

## THE ROOT CLASS RESIDUALITY OF THE TREE PRODUCT OF GROUPS WITH AMALGAMATED RETRACTS

E. A. Tumanova

UDC 512.543

**Abstract:** Given a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups, we prove that the tree product of residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups with amalgamated retracts is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. This yields a criterion for the  $\mathcal{K}$ -residuality of Artin and Coxeter groups with tree structure. We also prove that the HNN-extension  $X$  of a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group  $B$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group provided that the associated subgroups of  $X$  are retracts in  $B$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446619040153

**Keywords:** tree product of groups, HNN-extension, Artin group, Coxeter group, root class residuality, residual finiteness, residual  $p$ -finiteness, residual solubility

### 1. Introduction

Consider a tree  $T$  with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . Assign to each vertex  $v \in V$  some group  $A_v$ ; and to each edge  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ , some group  $H_e$  with embeddings  $\varphi_{ev} : H_e \rightarrow A_v$  and  $\varphi_{ew} : H_e \rightarrow A_w$ . For convenience, denote the subgroups  $H_e\varphi_{ev}$  and  $H_e\varphi_{ew}$  of  $A_v$  and  $A_w$  by  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$ .

Assume that the generators and defining relations are available for each group  $A_v$  ( $v \in V$ ). Following [1], refer as the *tree product* of  $A_v$  ( $v \in V$ ) with subgroups  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$  amalgamated by  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew}$  ( $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ ) to the group

$$G = \langle A_v \ (v \in V); H_{vw} = H_{wv} \text{ for } \{v, w\} \in E \rangle,$$

whose generators are those of  $A_v$  for all  $v \in V$  and whose defining relations are those of  $A_v$  for all  $v \in V$  together with all possible relations of the form  $h\varphi_{ev} = h\varphi_{ew}$  for  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$  and  $h \in H_e$ , where  $h\varphi_{ev}$  is the word in the generators of  $A_v$  which determines the image of  $h$  under  $\varphi_{ev}$ , while  $h\varphi_{ew}$  is the word in the generators of  $A_w$  which determines the image of  $h$  under  $\varphi_{ew}$ . The groups  $A_v$  ( $v \in V$ ) are called the *vertex groups*, and the subgroups  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$  ( $\{v, w\} \in E$ ) are called *edge subgroups*.

If the tree  $T$  consists of two vertices and one edge then the corresponding tree product is the free product of two vertex groups with amalgamated subgroups. Another well-known construction is the free product of an arbitrary family of groups with one amalgamated subgroup; we can regard it as the tree product corresponding to a star graph on assuming that all edge subgroups of the group corresponding to the central vertex coincide.

Given a class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups, a group  $X$  is called a *residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group* whenever for each nontrivial  $x \in X$  there is a homomorphism of  $X$  onto a group in  $\mathcal{K}$ , called a  $\mathcal{K}$ -group, carrying  $x$  into a nontrivial element. This article studies the residuality of tree products with respect to an arbitrary root class of groups.

The concept of root class of groups was introduced by Gruenberg [2]. According to his definition, a nontrivial class  $\mathcal{K}$  (containing at least one nontrivial group) is called a *root class* whenever  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under subgroups and direct products of finitely many factors, as well as satisfies the following condition: If  $1 \leq Z \leq Y \leq X$  is a subnormal series of  $X$  whose factors  $X/Y$  and  $Y/Z$  lie in  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $X$  has a normal subgroup  $T$  such that  $T \subseteq Z$  and  $X/T \in \mathcal{K}$ . However, in order to understand what root classes really are, the equivalent definition of [3] turns out more convenient: A nontrivial class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups is called

---

The author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 18-31-00187).

a *root class* whenever  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under subgroups and extensions, while together with two arbitrary groups  $X$  and  $Y$   $\mathcal{K}$  contains the Cartesian product of the form  $\prod_{y \in Y} X_y$ , where  $X_y$  is an isomorphic copy of  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$ . The second definition implies immediately that the intersection of root classes yields a root class. As the concrete examples of root classes, we mention the classes of all finite groups, finite  $p$ -groups for prime  $p$ , soluble groups, and all torsion-free groups.

As [2, 4] established, the free product of every family of residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group for every root class  $\mathcal{K}$ . Furthermore, the results presently available on the residuality with respect to particular root classes of groups (see [5–9] for instance) suggest that for more complicated group theory constructions, like free products with amalgamated subgroups or HNN-extensions, any similar universal criterion is most likely unfeasible. The residuality of these constructions is therefore studied under various restrictions on the original groups, their subgroups, and the connecting isomorphisms; see [3, 4, 10–19] for instance. One of these restrictions is the property that the amalgamated subgroup of the generalized free product is a retract in the free factor that includes it.

Recall that a subgroup  $Y$  of a group  $X$  is called a *retract* whenever  $X$  has a normal subgroup  $Z$  with  $X = YZ$  and  $Y \cap Z = 1$ . In other words, a subgroup  $Y$  is a retract in  $X$  whenever there exists a homomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$  which acts identically on  $Y$ . Note also that  $Y$  is a retract in  $X$  if and only if  $X$  is the split extension of some group  $Z$  by  $Y$ .

Boler and Evans established [20] that the free product of two residually finite groups with amalgamated retracts is a residually finite group. A similar result is obtained in [21] for residual  $p$ -finiteness. Indeed, Theorem 1 of [22] generalizes the two assertions.

**Theorem 1** [22, Theorem 1]. *Consider an arbitrary root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and the free product  $P$  of groups  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  with subgroups  $H_1 \leq A_1$  and  $H_2 \leq A_2$  amalgamated by some isomorphism  $\varphi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ . If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups and the subgroups  $H_i$  are retracts in  $A_i$  then  $P$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*

In [11] Theorem 1 was extended to the case of free products of arbitrary families of groups with one amalgamated subgroup. Moreover, [17] obtained a sufficient condition, generalizing Theorem 1, for the residuality with respect to an arbitrary root class of the free product of two groups with amalgamated subgroups only one of which is a retract in the corresponding free factor.

