

RESEARCH

Open Access



Positive solutions of fractional p -Laplacian equations with integral boundary value and two parameters

Luchao Zhang¹, Weiguo Zhang^{2*} , Xiping Liu² and Mei Jia²

*Correspondence: zhlch.2009@usst.edu.cn
²College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We consider a class of Caputo fractional p -Laplacian differential equations with integral boundary conditions which involve two parameters. By using the Avery–Peterson fixed point theorem, we obtain the existence of positive solutions for the boundary value problem. As an application, we present an example to illustrate our main result.

Keywords: Caputo fractional derivative; Positive solutions; p -Laplacian operator; Integral boundary conditions; Parameter

1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the following integral boundary value problem (short for BVP) of Caputo fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operator and parameters:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\beta} \varphi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(t)) + f(t, x(t), D_{0+}^{\beta} x(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ (\varphi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(0)))^{(i)} = \varphi_p(D_{0+}^{\alpha} x(1)) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, \\ x(0) + x'(0) = \int_0^1 g_0(s)x(s) ds + a, \\ x(1) + x'(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s)x(s) ds + b, \\ x^{(j)}(0) = 0, & j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $1 < n-1 < \alpha < n$, $1 < m-1 < \beta < m$, $\alpha - \beta > 1$, D_{0+}^{α} and D_{0+}^{β} are the Caputo fractional derivatives. φ_p is the p -Laplacian operator, $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$, $p > 1$, $\varphi_p^{-1} = \varphi_q$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. $g_0, g_1 \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty))$, $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$ are given functions. $a, b > 0$ are disturbance parameters.

As we all know, fractional differential equation theory is becoming more and more perfect because of its extensive application, and many significant achievements have been made; see [1–12]. As one of many applications, turbulence problem can be well characterized by the p -Laplacian operator; see [13]. Fractional p -Laplacian equations are becoming more and more important, they can be used to describe a class of diffusion phenomena, which have been widely used in the fields of fluid mechanics, material memory, biology, plasma physics, finance and chemistry. Many important results related to the boundary

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

value problems of fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operator have been obtained; see [14–24]. But in practical problems, disturbance is objective. As a boundary value problem with disturbance parameter can describe real problems better, many scholars turn their attention to it.

In [6], Jia et al. consider the fractional-order differential equation integral boundary value problem with disturbance parameters

$$\begin{cases} -{}^C D^\delta u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in J, \\ m_1 u(0) - n_1 u'(0) = 0, \\ m_2 u(1) - n_2 u'(1) = \int_0^1 g(s)u(s) ds + a, \end{cases}$$

where $J = [0, 1]$, $1 < \delta \leq 2$, $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty), [0, +\infty))$, $m_i \geq 0$, $n_i \geq 0$, $m_i^2 + n_i^2 > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, $g \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty))$, disturbance parameter $a > 0$, and ${}^C D^\delta$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order δ . By using an upper and lower solution method, the fixed point index theorem and the Schauder fixed point theorem, sufficient conditions are obtained for the problem to have at least one positive solution, two positive solutions and no solution.

In [25], Wang et al. consider a class of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions which involve two disturbance parameters. By using the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, new results on the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for the boundary value problem are obtained. The problem is given by

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ x(0) = x'(0) = 0, \\ x(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s)x(s) ds + a, \\ x'(1) = \int_0^1 g_2(s)x(s) ds - b, \end{cases}$$

where D_{0+}^α is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative with $3 < \alpha \leq 4$, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function, $g_1, g_2 \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $a, b \geq 0$.

In [26] Hao et al. consider the existence of positive solutions of higher order fractional integral boundary value problem with a parameter

$$\begin{cases} -D_{0+}^{\eta-2}(u''(t)) + \lambda f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in J, \\ u''(0) = u'''(0) = \dots = u^{n-2}(0) = 0, \\ {}^C D_{0+}^{k-2}(u''(t))|_{t=1} = 0, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = \int_0^1 u(s) dA(s), \\ \gamma u(1) + \delta u'(1) = \int_0^1 u(s) dB(s), \end{cases}$$

where $D_{0+}^{\eta-2}$, D_{0+}^{k-2} are the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, $n - 1 < \eta \leq n$, $\eta \geq 4$, $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0$. $\int_0^1 u(s) dA(s)$ and $\int_0^1 u(s) dB(s)$ denote the Riemann–Stieltjes integrals of u with respect to A and B . $A(t)$, $B(t)$ are nondecreasing on $[0, 1]$, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. By using the Guo–Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem on cones, under different conditions of nonlinearity, existence and nonexistence, results for positive solutions are derived in terms of different parameter intervals.

