



# The Comparison of *Staphylococcus aureus* Nasal Colonization and Its Antibiotic Resistance Patterns in Children of Health Care Workers (HCWs) and Non-HCWs

Iraj Sedighi<sup>1</sup>, Javad Faradmal<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Yousef Alikhani<sup>3,\*</sup> and Mehrnaz Olfat<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Modeling of Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Microbiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Microbiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. Email: alikhani43@yahoo.com

\*\*Corresponding author: Department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. Email: olfat.mehrnaz@gmail.com

Received 2020 June 01; Revised 2020 June 16; Accepted 2020 June 20.

## Abstract

**Background:** *Staphylococcus aureus* is a gram-positive coccus bacterium responsible for a wide spectrum of human infections. The main colonization site for *S. aureus* in humans is the anterior nares. Health care workers (HCW) are commonly colonized with resistant microorganisms; however, it is not clear whether close contact with HCW is a risk factor for colonization with resistant microorganisms.

**Objectives:** The aim of the study was the determination of the risk of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* transmission from colonized parents to their children via daily contact.

**Methods:** This was a cross-sectional study conducted in Hamadan city, the west of Iran, between October 2016 and March 2017. We defined two groups for our investigation. The first group included 1 - 6 years old children whose mother, father, or both were health care workers (HCW) in university-affiliated hospitals of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, and the second group consisted of children whose parents were not health care workers. Both groups attended day care centers. One hundred and thirty-four children were enrolled in this study, from which 67 had HCW parents, and 67 had non-HCW parents.

**Results:** *S. aureus* colonization rate among children of HCWs was 17.9 % (12/67), and in children of non-HCWs was 32.8 % (22/67). The colonization rate was not significantly different between these 2 groups ( $P = 0.052$ ). Of all the *S. aureus* isolates, one methicillin-resistant isolate and one clindamycin-resistant isolate were observed. The D-test was positive in 12.1 % of clindamycin sensitive isolates.

**Conclusions:** We recommend the use of anti-staphylococcal penicillin or first-generation cephalosporins in cases of non-life-threatening staphylococcal infections and clindamycin for MRSA infections. Regarding our results, there is no difference in the choice of antibiotic between children of health care workers and other children. We also recommend avoiding switching therapy from erythromycin to clindamycin.

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, Health Care Workers, Antibiotic Resistance, Colonization

## 1. Background

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a Gram-positive coccus bacterium responsible for a wide spectrum of human infections, including skin and soft tissue infections, pneumonia, endocarditis, bacteremia, and sepsis. The main colonization site for *S. aureus* in humans is the anterior nares (1-3). Overcrowding in school and preschool centers has been reported as a major risk factor. This asymptomatic nasal colonization may lead to various diseases, especially in conditions like hospitalization or low immunity. *S. aureus*

has become resistant to many available antibiotic classes, including beta-lactams (4-7).

Risk factors for MRSA colonization and infection include the presence of an invasive device at the time of the infection, history of MRSA infection or colonization, history of surgery, hospitalization, and dialysis (8, 9). Several studies showed increasing rates of nasal carriage of MRSA in healthy children (10). CA-MRSA is responsible for skin and soft tissue infections and severe invasive pneumonia, but life-threatening conditions are also reported. On the other hand, MRSA colonization occurs more frequently

among health care workers (HCW) due to patient contact and contaminated environmental surfaces and they can transmit MRSA to other people and provoke CA-MRSA (11). Since most *S. aureus* infections originate from the nose of people and these individuals are potentially at risk of serious infections, studying the risk factors of colonization can lead to infection control and eradication, and in the next step, results in appropriate treatment and prevention of antibiotic resistance (12).

## 2. Objectives

The main objective was to study the MRSA colonization rate among 1-6 years old children of HCWs (as a risk factor) attending day care centers and compare it to children of non HCWs.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Study Design

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in Hamadan, the west of Iran, between October 2016 and March 2017. We defined two groups for our investigation. The first group included 1-6-year-old children whose mother, father, or both were HCW and worked in hospitals, and the second group included children whose parents were not health care workers. Both groups attended day care centers. We distributed a questionnaire about the marital status and the number of children among all of the personnel of the mentioned hospitals to determine the first group. We explained the purpose of our study to the parents of eligible children and obtained written informed consent and the address of the day care center from cooperative parents. We matched the two groups in terms of sex, age, and day care center. On the day of sampling, a second questionnaire was filled by all parents of participants including age, family size, parental smoking, sleeping habit of children, and history of breastfeeding. We also checked these items: recent antibiotic usage (last 2 weeks), long term glucocorticoid consumption (more than 2 weeks), any underlying systemic or chronic disease, recent and severe acute illness, history of recent hospital admission in the child or his/her first-degree family, immunodeficiency status and history of staphylococcal infection and a chronic wound. Children with any of these conditions were excluded from the survey.

