kappa/p} L^{p,\kappa} \subset I_\beta \text{BMO} \subset C^\beta = C^{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{p}}.$$

Observe that BMO can be treated as the limit space of both  $L^{\kappa/(\kappa/p-\alpha),\kappa}$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow (\kappa/p)^-$  and  $C^{\alpha-\kappa/p}$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow (\kappa/p)^+$ . So the following new inclusion seems to be a natural matter upon unifying three spaces  $L^{\kappa/(\kappa/p-\alpha)}$ , BMO and  $C^{\alpha-\kappa/p}$  or embedding the Morrey potentials  $I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa}$  into the so-called Campanato spaces.

**Theorem 1.** For  $(q, \lambda) \in [1, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)$  let  $\mathfrak{L}^{q,\lambda}$  be the Campanato space of all  $v$ -measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  obeying

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{L}^{q,\lambda}} = \sup_{(x,r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)} \left( r^{\lambda-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |f - f_{B(x,r)}|^q dv \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

If

$$1 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < \kappa \leq n, \quad qp^{-1}(\kappa - \alpha p) \leq \kappa, \quad 0 < \alpha < \min\left\{n, 1 + \frac{\kappa}{p}\right\},$$

then

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{q,qp^{-1}(\kappa-\alpha p)} \cap \mathfrak{L}^{p,\kappa-\alpha p}.$$

*Proof.* The inclusion

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{p,\kappa-\alpha p}$$

follows from the inclusion stated above since (cf. [13, p. 70])

$$L^{p,\kappa-\alpha p} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{p,\kappa-\alpha p} = \begin{cases} \text{BMO} & \text{as } \kappa = \alpha p, \\ C^{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{p}} & \text{as } p + \kappa > \alpha p > \kappa. \end{cases}$$

In order to verify the inclusion

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{q,qp^{-1}(\kappa-\alpha p)}$$

let us handle two cases below.

**Case  $\kappa/p > \alpha$ .** Using the Hölder inequality we obtain that if  $p_1 > p_2$ , then

$$\int_{B(x,r)} |f|^{p_2} dv \leq \left( \int_{B(x,r)} |f|^{p_1} dv \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} r^{n(1-\frac{p_1}{p_2})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p_1,\kappa}}^{p_2} r^{n-\frac{\kappa p_1}{p_2}} \quad \text{for all } (x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty),$$

in other words,

$$L^{p_1,\kappa} \subset L^{p_2, \frac{\kappa p_1}{p_2}} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{p_2, \frac{\kappa p_1}{p_2}}.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$L^{\frac{\kappa}{\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha},\kappa} \subset L^{\frac{\lambda}{\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha},\lambda} \quad \text{as } \lambda = q\left(\frac{\kappa}{p} - \alpha\right) \leq \kappa \leq n.$$

Now, via the Adams inclusion

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset L^{\frac{\kappa}{\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha},\kappa}$$

we have

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\kappa} \subset L^{q,q(\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha)} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{q,q(\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha)} \quad \text{under } q\left(\frac{\kappa}{p} - \alpha\right) \leq \kappa.$$

**Case  $\kappa/p \leq \alpha < 1 + \kappa/p$ .** Again, according to [13, p. 70] we have

$$\mathfrak{L}^{q,q(\frac{\kappa}{p}-\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \text{BMO} & \text{as } \frac{\kappa}{p} = \alpha, \\ C^{\alpha - \frac{\kappa}{p}} & \text{as } \frac{\kappa}{p} < \alpha < 1 + \frac{\kappa}{p}. \end{cases}$$

So the desired inclusion under this situation is valid. □

## 2 Pre-associate spaces of Campanato spaces

To get a better understanding of Theorem 1, it is appropriate to point out that  $L^{p,\kappa}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}^{q,\lambda}$  actually exist as associate spaces. For  $\kappa \in [0, n)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $p' = p/(p - 1) \in (1, \infty]$  denote by  $H^{p',\kappa}$  the space of all functions  $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}$  obeying

$$\|f\|_{H^{p',\kappa}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_j |c_j| : f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j a_j \right\} < \infty,$$

where  $\{a_j\}$  are  $(p', (1 - \kappa/n)(1 - 1/p'))$ -atoms. Recall that such an atom  $a$  is defined to be a function enjoying that  $\text{supp}(a)$ , the support of  $a$ , is contained in a ball  $B$  and