The following statement is the main result of this article:

**Theorem 2.** *Consider an arbitrary root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups, a tree  $T = (V, E)$ , and the tree product  $G$  of groups  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  with subgroups  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$  amalgamated by isomorphisms  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew}$  for  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ . If all  $A_v$  are residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups and, for each edge  $\{v, w\} \in E$ , the subgroup  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $A_v$  and the subgroup  $H_{wv}$  is a retract in  $A_w$  then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*

By way of application of Theorem 2, we obtain criteria for the residuality with respect to an arbitrary root class for Artin and Coxeter groups with tree structures.

Take an arbitrary set  $V$  and a family  $E$  of two-element subsets of  $V$ . Recall (see [23] for instance) that the *Artin group* is the group with generators  $a_v$  for  $v \in V$  and defining relations

$$a_v a_w a_v \dots = a_w a_v a_w \dots \quad \text{for } \{v, w\} \in E,$$

where the words on both sides are of length  $m_e \geq 2$ , with  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ , and consist of alternating symbols  $a_v$  and  $a_w$ . Henceforth we write these relations as  $\langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e}$  for  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ .

Thus, the Artin group is determined by the presentation

$$G = \langle a_v (v \in V); \langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e} \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle.$$

The *Coxeter group*  $\overline{G}$  is usually considered along with the Artin group. We obtain  $\overline{G}$  by adding the relation  $a_v^2 = 1$  for  $v \in V$  to the defining relations of  $G$ . The equalities  $a_v^2 = 1$  imply that  $a_v = a_v^{-1}$  for all  $v \in V$ , and so each relation  $\langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e}$  for  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$  can be rewritten as  $(a_v a_w)^{m_e} = 1$ . Hence,  $\overline{G}$  is presented as

$$\overline{G} = \langle a_v (v \in V); a_v^2 = 1 (v \in V), (a_v a_w)^{m_e} = 1 \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle.$$

Clearly, we can regard the pair of sets  $(V, E)$  as an undirected graph with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . If this graph is a tree then the Artin (Coxeter) group is called the Artin (Coxeter) group *with tree structure* [24]. As we show below, the Artin and Coxeter groups with tree structure turn out to be tree products with amalgamated retracts, and so we can use Theorem 2 to study their root class residuality.

Henceforth, given an arbitrary root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups, we denote by  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$  the set of all prime divisors of the orders of finite groups in  $\mathcal{K}$ , and by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  the set of positive integers with all prime divisors in  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Consider an arbitrary root class  $\mathcal{K}$  and the Artin group*

$$G = \langle a_v (v \in V); \langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e} \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle$$

*with tree structure.*

(1) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*

(2) *Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of periodic groups.*

(i) *If  $m_e$  is even for all  $e \in E$  then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m_e/2$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  for all  $e \in E$ .*

(ii) *If there is at least one odd number among  $m_e$  for  $e \in E$  then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m_e$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  for all  $e \in E$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Consider an arbitrary root class  $\mathcal{K}$ . The Coxeter group*

$$\bar{G} = \langle a_v (v \in V); a_v^2 = 1 (v \in V), (a_v a_w)^{m_e} = 1 \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle$$

*with tree structure is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m_e$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  for all  $e \in E$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

As we mentioned above, the classes of finite groups and soluble groups are root classes; and, therefore, so is their intersection, the class of finite soluble groups. Thus, Corollaries 1 and 2 imply that the Artin and Coxeter groups with tree structures are residually finite soluble groups. It is also easy to deduce a criterion for the residual  $p$ -finiteness of these groups: In this case  $\pi(\mathcal{K}) = \{p\}$ , while  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  amounts to the set all nonnegative powers of  $p$ . As a comment to Corollaries 1 and 2, observe that if  $m_e$  lies in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  for all  $e \in E$  and at least one  $m_e$  is even then  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  automatically.

Apart from residuality criteria for Artin and Coxeter groups, we use Theorem 2 to prove the following assertion:

**Theorem 3.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups containing at least one nonperiodic group and the HNN-extension  $X = \langle B, t; t^{-1}Ht = K, \varphi \rangle$  of a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group  $B$  with subgroups  $H \leq B$  and  $K \leq B$  related by some isomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ . If  $H$  and  $K$  are retracts in  $B$  then  $X$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*

It is well known that each free group is residually torsion-free soluble [25]. The class of torsion-free soluble groups is a root class because it is the intersection of root classes: soluble groups and torsion-free groups. Thus, Theorem 3 implies the following two assertions:

**Corollary 3.** *Consider the HNN-extension  $X$  of a soluble group  $B$  with subgroups  $H \leq B$  and  $K \leq B$  associated by some isomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ . If  $H$  and  $K$  are retracts in  $B$  then  $X$  is a residually soluble group. If  $B$  is torsion-free then  $X$  is residually torsion-free soluble.*

**Corollary 4.** *Consider the HNN-extension  $X$  of a free group  $B$  with subgroups  $H \leq B$  and  $K \leq B$  associated by some isomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ . If  $H$  and  $K$  are retracts in  $B$  then  $X$  is a residually torsion-free soluble group.*

The rest of the article contains the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 as well as Corollaries 1 and 2.

## 2. Some Properties of the Tree Products of Groups

Given some tree  $T = (V, E)$ , consider the tree product  $G$  of groups  $A_v (v \in V)$  with subgroups  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$  amalgamated by some isomorphisms  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew} (e = \{v, w\} \in E)$ . Given a subtree  $T' =$

$(V', E')$  of  $T$ , we can define a tree product by assigning to the vertices and edges of  $T'$  the same groups and embeddings as for  $T$ . Call this tree product *corresponding to  $T'$* . As [1] shows, if  $T' = (V', E')$  is a subtree of  $T$  and  $G'$  is the corresponding tree product then the identity mapping of the generators of  $G'$  into  $G$  extends to an embedding. In particular,  $A_v$  embeds into  $G$  by the identity mapping of the generators for all  $v \in V$ , which enables us to regard each vertex group as a subgroup of the tree product.

