



Search for Chargino-Neutralino Pair Production at CDF

Else Lytken¹
for the CDF Collaboration

Purdue University, Lafayette IN

Abstract. We present the results of a search for associated production of the lightest chargino and next-to-lightest neutralino using 1 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$ $p\bar{p}$ data collected with the CDF detector at the Tevatron. We combine the results of several multi-lepton final states to set upper limits on the cross section times branching ratio for chargino-neutralino production as a function of the chargino mass.

PACS. PACS-key describing text of that key – PACS-key describing text of that key

1 Introduction

The associated production of $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ is regarded as the golden discovery channel at the Tevatron for Supersymmetry (SUSY). Compared to the expected production cross sections of other SUSY processes for non-excluded masses, the chargino-neutralino pair production dominated by W-exchange is favorable high. In addition most of the allowed parameter space has a significant branching ratio into leptons. This gives a clean and striking signature of three leptons, and some missing transverse energy, \cancel{E}_T , from the remaining final state particles; a neutrino and two $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$'s. Assuming R-parity¹ is conserved the two lightest neutralinos will escape undetected. Typically a pair of opposite-sign leptons is expected to come from the neutralino decay, $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell^\mp \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, via a virtual Z or a slepton. The main decay mode of low mass charginos is into a charged lepton, a neutrino, and a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$.

Previously published searches have set limits on the chargino mass of $103.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [1] overall, and $117 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for a particular model [2].

2 Analysis and prerequisites

Despite the low standard model backgrounds, the search suffers from a small total cross section times branching fraction into three charged leptons ($< 1 \text{ pb}$). A significant fraction of the leptonic decays are also expected to go into τ 's, resulting in low p_T or non-leptonic final states. This analysis looks for three isolated charged leptons, electrons or muons only, and to obtain maximum sensitivity, we have combined several dedicated analyses. Three analyses uses high p_T (20 GeV/c) single lepton triggers: electron + $2e/\mu$, ($e\ell\ell$), muon + 2

e/μ , ($\mu\ell\ell$), and electron or muon with same-sign electron or muon ($e^\pm e^\pm, e^\pm \mu^\pm, \mu^\pm \mu^\pm$). In these cases we can relax the requirements on the 2. and 3. leptons (if applicable) to improve the acceptance. To cover regions in parameter space with lower p_T leptons we also have two analyses taking advantage of low p_T (4 GeV/c) dilepton triggers: $\mu\mu + e/\mu$, ($\mu\mu\ell$), and $ee +$ isolated track ($ee\ell$). In the latter case we are also sensitive to some hadronically decaying τ 's.

The data is collected by the CDF detector [3] between Spring 2002 and Spring 2006. This corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 0.7 fb^{-1} for the $\mu\ell\ell$ selection and 1 fb^{-1} for the others. The analyses described here are published in [4].

2.1 Analysis cuts

Once we require three leptons the background is already greatly reduced. Those that remains are $Z+\gamma$, where the photon converts; Z +jets, where the jet is misidentified as a lepton; heavy-flavor background ($t\bar{t} + b\bar{b}$), and signal-like background from WZ , ZZ . For the same-sign dilepton analysis, the main backgrounds are $W+\gamma$, W +jets, $Z+\gamma$, and WW . We estimate the background from misidentified leptons from jet-triggered data, and other backgrounds from simulation. In the case of $\mu\mu\ell$, the heavy-flavor background is also estimated from data.

Detailed studies of lepton identification criteria and photon conversion tagging is our main tool to reduce backgrounds without real, isolated, and prompt leptons. In addition, we only accept events with low jet activity, either by direct requirements on the number of reconstructed jets, or by constraining the ΣE_T of events. We suppress $Z+\gamma$ by asking for a minimal missing transverse energy, $\cancel{E}_T \geq 15$ (or 20) GeV . To reduce WZ , ZZ , and further suppress $Z+\gamma$, we also require

¹ $R_p = (-1)^{3(B-L)+2s}$

Table 1. Results for trilepton channels

	$e\ell\ell$	$\mu\ell\ell$	eet	$\mu\mu\ell$
Background	0.8 ± 0.4	1.3 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.1
Exp. signal	2.1 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1
Observed	0	1	3	1

Table 2. Results for dilepton channels

	$e^\pm e^\pm$	$e^\pm \mu^\pm$	$\mu^\pm \mu^\pm$
Background	3.0 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 0.6	0.9 ± 0.1
Exp. signal	0.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1
Observed	4	8	1

that the invariant mass of opposite-sign leptons must not fall in the range 76-106 GeV/c^2 , nor be less than 15 GeV/c^2 (20 GeV/c^2 for some analyses).