The purpose of this paper is to establish conditions ensuring the existence of three positive solutions of BVP (1) and give an estimate of these solutions by using the Avery–

Peterson fixed point theorem. Our supposed problem is different from the problems studied before and mentioned above. Our result is new and our work extends the application of the theorem.

In this paper, a positive solution $x = x(t)$ of BVP (1) means a solution of (1) satisfying $x(t) > 0, t \in [0, 1]$.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that the following condition is satisfied:

$$(L_0) \quad 0 < a < b < 2a < +\infty, \quad 0 \leq g_0(t) \leq g_1(t) \leq 2g_0(t), \quad 0 \leq \int_0^1 g_0(s) ds, \int_0^1 g_1(s) ds < 1.$$

2 Preliminaries and lemmas

The basic theory of fractional-order differential equation and boundary value problem can be obtained from many places in the literature, which will not be repeated here; see [1–9]. Here we present some necessary basic results that will be used.

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]) *The Caputo fractional derivative of order $n - 1 < \alpha < n$ for t^β is given by*

$$D_{0+}^\alpha t^\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)} t^{\beta-\alpha}, & \beta \in N \text{ and } \beta \geq n \text{ or } \beta \notin N \text{ and } \beta > n - 1, \\ 0, & \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [19]) *Let $h \in C[0, 1]$ and $1 < m - 1 < \beta < m$. Then the BVP*

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^\beta u(t) = h(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(1) = u^{(i)}(0) = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1, \end{cases}$$

has an unique solution

$$u(t) = - \int_0^1 H(t, s)h(s) ds,$$

where

$$H(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} (1 - s)^{\beta-1} - (t - s)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1 - s)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \int_0^1 g_0(s) ds, & M_2 &= \int_0^1 sg_0(s) ds, \\ N_1 &= \int_0^1 g_1(s) ds, & N_2 &= \int_0^1 sg_1(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-1} &= 1 + M_2 + N_1 - M_2N_1 + M_1(N_2 - 2) - N_2, \\ \omega(t) &= \delta(b(M_2 - 1 + t - M_1t) + a(2 - N_2 - t + N_1t)). \end{aligned}$$

From (L₀), we know, for $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$1 > N_1 > N_2 > M_2 > 0, \quad 1 > N_1 > M_1 > M_2 > 0, \quad 2M_1 > N_1, 2M_2 > N_2. \tag{4}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{-1} &= 1 + M_2 + N_1 - M_2N_1 + M_1(N_2 - 2) - N_2 \\
 &= 1 + M_2 + N_1 - M_2N_1 + M_1N_2 - 2M_1 - N_2 \\
 &= (1 + M_1N_2 - 2M_1) + M_2 + N_1 - M_2N_1 - N_2 \\
 &= (1 - M_1) + (M_1N_2 - N_2) + (M_2 - M_2N_1) + (N_1 - M_1) \\
 &= (1 - M_1) + N_2(M_1 - 1) + M_2(1 - N_1) + (N_1 - M_1) \\
 &= (1 - M_1)(1 - N_2) + M_2(1 - N_1) + (N_1 - M_1) \\
 &> 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.3 *Let (L_0) hold, $y \in C[0, 1]$ and $1 < n - 1 < \alpha < n, 1 < m - 1 < \beta < m$, then the following boundary value problem:*

$$\begin{cases}
 D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = y(t), & 0 < t < 1, \\
 x^{(j)}(0) = 0, & j = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1, \\
 x(0) + x'(0) = \int_0^1 g_0(s)x(s) ds + a, \\
 x(1) + x'(1) = \int_0^1 g_1(s)x(s) ds + b,
 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

has an unique solution

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s) ds + \omega(t) \tag{6}$$

and

$$D_{0+}^\beta x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} y(s) ds, \tag{7}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = G_1(t, s) + G_2(t, s), \tag{8}$$