Take a subset  $E'$  of  $E$  and the set  $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  of the connected components of the graph obtained from  $T$  by removing all edges in  $E'$ . Call  $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  the *partition* of  $T$  into subtrees corresponding to  $E'$ .

Clearly, for distinct  $i, j \in I$  the tree  $T$  has at most one edge connecting some vertex of  $T_i$  to some vertex of  $T_j$  and that if this edge exists then it lies in  $E'$ . It is also obvious that each edge of  $E'$  joins two vertices of distinct subtrees. Therefore, we can define the graph  $\mathcal{T}$  with the vertex set  $\mathcal{V} = \{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  and the edge set  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponding bijectively to  $E'$ , with  $\{T_i, T_j\} \in \mathcal{E}$  if and only if there exists an edge  $e \in E'$  joining some vertex of  $T_i$  to some vertex of  $T_j$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tree. Call it the *tree product determined by  $E'$* .

Denote by  $G_i$  the tree product corresponding to the subtree  $T_i$ . As we indicated above, for each  $i \in I$  we may regard all vertex groups of  $T_i$  as subgroups of  $G_i$ . Hence, if  $e \in E'$  joins a vertex  $v$  of  $T_i$  with a vertex  $w$  of  $T_j$  then  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew}$  determine embeddings of  $H_e$  into  $G_i$  and  $G_j$  respectively. Consequently, we can define the tree product  $\mathcal{G}$  by assigning to  $T_i \in \mathcal{V}$  the group  $G_i$ ; while to the edge  $\{T_i, T_j\} \in \mathcal{E}$  corresponding to some edge  $e = \{v, w\} \in E'$ , the group  $H_e$  and the embeddings  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew}$ . Refer to the so-constructed group  $\mathcal{G}$  as the *tree product determined by  $E'$* .

The following proposition is not difficult to verify:

**Proposition 1** [1]. *Consider the tree product  $G$  corresponding to some tree  $T$  and a subset  $E'$  of edges of  $T$ . Then the tree product  $\mathcal{G}$  determined by  $E'$  admits the same presentation as  $G$ . In particular,  $G$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are isomorphic.*

Now, for  $v \in V$ , fix a normal subgroup  $R_v$  of each vertex group  $A_v$ . Refer to the system of subgroups  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_v \mid v \in V\}$  as a system of *compatible normal subgroups* provided that for every  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$  the subgroups  $R_v$  and  $R_w$  are  $H_e$ -compatible, meaning that  $H_e$  has a normal subgroup  $R_e$  satisfying  $R_v \cap H_{vw} = R_e \varphi_{ev}$  and  $R_w \cap H_{wv} = R_e \varphi_{ew}$ .

It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_v \mid v \in V\}$  is a system of compatible normal subgroups of  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  then for every  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$  the mapping  $\overline{\varphi_{ev}} : H_e/R_e \rightarrow H_{vw}R_w/R_v$ , carrying the coset  $hR_e$  with  $h \in H_e$  to the coset  $(h\varphi_{ev})R_v$ , and the mapping  $\overline{\varphi_{ew}} : H_e/R_e \rightarrow H_{wv}R_v/R_w$ , carrying the coset  $hR_e$  with  $h \in H_e$  to the coset  $(h\varphi_{ew})R_w$ , are well defined and are isomorphisms of subgroups. Therefore, along with the tree product  $G$ , for the same tree  $T$  we can consider the tree product

$$G_{\mathcal{R}} = \langle A_v/R_v \ (v \in V); H_{vw}R_w/R_v = H_{wv}R_v/R_w \text{ for } \{v, w\} \in E \rangle$$

of the groups  $A_v/R_v$  ( $v \in V$ ) with the subgroups  $H_{vw}R_w/R_v$  and  $H_{wv}R_v/R_w$  amalgamated by  $\overline{\varphi_{ev}}$  and  $\overline{\varphi_{ew}}$  ( $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ ).

We can verify directly that the mapping of words extending the identity mapping of the generators of  $G$  into  $G_{\mathcal{R}}$  carries all defining relations of  $G$  into equalities valid in  $G_{\mathcal{R}}$ , and so this mapping is a surjective homomorphism.

Thus, we have

**Proposition 2.** *Given a tree  $T = (V, E)$ , consider the corresponding tree product  $G$  of groups  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  and a system  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_v \mid v \in V\}$  of compatible normal subgroups of  $A_v$ . Then there exists a surjective homomorphism  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}} : G \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{R}}$  whose action on each subgroup  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  coincides with the action of the natural homomorphism  $A_v \rightarrow A_v/R_v$ .*

**Proposition 3.** *Given a tree  $T = (V, E)$ , consider the corresponding tree product  $G$  of  $A_v$  ( $v \in V$ ) with the subgroups  $H_{vw}$  and  $H_{wv}$  amalgamated by the isomorphisms  $\varphi_{ev}$  and  $\varphi_{ew}$  ( $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ ). Suppose furthermore that  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $A_v$  and  $H_{wv}$  is a retract in  $A_w$  for all  $\{v, w\} \in E$ . Then for every  $u \in V$  and every normal subgroup  $R_u$  of  $A_u$  there exists a homomorphism of  $G$  onto the*

quotient  $A_u/R_u$  extending the natural homomorphism of  $A_u$  onto  $A_u/R_u$ . In particular, each subgroup  $B_u$  of  $A_u$  that is a retract in  $A_u$  turns out to be a retract in  $G$ .

PROOF. Fix  $u \in V$  and a normal subgroup  $R_u$  of  $A_u$ . Since  $T$  is a tree, for each vertex  $v \in V$  there exists a unique shortest chain from  $u$  to  $v$ . Refer to its length as the *distance* from  $u$  to  $v$ .

Construct a system  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_v \mid v \in V\}$  of compatible normal subgroups of  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  by induction on the distance  $l$  from  $u$  to  $v$  for which it is necessary to define  $R_v$ .