2.1.1 Control samples

Before we look for a possible excess of events passing the analysis cuts, we test our background predictions extensively by comparing it to observations in control samples, where we do not expect significant contributions from new physics. We check both the total event counts and the shapes of the analysis variables. A few examples can be found in Figure 1. The benchmark point used for illustration in the plots is an mSUGRA point with $m_0 = 100 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $m_{1/2} = 180 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\tan\beta = 5$, $A_0 = 0$, and $\mu > 0$, with a chargino mass of 113 GeV/c^2 , and $\sigma \times Br = 0.16 \text{ pb}$.

We estimate systematic uncertainties from the identification of leptons, the integrated luminosity, initial- and final state radiation, parton density functions, the jet energy scale, the estimate of jets or photon conversions misidentified as prompt leptons, and theoretical uncertainties on the cross sections. Typically the largest systematical uncertainties come from the estimation of misidentified lepton.

3 Results

After verifying that we have good agreement between expectation and observation in our control samples we proceed to look at the subset of events passing the analysis requirements. The results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. For completeness we show also the expected yield from the mSUGRA benchmark point described above. There are small excesses in the observed event count for some channels but nothing significant. Therefore we use these results to set limits on the chargino-neutralino cross section times their branching ratio into leptons. (including τ 's).

3.1 Interpretation

We choose to interpret the result as limits on $\sigma \times Br$ as a function of the chargino mass in 3 models: The




Fig. 1. Examples of control samples. From top: \cancel{E}_T in ee events, number of jets with $E_T \geq 20 \text{ GeV}$ in $\mu\mu$ events, and the invariant mass of opposite-sign dielectrons in events with 2 electrons and an isolated track. Error bars are statistical.

first one is a standard mSUGRA scenario with $m_0 = 60 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, $\tan\beta = 3$, $A_0 = 0$, $\mu > 0$, and $m_{1/2}$ in the range 162-230 GeV/c^2 . This was found to be the area of parameter space where the analyses had best sensitivity. The second model is similar to previous but with the slepton mixing turned off and slepton masses degenerate. To keep the same decay modes, we also changed the m_0 value to $m_0 = 70 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. Our third scenario is also mSUGRA inspired, but we fix the branching ratios of the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ to be equivalent to the low leptonic branching ratios of standard model

W's and Z's: $\text{BR}(\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow \ell \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \text{BR}(W \rightarrow \ell \nu)$, and $\text{BR}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell \ell \tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \text{BR}(Z \rightarrow \ell \ell)$.

We present the results in Figure 2 as 95% confidence limits using a frequentist approach [5] that takes into account the correlations between the uncertainties and between channels. The expected number of events in Table 1 is overlapping between channels and when calculating the combined acceptance, this overlap is removed, and we rescale the background accordingly. To extract expected and observed mass limits, we include the theory uncertainty (represented in red dashed lines in Figure 2) in the limit calculation and take the intersection between this and the central value of the theory curve. We see that the expected mass limit in the mSUGRA case was $122 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, whereas the observed is below the LEP limit. For the no slepton mixing scenario we set a mass limit of $129 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, expecting $157 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. We do not yet have sensitivity to the model with reduced lepton decays. The difference between the expected and observed limits corresponds to about 2σ and is caused mainly by the excesses observed by the $e\bar{e}t$ and $e^\pm \ell^\pm$ channels.

Figure 2 also shows a basic projection of these results. The curves are extrapolated beyond chargino masses of $\sim 150 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for mSUGRA, $\sim 160 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for the W/Z decay model, and $\sim 170 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ for the scenario with no slepton mixing. It is also assumed that the uncertainties scale with the luminosity and that no improvements are made to the analyses.

4 Conclusion

We have searched for pair production of charginos and neutralinos in the CDF Run II dataset corresponding to between 0.7 and 1 fb^{-1} . No significant excess with respect to the expectation from the standard model was observed. We show exclusion limits on the production cross section times branching ratio as a function of the chargino mass, and a simple projection to larger datasets. In one mSUGRA inspired model with no slepton mixing and degenerate slepton masses, we can exclude chargino masses below $151 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ with 95% confidence with the first fb^{-1} .

References

1. LEP SUSY Working Group, LEPSUSYWG/01-03.1
2. D0 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, (2005) 151805.
3. CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **71**, (2003), 032001.
4. CDF Collaboration, Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett., (2007), arXiv:0707.2362.
5. T. Junk, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A **434**, (1999) 435.




Fig. 2. Projections and expected and observed limits for the 3 models. The black curves are the current results, extrapolated to higher masses.