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} + (1 - t)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}(\alpha - s), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (1 - t)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}(\alpha - s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \delta \int_0^1 ((M_2 - 1 + t - M_1t)g_1(\tau) + (2 - N_2 - t + N_1t)g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau. \tag{10}$$

Proof Consider BVP (5), we have

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} y(s) ds + C_0 + C_1t + C_2t^2 + \dots + C_{n-1}t^{n-1}.$$

In view of $x^{(j)}(0) = 0$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$), we know $C_2 = C_3 = \dots = C_{n-1} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(0) &= C_0, & x'(0) &= C_1, & x(1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + C_0 + C_1, \\
 x'(1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds + C_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + C_0 + C_1 t. \tag{11}$$

From the boundary condition of BVP (5), by methods similar to Lemma 2.4 in [19], through traditional analytical calculation and integration techniques, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &= \int_0^1 G_1(t,s)y(s) ds + \int_0^1 G_2(t,s)y(s) ds + \omega(t) \\
 &= \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s) ds + \omega(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(t)$, $G_1(t,s)$ and $G_2(t,s)$ are given by (3), (9) and (10).

On the other hand, in view of (11), because $1 < m - 1 < \beta < \alpha - 1 < n - 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{0+}^\beta x(t) &= D_{0+}^\beta \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + C_0 + C_1 t \right) \\
 &= D_{0+}^\beta (I_{0+}^\alpha y(t) + C_0 + C_1 t) \\
 &= D_{0+}^\beta I_{0+}^\alpha y(t) + D_{0+}^\beta (C_0) + D_{0+}^\beta (C_1 t) \\
 &= D_{0+}^\beta I_{0+}^\alpha y(t) \\
 &= I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} y(t) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

□

Lemma 2.4 *The BVP (1) is equivalent to the following integral equation:*

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)\varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(t) \tag{13}$$

and

$$D_{0+}^\beta x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s,\tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \tag{14}$$

where $H(t,s)$, $\omega(t)$ and $G(t,s)$ are given by (2), (3) and (8).

Proof From Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, let $y(t) = \varphi_q(u(t))$, $h(t) = -f(t, x(t), D_{0+}^\beta x(t))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \varphi_q(u(t)) = \varphi_q\left(\int_0^1 H(t, s)f(s, x(s), D_{0+}^\beta x(s)) ds\right) \\ &= \varphi_q\left(\int_0^1 H(t, s)f(s, x(s), D_{0+}^\beta x(s)) ds\right). \end{aligned}$$

Immediately we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s) ds + \omega(t) \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)\varphi_q\left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds + \omega(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (12), (14) holds.

On the other hand, if $x(t)$ satisfies (13), we can easily prove that $x(t)$ satisfies BVP (1). \square

Lemma 2.5 *Assume (L₀) hold, then the function $H(t, s)$ defined by (2), the function $G(t, s)$ defined by (8), and then the function $\omega(t)$ defined by (3) satisfies*

- (1) $H(t, s) \geq 0$ is continuous for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$;
- (2) $H(t, s) \leq H(s, s)$ for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$;
- (3) $\int_0^1 H(t, s) ds = \frac{1-t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (4) $G(t, s) \geq 0$ is continuous for all $t, s \in [0, 1]$;
- (5) $\omega(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof (1) and (2) are proved in [19], we omit the proofs.

(3) For $t \in [0, 1]$, by a simple integral operation, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 H(t, s) ds &= \int_0^t ((1-s)^{\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\beta-1}) ds + \int_t^1 (1-s)^{\beta-1} ds \\ &= \frac{1-t^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) From (9), we know $G_1(t, s) \geq 0$, $t, s \in [0, 1]$, and $G_1(t, s) > 0$, $t, s \in (0, 1)$. Combined with (L₀), for $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G_2(t, s)}{\partial t} &= \delta \int_0^1 ((1-M_1)g_1(\tau) + (-1+N_1)g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &= \delta \int_0^1 (g_1(\tau) - M_1g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau) + N_1g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &= \delta \int_0^1 (g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau) - M_1g_1(\tau) + N_1g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &> \delta \int_0^1 (g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau) - M_1g_1(\tau) + M_1g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau \\ &= \delta \int_0^1 (g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau) - M_1(g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau)))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \delta \int_0^1 (g_1(\tau) - g_0(\tau))(1 - M_1)G_1(\tau, s) \, d\tau \\
 &> 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $G_2(t, s)$ monotonically increase with respect to t .