### 3.2. Sampling and Bacterial Isolation

We applied a wet sterile cotton swab gently to both nostrils and then inserted into transport media and transferred to the microbiology laboratory of the medical faculty in less than 3 hours. The specimens were cultured in

sheep blood agar (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 35°C for 24-48 hours. Gram staining, catalase, coagulase, DNase, and mannitol salt agar assays were done to identify *S. aureus* isolates (1, 13).

### 3.3. Antimicrobial Sensitivity Test

An antibiotic susceptibility test using the disk diffusion method was performed on isolates of *S. aureus* according to guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (14). Oxacillin (1 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), clindamycin (2 µg), cefazolin (30 µg), and vancomycin (30 µg) disks (Himedia, India) were used to determine the susceptibility of isolates. An approximation test was performed for evaluating the inducible clindamycin resistance (ICR). If the zone of inhibition around the clindamycin disk on the side facing the erythromycin disk was flattened (D shaped), the isolate was reported as having inducible clindamycin resistance (positive D-test) (14, 15). The data were analyzed by SPSS version 16 software. Chi-square and Fisher's exact test were applied for statistical analysis.

## 4. Results

One hundred and thirty-four children were enrolled in this study, from which 67 had HCW parents and 67 had non-HCW parents. In each group, 32/67 (47.8%) of children were boys and 35/67 (52.2%) of them were girls. A comparison of gender between colonized and not colonized children in both groups was done. It was not significant ( $P = 0.864$  in children of HCWs and  $P = 0.192$  in children of non HCWs). The mean age of HCW's children was 52.04 months and non-HCW's children was 52.77 months. This difference was not significant ( $P = 0.184$ ).

The *S. aureus* colonization rate among children of HCWs was 17.9 % (12/67) and in children of non HCWs was 32.8% (22/67). The colonization rate was not significantly different between these 2 groups ( $P = 0.052$ ). In children of HCWs, the mean age of colonized and non-colonized children were 58.75 and 50.58 months, respectively ( $P = 0.023$ ), and in the non-HCWs mean age of colonized children was 58.14 months, and in non-colonized children was 50.27 months ( $P = 0.017$ ). In fact, in both groups, the age difference between colonized and not colonized children was statistically significant and colonized children were older than not colonized individuals.

The *S. aureus* colonization rate among children of HCWs with a history of breastfeeding was 17.5% and in children without breastfeeding history was 0.0%. This was not a significant difference ( $P > 0.999$ ). The *S. aureus* colonization rate in children of non-HCWs with a history of breast-

feeding was 29% and in children without breastfeeding history was 80%; this was a statistically significant risk factor ( $P = 0.037$ ). Totally, 14.9% of the children who slept with their HCW parents, and 29.4% of children who slept separately, were colonized with *S. aureus* ( $P = 0.276$ ). These values among children of non-HCWs were 25% and 41.9% respectively ( $P = 0.141$ ). Therefore, the sleeping pattern of children was not a colonization risk factor in any of the two groups. The *S. aureus* colonization rate among children of smoker HCWs was 11.1% and in children of non-smoker, HCWs was 19% ( $P = 0.567$ ). In children of non-HCWs, *S. aureus* colonization rate among passive smokers' children was 62.5% and in non-passive smokers' was 26.8% ( $P = 0.041$ ). In fact, in children of non-HCWs, exposure to cigarette smoke resulted in a significant increase in colonization rate.

Detailed data about gender, age, family population, weight, history of breastfeeding, sleeping habits of children, and parental smoking, among colonized and not colonized children of HCWs and non-HCWs are listed in Table 1. *S. aureus* colonization risk factors among all of the children (not respective to their parent's job) were studied; results are shown in Table 2. Among 12 colonized children of HCWs, and 22 colonized children of non-HCWs, antibiotic susceptibility pattern was studied; the results are shown in Table 3. For statistical analysis, semi sensitive and resistant cases were integrated, and antibiotic susceptibility patterns were compared in 2 groups of children. Significant differences were not seen in any of the six studied antibiotics (Table 3).

