

# Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies and introduction to classification of quantum groups

Alexander Stolin and Iulia Pop

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Göteborg, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden

E-mail: [astolin@chalmers.se](mailto:astolin@chalmers.se); [iulia@chalmers.se](mailto:iulia@chalmers.se)

**Abstract.** In the present article we discuss the classification of quantum groups whose quasi-classical limit is a given simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This problem reduces to the classification of all Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ , where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ . The associated classical double is of the form  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ , where  $A$  is one of the following:  $\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ , where  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$  or  $\mathbb{K}[j]$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ . The first case relates to quasi-Frobenius Lie algebras. In the second and third cases we introduce a theory of Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology associated to any non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list [1]. We prove a one-to-one correspondence between gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and cohomology classes (in case II) and twisted cohomology classes (in case III) associated to any non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 17B37, 17B62.

**Keywords:** Quantum groups, Lie bialgebras, classical double,  $r$ -matrix.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. According to [3], a quantized universal enveloping algebra (or a quantum group) is a topologically free topological Hopf algebra  $H$  over the formal power series ring  $k[[\hbar]]$  such that  $H/\hbar H$  is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $k$ .

The quasi-classical limit of a quantum group is a Lie bialgebra. By definition, a Lie bialgebra is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  together with a cobracket  $\delta$  which is compatible with the Lie bracket. Given a quantum group  $H$ , with comultiplication  $\Delta$ , the quasi-classical limit of  $H$  is the Lie bialgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of primitive elements of  $H/\hbar H$  and the cobracket is the restriction of the map  $(\Delta - \Delta^{21})/\hbar \pmod{\hbar}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The operation of taking the semiclassical limit is a functor  $SC : QUE \rightarrow LBA$  between categories of quantum groups and Lie bialgebras over  $k$ . The quantization problem raised by Drinfeld aims at finding a quantization functor, i.e. a functor  $Q : LBA \rightarrow QUE$  such that  $SC \circ Q$  is isomorphic to the identity. Moreover, a quantization functor is required to be universal, in the sense of props.

The existence of universal quantization functors was proved by Etingof and Kazhdan [5, 6]. They used Drinfeld’s theory of associators to construct quantization functors for any field  $k$  of characteristic zero. Drinfeld introduced the notion of associator in relation to the theory of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras and showed that associators exist over any field  $k$  of characteristic zero. Etingof and Kazhdan proved that for any fixed associator over  $k$  one can construct a



universal quantization functor. More precisely, let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$  be a Lie bialgebra over  $k$ . Then one can associate a Lie bialgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_\hbar$  over  $k[[\hbar]]$  defined as  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k k[[\hbar]], \hbar\delta)$ . According to Theorem 2.1 of [6] there exists an equivalence  $\widehat{Q}$  between the category  $LBA_0(k[[\hbar]])$  of topologically free over  $k[[\hbar]]$  Lie bialgebras with  $\delta = 0 \pmod{\hbar}$  and the category  $HA_0(k[[\hbar]])$  of topologically free Hopf algebras cocommutative modulo  $\hbar$ . Moreover, for any  $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$  over  $k$ , one has the following:  $\widehat{Q}(\mathfrak{g}_\hbar) = U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The aim of the present article is the classification of quantum groups whose quasi-classical limit is a given simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Due to the equivalence between  $HA_0(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$  and  $LBA_0(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]])$ , this problem is equivalent to classification of Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ . For simplicity, denote  $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ ,  $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{C}((\hbar))$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$ .

On the other hand, in order to classify cobrackets on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$  it is enough to classify cobrackets on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . Indeed, if  $\delta$  is a Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ , then it can be naturally extended to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . Conversely, given a Lie bialgebra structure  $\bar{\delta}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ , then by multiplying  $\bar{\delta}$  by an appropriate power of  $\hbar$ , the restriction of  $\bar{\delta}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$  is a Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ .

Now, from the general theory of Lie bialgebras it is known that for each Lie bialgebra structure  $\delta$  on a fixed Lie algebra  $L$  one can construct the corresponding classical double  $D(L, \delta)$  which is the vector space  $L \oplus L^*$  together with a bracket which is induced by the bracket and cobracket of  $L$ , and a non-degenerate invariant bilinear form, see [4]. We consider  $L = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and prove Prop. 2.1 which states that there exists an associative, unital, commutative algebra  $A$ , of dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{K}$ , such that  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \delta) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ . In Prop. 2.3 we show that there are three possibilities for  $A$ :  $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ , where  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ ,  $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$  or  $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ .

Due to the correspondence Lie bialgebras–Manin triples, to any Lie bialgebra structure  $\delta$  on  $L$  one can associate a certain Lagrangian subalgebra  $W$  of  $D(L, \delta)$  which is complementary to  $L$  and conversely, any such  $W$  produces a Lie cobracket on  $L$ . The main problem is to obtain a classification of all such subalgebras  $W$  for the three choices of  $A$  as above. We investigate each choice of  $A$  separately.

For  $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ , where  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ , it turns out that the classification problem is related to that of quasi-Frobenius Lie subalgebras over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

In case  $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ , we introduce Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies. Namely, for any non-skewsymmetric constant  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$  from the Belavin–Drinfeld list [1], we associate a cohomology set  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD})$ . We prove that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between any Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . We first consider  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and show that all cohomologies are trivial. We then discuss the case of orthogonal algebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(n)$ , where it turns out that the cohomology associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix is also trivial. We illustrate an example where the cohomology corresponding to another non-skewsymmetric constant  $r$ -matrix for  $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$  is non-trivial.

We finally treat the case  $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ . We restrict our analysis to  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$  and we show that in this case a cohomology theory can be introduced too. Our result states that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . We prove that the twisted cohomology corresponding to the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix is trivial.

