

PPPL-4065

PPPL-4065

## Observation of Persistent Edge Current Driven by Coaxial Helicity Injection (CHI)

D. Mueller, B.A. Nelson, W.T. Hamp, A.J. Redd,  
T.R. Jarboe, R.G. O'Neill, and R.J. Smith

April 2005



## **PPPL Report Disclaimers**

### **Full Legal Disclaimer**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

### **Trademark Disclaimer**

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

## **PPPL Report Availability**

This report is posted on the U.S. Department of Energy's Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Publications and Reports web site in Fiscal Year 2005. The home page for PPPL Reports and Publications is: [http://www.pppl.gov/pub\\_report/](http://www.pppl.gov/pub_report/)

### **Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI):**

Available electronically at: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>.

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Scientific and Technical Information  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062  
Telephone: (865) 576-8401  
Fax: (865) 576-5728  
E-mail: [reports@adonis.osti.gov](mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov)

### **National Technical Information Service (NTIS):**

This report is available for sale to the general public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
Telephone: (800) 553-6847  
Fax: (703) 605-6900  
Email: [orders@ntis.fedworld.gov](mailto:orders@ntis.fedworld.gov)  
Online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

## Observation of persistent edge current driven by Coaxial Helicity Injection (CHI)

D. Mueller

*Princeton University, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, P.O. Box 453, Princeton,  
NJ 08543*

B.A. Nelson, W.T. Hamp, A.J. Redd, T.R. Jarboe, R.G. O'Neill and R.J. Smith

*University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195*

Abstract

Coaxial Helicity Injection, CHI, has been used on the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) [M. Ono et al., *Nucl. Fusion*, **40**, 557 (2000)], the Helicity Injected Torus (HIT) [B.A. Nelson et al. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **72**, 3666 (1994)] and HIT-II [T.R. Jarboe et al., *Phys Plasmas*, **5**, 1807 (1998)] to initiate plasma and to drive up to 400 kA of toroidal current. On HIT-II, CHI initiated discharges have been successfully coupled to ohmic sustainment [R. Raman, et al. *Phys Plasmas*, **11**, 2565 (2004)]. This paper presents the first results on the use of CHI to demonstrate the persistence of edge current drive in a pre-established single null diverted inductive discharge. Edge current drive has the potential to improve plasma stability limits [J.E. Menard et al., *Nucl. Fusion*, **37**, 595 (1997)]. While most current drive methods drive current in the interior of the plasma, CHI is well suited for driving current in the edge plasma.

The Helicity Injected Torus-II<sup>1</sup>, HIT-II, is a spherical torus (ST) machine with capability for both conventional inductive current drive and Coaxial Helicity Injection (CHI) current drive. A diagram of the HIT-II experimental device is shown in Figure 1. As is shown in this figure, the inner and outer vessel components of the machine are electrically separated by toroidal ceramic insulators. Thus for discharges in which the scrape-off-layer (SOL) footprints rest on either vessel components of the machine, it is possible to apply electrical voltage to the flux footprints. Under appropriate conditions, using an external power supply, it should be possible to drive current along the edge, on open field lines. It has been previously postulated that under such conditions, Taylor relaxation<sup>2</sup> should transport some of this open field line current to the interior, and drive current along closed field lines. Others have described the use of CHI to initiate and sustain toroidal current in STs. On HIT, up to 250 kA of toroidal current was driven with CHI.<sup>2,3</sup> On HIT-II, CHI initiated discharges have been coupled to ohmic discharges with a net increase in the amount of toroidal current greater than either CHI or ohmic drive alone<sup>4</sup>. On the National Spherical Torus Experiment, NSTX, CHI has been used to initiate and sustain 400 kA of toroidal current.<sup>5</sup> This paper describes the first results that show evidence for closed field line current produced as a result of driving current in the SOL of a pre-existing inductive discharge.

We first describe the experimental method used to drive edge current on open field lines. Then, theoretical requirements for driving edge current are briefly discussed. This is

followed by experimental results that show consistency between experimental observations and equilibrium analysis.