If  $l = 0$  then  $R_v = R_u$ . Assume that  $l > 0$  and for all vertices at distance less than  $l$  from  $u$  the subgroups have already been constructed. Take a vertex  $v$  at distance  $l$  from  $u$ , the vertex  $w$  directly preceding  $v$  in the shortest  $(u, v)$ -chain, and the edge  $e$  joining  $w$  and  $v$ . Then  $w$  lies at distance  $l - 1$  from  $u$ , and so a compatible normal subgroup  $R_w$  in the vertex group  $A_w$  is available. Find in  $A_v$  a normal subgroup  $R_v$  such that  $R_w$  and  $R_v$  are  $H_e$ -compatible.

Put  $R_{vw} = R_w \cap H_{vw}$  and  $R_{vw} = R_{vw}\varphi_{ew}^{-1}\varphi_{ev}$ . Since  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $A_v$ , there exists a normal subgroup  $N_{vw}$  of  $A_v$  satisfying  $A_v = H_{vw}N_{vw}$  and  $H_{vw} \cap N_{vw} = 1$ . Put  $R_v = R_{vw}N_{vw}$  and verify that  $R_v$  is the required subgroup.

Verify firstly that  $R_v$  is a normal subgroup of  $A_v$ . Since  $R_w$  is normal in  $A_w$ , it follows that  $R_{vw}$  is normal in  $H_{vw}$ , and consequently  $R_{vw}$  is normal in  $H_{vw}$ . Moreover,  $N_{vw}$  is normal in  $A_v$ . Therefore,

$$R_v^{A_v} = (R_{vw}N_{vw})^{A_v} = R_{vw}^{A_v}N_{vw} = R_{vw}^{H_{vw}N_{vw}}N_{vw} = R_{vw}^{N_{vw}}N_{vw}.$$

The normality of  $N_{vw}$  in  $A_v$  also implies that  $[R_{vw}, N_{vw}] \subseteq N_{vw}$ . Hence,  $R_v^{N_{vw}} \subseteq R_{vw}N_{vw} = R_v$ . Therefore,  $R_v^{A_v} \subseteq R_vN_{vw} = R_v$ , and so  $R_v$  is a normal subgroup of  $A_v$ .

Verify that  $R_w$  and  $R_v$  are  $H_e$ -compatible subgroups; i.e.,  $H_e$  includes a normal subgroup  $R_e$  satisfying  $R_w \cap H_{vw} = R_e\varphi_{ew}$  and  $R_v \cap H_{vw} = R_e\varphi_{ev}$ . Put  $R_e = R_{vw}\varphi_{ew}^{-1}$ . Then  $R_w \cap H_{vw} = R_{vw} = R_e\varphi_{ew}$  and  $R_{vw} = R_{vw}\varphi_{ew}^{-1}\varphi_{ev} = R_e\varphi_{ev}$ . As we indicated above,  $R_{vw}$  is normal in  $H_{vw}$  and so  $R_e$  is normal in  $H_e$ . It remains to show that  $R_v \cap H_{vw} = R_{vw}$ .

Since  $R_v = R_{vw}N_{vw}$ , we have  $R_{vw} \subseteq R_v \cap H_{vw}$ . The reverse inclusion follows because  $H_{vw} \cap N_{vw} = 1$ . Indeed, if  $h \in R_v \cap H_{vw}$  then  $h = h_1h_2$  for some  $h_1 \in R_{vw}$  and  $h_2 \in N_{vw}$ . Since  $R_{vw} \subseteq H_{vw}$ , this implies that  $h_2 = h_1^{-1}h \in H_{vw} \cap N_{vw} = 1$  and  $h = h_1 \in R_{vw}$ .

Therefore,  $R_v$  is defined for each vertex  $v$  at distance  $l$  from  $u$  and, consequently, for every  $v \in V$ .

For the same tree  $T$  and the constructed system  $\mathcal{R}$  of compatible normal subgroups of vertex groups, along with the tree product  $G$  we can consider the tree product  $G_{\mathcal{R}}$  of the quotient groups  $A_v/R_v$  for  $v \in V$  with  $H_{vw}R_v/R_v$  and  $H_{vw}R_w/R_w$  amalgamated by the isomorphisms  $\overline{\varphi_{ev}}$  and  $\overline{\varphi_{ew}}$  ( $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ ). By Proposition 2, there exists a surjective homomorphism  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}} : G \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{R}}$  whose action on  $A_v$  for  $v \in V$  coincides with the action of the natural homomorphism  $A_v \rightarrow A_v/R_v$ .

To verify that  $A_v\rho_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq A_u\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ , induct on the distance  $l$  from  $u$  to  $v$ . If  $l = 0$  then the claim is obvious. For  $l > 0$  take the vertex  $w$  directly preceding  $v$  in the shortest  $(u, v)$ -chain and the edge  $e$  joining  $w$  and  $v$ , assuming that  $A_w\rho_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq A_u\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Since  $A_v = H_{vw}N_{vw}$ , we can express each  $a \in A_v$  as  $a = hb$  for suitable  $h \in H_{vw}$  and  $b \in N_{vw}$ . Since the action of  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  on the vertex group  $A_v$  coincides with that of the natural homomorphism  $A_v \rightarrow A_v/R_v$  and  $N_{vw} \subseteq R_v$  by the construction of  $R_v$ , we infer that  $a\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = h\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Consequently,  $A_v\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = H_{vw}\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ . Since  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  carries all defining relations of  $G$  into equalities,  $x\varphi_{ew}\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = x\varphi_{ev}\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  for each  $x \in H_e$ .

Therefore,  $H_{vw}\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = H_{vw}\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  and so  $A_v\rho_{\mathcal{R}} = H_{vw}\rho_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq A_w\rho_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq A_u\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ .

The above shows that  $G_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq A_u\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$ , and so  $G_{\mathcal{R}} = A_u/R_u$ . Thus,  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}}$  is the required homomorphism.