In the last section of the article we formulate a conjecture stating that the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix is trivial for any simple complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We also define the quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology and formulate a second conjecture about the existence of a natural correspondence between classical and quantum cohomologies.

## 2. Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Consider the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$ .

We have seen that the classification of quantum groups with quasi-classical limit  $\mathfrak{g}$  is equivalent to the classification of all Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ . Moreover, as explained in the introduction, in order to classify Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{O})$ , it is enough to classify them on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

Let us assume that  $\bar{\delta}$  is a Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . This cobracket endows the dual of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  with a Lie bracket. Then one can construct the corresponding classical double  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \bar{\delta})$ . As a vector space,  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \bar{\delta}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})^*$ . As a Lie algebra, it is endowed with a bracket which is induced by the bracket and cobracket of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . Moreover the canonical symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on this space is invariant.

Similarly to Lemma 2.1 from [8], one can prove that  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \bar{\delta})$  is a direct sum of regular adjoint  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules. Combining this result with Prop. 2.2 from [2], one obtains the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exists an associative, unital, commutative algebra  $A$ , of dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{K}$ , such that  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \bar{\delta}) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ .*

*Remark 2.2.* The symmetric invariant non-degenerate bilinear form  $Q$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$  is given in the following way. For arbitrary elements  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $a, b \in A$  we have  $Q(f_1 \otimes a, f_2 \otimes b) = K(f_1, f_2) \cdot t(ab)$ , where  $K$  denotes the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $t : A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a trace function.

Let us investigate the algebra  $A$ . Since  $A$  is unital and of dimension 2 over  $\mathbb{K}$ , one can choose a basis  $\{e, 1\}$ , where 1 denotes the unit. Moreover, there exist  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  such that  $e^2 + pe + q = 0$ . Let  $\Delta = p^2 - 4q \in \mathbb{K}$ . We distinguish the following cases:

(i) Assume  $\Delta = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon := e + \frac{p}{2}$ . Then  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$  and  $A = \mathbb{K}\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ .

(ii) Assume  $\Delta \neq 0$  and has even order as an element of  $\mathbb{K}$ . This implies that  $\Delta = \hbar^{2m}(a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots)$ , where  $m$  is an integer,  $a_i$  are complex coefficients and  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

One can easily check that the equation  $x^2 = a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots$  has two solutions  $\pm x = x_0 + x_1\hbar + x_2\hbar^2 + \dots$  in  $\mathbb{O}$ .

Then  $e = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \frac{\hbar^m x}{2}$ , which implies that  $e \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ .

(iii) Assume  $\Delta \neq 0$  and has odd order as an element of  $\mathbb{K}$ . We have  $\Delta = \hbar^{2m+1}(a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots)$ , where  $m$  is an integer,  $a_i$  are complex coefficients and  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

Again the equation  $x^2 = a_0 + a_1\hbar + a_2\hbar^2 + \dots$  has two solutions  $\pm x = x_0 + x_1\hbar + x_2\hbar^2 + \dots$  in  $\mathbb{O}$ . Since  $a_0 \neq 0$ , we have  $x_0 \neq 0$  and thus  $x$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{O}$ .

Let  $j = \hbar^{-m}(2e + p)x^{-1}$ . Then  $e^2 + pe + q = 0$  is equivalent to  $j^2 = \hbar$ . Since  $A = \mathbb{K}e \oplus \mathbb{K}$  and  $2e = \hbar^m xj - p$ ,  $A = \mathbb{K}j \oplus \mathbb{K}$ .

We have thus obtained the following result.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\bar{\delta}$  be an arbitrary Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . Then  $D(\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}), \bar{\delta}) \cong \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ , where  $A = \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$  and  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ ,  $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$  or  $A = \mathbb{K}[j]$  and  $j^2 = \hbar$ .*

On the other hand, it is well-known, see for instance [3], that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on a Lie algebra  $L$  and Manin triples  $(D(L), L, W)$ . For  $L = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ , this fact implies the following

**Proposition 2.4.** *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$  and Lagrangian subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ , with respect to the non-degenerate bilinear form  $Q$ , and transversal to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** (i) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ ,  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ , and Lagrangian subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ , and transversal to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .*

(ii) *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and Lagrangian subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ , and transversal to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ , embedded diagonally into  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .*

(iii) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ , and Lagrangian subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ , and transversal to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

### 3. Lie bialgebra structures in Case I

Here we study the Lie bialgebra structures  $\delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the corresponding Drinfeld double is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ ,  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ . The problem is to find all subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $W \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$ .
- (ii)  $W = W^\perp$ , with respect to the following non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form:

$$Q(f_1(\hbar) + \varepsilon f_2(\hbar), g_1(\hbar) + \varepsilon g_2(\hbar)) = K(f_1, g_2) + K(f_2, g_1).$$

**Proposition 3.1.** Any subalgebra  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon])$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) from above is uniquely defined by a subalgebra  $L$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  together with a non-degenerate 2-cocycle  $B$  on  $L$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Th. 3.2 and Cor. 3.3 from [10].  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* We recall that a Lie algebra is called quasi-Frobenius if there exists a non-degenerate 2-cocycle on it. It is called Frobenius if the corresponding 2-cocycle is a coboundary. Thus we see that the classification problem for the Lagrangian subalgebras we are interested in contains the classification of Frobenius subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . This question is quite complicated, as it is known from studying Frobenius subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . However, for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  there is only one Frobenius subalgebra, the standard parabolic one.