As a consequence, from (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_2(t, s) &\geq G_2(0, s) = \delta \int_0^1 ((M_2 - 1)g_1(\tau) + (2 - N_2)g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) \, d\tau \\
 &\geq \delta \int_0^1 \left((M_2 - 1)g_1(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}(2 - N_2)g_1(\tau) \right) G_1(\tau, s) \, d\tau \\
 &= \delta \int_0^1 \left(M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2 \right) g_1(\tau) G_1(\tau, s) \, d\tau \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(t, s) \geq 0$.

(5) From (3), for $t \in [0, 1]$, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega'(t) &= b(1 - M_1) + a(N_1 - 1) \\
 &> a(1 - M_1) + a(N_1 - 1) \\
 &> a(1 - N_1) + a(N_1 - 1) \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(t) &\geq \omega(0) = b(M_2 - 1) + a(2 - N_2) \\
 &> b(M_2 - 1) + a(2 - 2M_2) \\
 &= (1 - M_2)(2a - b) \\
 &> 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G(t, s) &\leq G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s), \\
 \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} G(t, s) &\geq \rho(G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2}{1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2}. \tag{15}$$

Proof Step 1: We prove

$$\min_{t \in [0, \eta]} G_1(t, s) \geq (1 - \eta)G_1(0, s) > \frac{1}{2} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G_1(t, s). \tag{16}$$

For $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ and $t \in [0, \eta]$,

$$\Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\partial G_1(t, s)}{\partial t} = (\alpha - 1)(t - s)^{\alpha - 2} - (\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2} \leq (\alpha - s)(t - s)^{\alpha - 2} - (\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2} < 0,$$

so that

$$G_1(t, s) \leq G_1(s, s) = (\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} < (\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2} = G_1(0, s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_1(t, s)}{G_1(0, s)} &= \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha - 1} + (\alpha - s)(1 - t)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}}{(\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}} \\ &= \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{(\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}} + 1 - t \\ &\geq 1 - t \\ &\geq 1 - \eta > \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \geq t$ and $t \in [0, \eta]$,

$$\Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\partial G_1(t, s)}{\partial t} = -(\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2} < 0$$

so that

$$G_1(t, s) \leq G_1(0, s) = (\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}$$

and

$$\frac{G_1(t, s)}{G_1(0, s)} = \frac{(\alpha - s)(1 - t)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}}{(\alpha - s)(1 - s)^{\alpha - 2}} = 1 - t \geq 1 - \eta > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, (16) holds.

Step 2: We prove

$$\min_{t \in [0, \eta]} G_2(t, s) \geq \rho G_2(1, s) = \rho \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G_2(t, s). \tag{17}$$

From Lemma 2.5, we know that $G_2(t, s)$ is a monotone increasing function with respect to $t \in [0, 1]$, so that

$$\min_{t \in [0, \eta]} G_2(t, s) = G_2(0, s), \quad \max_{t \in [0, 1]} G_2(t, s) = G_2(1, s).$$

By (L₀) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_2(0, s)}{G_2(1, s)} &= \frac{\delta \int_0^1 ((M_2 - 1)g_1(\tau) + (2 - N_2)g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau}{\delta \int_0^1 ((M_2 - 1 + 1 - M_1)g_1(\tau) + (2 - N_2 - 1 + N_1)g_0(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau} \\ &\geq \frac{\int_0^1 ((M_2 - 1)g_1(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}(2 - N_2)g_1(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau}{\int_0^1 ((M_2 - M_1)g_1(\tau) + (1 - N_2 + N_1)g_1(\tau))G_1(\tau, s) d\tau} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\int_0^1 (M_2 - 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}N_2)g_1(\tau)G_1(\tau, s) d\tau}{\int_0^1 (M_2 - M_1 + 1 - N_2 + N_1)g_1(\tau)G_1(\tau, s) d\tau} \\
 &= \frac{(M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2) \int_0^1 g_1(\tau)G_1(\tau, s) d\tau}{(1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2) \int_0^1 g_1(\tau)G_1(\tau, s) d\tau} \\
 &= \frac{M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2}{1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2} \\
 &= \rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\rho > 0$ and

$$\rho - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2}{1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{M_2 - 1 + M_1 - N_1}{2(1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2)} < 0,$$

so that $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ and (17) hold.