In particular, if  $B_u$  is a retract in  $A_u$  then the homomorphism of  $A_u$  onto  $B_u$  acting identically on  $B_u$  extends to a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $B_u$ . Thus,  $B_u$  is a retract in  $G$  as well.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Assume firstly that the tree  $T$  is finite. In this case the proof proceeds by induction on the number of edges in  $T$ . If  $T$  lacks edges and consists of a sole vertex then the tree product  $G$  coincides with the sole vertex group which is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by assumption. Verify that if the tree products  $G$  are residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups for trees  $T$  with at most  $n$  edges then the same holds for  $n + 1$  edges.

Fix some leaf  $v$  of  $T$  and the unique edge  $e = \{v, w\}$  incident to  $v$ . Denote by  $T_e$  the subtree of  $T$  obtained by removing  $e$  together with  $v$  and by  $G_e$ , the tree product corresponding to  $T_e$ . By the inductive assumption,  $G_e$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. By Proposition 3, the edge subgroup  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $G_e$ . Consequently, the tree product  $\mathcal{G}$  determined by  $e$  is the free product of residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups  $A_v$  and  $G_e$  with amalgamated retracts, and so it is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by Theorem 1. It remains to observe that the original tree product  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}$  according to Proposition 1.

Assume that  $T$  is an infinite tree and take some nontrivial element  $g$  of  $G$ . To complete the proof, we find a homomorphism of  $G$  onto a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group carrying  $g$  into a nontrivial element. Clearly, each homomorphism of this kind extends to a homomorphism of  $G$  onto a  $\mathcal{K}$ -group acting on  $g$  similarly.

Take some word  $\omega$  defining  $g$ . Denote by  $T_0$  the smallest subtree of  $T$  the union of whose vertex groups contains all generators of  $G$  corresponding to the letters in  $\omega$ . The tree  $T_0$  is obviously finite. Take the tree product  $G_0$  corresponding to  $T_0$ . The above argument for the case of finite trees shows that  $G_0$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. As indicated above, we may assume that  $G_0$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ; moreover,  $g \in G_0$  by construction.

Denote by  $E'$  the set of all edges of  $T$  joining some vertex of  $T_0$  to some vertex outside  $T_0$ . Partition  $T$  into the set  $\{T_i \mid i \in I\}$  of subtrees corresponding to  $E'$ . Take the tree  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$  and the tree product  $\mathcal{G}$  determined by  $E'$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a star whose central vertex is the subtree  $T_0$ .

Take an arbitrary edge  $\{T_0, T_i\} \in \mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  and a corresponding edge  $e$  of  $E'$  joining some vertex  $v$  of  $T_0$  with some vertex  $w$  of  $T_i$ . Since the subgroup  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $A_v$  and the subgroup  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $A_w$ , Proposition 3 shows that  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $G_0$  and  $H_{vw}$  is a retract in  $G_i$ .

Hence,  $\mathcal{G}$  amounts to a tree product with amalgamated retracts. It is obvious that  $G_0$  is a retract in  $G_0$ ; hence, Proposition 3 shows once again that  $G_0$  is a retract in  $\mathcal{G}$ . By Proposition 1,  $G$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are isomorphic. Thus, there exists a homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G_0$  acting identically on  $G_0$ , as required.  $\square$

#### 4. Some Statements on the Root Classes of Groups and Residuality

Throughout this section, for a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and a group  $X$  denote by  $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$  the set of all normal subgroups of  $X$  the quotients by which lie in  $\mathcal{K}$ . It is clear that  $X$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if the intersection of all subgroups in  $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$  is trivial.

**Proposition 4.** *The following hold for each root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and each group  $X$ :*

- (1) *The intersection of finitely many subgroups in  $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$  belongs to this family.*
- (2) *If  $X$  is a finite residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group then  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

PROOF. Indeed, if  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_k$  are subgroups in  $\mathcal{K}^*(X)$  then by Remak's Theorem the quotient group  $X/(N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \dots \cap N_k)$  embeds into the direct product of the quotient groups  $X/N_1, X/N_2, \dots, X/N_k$ , and so belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$  because this class is closed under subgroups and direct products of finitely many factors.

Assume that  $X$  is a finite residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. Obviously  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  if  $X = 1$ . If  $X \neq 1$  then for each nontrivial element  $x \in X$  choose a subgroup  $N_x \in \mathcal{K}^*(X)$  that avoids  $x$  and denote by  $N$  the intersection of all these subgroups. Then  $N = 1$  and Proposition 1 yields  $N \in \mathcal{K}^*(X)$ . Thus,  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  consisting of periodic groups. Denote by  $P$  the free product of a cyclic group  $A$  and an abelian group  $B$  with two subgroups  $H \leq A$  and  $K \leq B$  amalgamated by some isomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ ; furthermore,  $K \neq B$  and  $[A : H] < \infty$ . If  $P$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group then  $[A : H] \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $P$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group and  $A$  is generated by an element  $a$ . Put  $t = [A : H]$ . If  $t = 1$  then the claim is obvious. Assume that  $t > 1$ .

Suppose that there exists an integer  $s \in [1; t-1]$  such that  $a^s \in HN$  for every subgroup  $N \in \mathcal{K}^*(P)$ . Since  $K \neq B$ , there is  $b \in B \setminus K$ . Consider  $c = [a^s, b]$ , which has in  $P$  a reduced form of length 4 and so is nontrivial by Theorem 4.4 of [26]. At the same time, for each subgroup  $N \in \mathcal{K}^*(P)$  there is  $h \in H$  with  $a^s \equiv h \pmod{N}$ , and so  $c \equiv [h, b] = 1 \pmod{N}$  because  $B$  is abelian. This contradicts the property that  $P$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.

Hence, for every  $s \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$  there is a subgroup  $N_s \in \mathcal{K}^*(P)$  satisfying  $a^s \notin HN_s$ . Put  $N = \bigcap_{s=1}^{t-1} N_s$ . Then Proposition 4 yields  $N \in \mathcal{K}^*(P)$  and it is easy to see that  $N \cap A \subseteq H$ .