$$\|a\|_{L^{p'}} \leq (v(B))^{-(1-\frac{\kappa}{n})(1-\frac{1}{p'})}.$$

According to [5, Theorems 2.3–3.3] and their proofs, we have that  $H^{p',\kappa}$  is the associate space of  $L^{p,\kappa}$  – in other words (cf. [20, Theorem 4.1]) – if

$$[H^{p',\kappa}]^* := \left\{ f \in L^p_{\text{loc}} : \|f\|_{[H^{p',\kappa}]^*} = \sup_{\|g\|_{H^{p',\kappa}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \, dv \right| < \infty \right\},$$

then  $[H^{p',\kappa}]^* = L^{p,\kappa}$ . Since  $L^{p,\kappa} = \{0\}$  under  $\kappa < 0$ , this last identity can be trivially extended to  $\kappa < 0$  via setting  $H^{p',\kappa} = \{0\}$ . However, this triviality will not appear in discovering the pre-associate space of a Campanato space as seen below.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $q \in [1, \infty)$  and  $q' = q/(q - 1) \in (1, \infty]$ .

(i) For  $\lambda \in [0, n)$  write  $\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}$  as the space of all functions  $f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_j |c_j| : f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j a_j \right\} < \infty,$$

where each  $a_j$  is a  $(q', (1 - \lambda/n)(1 - 1/q'))$ -atom, i.e., a  $(q', (1 - \lambda/n)(1 - 1/q'))$ -atom with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_j \, dv = 0.$$

(ii) For  $\lambda \in (-q, 0]$  and  $\bar{q} = n/(n - \lambda/q)$  write  $\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}$  as the space of all functions  $f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}$  satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}} = \inf \left\{ \left( \sum_j |c_j|^{\bar{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\bar{q}}} : f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j a_j \right\} < \infty,$$

where each  $a_j$  is a  $(q', (1 - \lambda/n)(1 - 1/q'))$ ,  $-\lambda n/q$ -atom, i.e., a  $(q', (1 - \lambda/n)(1 - 1/q'))$ -atom with all moments

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n} a_j(x) \, dv(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \leq -\frac{\lambda n}{q}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}$  is the pre-associate space of  $\mathfrak{L}^{q,\lambda}$  – namely – if

$$[\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}]^* := \left\{ f \in L^q_{\text{loc}} : \|f\|_{[\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}]^*} = \sup_{\|g\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} fg \, dv \right| < \infty \right\},$$

then  $[\mathfrak{H}^{q',\lambda}]^* = \mathfrak{L}^{q,\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* Under (ii), the desired associate relation says essentially that the dual space of the Hardy space  $H^{\bar{q}}$  of Fefferman–Stein [12] is identical to BMO under  $\bar{q} = 1$  and is identical to  $C^{-\lambda/q}$  under  $\bar{q} < 1$  (cf. [9–11]). Therefore it is enough to check the associate relation under (i). However, under  $(q, \lambda) \in (1, \infty) \times [0, n)$  the associate relation follows from Zorko’s duality [27, Proposition 5], so it remains to deal with the case  $(q, \lambda) \in \{1\} \times [0, n)$ .

On the one hand, if  $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}$  and  $g = \sum_j c_j a_j \in \mathfrak{S}^{\infty,\lambda}$  with  $\sum_j |c_j| < \infty$  and  $a_j$  being an  $(\infty, 1 - \lambda/n, 0)$ -atom (supported in a ball  $B_j$ ), then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f a_j \, dv \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f - f_{B_j}) a_j \, dv \right| \leq (v(B_j))^{\frac{\lambda-n}{n}} \int_{B_j} |f - f_{B_j}| \, dv \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}},$$

and hence

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f g \, dv \right| \leq \sum_j |c_j| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f a_j \, dv \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}} \sum_j |c_j|,$$

namely, each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1,\lambda}$  induces a member of the associate space  $[\mathfrak{S}^{\infty,\lambda}]^*$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $f \in [\mathfrak{S}^{\infty,\lambda}]^*$ . For a given ball  $B(x, r)$  let  $c$  be a constant such that

$$B_{f \geq c}(x, r) = \{x \in B(x, r) : f(x) \geq c\}, \quad B_{f < c}(x, r) = B(x, r) \setminus B_{f \geq c}(x, r)$$

obeys

$$v(B_{f < c}(x, r)) \geq v(B_{f \geq c}(x, r)).$$

The existence of such a constant  $c$  follows from the basic equation

$$v(B(x, r)) = v(B_{f < c}(x, r)) + v(B_{f \geq c}(x, r)).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\int_{B_{f \geq c}(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv \geq \int_{B_{f < c}(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv.$$