In the experiments described here, the central transformer core is used to initiate a conventional inductively driven discharge. The poloidal field coils are feedback controlled on the flux loops located outside the vacuum vessel to control the plasma shape and provide the inductive loop voltage. The shape used in these experiments was a single null diverted discharge with the X-point located at the injector end of the vessel (bottom in Figure 1). The plasma was fuelled by plasma injection guns<sup>6</sup> located at  $R = 0.14$  m,  $Z = -0.61$  m. The plasma-facing wall is conditioned by Ti gettering to provide pumping of hydrogen for density control. Typical ohmic discharge parameters for this experiment are plasma current ( $I_p$ ) between 80 and 150 kA, major radius ( $R$ )  $\sim 0.37$  m, minor radius ( $a$ )  $\sim 0.16$  m, loop voltage ( $V_{loop}$ )  $\sim 1.6$  V, elongation ( $\kappa$ )  $\sim 1.8$  and line average density ( $n_e$ ) between  $0.8$  and  $2.0 \times 10^{19}/m^3$ . Figure 2 is a poloidal flux plot of a typical ohmic target plasma used in these experiments from equilibrium analysis made using the EFIT<sup>7</sup> code. The loop voltage applied by the ohmic transformer was programmed to be constant during the period corresponding to the plasma current flattop. The CHI injector voltage was applied using up to six fast Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT) based H-bridge switching power amplifiers with feedback of the injector current ( $I_{inj}$ ) to a prescribed demand waveform between 2 and 6 kA. Injector current is defined as the current provided by the external power supply.

It is expected that the plasma current driven by CHI will depend upon the injector current employed and on the plasma boundary conditions as follows.<sup>4</sup> Relaxation phenomena

drive plasmas toward the Taylor minimum energy state where the force free equilibrium is given by  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \lambda \mathbf{B}$ , with  $\lambda = \mu_0 J/B$  a global constant, where  $B = |\mathbf{B}|$  is the magnetic flux density,  $J = |\mathbf{J}|$  is the current density and  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of free space.

Integrating  $\mathbf{J}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  over a surface with current  $I$  and flux  $\Phi$  gives  $\lambda = \mu_0 I/\Phi$ . Helicity  $K \equiv \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d\tau$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  is the magnetic vector potential and  $d\tau$  is the elemental volume. For a tokamak this is approximately the product of toroidal and poloidal fluxes,  $K \sim \Phi_T \psi_p$ .

Thus at fixed  $\Phi_T$ ,  $K \propto I_p$  so all current drive techniques must sustain helicity. In the case of a transformer, this is  $dK/dt = 2 V_{loop} \Phi_T$ . For electrode based CHI,  $V_{inj}$  is applied to the magnetic flux,  $\psi_{inj}$ , penetrating the insulated coaxial electrodes, thus helicity is injected at the rate:

$$dK_{inj}/dt = 2 V_{inj} \psi_{inj}$$

An effective loop voltage  $V_{eff}$  can be defined as the loop voltage required to obtain the same helicity injection rate:

$$V_{eff} = V_{inj} \psi_{inj} / \Phi_T$$

Then the efficiency  $\varepsilon \equiv P_{OH}/P_{CHI} = I_p V_{eff} / I_{inj} V_{inj} = \lambda_{tok}/\lambda_{inj}$ , where  $\lambda_{tok} = \mu_0 I_p / \Phi_T$  and  $\lambda_{inj} = \mu_0 I_{inj} / \psi_{inj}$ .<sup>2</sup> Thus from an energy argument, the condition  $\lambda_{inj} > \lambda_{tok}$  is required for helicity injection current drive. This assumes dissipation occurs in the tokamak and not in the injector. Dissipation in the injector lowers the efficiency.

A model by Tang and Boozer<sup>8</sup> explains current drive on closed flux surfaces by CHI by helical kinks in the current on open field line which produce a dynamo loop voltage on the closed field lines. This model predicts that helical modes should be observable during

CHI, however the HIT-II experiment has no diagnostic appropriate to observe such modes.

Use of the fast IGBT supplies to provide the injector current made it possible to examine the ohmic plasma both immediately before and after the application of a short pulse of CHI current drive. Figure 3 compares two discharges with different ratios of  $\lambda_{inj} / \lambda_{tok}$ . The injector current was ramped up in  $\sim 0.5$  ms and turned off in  $< 0.1$  ms. In each case, an ohmic discharge is provided for comparison. It is clear in the case where  $\lambda_{inj} > \lambda_{tok}$ , more toroidal current is driven and this extra CHI-driven current persists longer than is the case for  $\lambda_{inj} < \lambda_{tok}$ . Current flowing on open field lines will fall to zero as the applied voltage falls to zero as is seen for  $I_{inj}$ . The decay of  $I_p$  to the baseline comparison after  $V_{inj} = 0$  indicates that  $I_p$  must then be on closed field lines where  $I_p$  is the total toroidal current corrected for the current flowing in the wall,  $I_{wall}$ .  $I_{wall} < 5$  kA and it persists for less than 0.0002 s following the shutoff of the injector voltage. The uncertainty in  $I_p$  is 1.5% or 200 A, whichever is larger.