Since  $A/(N \cap A) \cong AN/N \leq P/N \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under subgroups,  $A/(N \cap A) \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of periodic groups, the cyclic group  $A/(N \cap A)$  must be finite, and so all prime divisors of the order of the group lie in  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ . Since  $N \cap A \leq H \leq A$ , we see that  $[A : H]$  divides  $[A : N \cap A]$ . Thus,  $[A : H] \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *For each root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups the following hold:*

- (1) *Every free group is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group [4, Theorem 1].*
- (2) *The free product of each family of residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -groups is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group [2, 4].*
- (3) *Every extension of a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by a  $\mathcal{K}$ -group is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group [2, Lemma 1.5].*

If a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group then it contains an infinite cyclic group because it is closed under subgroups. Hence, Proposition 6 directly implies the following:

**Proposition 7.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups containing at least one nonperiodic group. Then each extension of a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by an infinite cyclic group is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. In particular, each extension of a free group by an infinite cyclic group is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*

**Proposition 8.** *Given a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups, a finite soluble group is in  $\mathcal{K}$  if and only if the order of the group belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. The necessity follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ . Let us verify sufficiency.

Take a finite soluble group  $X$  whose order  $q$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ . It is known that  $X$  possesses a subnormal series with cyclic factors of prime orders. Since each of these orders divides  $q$  and  $q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ , they all belong to  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is a hereditary class, by the definition of  $\pi(\mathcal{K})$  and Sylow's Theorem all factors of the series are in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under extensions, we see that  $X \in \mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

## 5. The Root Class Residuality of Two-Generator Artin and Coxeter Groups

In order to prove the criterion for the root class residuality of a two-generator Artin group with respect to an arbitrary root class, we need the two auxiliary propositions:

**Proposition 9.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and the group  $G = \langle x, y; x^m = y^2 \rangle$  for some integer  $m > 1$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of periodic groups then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. Observe first of all that  $G$  amounts to the free product of the infinite cyclic groups  $X = \langle x \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y \rangle$  with amalgamated subgroups generated by  $x^m$  and  $y^2$ . Therefore, necessity in (2) follows from Proposition 5.

Consider the mapping of the generators of  $G$  into the infinite cyclic group with generator  $z$  acting as  $x \mapsto z^2$  and  $y \mapsto z^m$ . Extended to a mapping on words, it carries the defining relation of  $G$  into a valid equality, and so determines a homomorphism  $\sigma$  that is obviously injective on the free factors  $X$  and  $Y$ . Hence, Neumann's Theorem [27] implies that the kernel of  $\sigma$  is a free group. Thus,  $G$  amounts to an extension of the free group by an infinite cyclic group, and so (1) holds by Proposition 7.

Let us establish sufficiency in (2). To this end, take some  $g \in G \setminus 1$  and find a homomorphism of  $G$  onto a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group carrying  $g$  into a nontrivial element.

Denote by  $H$  the infinite cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $x^m$ , or equivalently by  $y^2$ , and observe that it is central in  $G$ . If  $g \in H$  then the homomorphism  $\sigma$  constructed above is the required one because  $\sigma$  acts injectively on  $H$ , while  $G\sigma$  is infinite and cyclic, and consequently it is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by Proposition 6. If  $g$  lies outside  $H$  then it remains nontrivial under the natural homomorphism  $\rho$  of  $G$  onto the quotient group  $G/H$ . The latter admits the presentation  $\langle x, y; x^m = 1, y^2 = 1 \rangle$  and so amounts to the free product of the finite cyclic groups  $X_m = \langle x; x^m = 1 \rangle$  and  $Y_2 = \langle y; y^2 = 1 \rangle$ . Since  $m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$

and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ , by Proposition 8  $X_m$  and  $Y_2$  are in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Hence, by Proposition 6, the free product of  $X_m$  and  $Y_2$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group, and  $\rho$  is the required homomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and the group  $G = \langle x, y; x^{-1}y^kx = y^k \rangle$  for some positive integer  $k$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of periodic groups then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. Use the same strategy as in the proof of Proposition 9.

The group  $G$  amounts to the HNN-extension of the infinite cyclic group  $Y = \langle y \rangle$  with a stable letter  $x$  and the coinciding associated subgroups that are generated by  $y^k$ . Adding to the presentation of  $G$  the new generator  $z$  and the defining relation  $z = y^k$ , we obtain the presentation  $G = \langle x, y, z; z = y^k, x^{-1}zx = z \rangle$ , from which we see that  $G$  is simultaneously the free product of the same group  $Y$  and the free abelian group  $\langle x, z; [z, x] = 1 \rangle$  with amalgamated subgroups that are generated by  $y^k$  and  $z$ . Therefore, as in the proof of Proposition 9, necessity in (2) follows from Proposition 5.

The natural homomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$  onto its quotient group by the normal closure of  $x$  acts injectively on the base group  $Y$ . According to the structure theorem for subgroups of HNN-extensions [28], this means that the kernel of  $\sigma$  is a free group. Since the image of  $G$  under  $\sigma$  is an infinite cyclic group, by Proposition 7 this immediately implies (1).

The verification of sufficiency in (2) repeats almost verbatim the similar arguments of the proof of Proposition 9. Take some  $g \in G \setminus 1$ , denote by  $H$  the cyclic subgroup generated by  $y^k$ , and find a homomorphism of  $G$  onto a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group carrying  $g$  into a nontrivial element. Consider the two cases:  $g \in H$  and  $g \notin H$ . If  $g \in H$  then the homomorphism  $\sigma$  defined above works because it acts injectively on  $H$  and sends  $G$  onto an infinite cyclic group. If  $g \notin H$  then observe that  $H$  is central in  $G$  and consider the natural homomorphism  $\rho$  of  $G$  onto the quotient group

$$G/H = \langle x, y; x^{-1}y^kx = y^k, y^k = 1 \rangle = \langle x, y; y^k = 1 \rangle,$$

which amounts to the free product of the infinite cyclic group  $X = \langle x \rangle$  and the finite cyclic group  $Y_k = \langle y; y^k = 1 \rangle$ . Note that  $X$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by Proposition 6 and  $Y_k$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$  in view of Proposition 8 because  $k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ . Consequently, Proposition 6 once again shows that  $G/H$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group. Since  $g\rho = gH \neq 1$ , the homomorphism  $\rho$  works.  $\square$