Then, choosing

$$a(y) = (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{\lambda-n}{n}} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{as } y \in B_{f \geq c}(x, r), \\ -\left(\frac{v(B_{f \geq c}(x, r))}{v(B_{f < c}(x, r))}\right) & \text{as } y \in B_{f < c}(x, r), \end{cases}$$

we find that  $a$  is an  $(\infty, (1 - \lambda/n), 0)$ -atom with

$$\|a\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\infty,\lambda}} \leq \|a\|_{L^\infty} (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_{f < c}(x, r)} (f - c) a \, dv \geq 0.$$

Consequently, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x, r)} |f - f_{B(x, r)}| \, dv &\leq 2 \int_{B(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv \\ &= 2 \left( \int_{B_{f \geq c}(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv + \int_{B_{f < c}(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv \right) \\ &\leq 4 \int_{B_{f \geq c}(x, r)} |f - c| \, dv \\ &= 4 (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \int_{B_{f \geq c}(x, r)} (f - c) a \, dv \\ &\leq 4 (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \left( \int_{B_{f \geq c}(x, r)} (f - c) a \, dv + \int_{B_{f < c}(x, r)} (f - c) a \, dv \right) \\ &= 4 (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \int_{B(x, r)} (f - c) a \, dv \\ &\leq 4 (v(B(x, r)))^{\frac{n-\lambda}{n}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f a \, dv \right|, \end{aligned}$$

whence reading off

$$(\nu(B(x, r)))^{\frac{\lambda-n}{n}} \int_{B(x,r)} |f - f_{B(x,r)}| \, d\nu \leq 4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f a \, d\nu \right| \leq 4 \|f\|_{[\mathfrak{S}^{\infty, \lambda}]^*} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{S}^{\infty, \lambda}} \leq 4 \|f\|_{[\mathfrak{S}^{\infty, \lambda}]^*}$$

whence arriving at  $f \in \mathfrak{L}^{1, \lambda}$  with  $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{L}^{1, \lambda}} \leq \|f\|_{[\mathfrak{S}^{\infty, \lambda}]^*}$ . □

### 3 Riesz images of pre-associate Campanato spaces

Given the atomic decomposition of  $\mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda}$  in Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 connecting  $I_\alpha L^{p, \kappa}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}^{q, \lambda}$ , it seems natural that there should be a relation between  $I_\alpha \mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda}$  and  $H^{p', \kappa}$ . Indeed, we can make the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $q \in (1, \infty)$  and  $q' = q/(q - 1)$ .*

(i) *If  $-q < \lambda \leq 0$ , then*

$$I_\alpha \mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda} \subset H^{\frac{\kappa q'}{\lambda - (\alpha + \lambda - \kappa) q'}, \kappa} \quad \text{as } 0 < \frac{\kappa}{p} \leq \alpha < n.$$

(ii) *If  $0 < \lambda < n$ , then*

$$I_\alpha \mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda} \subset H^{\frac{\lambda q'}{\lambda - \alpha q'}, \lambda} \cap H^{q', \lambda - \alpha q} \quad \text{as } 1 < \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} < q' < \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* To reach the desired inclusion, recall an equivalent description of  $H^{q', \lambda}$  – if  $\Lambda_{n-\lambda}^{(\infty)}(E)$  expresses the Hausdorff capacity of  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with order  $n - \lambda$ , i.e.,  $\Lambda_{n-\lambda}^{(\infty)}(E) = \inf \sum_j r_j^{n-\lambda}$ , where the infimum ranges over all countable coverings of  $E$  by open balls of radius  $r_j$ , then

$$H^{q', \lambda} = \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^{q'} : \|f\|_{H^{q', \lambda}} = \inf_{w \in B_1^{(n-\lambda)}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^{q'} w^{1-q'} \, d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $B_1^{(n-\lambda)}$  comprises all nonnegative functions  $w$  with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w \, d\Lambda_{n-\lambda}^{(\infty)} = \int_0^\infty \Lambda_{n-\lambda}^{(\infty)}(\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : w(y) > t\}) \, dt \leq 1.$$

Now, using [5, Theorem 2.3] and modifying its argument, we have that not only

$$(H^{q', \lambda})^* = L^{\frac{q'}{q'-1}, \lambda},$$

but also  $\mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda}$  consists of all  $H^{q', \lambda}$ -functions  $f$  with vanishing moment  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\nu = 0$ .