A comparison of the plasma parameters and EFIT analysis of shots with and without application of CHI is shown in Figure 4. Wall conditions evolved slightly between the two discharges so that the ohmic comparison shot had slightly higher density and slightly lower  $I_p$  than the CHI shot had prior to the CHI edge current pulse. The duration of the applied injector voltage was limited to  $\sim 1$  ms to minimize the effect of the density and radiated power increases observed during the application of CHI. An increase in the electron density in the SOL during CHI is expected because the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift is away from

the injector. The measured toroidal plasma current corrected for toroidal wall current persists past the application of the injector current pulse with an e-folding time of  $\sim 0.4$  ms, which is longer than the wall current decay time. The toroidal wall currents were calculated from the derivative of the flux loop signals outside the vacuum vessel, the wall geometry and the vessel resistivity. The total toroidal wall current ( $I_{\text{wall}}$ ) measured in this way is  $< 15\%$  of the observed increase in  $I_p$  during CHI and  $I_{\text{wall}}$  decays with an e-folding time of  $< 0.2$  ms. It is clear from these results that  $I_p$  persists well beyond the time at which both  $I_{\text{inj}}$  and  $I_{\text{wall}}$  return to zero. This current persistence can arise only from currents flowing on closed field lines.

The decay rate of  $I_p$  after CHI is about 30 kA/ms, about four times the value observed during application of zero loop voltage at the end of an ohmic discharge with similar density. This higher dissipation indicates that the plasma current driven by CHI is in a region of higher resistivity and/or has lower inductance than the inductively driven current. This is consistent with CHI current being driven in the edge, where the plasma would become more resistive when the effect of  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  plasma drift transports colder plasma into this region from the injector electrodes. Presumably this effect could be mitigated in large machines with the capability for auxiliary heating.

In order to investigate the spatial distribution of the additional plasma current driven by CHI, analysis of the discharges was carried out using the EFIT code. This analysis did not model driven currents on open field lines and is not expected to be applicable during the period when  $I_{\text{inj}}$  is non-zero. The lack of convergence limits the period during which

the EFIT analysis is available to before CHI and about 0.2 ms after CHI. The leading moment in the current distribution is the plasma internal inductance,  $l_i$ . At the earliest time following application of CHI for which  $I_{inj}$  and  $I_{wall}$  are non-zero and EFIT converges,  $l_i$  is 0.2 compared to 0.23 before CHI. This is shown in Figure 4 which indicates the current profile is broader immediately after CHI. This is consistent with the idea that adding additional current to the edge of a flat or peaked current profile should make the current profile more hollow. (The HIT-II experimentally measured surface poloidal fields show this same feature of  $B_p$  increasing more at the top and bottom than at the midplane.) A comparatively rapid decay of the plasma current in the edge and an increase in  $l_i$  as the plasma current falls after CHI is seen in Figure 4. The decay rate of  $I_p$ ,  $dI_p/dt$ , in an ohmic plasma at the end of the discharge with  $V_{loop} = 0$  is 7 MA/s, while immediately after CHI,  $dI_p/dt$  is 27 MA/s. The resistivity of the plasma should vary as  $T_e^{-3/2}$  and the central temperature is similar in the two cases, therefore the current decay rate immediately after application of CHI is likely to be more because the persistent current is near the plasma edge in a region of lower temperature.

Thomson scattering measurements of the electron temperature and density were made for comparison of shots with and without CHI. Figure 5 shows a typical comparison. The density profile during CHI is much broader than in the ohmic case; the central  $n_e$  is about 50% greater during CHI, the density within 0.05 m from the edge increased from below the threshold for measurement (approximately  $0.5 \times 10^{19}/m^3$  at 50 eV) to above the central value. The central temperature during CHI is slightly below the ohmic value, and the temperature within 0.05 m from the edge is 40 eV during CHI.

It has been demonstrated that the application of CHI during a single-null, diverted ohmic discharge can drive toroidal current that persists after the CHI drive is removed. EFIT analysis suggests that the current profile is broadened by the CHI current drive and that the current near the edge decays rapidly after CHI. Similar experiments are planned on NSTX, in this larger device with higher edge temperature, it is expected that the CHI driven current will persist longer.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank G. R. Andexler for assistance in operations, R. Raman for a critical reading of the text, and LANL and the Universities of Texas and Wisconsin for the loan of equipment used in these studies. This work supported by DoE Grants DE-AC02-76CH030 and DE-FG03-96ER54361.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1. Schematic drawing of an R-Z plane cut of the Helicity Injected Torus, HIT-II experiment.

Figure 2. EFIT flux surface reconstruction of ohmically driven target for CHI edge drive (pulse 29273 at 16 ms).

Figure 3. Injector voltage, injector  $\lambda$ , tokamak  $\lambda$ , injector current, and plasma current for two levels of CHI edge drive,  $\lambda_{inj} < \lambda_{tok}$  (left) and  $\lambda_{inj} > \lambda_{tok}$  (right). For each case, a reference ohmic only discharge is shown.