**Proposition 11.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and the two-generator Artin group  $G = \langle a, b; \langle ab \rangle^m = \langle ba \rangle^m \rangle$  for some integer  $m > 1$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains at least one nonperiodic group then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group.*
- (2) *Assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  consists of periodic groups.*
  - (i) *If  $m$  is even then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m/2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*
  - (ii) *If  $m$  is odd then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. It is known [29, Lemma 9] that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\langle x, y; x^m = y^2 \rangle$  if  $m$  is odd and to  $\langle x, y; x^{-1}y^kx = y^k \rangle$ , where  $k = m/2$ , if  $m$  is even. The first isomorphism is given by the mapping  $a \mapsto x^{l+1}y^{-1}$  and  $b \mapsto yx^{-l}$ , where  $l = (m-1)/2$ ; and the second, by the mapping  $a \mapsto x^{-1}y$  and  $b \mapsto x$ . Thus, the claim follows from Propositions 9 and 10.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** *Consider a root class  $\mathcal{K}$  of groups and the two-generator Coxeter group  $G = \langle a, b; a^2 = 1, b^2 = 1, (ab)^m = 1 \rangle$  for some integer  $m > 1$ . Then  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

PROOF. Add to the presentation of  $G$  the new generators  $x$  and  $y$  and the defining relations  $x = a$  and  $y = ab$ . Then  $b = xy$ . Replacing in all relations of  $G$  the generators  $a$  and  $b$  by their expressions in terms of  $x$  and  $y$ , eliminate from the presentation of  $G$  the generators  $a$  and  $b$  and the relations  $a = x$  and  $b = xy$ . This yields  $G = \langle x, y; x^2 = 1, y^m = 1, (xy)^2 = 1 \rangle$ .

Using the equality  $x = x^{-1}$ , we can rearrange this presentation as

$$G = \langle x, y; x^2 = 1, y^m = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{-1} \rangle.$$

It is known (see [26, § 1.2] for instance) that the order of  $G$  is finite and equals  $2m$ . By Proposition 4, this in particular implies that  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group if and only if  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Moreover, the relation  $x^{-1}yx = y^{-1}$  implies that the cyclic subgroup  $Y$  generated by  $y$  is normal in  $G$ . Since  $G/Y \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; therefore,  $G$  is finite polycyclic. Proposition 8 shows that  $G \in \mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $m \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ .  $\square$

## 6. Proofs of Corollaries 1 and 2

Put

$$G = \langle a_v (v \in V); \langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e} \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle,$$

$$\bar{G} = \langle a_v (v \in V); a_v^2 = 1 \text{ for } v \in V, (a_v a_w)^{m_e} = 1 \text{ for } e = \{v, w\} \in E \rangle$$

and assume that  $T = (V, E)$  is a tree. Construct from  $T$  the new graph  $\mathcal{T}$  by splitting each edge of  $T$  in two with a new vertex. More formally, the vertex set of  $\mathcal{T}$  coincides with  $V \cup E$ , while the edges of  $\mathcal{T}$  are all possible sets of the form  $\{e, v\}$  ( $e \in E, v \in e$ ).

It is obvious that  $\mathcal{T}$  is also a tree. Construct from it and the group  $G$  or the group  $\bar{G}$  a tree product of groups as follows:

To each  $v \in V$  inherited from the original tree  $T$  assign the cyclic group  $G_v$  generated by the generator  $a_v$  which is infinite for the Artin group and of order 2 for the Coxeter group. To the new vertex corresponding to the edge  $e = \{v, w\} \in E$  of  $T$  assign the group  $G_e$  equal to the two-generator Artin group

$$\langle a_v, a_w; \langle a_v a_w \rangle^{m_e} = \langle a_w a_v \rangle^{m_e} \rangle$$

or the two-generator Coxeter group

$$\langle a_v, a_w; a_v^2 = 1, a_w^2 = 1, (a_v a_w)^{m_e} = 1 \rangle$$

respectively. Finally, to the edge  $\varepsilon$  joining  $e \in E$  and  $v \in e$  assign the group  $H_\varepsilon = G_v$  and the embeddings  $\varphi_{\varepsilon v} : H_\varepsilon \rightarrow G_v$  and  $\varphi_{\varepsilon e} : H_\varepsilon \rightarrow G_e$  extending the identity mappings of  $a_v$ . The tree product constructed in this fashion clearly coincides with the original Artin or Coxeter group.

The natural homomorphism of  $G_e$  ( $e = \{v, w\} \in E$ ) onto the quotient group of  $G_e$  by the normal closure of  $a_v a_w^{-1}$  carries  $G_e$  onto each of the subgroups  $H_\varepsilon \varphi_{\varepsilon e}$  and  $H_\delta \varphi_{\delta e}$  ( $\varepsilon = \{e, v\}$  and  $\delta = \{e, w\}$ ) acting identically on these subgroups. Consequently,  $H_\varepsilon \varphi_{\varepsilon e}$  and  $H_\delta \varphi_{\delta e}$  are retracts in  $G_e$ . Moreover, for each edge  $\varepsilon = \{e, v\}$  the subgroup  $H_\varepsilon \varphi_{\varepsilon v}$  coincides with  $G_v$  and, in particular, is a retract in  $G_v$ . Thus, the claims of Corollaries 1 and 2 follow from Theorem 2 and Propositions 11 and 12.  $\square$

## 7. Proof of Theorem 3

Given  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $B_i$  an isomorphic copy of the group  $B$  and by  $\sigma_i : B \rightarrow B_i$  the corresponding isomorphism. Define the tree product  $G$  of the groups  $B_i$  taking as the tree the infinite chain whose vertices are enumerated with successive integers and assigning to each vertex  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the group  $B_i$ , while to the edge  $\{i, i+1\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  the group  $H$  and the embeddings  $\alpha_i : H \rightarrow B_i$  and  $\beta_i : H \rightarrow B_{i+1}$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is the restriction to  $H$  of the isomorphism  $\sigma_i$  and  $\beta_i$  is the composition of the isomorphism  $\varphi$  and the restriction to  $K$  of the isomorphism  $\sigma_{i+1}$ . Observe that then in the group  $G$  we have  $h\sigma_i = h\varphi\sigma_{i+1}$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $H$  and  $K$  are retracts in  $B$ , the images of  $H$  under  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are retracts in  $B_i$  and  $B_{i+1}$  respectively for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, the tree product  $G$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by Theorem 2.