For clindamycin sensitive colonies (33 ones), erythromycin induced clindamycin resistance test (D-test) was done and compared between 2 groups of children. It was positive in 12.1% of all colonies. The comparison of the D-test between children of HCWs and non-HCWs was done and it was not significant (Table 4). Additional information is shown in Table 4. Among colonized individuals, risk factors between sensitive and resistance cases to 5 antibiotics was compared separately. None of them were significant.

## 5. Discussion

In the present study, *S. aureus* nasal colonization rate among one to 6 years old healthy children attending day-care centers in Hamadan city was 25.3%. In previous studies done by Sedighi et al. (15, 16), in Hamedan. *S. aureus* nasal colonization rate in the same population in 2008 was 29.6%, and in less than 12 years old, children in 2007 was 22.3%. Soltani et al. (17), reported that *S. aureus* nasal carrier prevalence in 1 month to 14 years old children in Kashan was 26.3%. In the Ciftci et al. (18) survey in 2007, the prevalence of *S. aureus* nasal colonization in 4 - 6 years

old healthy children in Turkey was reported 28.4% and in India in 2010, 25% of healthy 1 month to 17 years old children were *S. aureus* nasal carriers (19). Therefore, the results of these studies in terms of *S. aureus* nasal colonization prevalence are accordant with our results. In contrast, *S. aureus* nasal colonization rate among Tanzanian children less than 5 years old was 40% and among 3 month to 6 years old Brazilian children attending daycare centers was 48%, which show a higher rate of colonization in children of those areas.

Also, 17.9% and 32.8% of HCW's and non-HCW's children were *S. aureus* nasal carriers respectively, which was not a statistically significant difference. Shetty et al., in 2011 in India, had studied the relationship between *S. aureus* colonization rate and HCW contact as a risk factor; that was not significant too (19-21).

In this study, methicillin, clindamycin, and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* was only seen in one girl, whose father was a HCW. Thus resistance to these three antibiotics in children of our region is low and equal to 2.4%. Erythromycin and co-trimoxazole resistance rates were 14.7% and 8.8%, respectively. Therefore, the highest antibiotic resistance was to erythromycin (41.2% were sensitive, and 44.1% were semi sensitive). In a previous study done in Hamadan in 2008, MRSA prevalence in healthy children was 4.1%, and resistance to erythromycin was 6.8%, and there was not any vancomycin and clindamycin resistant isolates (16). In another study done in Hamedan in 2007, CA-MRSA prevalence in less than 12 years old children was 13.7%, and resistance to erythromycin, clindamycin, and vancomycin were 33.3%, 11.1% and 0%, respectively (15). In contrast, in Gorgan and Kashan, MRSA prevalence was 34.8%, and 35.9% and vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus* (VRSA) prevalence were 1.7% and 4.3%, respectively (18, 22). On the other hand, from 4 to 6 years old healthy children in Turkey, 0.3% had MRSA and all of them had HCW parents (19). This rate of prevalence is obviously less than reported ranges in Iran.

D-test positiveness rate was seen in 12.1% of clindamycin sensitive isolates, which was not significantly different between the 2 groups of children. It was reported 6.8% and 37.5% in previous studies done in Hamedan (15, 16).

In our study, there was no significant difference in *S. aureus* nasal colonization between girls and boys. This is supported by some national and international studies (18, 22, 23). However, in Sedighi et al. (16) and some other surveys, the male gender contributed to a higher rate of colonization.