Figure 4. Injector current, plasma current, vessel wall current, and internal inductance for a  $\lambda_{inj} > \lambda_{tok}$  discharge. Note both the persistence of toroidal plasma current after the injector and wall currents are both zero and the drop in  $l_i$  that indicates a broader current profile after CHI.

Figure 5. Multi-point Thomson scattering  $T_e$  and  $n_e$  data for CHI edge drive (left) and ohmic only (right).



FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5



- 
- <sup>1</sup> T.R. Jarboe, M.A. Bonnet, A.T. Mattick, et al., *Phys. Plasmas*, **5**, 1807 (1998).
- <sup>2</sup> B.A. Nelson, T. R. Jarboe, A. K. Martin, D. J. Orvis, J. Xie, C. Zhang, L. Zhou, *Phys. Plasmas*, **2**, 2337 (1995).
- <sup>3</sup> B.A. Nelson, T.R. Jarboe, D.J. Orvis, L.A. McCullough, J. Xie, and L. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **72**, 3666 (1994).
- <sup>4</sup> R. Raman, T.R. Jarboe, B.A. Nelson, et al., *Phys. of Plasmas*, **11**, 2565 (2004).
- <sup>5</sup> T.R. Jarboe, R. Raman, B.A. Nelson, et al., "Progress with helicity injection current drive," Fusion Energy 2002 (Proc. 19th. Int. Conf. Lyon, 2002) (Vienna: IAEA) CD-ROM file IC/P-10 and <http://www.iaea.org/programmes/ripc/physics/fec2002/html/fec2002.htm>
- <sup>6</sup> D. J. Den Hartog, D. J. Craig, G. Fiksel, and J. S. Sarff, *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, **6**, 492 (1997).
- <sup>7</sup> L.L. Lao et al., *Nucl. Fusion*, **25**, 1611 (1985).
- <sup>8</sup> X.Z. Tang and A.H. Boozer, *Phys. Plasmas*, **11**, 2679 (2004).

## External Distribution

Plasma Research Laboratory, Australian National University, Australia  
Professor I.R. Jones, Flinders University, Australia  
Professor João Canalle, Instituto de Fisica DEQ/IF - UERJ, Brazil  
Mr. Gerson O. Ludwig, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas, Brazil  
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, Brazil  
The Librarian, Culham Science Center, England  
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, England  
Professor M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique, France  
Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Germany  
Jolan Moldvai, Reports Library, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Central Research Institute  
for Physics, Hungary  
Dr. P. Kaw, Institute for Plasma Research, India  
Ms. P.J. Pathak, Librarian, Institute for Plasma Research, India  
Dr. Pandji Triadyaksa, Fakultas MIPA Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia  
Professor Sami Cuperman, Plasma Physics Group, Tel Aviv University, Israel  
Ms. Clelia De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, Italy  
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, Italy  
Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, Japan  
Library, Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, Institute for Advanced Study,  
Kyoto University, Japan  
Research Information Center, National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan  
Dr. O. Mitarai, Kyushu Tokai University, Japan  
Dr. Jiangan Li, Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
People's Republic of China  
Professor Yuping Huo, School of Physical Science and Technology, People's Republic of China  
Library, Academia Sinica, Institute of Plasma Physics, People's Republic of China  
Librarian, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China  
Dr. S. Mirnov, TRINITI, Troitsk, Russian Federation, Russia  
Dr. V.S. Strelkov, Kurchatov Institute, Russian Federation, Russia  
Professor Peter Lukac, Katedra Fyziky Plazmy MFF UK, Mlynska dolina F-2,  
Komenskeho Univerzita, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia  
Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Science Institute, South Korea  
Dr. Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov, Theoretical Physics Division, Institute of Nuclear Physics,  
Uzbekistan  
Institute for Plasma Research, University of Maryland, USA  
Librarian, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA  
Librarian, Institute of Fusion Studies, University of Texas, USA  
Librarian, Magnetic Fusion Program, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, USA  
Library, General Atomics, USA  
Plasma Physics Group, Fusion Energy Research Program, University of California  
at San Diego, USA  
Plasma Physics Library, Columbia University, USA  
Alkesh Punjabi, Center for Fusion Research and Training, Hampton University, USA  
Dr. W.M. Stacey, Fusion Research Center, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA  
Dr. John Willis, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, USA  
Mr. Paul H. Wright, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

The Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory is operated  
by Princeton University under contract  
with the U.S. Department of Energy.

Information Services  
Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory  
P.O. Box 451  
Princeton, NJ 08543

Phone: 609-243-2750  
Fax: 609-243-2751  
e-mail: [pppl\\_info@pppl.gov](mailto:pppl_info@pppl.gov)  
Internet Address: <http://www.pppl.gov>