On the one hand, note that if  $\lambda \in (-q, 0]$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda} = H^{\bar{q}}$ , where  $0 < \bar{q} = n/(n - \lambda/q) \leq 1$  (see the argument for Theorem 2). So a combination of Kalita’s [14, Theorem 2] on  $I_\alpha H^{\bar{q}}$  (which is also investigated in Strichartz’s [24, Theorem 5.2] via some suitable atoms) and Adams–Xiao’s [5, Theorem 3.3] gives the desired inclusion under  $\lambda \in (-q, 0]$ .

On the other hand, suppose  $\lambda \in (0, n)$ . According to [6, Theorem 16 (ii)] we find that if

$$1 < q' < \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}, (q' - 1) \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \right\}$$

i.e.

$$1 < \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \alpha} < q' < \frac{\lambda}{\alpha},$$

then

$$I_\alpha H^{q', \lambda} \subset H^{\frac{\lambda q'}{\lambda - \alpha q'}, \lambda} \cap H^{q', \lambda - \alpha q},$$

and hence

$$I_\alpha \mathfrak{S}^{q', \lambda} \subset H^{\frac{\lambda q'}{\lambda - \alpha q'}, \lambda} \cap H^{q', \lambda - \alpha q}.$$

This completes the argument for the case  $\lambda \in (0, n)$ . □

### 4 Trace measures for Lorentz–Sobolev spaces

Recall that for a given nonnegative Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the characteristic function  $1_E$  and the Riesz  $(\alpha, p)$ -capacity of a set  $E$

$$C_{\alpha,p}(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{L^p}^p : f \in L^p \text{ and } I_\alpha f \geq 1_E\},$$

we have that under  $1 < p < n/\alpha$  (cf. Adams [1] for  $p < q$ , Adams and Maz’ya [3, 17, 18] for  $p = q$ , and Cascante–Ortega–Verbitsky [8] for  $p > q$ ):

$$I_\alpha : L^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q \text{ is continuous} \iff \begin{cases} \sup_{(x,r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)} \mu(B(x,r))r^{p^{-1}q(\alpha p-n)} < \infty & \text{as } p < q, \\ \sup_{\text{compact } K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \mu(K)(C_{\alpha,p}(K))^{-1} < \infty & \text{as } p = q, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} d\nu(x) < \infty & \text{as } p > q, \end{cases}$$

thereby finding that the continuity of  $I_\alpha : L^p \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  under  $p \geq q$  cannot be fully determined by the trace measure condition  $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r^{p^{-1}q(n-\alpha p)}$ . But nevertheless, upon replacing  $L^p$  with  $L_{p,1}$ , we can establish the following trace measure principle whose case  $p = q \in (1, 2)$  is obtained via a dyadic partitioning technique in [15].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a nonnegative Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If*

$$1 < p < \min\left\{q, \frac{n}{\alpha}\right\} \quad \text{or} \quad 1 < q \leq p < \min\left\{\frac{q(n-\alpha p)}{n-\alpha q(q-1)^{-1}}, \frac{n}{\alpha}\right\},$$

then

$$I_\alpha : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_\mu^q \text{ is continuous} \iff \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} = \sup_{(x,r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty)} \mu(B(x,r))r^{p^{-1}q(\alpha p-n)} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* On the one hand, assume that  $I_\alpha : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  is continuous. Choose  $f$  as the indicator  $1_{B(x,r)}$  of a given ball  $B(x,r)$ , and compute

$$\|1_{B(x,r)}\|_{L_{p,1}}^p = \nu(B(x,r)) \approx r^n$$

and

$$I_\alpha 1_{B(x,r)}(y) = \int_{B(x,r)} |y-z|^{\alpha-n} d\nu(z) \geq (2r)^{\alpha-n} \nu(B(x,r)) \geq r^\alpha \quad \text{for all } y \in B(x,r).$$

Consequently,

$$r^\alpha (\mu(B(x,r)))^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|I_\alpha 1_{B(x,r)}\|_{L_\mu^q} \leq \|1_{B(x,r)}\|_{L_{p,1}} \leq r^{\frac{n}{p}},$$

namely,  $\|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} < \infty$ .

On the other hand, let  $\|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} < \infty$ . The verification of the continuity of  $I_\alpha : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_\mu^q$  is split into two steps.

**Step 1.** Setting  $K$  be any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^q (\nu(K))^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Here, it is appropriate to mention that our forthcoming approach is partially influenced by the argument for [7, Theorem 3.2 (iii)], but nevertheless essentially different from the method of proving [15, Theorem 0.3].