Finally, from (16) and (17), we can easily show that the following results hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s) &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} (G_1(t, s) + G_2(t, s)) \\
 &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} G_1(t, s) + \max_{t \in [0,1]} G_2(t, s) \\
 &= G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{t \in [0,\eta]} G(t, s) &\geq \min_{t \in [0,\eta]} G_1(t, s) + \min_{t \in [0,\eta]} G_2(t, s) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2}G_1(0, s) + \rho G_2(1, s) \\
 &> \rho G_1(0, s) + \rho G_2(1, s) \\
 &= \rho(G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \\
 &\geq \rho \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.7 Assume (L₀) hold, then the function $\omega(t)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\omega(t) \leq \omega(1) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \omega(t)$;
- (2) $\min_{t \in [0,\eta]} \omega(t) \geq \rho \max_{t \in [0,1]} \omega(t)$, where ρ is given by (15).

Proof From Lemma 2.5 and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{t \in [0,\eta]} \omega(t) &= \omega(0) = \delta(b(M_2 - 1) + a(2 - N_2)), \\
 \max_{t \in [0,1]} \omega(t) &= \omega(1) = \delta(b(M_2 - M_1) + a(1 - N_2 + N_1)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\omega(0)}{\omega(1)} &= \frac{\delta(b(M_2 - 1) + a(2 - N_2))}{\delta(b(M_2 - M_1) + a(1 - N_2 + N_1))} \\
 &\geq \frac{b(M_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2}b(2 - N_2)}{b(M_2 - M_1) + b(2 - N_2 - 1 + N_1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{M_2 - \frac{1}{2}N_2}{1 - M_1 + M_2 + N_1 - N_2} \\
 &= \rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\omega(t) \leq \omega(1) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \omega(t), \quad \min_{t \in [0,\eta]} \omega(t) \geq \rho \max_{t \in [0,1]} \omega(t). \quad \square$$

To finish this section, we present the well-known Avery–Peterson fixed point theorem as follows.

Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , φ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P . For $A, B, C, D > 0$, we define the following convex set:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\gamma; D) &= \{x \in P : \gamma(x) < D\}, \\
 P(\gamma, \varphi; B, D) &= \{x \in P : B \leq \varphi(x), \gamma(x) \leq D\}, \\
 P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D) &= \{x \in P : B \leq \varphi(x), \theta(x) \leq C, \gamma(x) \leq D\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and a closed set

$$P(\gamma, \psi; A, D) = \{x \in P : A \leq \psi(x), \gamma(x) \leq D\}.$$

Lemma 2.8 (see [27]) *Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E . Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , φ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P satisfying $\psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \psi(x)$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, such that, for some positive numbers M and D , $\varphi(x) \leq \psi(x)$, $\|x\| \leq M\gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{P(\gamma; D)}$. Suppose*

$$T : \overline{P(\gamma; D)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma; D)}$$

is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers A, B , and C with $A < B$ such that

- (H1) $\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D) : \varphi(x) > B\} \neq \emptyset$, and $\varphi(x) > B$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D)$;
- (H2) $\varphi(Tx) > B$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \varphi; B, D)$ with $\theta(Tx) > A$;
- (H3) $0 \notin P(\gamma, \psi; A, D)$ and $\psi(Tx) < A$ for $x \in P(\gamma, \psi; A, D)$ with $\psi(x) = A$.

Then T has at least three fixed point $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \overline{P(\gamma; D)}$ such that

$$\gamma(x_i) \leq D, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad \varphi(x_1) > B, \quad A < \varphi(x_2), \quad \psi(x_2) < B; \quad \psi(x_3) < A.$$

3 Main results

In this section, we prove the existence of positive solution of BVP (1) by applying the following Avery–Peterson fixed point theorem.