In this study, *S. aureus* colonization increased significantly in older-aged children; the same result was observed with a Gorgan survey (22). Older age was also a risk fac-

**Table 1.** *S. aureus* Nasal Colonization Risk Factors in Children of HCWs and Non-HCWs<sup>a</sup>

Variable	Children of HCWs			Children of non-HCWs		
	Colonized	Non Colonized	P Value	Colonized	Non Colonized	P Value
<b>Sex</b>			0.864			0.192
Male	6 (18.8)	26 (81.3)		8 (25.0)	24 (75.0)	
Female	6 (17.1)	29 (82.9)		14 (40.0)	21 (60.0)	
<b>Age, mo</b>	58.75 ± 9.440	50.58 ± 14.501	0.023	58.14 ± 9.536	50.27 ± 16.457	0.017
<b>Family number</b>	3.7 ± 0.622	3.7 ± 0.619	0.088	3.6 ± 0.686	3.5 ± 0.555	0.404
<b>Wight, kg</b>	18.59 ± 4.04	16.33 ± 3.77	0.941	17.49 ± 3.58	16.86 ± 4.74	0.455
<b>Breast feeding</b>			< 0.999			0.037
Yes	11 (17.5)	52 (82.5)		18 (29.0)	44 (71.0)	
No	0	2 (100)		4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	
<b>Sleep with parents</b>			0.276			0.141
Yes	7 (14.9)	40 (85.1)		9 (25.0)	27 (75.0)	
No	5 (29.4)	12 (70.6)		13 (41.9)	18 (58.1)	
<b>Passive smoker</b>			0.567			0.041
Yes	1 (11.1)	8 (88.9)		5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	
No	11 (19.0)	47 (81.0)		15 (26.8)	41 (73.2)	

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean ± SD.**Table 2.** *S. aureus* Nasal Colonization Risk Factors Among all of the Participants<sup>a</sup>

Variables	Colonized	Non Colonized	P Value
<b>Sex</b>			0.374
Male	14 (21.9)	50 (78.1)	
Female	20 (28.6)	50 (71.4)	
<b>Age, mo</b>	58.36 ± 9.357	50.44 ± 15.33	0.01
<b>Family number</b>			
mean ± SD	3.7 ± 0.651	3.6 ± 0.600	0.794
≤ 4	27 (24.1)	85 (75.9)	0.411
> 4	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	
<b>Weight, kg</b>	18.190 ± 3.7067	16.572 ± 4.2148	0.072
<b>Passive smoker</b>			0.362
Yes	6 (35.5)	11 (64.7)	
No	26 (22.8)	88 (77.2)	
<b>Sleeping with parents</b>			0.022
Yes	16 (19.3)	67 (80.7)	
No	18 (37.5)	30 (62.5)	
<b>Breast feeding</b>			0.065
Yes	29 (23.3)	96 (76.8)	
No	4 (57.1)	3 (42.9)	

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean ± SD.

tor among children of HCWs and non-HCWs. In contrast, age less than 4 years old in Kashan and less than 6 years old in India were colonization risk factors (17, 21). In the present study, the weight of children and their family population do not significantly affected *S. aureus* colonization. However, in some studies, greater family size resulted in a higher rate of colonization (17, 21).

History of breastfeeding did not result in a different rate of colonization, however, it was near significant ( $P = 0.065$ ). However, in children of non-HCWs, it resulted in a lower rate of colonization. In our study, having smoker parents did not result in more colonization, in contrast to a survey in Kashan (17). However, we obtained this outcome only in children of non-HCWs. It was interesting that sleeping of children next to their parents resulted in a lower range of colonization; however, it was not a risk factor. Therefore, according to the present study, *S. aureus* nasal colonization rate among children attending daycare centers in Hamadan is notable and is not associated with the parent's job. Although initial empiric treatment for staphylococcal infections depends on several factors, the most important factor is the drug resistance pattern in the community. For non-life-threatening infections like septic arthritis without signs of sepsis, if MRSA prevalence in the area is low ( $< 10\%$ ), anti-staphylococcal penicillin-like nafcillin, oxacillin or first-generation cephalosporins like cefazolin can be used. If MRSA prevalence in the community

**Table 3.** Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern<sup>a</sup>

Antibiotic	Children of HCWs			Children of Non-HCWs			P Value
	Sensitive	Semi Sensitive	Resistant	Sensitive	Semi Sensitive	Resistant	
Oxacillin	11 (91.7)	0	1 (8.3)	22 (100)	0	0	0.3529
Cefazolin	12 (100)	0	0	22 (100)	0	0	< 0.999
Clindamycin	11 (91.7)	0	1 (8.3)	22 (100)	0	0	0.2794
Vancomycin	3 (25.0)	8 (66.7)	1 (8.3)	11 (50.0)	11 (50.0)	0	0.2748
Erythromycin	4 (33.3)	5 (41.7)	3 (25.0)	10 (45.5)	10 (45.5)	2 (9.1)	0.3529
Cotrimoxazole	10 (83.3)	0	2 (16.7)	21 (95.5)	0	1 (4.5)	0.717