By the Reidemeister–Schreier method (see [26, § 2.3] for instance) it is not difficult to show that the normal closure of  $B$  in  $X$  admits the same presentation as that of  $G$ ; the subgroup  $B_i$  of  $G$  corresponds to the subgroup  $t^i B t^{-i}$  of  $X$ . Therefore,  $X$  is an extension of a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group  $G$  by the infinite cyclic group generated by  $t$ , and so  $X$  is a residually  $\mathcal{K}$ -group by Proposition 7.  $\square$

## References

1. Karras A. and Solitar D., "The subgroups of a free products of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 150, 227–254 (1970).
2. Gruenberg K. W., "Residual properties of infinite soluble groups," *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 7, 29–62 (1957).
3. Sokolov E. V., "A characterization of root classes of groups," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 43, 856–860 (2015).
4. Azarov D. N. and Tieudjo D., "On root-class residuality of amalgamated free products," *Nauch. Tr. Ivanovsk. Gos. Univ.*, vol. 5, 6–10 (2002).
5. Raptis E. and Varsos D., "The residual finiteness of HNN-extensions and generalized free products of nilpotent groups: A characterization," *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, vol. 53, 408–420 (1992).
6. Azarov D. N., "On the residual nilpotence of free products of free groups with cyclic amalgamation," *Math. Notes*, vol. 64, no. 1, 3–7 (1998).
7. Aschenbrenner M. and Friedl S., "A criterion for HNN extensions of finite  $p$ -groups to be residually  $p$ ," *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, vol. 215, 2280–2289 (2011).
8. Azarov D. N., "On the residual finiteness of the HNN-extensions and generalized free products of finite rank groups," *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 54, no. 6, 959–967 (2013).
9. Tumanova E. A., "On the residual  $\pi$ -finiteness of generalized free products of groups," *Math. Notes*, vol. 95, no. 4, 544–551 (2014).
10. Tieudjo D., "On root-class residuality of some free constructions," *JP J. Algebra, Number Theory Appl.*, vol. 18, no. 2, 125–143 (2010).
11. Tumanova E. A., "On residuality of generalized free products by root classes of groups," *Model. Anal. Inform. Sist.*, vol. 20, no. 1, 133–137 (2013).
12. Goltsov D. V., "On the virtual root-class residuality of generalized free products and HNN-extensions of groups," *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, vol. 14, no. 3, 34–41 (2013).
13. Tumanova E. A., "Certain conditions of the root-class residuality of generalized free products with a normal amalgamated subgroups," *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, vol. 14, no. 3, 134–141 (2013).
14. Tumanova E. A., "Residual  $p$ -finiteness of some HNN-extensions of groups," *Model. Anal. Inform. Sist.*, vol. 21, no. 4, 148–180 (2014).
15. Goltsov D. V., "Approximability of HNN-extensions with central associated subgroups by a root class of groups," *Math. Notes*, vol. 97, no. 5, 679–683 (2015).
16. Tumanova E. A., "On the root-class residuality of generalized free products with a normal amalgamation," *Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ)*, vol. 59, no. 10, 23–37 (2015).
17. Sokolov E. V. and Tumanova E. A., "Sufficient conditions for the root-class residuality of certain generalized free products," *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 57, no. 1, 135–144 (2016).
18. Tumanova E. A., "The root class residuality of Baumslag–Solitar groups," *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 58, no. 3, 546–552 (2017).
19. Sokolov E. V. and Tumanova E. A., "Root class residuality of HNN-extensions with central cyclic associated subgroups," *Math. Notes*, vol. 102, no. 4, 556–568 (2017).
20. Boler J. and Evans B., "The free product of residually finite groups amalgamated along retracts is residually finite," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 37, no. 1, 50–52 (1973).
21. Bobrovskii P. A. and Sokolov E. V., "The cyclic subgroup separability of certain generalized free products of two groups," *Algebra Colloq.*, vol. 17, no. 4, 577–582 (2010).
22. Azarov D. N. and Tumanova E. A., "On the residuality of generalized free products by root classes of groups," *Nauch. Tr. Ivanovsk. Gos. Univ.*, no. 6, 29–42 (2008).
23. Appel K. and Schupp P., "Artin groups and infinite Coxeter groups," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 72, 201–220 (1983).
24. Bezverkhni V. N. and Inchenko O. V., "On the word problem and the conjugacy problem in Coxeter groups with a tree structure," *Chebyshevskii Sb.*, vol. 6, no. 2, 81–90 (2005).
25. Magnus W., "Beziehungen zwischen Gruppen und Idealen in einem speziellen Ring," *Math. Ann.*, vol. 111, 259–280 (1935).
26. Magnus W., Karras A., and Solitar D., *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Dover Publications, Mineola (2004).
27. Neumann H., "Generalized free products with amalgamated subgroups. II," *Amer. J. Math.*, vol. 31, 491–540 (1949).
28. Karras A. and Solitar D., "Subgroups of HNN groups and groups with one defining relation," *Can. J. Math.*, vol. 23, 627–643 (1971).
29. Bezverkhni V. N., "A solution of the conjugacy problem in Artin and Coxeter groups of large type," in: *Algorithmic Problems of the Theory of Groups and Subgroups* [Russian], Tula State Lev Tolstoy Pedagogical University, Tula, 1986, 26–61.

E. A. TUMANOVA  
IVANOV STATE UNIVERSITY, IVANOV, RUSSIA  
E-mail address: [helenfog@bk.ru](mailto:helenfog@bk.ru)