We consider the Banach space $E = \{x \in C[0, 1] : D_{0+}^\beta x \in C[0, 1]\}$ with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|, \max_{t \in [0,1]} |D_{0+}^\beta x(t)| \right\}.$$

Let

$$P = \left\{ x \in E : x(t) \geq 0, D_{0+}^\beta x(t) \geq 0, \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} x(t) \geq \rho \max_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) \right\},$$

then P is a cone in E .

Define the operator $T : P \rightarrow E$ by

$$Tx(t) := \int_0^1 G(t, s) \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(t).$$

Lemma 3.1 *Assume (L_0) hold, then $T : P \rightarrow P$ is a completely continuous operator.*

Proof For $x \in P$, it is easy to see that T is continuous operator and $Tx(t) \geq 0$. By (14), we have

$$D_{0+}^\beta Tx(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \geq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, similar to Lemma 3.1 in [19], we can easily prove that T is a completely continuous operator. □

Define continuous nonnegative convex functionals as

$$\gamma(x) = \|x\|, \quad \theta(x) = \psi(x) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|.$$

Define continuous nonnegative concave functionals as

$$\varphi(x) = \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |x(t)|.$$

Thus

$$\rho\theta(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \theta(x) = \psi(x), \quad \|x\| \leq M\gamma(x),$$

where $M = 1$.

Let

$$J_1 = \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds,$$

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds,$$

and

$$J_3 = \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \varphi_q \left(\int_0^\eta H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose (L_0) hold, and there exist constants $A, B, D \geq \omega(1)$ with $A < B < \rho D \min\{\frac{J_3}{J_1}, \frac{J_3}{J_2}\}$ and $C = \frac{B}{\rho}$, such that*

- (L₁) $f(t, x, y) \leq \min\{\varphi_p(\frac{D-\omega(1)}{J_1}), \varphi_p(\frac{D}{J_2})\}, (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, D] \times [0, D];$
- (L₂) $f(t, x, y) > \varphi_p(\frac{B-\rho\omega(1)}{\rho J_3}), (t, x, y) \in [0, \eta] \times [B, \frac{B}{\rho}] \times [0, D];$
- (L₃) $f(t, x, y) < \varphi_p(\frac{A-\omega(1)}{J_1}), (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, A] \times [0, D].$

Then BVP (1) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , satisfying

$$\|x_i\| \leq D \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \tag{18}$$

$$\min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |x_1(t)| > B, \quad A < \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |x_2(t)|, \quad \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x_2(t)| < B, \quad \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x_3(t)| < A. \tag{19}$$

Proof Obviously, the function x is a positive solution of BVP (1) if and only if x is a fixed point of the operator T in P .

For $x \in \overline{P(\gamma; D)}$, we get

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)| \leq D, \quad \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |D_{0+}^\beta x(t)| \leq D,$$

this implies

$$0 \leq x(t), \quad D_{0+}^\beta x(t) \leq D.$$

From (L₁), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |Tx(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p \left(\frac{D - \omega(1)}{J_1} \right) \int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &= \frac{D - \omega(1)}{J_1} \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s)) \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &= D \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |D_{0+}^\beta Tx(t)| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varphi_q \left(\varphi_p \left(\frac{D}{J_2} \right) \int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \frac{D}{J_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= D, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\gamma(Tx) = \|Tx\| = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |Tx(t)|, \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |D_{0+}^\beta Tx(t)| \right\} \leq D.$$

Therefore $T : \overline{P(\gamma; D)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma; D)}$.

From $\frac{B}{\rho} \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D)$ and $\varphi(\frac{B}{\rho}) > B$, we have

$$\{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D) : \varphi(x) > B\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D)$, we know that $B \leq x(t) \leq C = \frac{B}{\rho}$ for $t \in [0, \eta]$ and $0 \leq D_{0+}^\beta x(t) \leq D$.

By (L_2) ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(Tx) &= \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |Tx(t)| \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \rho(G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s))\varphi_q \left(\int_0^\eta H(s, \tau)\varphi_p \left(\frac{B - \rho\omega(1)}{\rho J_3} \right) d\tau \right) ds + \rho\omega(1) \\ &= \rho \frac{B - \rho\omega(1)}{\rho J_3} \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s))\varphi_q \left(\int_0^\eta H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds + \rho\omega(1) \\ &= B. \end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi(Tx) > B$ for all $x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \varphi; B, C, D)$. Hence, the condition $(H1)$ of Lemma 2.8 is satisfied.