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as No. (%).**Table 4.** Frequency of Induced Clindamycin Resistance in Children of HCWs and Non-HCWs<sup>a</sup>

	Children of non-HCWs	Children of HCWs	P Value
D-test : +	2 (18.2)	2 (9.1)	0.586
D-test: -	9 (81.8)	20 (90.9)	
Total	11 (100)	22 (100)	

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as No (%).

is high (> 10%) clindamycin can be used only if resistance to clindamycin is less than 10%; and if it is more than 10%, vancomycin should be substituted as first-line treatment (24).

In conclusion, MRSA prevalence among children of our area is less than 10%, we therefore recommend to pediatricians of our region, to use anti-staphylococcal penicillin or first-generation cephalosporins in non-life-threatening staphylococcal infections in order to prevent antibiotic resistance and if MRSA infections are suspected. With respect to low clindamycin resistance in Hamedan (2.4%), this antibiotic can be a good choice. With respect to the mentioned issues and regarding our results, there is no difference between children of health care workers and other children. But because of the high ICR rate (12.1%), it is strongly suggested to use D-test routinely in hospital laboratories, and clindamycin be prescribed only in negative ones. We also recommend avoiding switching therapy from erythromycin to clindamycin.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the vice-chancellor of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences for funding and supporting the current study.

## Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** IS and MYA did study concept and design. MO collected samples and carried out the laboratory work. HM helped with laboratory techniques. JF statistical analysis. IS and MYA drafting and critical revision of the manuscript.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical Approval:** The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

**Funding/Support:** The work was financially supported by Hamadan University of Medical Sciences.

**Informed Consent:** Written consents were obtained from the parents of children.

## References

- Mahmoudi H, Pourhajibagher M, Chiniforush N, Soltanian AR, Alikhani MY, Bahador A. Biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance in methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from burns. *J Wound Care*. 2019;**28**(2):66-73. doi: [10.12968/jowc.2019.28.2.66](https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2019.28.2.66). [PubMed: [30767648](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30767648/)].
- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VJ. *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2015;**28**(3):603-61. doi: [10.1128/CMR.00134-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14). [PubMed: [26016486](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26016486/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4451395](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4451395/)].
- Le Moing V, Alla F, Doco-Lecompte T, Delahaye F, Piroth L, Chirouze C, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infection and endocarditis-a prospective cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2015;**10**(5):e0127385. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0127385](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127385). [PubMed: [26020939](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26020939/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4447452](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4447452/)].
- Wertheim HFL, Vos MC, Ott A, van Belkum A, Voss A, Kluytmans JAJW, et al. Risk and outcome of nosocomial *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. *Lancet*. 2004;**364**(9435):703-5. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16897-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16897-9).
- Treesirichod A, Hantagool S, Prommalikit O. Nasal carriage and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* among medical students at the HRH Princess Maha Chakri Sirindhorn Medical Center, Thailand: A cross sectional study. *J Infect Public Health*. 2013;**6**(3):196-201. doi: [10.1016/j.jiph.2012.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2012.12.004). [PubMed: [23668464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23668464/)].