To proceed, for  $1 < p < n/\alpha$  and  $1 < q < \infty$  let

$$\gamma = p^{-1}q(n-\alpha p) < q(n-\alpha)$$

and the parameter pair  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, n) \times (0, n)$  obey

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 q^{-1} + \alpha_2 (1 - q^{-1}).$$

Then an application of the Hölder inequality gives

$$I_\alpha 1_K(y) = \int_K |y - z|^{\alpha-n} dv(z) \leq \left( \int_K |y - z|^{\alpha_1-n} dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_K |y - z|^{\alpha_2-n} dv(z) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

First, according to [16, Lemma 1.30], we have

$$\int_K |y - z|^{\alpha_j-n} dv(z) \leq (v(K))^{\frac{\alpha_j}{n}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Via fixing a point  $x_0 \in K$  and taking an  $x_0$ -symmetric ball  $B(x_0, r_0)$  such that

$$r_0^n = \frac{v(K)}{v(B(O, 1))} \quad \text{and} \quad K \subset B(x_0, 2r_0),$$

we consider the following two cases under the granted condition  $1 < p < n/\alpha$ .

*Case 1*  $1 < p < q$ . Under this condition  $\alpha_1$  can be chosen to ensure  $n - \gamma < \alpha_1 < n$ . Utilizing the Fubini theorem and [16, Lemma 1.27] we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} \left( \int_K \frac{dv(z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha_1}} \right) d\mu(y) &= \int_K \left( \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha_1}} \right) dv(z) \\ &\leq \int_K \left( \int_0^\infty \mu(B(x_0, 2r_0) \cap B(z, s)) s^{\alpha_1-n} ds \right) dv(z) \\ &\leq \int_K \left( \int_0^{2r_0} + \int_{2r_0}^\infty \right) \mu(B(x_0, 2r_0) \cap B(z, s)) s^{\alpha_1-n} ds dv(z) \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} \int_K \left( \int_0^{2r_0} s^{\gamma+\alpha_1-n-1} ds + \int_{2r_0}^\infty (2r_0)^\gamma s^{\alpha_1-n-1} ds \right) dv(z) \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} (v(K))^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha_1-n}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

The previous analysis guarantees

$$\int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \leq v(K) \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} (v(K))^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha_1-n}{n}} (v(K))^{\frac{\alpha_2(q-1)}{n}} \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} (v(K))^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Second, a combination of the Minkowski inequality and [16, Lemma 1.27] or [26, (2.4.7)] produces

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r_0)} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \int_K \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r_0)} |y - z|^{(\alpha-n)q} d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dv(z) \\ &\leq \int_K \left( \int_{2r_0}^\infty s^{(\alpha-n)q-1} \mu(B(z, s) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r_0))) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dv(z) \\ &\leq v(K) \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{2r_0}^\infty s^{\gamma-(n-\alpha)q-1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} (v(K))^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, putting the first and second estimates together deduces

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} (v(K))^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

Case  $p \geq q > p(n - \alpha q(q - 1)^{-1})(n - \alpha p)^{-1}$ . Under this circumstance we easily find  $q \leq p < q/(q - 1)$  and so  $q \in (1, 2)$ . Choosing  $\alpha_2$  as  $n - \gamma < \alpha_2 < n$ , we use the Hölder inequality and the Fubini theorem to similarly get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} \left( \int_K \frac{dv(z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha_2}} \right)^{q-1} d\mu(y) &\leq \left( \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} \left( \int_K \frac{dv(z)}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha_2}} \right) d\mu(y) \right)^{q-1} (\mu(B(x_0, 2r_0)))^{2-q} \\ &\leq \left( \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha} (v(K))^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha_2}{n}} \right)^{q-1} \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{2-q} (v(K))^{\frac{\gamma(2-q)}{n}} \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{\gamma+(q-1)\alpha_2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu &\leq \int_{B(x_0, 2r_0)} \left( \left( \int_K |y - z|^{\alpha_1-n} dv(z) \right) \left( \int_K |y - z|^{\alpha_2-n} dv(z) \right)^{q-1} \right) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq (v(K))^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n}} \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{\gamma+(q-1)\alpha_2}{n}} \\ &\leq (v(K))^{p-1} \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, just repeating the calculation made in the case  $p < q$  we can get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r_0)} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{q}{p}} (v(K))^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

thereby finding

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (I_\alpha 1_K)^q d\mu \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{q}{p}} (v(K))^{\frac{q}{p}}.$$