For all $x \in P(\gamma, \varphi; B, D)$ with $\theta(Tx) > C = \frac{B}{\rho}$, we have

$$\varphi(Tx) \geq \rho\theta(Tx) > \rho C = \rho \frac{B}{\rho} = B.$$

Thus, the condition $(H2)$ of Lemma 2.8 holds.

Because of $\psi(0) = 0 < A$, then $0 \notin P(\gamma, \psi; A, D)$. For $x \in P(\gamma, \psi; A, D)$ with $\psi(x) = A$, we know $\gamma(x) \leq D$. It means that $\max_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t) = A$ and $0 \leq D_{0+}^\beta x(t) \leq D$.

From (L_3) , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Tx) &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |Tx(t)| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s)\varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)f(\tau, x(\tau), D_{0+}^\beta x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &< \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s))\varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau)\varphi_p \left(\frac{A - \omega(1)}{J_1} \right) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &= \frac{A - \omega(1)}{J_1} \int_0^1 (G_1(0, s) + G_2(1, s))\varphi_q \left(\int_0^1 H(s, \tau) d\tau \right) ds + \omega(1) \\ &= A. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the condition $(H3)$ of Lemma 2.8 holds.

To sum up, the conditions of Lemma 2.8 are all verified and we notice that $x_i(t) \geq \omega(0) > 0$. Hence, BVP (1) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 satisfying (18) and (19). □

4 Example

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\frac{7}{3}} \varphi_{\frac{3}{2}}(D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{3}} x(t)) = f(t, x(t), D_{0+}^{\frac{7}{3}} x(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ (\varphi_{\frac{3}{2}}(D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{3}} x(0)))' = \varphi_{\frac{3}{2}}(D_{0+}^{\frac{11}{3}} x(1)) = 0, \\ x(0) + x'(0) = \int_0^1 s x(s) ds + \frac{5}{3}, \\ x(1) + x'(1) = \int_0^1 (s^2 + s)x(s) ds + \frac{7}{3}, \\ x''(0) = x^{(3)} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{11}{3}, \beta = \frac{7}{3}, p = \frac{3}{2}, g_0(t) = t, g_1(t) = t^2 + t$, and

$$f(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} \tan(\frac{t}{100}) + 2^{x/10} x^2 + \cos(y), & 0 \leq x \leq 65, \\ \tan(\frac{t}{100}) + \cos(y) + 160\sqrt{5}, & 65 < x \leq 10,000. \end{cases}$$

Choose $A = 3, B = 65, D = 25,000, \eta = \frac{1}{4}$. By simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= 0.0384615, & M &= 1, & \omega(1) &= 2.83721, \\ M_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, & M_2 &= \frac{1}{3}, & N_1 &= \frac{5}{6}, & N_2 &= \frac{7}{12}, & \delta &= \frac{72}{43}, \\ J_1 &= 0.147109, & J_2 &= 0.0722385, & J_3 &= 0.0310138. \end{aligned}$$

We can check that the nonlinear term $f(t, x, y)$ satisfies

$$(L_1) \max f(t, x, y) \approx 383.413 \leq \min\{\varphi_p(\frac{D-\omega(1)}{J_1}), \varphi_p(\frac{D}{J_2})\} \approx 412.216, (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 25,000] \times [0, 25,000];$$

$$(L_2) \min f(t, x, y) \approx 381.413 > \varphi_p(\frac{B-\rho\omega(1)}{\rho J_3}) \approx 228.283, (t, x, y) \in [0, \frac{1}{3}] \times [65, \frac{B}{\rho}] \times [0, 25,000];$$

$$(L_3) \max f(t, x, y) \approx 1.02108 < \varphi_p(\frac{A-\omega(1)}{J_1}) \approx 1.05195, (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 3] \times [0, 25,000].$$

Thus, from Theorem 3.1, we know that BVP (20) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2, x_3 , satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_i\| &\leq 25,000 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |x_1| &> 65, & 3 < \min_{t \in [0, \eta]} |x_2|, & \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x_2| < 65, & \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x_3| < 3. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees and the editor for their constructive suggestions on improving the presentation of the paper.