### 4. Lie bialgebra structures in Case II and Belavin-Drinfeld cohomologies

Our task is to classify Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the associated classical double is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Any Lie bialgebra structure  $\delta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the associated classical double is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  is a coboundary  $\delta = dr$  given by an  $r$ -matrix satisfying  $r + r^{21} = f\Omega$ , where  $f \in \mathbb{K}^*$  and  $\text{CYB}(r) = 0$ .

We may suppose that  $f = 1$ . Naturally, we want to classify all such  $r$  up to  $\text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{K}))$ -equivalence. Here  $\text{Ad}(G(\mathbb{K}))$  is a group, which acts naturally on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

Let  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$  denote the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Any Lie bialgebra structure  $\delta$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  can be extended to a Lie bialgebra structure  $\bar{\delta}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ .

According to [1], Lie bialgebra structures on a simple Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field are coboundaries given by non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrices. These  $r$ -matrices have been classified up to  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -equivalence and they are given in terms of admissible triples.

Let us fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the associated root system. We choose a system of generators  $e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha$  such that  $K(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}) = 1$ , for any positive root  $\alpha$ . Denote by  $\Omega_0$  the Cartan part of  $\Omega$ . Suppose also that  $H \subset \text{Ad}(G)$  is a Cartan subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Let us recall from [1, 3] that any non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix depends on certain discrete and continuous parameters. The discrete one is an admissible triple  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ , i.e. an isometry  $\tau : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  where  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$  such that for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$  there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\tau^k(\alpha) \notin \Gamma_1$ . The continuous parameter is a tensor  $r_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$  satisfying  $r_0 + r_0^{21} = \Omega_0$  and  $(\tau(\alpha) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha)(r_0) = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ . Then the associated  $r$ -matrix is given by the following formula

$$r_{BD} = r_0 + \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha \in (\text{Span} \Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} e_\alpha \wedge e_{-\tau^k(\alpha)}.$$

Now, let us consider an  $r$ -matrix corresponding to a Lie bialgebra on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ . Up to  $\text{Ad}(G(\overline{\mathbb{K}}))$ -equivalence, we have the Belavin–Drinfeld classification. We may assume that our  $r$ -matrix is of the form  $r_X = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ , where  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  and  $r_{BD}$  satisfies the system  $r + r^{21} = \Omega$  and  $\text{CYB}(r) = 0$ . The corresponding bialgebra structure is  $\delta(a) = [r_X, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$  for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

Let us take an arbitrary  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ . Then we have  $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = [\sigma(r_X), a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$  and  $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = \delta(a)$ , which imply that  $\sigma(r_X) = r_X + \lambda\Omega$ , for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Let us show that  $\lambda = 0$ . Indeed,  $\Omega = \sigma(\Omega) = \sigma(r_X) + \sigma(r_X^{21}) = r_X + r_X^{21} + 2\lambda\Omega$ . Thus  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\sigma(r_X) = r_X$ . Consequently, we get  $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(\sigma(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}$ . We recall the following

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $r$  be an  $r$ -matrix. The *centralizer*  $C(r)$  of  $r$  is the set of all  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  satisfying  $(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r) = r$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $r_{BD}$  be an  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list for  $\mathfrak{g}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Suppose that  $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(\sigma(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}$ . Then  $\sigma(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}$  and  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{BD})$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $r = r_{BD}$  which corresponds to an admissible triple  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$  and  $r_0 \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ . Denote  $Y := X^{-1}\sigma(X)$  and  $s := r - r_0$ . Then  $(\text{Ad}(Y) \otimes \text{Ad}(Y))(s + \sigma(r_0)) = s + r_0$ .

Following [7], p. 43–47, let  $F(r) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be the operator defined by  $F(r)(x) = r'K(r'', x)$ , if  $r = \sum r' \otimes r''$  and  $K$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_r^\lambda = \bigcup_{n>0} \text{Ker}(F(r) - \lambda)^n.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_r^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r' \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r^1,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_r' = \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq 0,1} \mathfrak{g}_r^\lambda.$$

In our case,  $\mathfrak{n}_- \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^0 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_+ \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_+$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}' \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^0 + \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}' = \mathfrak{b}_-$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^1 + \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}' = \mathfrak{b}_+$ , and similarly for  $s + \sigma(r_0)$ . It can be easily checked that

$$F(\text{Ad}(Y) \otimes \text{Ad}(Y))(r) = \text{Ad}(Y) \circ F(r) \circ \text{Ad}(Y^{-1}).$$

Hence  $\text{Ad}(Y)(\mathfrak{g}_{s+\sigma(r_0)}^{0,1}) = \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}^{0,1}$  and  $\text{Ad}(Y)(\mathfrak{g}_{s+\sigma(r_0)}') = \mathfrak{g}_{s+r_0}'$ . Therefore  $\text{Ad}(Y)(\mathfrak{b}_\pm) = \mathfrak{b}_\pm$  and  $\text{Ad}(Y) \in \text{Ad}(H)(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Let us analyse the equality

$$\text{Ad}(Y) \otimes \text{Ad}(Y)(s + \sigma(r_0)) = s + r_0.$$

It follows that

$$\text{Ad}(Y) \otimes \text{Ad}(Y)(s) + \sigma(r_0) = s + r_0.$$

Taking into account that  $r_0, \sigma(r_0) \in H^{\otimes 2}$  and

$$(\text{Ad}(Y) \otimes \text{Ad}(Y))(s) = \sum_{\alpha>0} e_\alpha \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}\Gamma_1)^+} \sum_{n>0} k_{\beta,n} e_\beta \wedge e_{-\tau^n(\beta)},$$

for some integers  $k_{\beta,n}$ , we deduce that  $\sigma(r_0) = r_0$ . Thus  $\sigma(r) = r$  and  $\text{Ad}(Y) \in C(r)$ . □

In conclusion,  $r_X = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$  induces a Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  if and only if  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  satisfies the condition  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{BD})$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $r_{BD}$  be a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list and  $C(r_{BD})$  its centralizer. We say that  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  is a *Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* associated to  $r_{BD}$  if  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{BD})$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ .

We denote the set of Belavin–Drinfeld cocycles associated to  $r_{BD}$  by  $Z(r_{BD})$ . This set is non-empty, always contains the identity.

**Definition 4.5.** Two cocycles  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in  $Z(r_{BD})$  are called *equivalent* if there exists  $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$  and  $C \in C(r_{BD})$  such that  $X_1 = QX_2C$ .

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD})$  denote the set of equivalence classes of cocycles from  $Z(r_{BD})$ . We call this set the *Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology* associated to the  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$ . The Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology is said to be *trivial* if all cocycles are equivalent to the identity, and *non-trivial* otherwise.

We make the following remarks:

*Remark 4.7.* Assume that  $X \in Z(r_{BD})$ . Then for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $\sigma(X) = XC$ , for some  $C \in C(r_{BD})$ . We get  $(\text{Ad}_{\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ . Consequently,  $(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$  induces a Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$ .

*Remark 4.8.* Assume that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in  $Z(r_{BD})$  are equivalent. Then  $X_1 = QX_2C$ , for some  $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$  and  $C \in C(r_{BD})$ . This implies that  $(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{BD}) = (\text{Ad}_{QX_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{QX_2})(r_{BD})$ . In other words, the  $r$ -matrices  $(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{BD})$  and  $(\text{Ad}_{X_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_2})(r_{BD})$  are gauge equivalent over  $\mathbb{K}$  via an element  $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$ .

The above remarks imply the following result.

**Proposition 4.9.** Let  $r_{BD}$  be a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix over  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ . There exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD})$  and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  with classical double  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -isomorphic to  $\delta(r_{BD})$ .

## 5. Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies for $sl(n)$

Our next goal is to compute  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ . We will first analyse the cohomology associated to the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r_{DJ}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Assume that for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Then there exist  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  such that  $X = QD$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$  and  $\sigma(X) = XD_\sigma$ , where  $D_\sigma = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ . Here  $d_i$  depend on  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma(x_{ij}) = x_{ij}d_j$ , for any  $i, j$ .

On the other hand, in each column of  $X$  there exists a nonzero element. Let us denote these elements by  $x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}$ . For  $j = 1$ ,  $\sigma(x_{i1}) = x_{i1}d_1$  and  $\sigma(x_{i1}) = x_{i1}d_1$ . These relations imply that  $\sigma(x_{i1}/x_{i1}) = x_{i1}/x_{i1}$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$  and thus  $x_{i1}/x_{i1} \in \mathbb{K}$ , for any  $i$ .

Similarly,  $x_{i2}/x_{i2} \in \mathbb{K}, \dots, x_{in}/x_{in} \in \mathbb{K}$ , for any  $i$ . Let  $Q = (k_{ij})$  be the matrix whose elements are  $k_{ij} = x_{ij}/x_{ij}$ , for any  $i$  and  $j$ .

Thus  $X = QD$ , where  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D = \text{diag}(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in})$ .

□

**Proposition 5.2.** For  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ , the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ})$  associated to  $r_{DJ}$  and to the group  $GL(n)$  is trivial.

*Proof.* It easily follows from the proof of Theorem 4.3 that the center of  $r_{DJ}$  is  $C(r_{DJ}, GL(n)) = \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Let us show that any cocycle is equivalent to the identity. Indeed, let  $X = (x_{ij})$  be a cocycle from  $Z(r_{DJ})$ , i.e.  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{DJ})$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ .

It follows that  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . According to Lemma 5.1, there exists  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  such that  $X = QD$ . This proves that  $X$  is equivalent to the identity. □

It turns out that the above result is true not only for  $r_{DJ}$ . Given an arbitrary  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$  from the Belavin–Drinfeld list, the corresponding cohomology is also trivial. First we will take a closer look to the centralizer  $C(r_{BD})$  of an  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$ . Due to Theorem 4.3, the following result holds.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $r_{BD}$  be an arbitrary  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list. Then*

$$C(r_{BD}) \subseteq \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}}).$$

*Remark 5.4.* The above result is not true for  $o(2n)$  if we consider  $O(2n)$  as the gauge group. However, one can easily show that in this case  $C(r_{DJ}, O(2n))$  contains all diagonal matrices of  $O(2n)$  (we will describe our presentation of  $O(n)$  in Section 6).

For  $sl(n)$  we are now able to give the exact description of  $C(r_{BD})$ .

**Lemma 5.5.**  *$C(r_{BD})$  consists of all diagonal matrices  $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , such that  $t_i = s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_n$ , where  $s_i \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$  satisfy the condition:  $s_i = s_j$  if  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_1$  and  $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $r_{BD}$  is associated to an admissible triple  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ , where  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ . Let  $T \in C(r_{BD})$ . According to Lemma 5.3,  $T \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ , therefore we put  $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Now we note that  $T \in C(r_{BD})$  if and only if  $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha}) = e_{\tau^k(\alpha)} \wedge e_{-\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$  and any positive integer  $k$ .

For simplicity, let us take an arbitrary  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma_1$  and suppose that  $\tau(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$ . We then get  $t_i t_{i+1}^{-1} = t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$ . Denote  $s_j := t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$  for each  $j \leq n-1$  and  $s_n = t_n$ . Then  $t_j = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_n$  and moreover  $s_i = s_j$ . □

**Theorem 5.6.** *For  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ , the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology associated to any  $r_{BD}$  is trivial. Any Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  is of the form  $\delta(a) = [r, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$ , where  $r$  is an  $r$ -matrix which is  $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ -equivalent to a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a cocycle associated to  $r_{BD}$  which is a fixed  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list. Thus  $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$  belongs to the centralizer of the  $r_{BD}$ . On the other hand, according to Lemma 5.3,  $C(r_{BD}) \subseteq \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ .

We then obtain that for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$  is diagonal. By Lemma 5.1, we have a decomposition  $X = QD$ , where  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Since  $\sigma(Q) = Q$ , we have  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = (QD)^{-1}\sigma(QD) = D^{-1}Q^{-1}Q\sigma(D) = D^{-1}\sigma(D)$ . Recall that  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{BD})$ . It follows that  $D^{-1}\sigma(D) \in C(r_{BD})$ .

Let  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ . Then  $\text{diag}(d_1^{-1}\sigma(d_1), \dots, d_n^{-1}\sigma(d_n)) \in C(r_{BD})$ . Denote  $t_i = d_i^{-1}\sigma(d_i)$  and  $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . According to Lemma 5.5,  $T \in C(r_{BD})$  if and only if  $t_i t_{i+1}^{-1} = t_j t_{j+1}^{-1}$ . Equivalently,  $\sigma(d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1}) = d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1}$ . It follows that  $d_i^{-1}d_{i+1}d_j d_{j+1}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ . Let  $s_i := d_i d_{i+1}^{-1}$  for any  $i$  and  $s_n = d_n$ . Then we get  $s_j s_i^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Let us fix a root  $\alpha_{i_0} \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_2$  and let  $\tau^j(\alpha_{i_0}) = \alpha_j$ . Then  $s_j s_{i_0}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ , for any  $j$ . Denote  $k_j := s_j s_{i_0}^{-1}$ . We have  $d_j = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{n-1} s_n = k_j k_{j+1} \dots k_n s_{i_0}^{n-j+1}$ . Let

$$K := \text{diag}(k_1 k_2 \dots k_n, k_2 \dots k_n, \dots, k_n), \quad C := \text{diag}(s_{i_0}^n, s_{i_0}^{n-1}, \dots, s_{i_0}).$$

Note that  $D = KC$  and  $K \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 5.5,  $C \in C(r_{BD})$ .

Summing up, we have obtained that if  $X$  is any cocycle associated to  $r_{BD}$ , then  $X = QD = QKC$ , with  $QK \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $C \in C(r_{BD})$ . This ends the proof. □

## 6. Belavin-Drinfeld cohomologies for orthogonal algebras

The next step in our investigation of Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies is for orthogonal algebras  $o(m)$ . We begin with the case of Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix. In what follows, we will use the following split form of the orthogonal algebra  $o(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $o(n, \mathbb{K})$ :

$$o(n) = \{A \in gl(n) : A^T S + SA = 0\}.$$

Here  $S$  is the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere. The group

$$O(n) = \{X \in GL(n) : X^T SX = S\}$$

naturally acts on  $o(n)$ . However, the center of the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix might be bigger than the Cartan subalgebra of  $O(n)$ . On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that  $C(r_{DJ}, SO(n))$  coincides with the Cartan subgroup of  $SO(n)$ . Our main result about Belavin–Drinfeld cohomologies for orthogonal algebras is the following:

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = o(m)$  and  $r_{DJ}$  be the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix. Then  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, SO(m))$  is trivial. Moreover, if  $m$  is odd then both  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, O(m))$  and  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, SO(m))$  are trivial.*

*Proof.* (i) Assume  $m = 2n$ . On  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^m$  let us fix the bilinear form

$$B(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_{m+1-i}.$$

Let  $X \in O(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  be a cocycle associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . Thus  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{DJ})$ . Recall that  $C(r_{DJ}) = \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}}) \cap SO(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Therefore  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . By Lemma 5.1, one has the decomposition  $X = QD$ , where  $Q \in GL(m, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Let us write  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_{2n})$  and denote by  $q_i$  the columns of  $Q$ . Then  $X = QD$  is equivalent to  $Q^T S Q = D^{-1} S D^{-1}$ , which in turn gives that  $B(q_i, q_{i'}) d_i d_{i'} = \delta_i^{2n+1-i'}$ . We get  $B(q_i, q_{i'}) = 0$  if  $i + i' \neq 2n + 1$  and  $B(q_i, q_{2n+1-i}) d_i d_{2n+1-i} = 1$ . Let  $k_i := B(q_i, q_{2n+1-i})$ . Since  $Q \in GL(2n, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{K}$ . Because  $k_i^{-1} = d_i d_{2n+1-i}$ , it follows that  $D = Q_1 D_1$ , where

$$Q_1 = \text{diag}(k_1^{-1}, \dots, k_n^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1 k_1, \dots, d_n k_n, d_{n+1}, \dots, d_{2n}).$$

We note that  $X = (QQ_1)D_1$ ,  $D_1 \in SO(2n)$  and hence,  $D_1 \in C(r_{DJ}, SO(2n))$ . Then, clearly  $QQ_1 \in SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$ , which proves that  $X$  is equivalent to the identity.

(ii) Assume  $m = 2n + 1$ . First, we note that if  $X \in O(m)$  is such that  $\det(X) = -1$ , then  $\det(-X) = 1$ . Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that in this case  $C(r_{DJ}, O(2n+1))$  consists of diagonal matrices. By Lemma 5.1, we may write again  $X = QD$ , where  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(m, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ .

Let  $k_i := B(q_i, q_{2n+2-i}) \in \mathbb{K}$ . Repeating the computations as in (i), one gets  $k_i^{-1} = d_i d_{2n+2-i}$ . If  $i = n + 1$ ,  $d_{n+1}^2 = k_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}$ . This implies that either  $d_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$  or  $d_{n+1} \in j\mathbb{K}$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ .

Actually we can prove that the second case is impossible.

Let us denote  $R = Q^{-1}$  and its rows by  $r_1, \dots, r_{2n+1}$ . Then  $X^T S X = S$  is equivalent to  $R S R^T = D S D$ , which in turn gives the following:  $B(r_i, r_{i'}) = 0$ , for all  $i \neq i'$ ,  $B(r_i, r_i) = d_i d_{2n+2-i}$  for all  $i$ .

Let us take an arbitrary orthogonal basis  $v_1, \dots, v_{2n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{K}^{2n+1}$  and denote  $B(v_i, v_i) = A_i$ .

The matrix  $V$  with rows  $v_i$  satisfies  $V S V^T = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1})$ . This relation implies that  $A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = (-1)^n \det(V)^2 = (i^n \det(V))^2$ . Therefore  $A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = l^2$  is a square of some  $l \in \mathbb{K}$ .



On the other hand, if  $M$  is the change of basis matrix from  $r_i$  to  $v_i$ , then

$$M^T \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_{2n+1})M = \text{diag}(d_1 d_{2n+1}, \dots, d_{n+1}^2, \dots, d_{2n+1} d_1).$$

By taking the determinant on both sides, one obtains

$$\det(M)^2 A_1 \dots A_{2n+1} = (d_1 d_{2n+1})^2 \dots (d_n d_{n+2})^2 d_{n+1}^2$$

which implies that  $d_{n+1}^2$  is a square in  $\mathbb{K}$ , and consequently,  $d_{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}$ .

Let us show that  $X$  is equivalent to the trivial cocycle. Consider

$$Q_1 = \text{diag}(k_1^{-1}, \dots, k_n^{-1}, d_{n+1}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

$$D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1 k_1, \dots, d_n k_n, 1, d_{n+2}, \dots, d_{2n+1}).$$

We have  $D = Q_1 D_1$  and  $D_1 \in O(2n+1, \mathbb{K})$ . Thus  $X = (Q Q_1) D_1$ ,  $Q Q_1 \in O(2n+1, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $D_1 \in C(r_{DJ})$ , i.e.  $X$  is equivalent to the trivial cocycle, which completes the proof of triviality of  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, O(m))$ .

Finally, the case  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, SO(2n+1))$  can be treated exactly as  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, SO(2n))$ . □

We have just seen that the Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ})$  is trivial. Regarding Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD}, SO(2n))$  for an arbitrary  $r_{BD}$ , we can give an example where this set is non-trivial. Let us denote the simple roots of  $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$  by  $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ , for  $i < n$ ,  $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$ , where  $\{\epsilon_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . Let  $\Gamma_1 = \{\alpha_{n-1}\}$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\tau(\alpha_{n-1}) = \alpha_n$ . Denote by  $r_{BD}$  the  $r$ -matrix corresponding to the triple  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$  and  $s$ , where  $s \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{h}$  satisfies  $((\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) \otimes 1)(2s) = ((\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) \otimes 1)\Omega_0$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *The centralizer  $C(r_{BD})$  consists of all diagonal matrices of the form*

$$T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \pm 1, \pm 1, t_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1}),$$

for arbitrary nonzero  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ .

*Proof.* We already know that  $C(r_{BD}, SO(2n)) \subseteq \text{diag}(2n, \mathbb{K}) \cap O(2n, \mathbb{K})$ . Let  $T \in C(r_{BD})$ , where  $T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$ . Since  $T$  commutes with  $r_0$  and  $r_{DJ}$ ,  $T \in C(r_{BD})$  if and only if  $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}) = e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}$ . One can check that  $(\text{Ad}_T \otimes \text{Ad}_T)(e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}) = t_n^{-2} e_{\alpha_n} \wedge e_{\alpha_{n-1}}$ . Therefore we get  $t_n^{-2} = 1$  and the conclusion follows. □

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(2n)$  and  $r_{BD}$  be the  $r$ -matrix corresponding to the triple  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{h}$  as above. Then  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD}, SO(2n))$  is non-trivial.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{BD}, SO(2n))$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K})$ . By the above lemma,  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \pm 1, \pm 1, t_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, t_1^{-1})$ .

On the other hand, since  $X^{-1}\sigma(X)$  is diagonal, it follows from Theorem 6.1 that there exist  $Q \in SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$  and a diagonal matrix  $D \in SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $X = QD$ . Let  $D = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_n^{-1}, \dots, s_1^{-1})$ . Since  $Q \in O(2n, \mathbb{K})$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $\sigma(Q) = Q$ . We obtain  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) = D^{-1}Q^{-1}Q\sigma(D) = D^{-1}\sigma(D)$ , which is equivalent to the following system:  $s_i^{-1}\sigma(s_i) = t_i$ , for all  $i \leq n-1$  and  $s_n^{-1}\sigma(s_n) = \pm 1$ .

Assume first that there exists  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s_n) = -s_n$ . Then  $s_n \in j\mathbb{K}$ . One can check that  $X$  is equivalent to  $X_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, j, j^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$  which is a non-trivial cocycle.