**Step 2.** Notice that  $0 \leq g \in L_{p,1}$  can be written as  $g = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j$  (cf. [25, p. 88]) with

$$\begin{cases} g_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j2^j} (j-1)2^{-j} 1_{E_{i,j}} + j 1_{E_j}, \\ E_{i,j} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (i-1)2^{-j} \leq g(x) < i2^{-j}\}, \\ E_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \geq j\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, an application of the Minkowski inequality, plus the estimate for  $\|I_\alpha 1_K\|_{L_\mu^q}$  obtained in Step 1, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\alpha g_j\|_{L_\mu^q} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j2^j} (j-1)2^{-j} \|I_\alpha 1_{E_{i,j}}\|_{L_\mu^q} + j \|I_\alpha 1_{E_j}\|_{L_\mu^q} \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j2^j} (j-1)2^{-j} (v(E_{i,j}))^{\frac{1}{p}} + j (v(E_j))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\ &\leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g_j\|_{L_{p,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $f \in L_{p,1}$  let  $g = |f|$ . Then sending  $j$  to  $\infty$  in the last estimation derives

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{L_\mu^q} \leq \|I_\alpha g\|_{L_\mu^q} \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|g\|_{L_{p,1}} \leq \|\mu\|_{p,q,\alpha}^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L_{p,1}}. \quad \square$$

**Funding:** The author is in part supported by NSERC of Canada and URP of Memorial University.

## References

- [1] D. R. Adams, Traces of potentials arising from translation invariant operators, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Sci. Fis. Mat.* (3) **25** (1971), 203–217.
- [2] D. R. Adams, A note on Riesz potentials, *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 765–778.

- [3] D. R. Adams, On the existence of capacitary strong type estimates, *Ark. Mat.* **14** (1976), 125–140.
- [4] D. R. Adams, *Lecture Notes on  $L_p$ -Potential Theory*, Department of Mathematics, University of Umeå, Umeå, 1981.
- [5] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, Nonlinear analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), 1629–1663.
- [6] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis, *Ark. Mat.* **50** (2012), 201–230.
- [7] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, Restrictions of Morrey–Riesz potentials, preprint (2015).
- [8] C. Cascante, J. M. Ortega and I. E. Verbitsky, Trace inequalities of Sobolev type in the upper triangle cases, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **80** (2000), 391–414.
- [9] R. R. Coifman, A real variable characterization of  $H^p$ , *Studia Math.* **51** (1974), 269–274.
- [10] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 99–157.
- [11] P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg and A. L. Shields, Linear functionals on  $H^p$  spaces with  $0 < p < 1$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **238** (1969), 32–60.
- [12] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137–193.
- [13] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 105, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983.
- [14] E. A. Kalita, Dual Morrey spaces, *Dokl. Math.* **58** (1998), 85–87.
- [15] J. Kristensen and M. V. Korobkov, The trace theorem, the Luzin N- and Morse–Sard properties for the sharp case of Sobolev–Lorentz mappings, report no. OxPDE-15/07, University of Oxford, Oxford, 2015.
- [16] J. Malý and W. P. Ziemer, *Fine Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1997.
- [17] V. G. Maz’ya, Imbedding theorems and their applications (in Russian), in: *Baku Symposium 1966*, Nauka, Moscow (1970), 142–159.
- [18] V. G. Maz’ya, On certain integral inequalities for functions of many variables (in Russian), *Probl. Mat. Analiza* **3** (1972), 33–68; translation in *J. Sov. Math.* **1** (1973), 205–234.
- [19] Y. Mizuta, *Potential Theory in Euclidean Spaces*, Gakkōtoshō, Tokyo, 1996.
- [20] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, The Fatou property of block spaces, preprint (2014), <http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.2688>.
- [21] S. L. Sobolev, On a theorem of functional analysis, *Mat. Sb. (4)* **46** (1938), 471–497.
- [22] R. S. Strichartz, Bounded mean oscillation and Sobolev spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29** (1980), 539–558.
- [23] R. S. Strichartz, Traces of BMO-Sobolev spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), 509–513.
- [24] R. S. Strichartz,  $H^p$  Sobolev spaces, *Colloq. Math.* **60/61** (1990), 129–139.
- [25] A. Torchinsky, *Real Variables*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, 1988.
- [26] B. O. Turesson, *Nonlinear Potential Theory and Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1736, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [27] C. T. Zorko, Morrey space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1986), 586–592.