Funding

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471215).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors jointly worked on the results and they read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Business School, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China. ²College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 6 September 2019 Accepted: 19 December 2019 Published online: 03 January 2020

References

1. Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier, New York (2006)
2. Diethelm, K.: *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Lectures Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2010)
3. Bai, Z., Zhang, Y.: Solvability of fractional three-point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(5), 1719–1725 (2011)
4. Zhou, Y.: *Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations*. World Scientific, Singapore (2014)
5. Jia, M., Liu, X.: Multiplicity of solutions for integral boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with upper and lower solutions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **232**, 313–323 (2014)
6. Jia, M., Liu, X.: The existence of positive solutions for fractional differential equations with integral and disturbance parameter in boundary conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, Article ID 131548 (2014)
7. Shen, C., Zhou, H., Yang, L.: Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of a fractional thermostat model with a parameter. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **39**, 4504–4511 (2016)
8. Li, X., Liu, X., Jia, M., Zhang, L.: The positive solutions of infinite-point boundary value problem of fractional differential equations on the infinite interval. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, Article ID 126 (2017)
9. Liu, L., Li, H., Liu, C., Wu, Y.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for singular fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **10**(1), 243–262 (2017)
10. Song, Q., Bai, Z.: Positive solutions of fractional differential equations involving the Riemann–Stieltjes integral boundary condition. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, Article ID 183 (2018)
11. Wei, Y., Song, Q., Bai, Z.: Existence and iterative method for some fourth order nonlinear boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **87**, 101–107 (2019)
12. Liu, X., Jia, M.: Solvability and numerical simulations for BVPs of fractional coupled systems involving left and right fractional derivatives. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **353**, 230–242 (2019)
13. Leibenson, L.S.: General problem of the movement of a compressible fluid in a porous medium. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Kirg. SSR* **9**, 7–10 (1983) (in Russian)
14. Liu, X., Jia, M., Ge, W.: Multiple solutions of a p -Laplacian model involving a fractional derivative. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, Article ID 126 (2013)
15. Liang, S., Zhang, J.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for integral boundary problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian operator. *Rocky Mt. J. Math.* **44**(3), 953–974 (2014)
16. Mahmudov, N.I., Unul, S.: Existence of solutions of fractional boundary value problems with p -Laplacian. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, Article ID 99 (2015)
17. Han, Z., Lu, H., Zhang, C.: Positive solutions for eigenvalue problems of fractional differential equation with generalized p -Laplacian. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257**, 526–536 (2015)
18. Liu, X., Jia, M., Ge, W.: The method of lower and upper solutions for mixed fractional four-point boundary value problem with p -Laplacian operator. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **65**, 56–62 (2017)
19. Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Liu, X., Jia, M.: Existence of positive solutions for integral boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2017**, Article ID 36 (2017)
20. Liu, X., Jia, M.: The positive solutions for integral boundary value problem of fractional p -Laplacian equation with mixed derivatives. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **14**(2), 1–13 (2017)
21. Dong, X., Bai, Z., Zhang, S.: Positive solutions to boundary value problems of p -Laplacian with fractional derivative. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2017**, Article ID 5 (2017)
22. Sheng, K., Zhang, W., Bai, Z.: Positive solutions to fractional boundary-value problems with p -Laplacian on time scales. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2018**, Article ID 70 (2018)
23. Liu, X., Jia, M.: Method of lower and upper solutions for the general boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with p -Laplacian. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, Article ID 28 (2018)
24. Ahmad, M., Zada, A., Alzabut, J.: Stability of a coupled implicit switched nonlinear fractional differential system with p -Laplacian. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2019**, Article ID 436 (2019)
25. Wang, X., Liu, X., Deng, X.: Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for fractional integral boundary value problem with two disturbance parameters. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, Article ID 186 (2015)
26. Hao, X., Zhang, L., Liu, L.: Positive solutions of higher order fractional integral boundary value problem with a parameter. *Nonlinear Anal., Model. Control* **24**(2), 210–223 (2019)
27. Avery, R.I., Peteson, A.C.: Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **42**, 313–322 (2001)