If  $\sigma(s_n) = s_n$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K})$ , then  $s_n \in \mathbb{K}$ . In this case,

$$D = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, 1, 1, s_{n-1}^{-1}, \dots, s_1^{-1}) \times \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, s_n, s_n^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1),$$

where the first matrix is in  $C(r_{BD})$  and the second in  $SO(2n, \mathbb{K})$ . This proves that  $X$  is equivalent to the identity cocycle. □

## 7. Lie bialgebra structures in Case III and twisted Belavin-Drinfeld cohomologies

Here we analyse the Lie bialgebra structures on  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K})$  for which the corresponding Drinfeld double is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ , where  $j^2 = \hbar$ . The question is to find those subalgebras  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $W \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{K}[j])$ .
- (ii)  $W = W^\perp$ , with respect to the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $Q$  given by

$$Q(f_1(\hbar) + jf_2(\hbar), g_1(\hbar) + jg_2(\hbar)) = K(f_1, g_2) + K(f_2, g_1).$$

We will restrict our discussion to  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ . We begin with the following remark. The field  $\mathbb{K}[j]$  is endowed with a conjugation. For any element  $a = f_1 + jf_2$ , its conjugate is  $\bar{a} := f_1 - jf_2$ . If  $A = A_1 + jB_1$  and  $B = A_2 + jB_2$  are two matrices in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ , then  $Q(A, B) = \text{Tr}(A_1B_2 + B_1A_2)$ , i.e. the coefficient of  $j$  in  $\text{Tr}(AB)$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $L$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  which consists of all matrices  $Z = (z_{ik})$  satisfying  $z_{ik} = \bar{z}_{n+1-i, n+1-k}$ . Then  $L$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  are isomorphic via a conjugation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $Z = (z_{ik})$  satisfies  $z_{ik} = \bar{z}_{n+1-i, n+1-k}$ . Then  $Z = S\bar{Z}S$ , where  $S$  is the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere.

Choose a matrix  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  such that  $\bar{X} = XS$ . Then  $\overline{XZX^{-1}} = XS\bar{Z}SX^{-1} = XZX^{-1}$  which implies that  $XZX^{-1} \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$ . Conversely, if  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$ , then  $Z = X^{-1}AX$  satisfies the condition  $Z = S\bar{Z}S$ .  $\square$

From now on we will identify  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  with  $L$ . Let us find a complementary subalgebra to  $L$  in  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . Let us denote by  $H$  the Cartan subalgebra of  $L$ . If we identify the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  with  $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$ , then  $H$  is a Lagrangian subspace of  $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$ . Choose a Lagrangian subspace  $H_0$  of  $\mathbb{K}^{2(n-1)}$  such that  $H_0$  has trivial intersection with  $H$ . Let  $N^+$  be the algebra of upper triangular matrices of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  with zero diagonal. Consider  $W_0 = H_0 \oplus N^+$ . We immediately obtain the following

**Lemma 7.2.** *The subalgebra  $W_0$  as above satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), where  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  is identified with  $L$  as in Lemma 7.1.*

**Proposition 7.3.** *Any Lie bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  is given by an  $r$ -matrix which satisfies  $CYB(r) = 0$  and  $r + r^{21} = j\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W_0$  be as in the above lemma. By choosing two dual bases in  $W_0$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  respectively, one can construct the corresponding  $r$ -matrix  $r_0$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ . It is easily seen that  $r_0$  satisfies the system  $CYB(r_0) = 0$  and  $r_0 + r_0^{21} = j\Omega$ .

Let us suppose that  $W$  is another subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ , satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Then the corresponding  $r$ -matrix over  $\mathbb{K}$  is obtained by choosing dual bases in  $W$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$  respectively. We have  $r + r^{21} = a\Omega$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{K}[j]$ . On the other hand, the classical double of the Lie bialgebras corresponding to  $r$  and  $r_0$  is the same. This implies that  $r$  and  $r_0$  are twists of each other and therefore  $a = j$ .  $\square$

Now, we recall that, over  $\mathbb{K}$ , all  $r$ -matrices are gauge equivalent to the ones from Belavin-Drinfeld list. It follows that there exists a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$  and  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ .

Consider an arbitrary  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K})$ . Since  $\delta$  is a cobracket on  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = \delta(a)$  and  $(\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\delta(a)) = [\sigma(r), a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a]$ .

At this point it is worth recalling that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K}) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  (see [9]). Clearly, the subgroup  $2\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{K}[j]$ . Assume that  $\sigma \in 2\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Exactly as in section 4, it follows that  $\sigma(r) = r$  and if  $r = (\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(jr_{BD})$  with  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ , then  $\sigma(X) = XD(\sigma)$ .

Since  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j]) \cong 2\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we can use the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 to obtain the following result

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Assume that for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$ ,  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Then there exists  $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  such that  $X = PD$ .*

Now let us consider the action of  $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}[j]/\mathbb{K})$ ,  $\sigma_2(a + bj) = a - bj := \overline{a + bj}$ . Our identities imply that  $\sigma_2(r) = r + \alpha\Omega$ , for some  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ . Let us show that  $\alpha = -j$ . Indeed, since  $r + r^{21} = j\Omega$ , we also have  $\sigma_2(r) + \sigma_2(r^{21}) = -j\Omega$ . Combining these relations with  $\sigma_2(r) = r + \alpha\Omega$ , we get  $\alpha = -j$  and therefore  $\sigma_2(r) = r - j\Omega = -r_{21}$ .

Recall now that  $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ . It follows that  $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  must satisfy the identity  $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)})(\sigma(r_{BD})) = r_{BD}^{21}$ . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we obtain

**Proposition 7.5.** *Any Lie bialgebra structure on  $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$  for which the classical double is  $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  is given by an  $r$ -matrix  $r = j(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)(r_{BD})$ , where  $r_{BD}$  is a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list and  $X \in GL(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  satisfies*

$$(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}^{21}$$

$$(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD},$$

for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$ ,

**Definition 7.6.** Let  $r_{BD}$  be a non-skewsymmetric  $r$ -matrix from the Belavin–Drinfeld list. We call  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  a *Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycle* associated to  $r_{BD}$  if  $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma_2(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}^{21}$  and  $(\text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X^{-1}\sigma(X)})(r_{BD}) = r_{BD}$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$ .