6. Creech CB, 2nd, Kernodle DS, Alsentzer A, Wilson C, Edwards KM. Increasing rates of nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2005;**24**(7):617-21. doi: [10.1097/01.inf.0000168746.62226.a4](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.inf.0000168746.62226.a4). [PubMed: [15999003](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15999003/)].
7. Waness A. Revisiting methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *J Glob Infect Dis*. 2010;**2**(1):49-56. doi: [10.4103/0974-777X.59251](https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.59251). [PubMed: [20300418](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20300418/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC2840971](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC2840971/)].
8. Stenehjem E, Rimland D. MRSA nasal colonization burden and risk of MRSA infection. *Am J Infect Control*. 2013;**41**(5):405-10. doi: [10.1016/j.ajic.2012.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2012.07.017). [PubMed: [23261345](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23261345/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3685139](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3685139/)].
9. Cadena J, Thinwa J, Walter EA, Frei CR. Risk factors for the development of active methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection in patients colonized with MRSA at hospital admission. *Am J Infect Control*. 2016;**44**(12):1617-21. doi: [10.1016/j.ajic.2016.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2016.05.009). [PubMed: [27372225](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27372225/)].
10. Pathak A, Marothi Y, Iyer RV, Singh B, Sharma M, Eriksson B, et al. Nasal carriage and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus aureus* in healthy preschool children in Ujjain, India. *BMC Pediatr*. 2010;**10**:100. doi: [10.1186/1471-2431-10-100](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-10-100). [PubMed: [21190550](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21190550/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3022789](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3022789/)].
11. Saito G, Thom J, Wei Y, Gnanasuntharam P, Gnanasuntharam P, Kreiswirth N, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization among health care workers in a downtown emergency department in Toronto, Ontario. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol*. 2013;**24**(3):e57-60. doi: [10.1155/2013/349891](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/349891). [PubMed: [24421831](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24421831/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3852458](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3852458/)].
12. Sakr A, Bregeon F, Mege JL, Rolain JM, Blin O. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization: An update on mechanisms, epidemiology, risk factors, and subsequent infections. *Front Microbiol*. 2018;**9**:2419. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.02419](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02419). [PubMed: [30349525](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30349525/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6186810](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6186810/)].
13. Mahon CR, Lehman DC, Manuselis G. *Textbook of diagnostic microbiology-e-book*. USA: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
14. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Twentieth informational supplement*. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards; 2018. Report No.: M100(S15).
15. Sedighi I, Yousefi MR, Pak N, Seyf R. D-test method for detection of inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Iran J Pediatr*. 2009;**19**(3):293-7.
16. Sedighi I, Moez HJ, Alikhani MY. Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns in children attending day-care centers. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung*. 2011;**58**(3):227-34. doi: [10.1556/AMicr.58.2011.3.6](https://doi.org/10.1556/AMicr.58.2011.3.6). [PubMed: [21983324](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21983324/)].
17. Soltani B, Taghavi Ardakani A, Moravveji A, Erami M, Haji Rezaei M, Moniri R, et al. Risk factors for methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization of healthy children. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2014;**7**(9). e20025. doi: [10.5812/jjm.20025](https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.20025). [PubMed: [25485071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25485071/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4255386](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4255386/)].
18. Ciftci IH, Koken R, Bukulmez A, Ozdemir M, Safak B, Cetinkaya Z. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* in 4-6 age groups in healthy children in Afyonkarahisar, Turkey. *Acta Paediatr*. 2007;**96**(7):1043-6. doi: [10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00315.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00315.x). [PubMed: [17498190](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17498190/)].
19. Shetty V, Trumbull K, Hegde A, Shenoy V, Prabhu R, KS, et al. Prevalence of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization among children. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2014;**8**(12):DC12-5. doi: [10.7860/JCDR/2014/9986.5276](https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2014/9986.5276). [PubMed: [25653946](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25653946/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4316252](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4316252/)].
20. Moyo SJ, Aboud S, Blomberg B, Mkopi N, Kasubi M, Manji K, et al. High nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* among healthy Tanzanian under-5 children. *Microb Drug Resist*. 2014;**20**(1):82-8. doi: [10.1089/mdr.2013.0016](https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2013.0016). [PubMed: [24033147](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24033147/)].
21. Braga ED, Aguiar-Alves F, de Freitas Mde F, de e Silva MO, Correa TV, Snyder RE, et al. High prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* colonization among healthy children attending public daycare centers in informal settlements in a large urban center in Brazil. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;**14**:538. doi: [10.1186/1471-2334-14-538](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-14-538). [PubMed: [25287855](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25287855/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4287590](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4287590/)].
22. Tabbarai A, Ghaemi E, Fazeli MR, Bakhshandehnosrat S, Behnam-pour N, Basori M. Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carrier in healthy school students in Gorgan. *J Gorgan Univ Med Sci*. 2001;**3**(2):6-11.
23. Abbott MB, Vlasses CH. Nelson textbook of pediatrics. *JAMA*. 2011;**306**(21):2378. doi: [10.1001/jama.2011.1775](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1775).
24. Robinette E, Shah SS. Septic arthritis. In: Kliegman RM, editor. *Nelson text book of pediatrics*. 21th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2020. p. 3676-7.