The set of Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycle associated to  $r_{BD}$  will be denoted by  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}(r_{BD})$ .

Now, let us restrict ourselves to the case  $r_{BD} = r_{DJ}$ . In order to continue our investigation, let us prove the following

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $S$  be the matrix with 1 on the second diagonal and zero elsewhere. Then*

$$r_{DJ}^{21} = (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)r_{DJ}.$$

*Proof.* We recall that  $r_{DJ}$  is given by the following formula:

$$r_{DJ} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0.$$

First note that  $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}) = e_{n+1-i, n+1-j} \otimes e_{n+1-j, n+1-i}$ , which is a term in  $r_{DJ}^{21}$ , if  $i > j$ . On the other hand, since  $\Omega_0$  is the Cartan part of the invariant element  $\Omega$ , we get  $(\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)\Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ . This could also be proved by using the identity  $\Omega_0 = n \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii} \otimes e_{ii} - I \otimes I$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity matrix of  $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ . Then  $r_{DJ}^{21} = (\text{Ad}_S \otimes \text{Ad}_S)r_{DJ}$  holds.  $\square$

**Remark 7.8.**  $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}(r_{DJ})$  is non-empty. Indeed, choose  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  such that  $\sigma_2(X) = XS$ . Then  $X \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}(r_{DJ})$ .

**Corollary 7.9.** *Let  $X$  be a Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycle associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . Then  $X = PD$ , where  $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_2(P) = PSD_1$ , where  $D_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a twisted cocycle, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K}[j])$ ,  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(r_{DJ})$ . Recall that  $C(r_{DJ}) = \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . By Lemma 7.4, we have  $X = PD$ , where  $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Lemma 7.7 implies that  $D_2 := S^{-1}X^{-1}\sigma_2(X) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ .

Since  $S^{-1}D^{-1}P^{-1}\sigma_2(P)\sigma_2(D) = D_2$ , we obtain  $P^{-1}\sigma_2(P) = DSD_2\sigma_2(D^{-1})$ .

Let  $D_1 := S^{-1}DSD_2\sigma_2(D^{-1}) \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . Then  $\sigma_2(P) = PSD_1$  and  $D_1 \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.10.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cocycles associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . We say that they are *equivalent* if there exists  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D \in \text{diag}(n, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$  such that  $X_1 = QX_2D$ .

*Remark 7.11.* Assume that  $X$  is a twisted cocycle associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . By Corollary 7.9,  $X = PD$  and is equivalent to the twisted cocycle  $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ .

**Definition 7.12.** Let  $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(r_{DJ})$  denote the set of equivalence classes of twisted cocycles associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . We call this set the *Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology* associated to the  $r$ -matrix  $r_{DJ}$ .

*Remark 7.13.* If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are equivalent twisted cocycles, then the corresponding  $r$ -matrices  $r_1 = j(\text{Ad}_{X_1} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_1})(r_{DJ})$  and  $r_2 = j(\text{Ad}_{X_2} \otimes \text{Ad}_{X_2})(r_{DJ})$  are gauge equivalent via  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ .

**Proposition 7.14.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(r_{DJ})$  and gauge equivalence classes of Lie bialgebra structures on  $sl(n, \mathbb{K})$  with classical double  $sl(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -isomorphic to  $\delta(r_{DJ})$ .*

Let  $m = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$ . Denote by  $J$  the matrix with elements  $a_{kk} = 1$ , for  $k \leq m$ ,  $a_{kk} = -j$  for  $k \geq m+1$ ,  $a_{k, n-k+1} = 1$ , for  $k \leq m$  and  $a_{k, n-k+1} = j$  for  $k \geq m+1$ .

**Theorem 7.15.** *For  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ , the Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology  $\overline{H}_{BD}^1(r_{DJ})$  is non-empty and consists of one element, the class of  $J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a twisted cocycle associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . By Remark 7.11,  $X$  is equivalent to a twisted cocycle  $P \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ , associated to  $r_{DJ}$ . We may therefore assume from the beginning that  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . We will prove that  $X$  and  $J$  are equivalent, i.e.  $X = QJD'$ , for some  $Q \in GL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and  $D' \in \text{diag}(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . The proof will be done by induction.

For  $n = 2$ , consider  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ j & -j \end{pmatrix}$ . Suppose  $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$  satisfies  $\overline{X} = XSD$  with  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2) \in GL(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . The identity is equivalent to the following system:  $\overline{a} = bd_1$ ,  $\overline{b} = ad_2$ ,  $\overline{c} = dd_1$ ,  $\overline{d} = cd_2$ . Assume that  $cd \neq 0$ . Let  $a/c = a' + b'j$ . Then  $b/d = a' - b'j$ . One can immediately check that  $X = QJD'$ , where  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $D' = \text{diag}(c, d) \in \text{diag}(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ .

For  $n = 3$ , consider  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ j & 0 & -j \end{pmatrix}$  and let  $X = (a_{ij}) \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}[j])$  satisfy  $\overline{X} = XSD$ , with  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . The identity is equivalent to the following system:  $\overline{a_{11}} = d_1a_{13}$ ,  $\overline{a_{21}} = d_1a_{23}$ ,  $\overline{a_{31}} = d_1a_{33}$ ,  $\overline{a_{12}} = d_2a_{12}$ ,  $\overline{a_{22}} = d_2a_{22}$ ,  $\overline{a_{32}} = d_2a_{32}$ ,  $\overline{a_{13}} = d_3a_{11}$ ,  $\overline{a_{23}} = d_3a_{21}$ ,  $\overline{a_{33}} = d_3a_{31}$ . Assume that  $a_{21}a_{22}a_{23} \neq 0$ .

Let  $a_{11}/a_{21} = b_{11} + b_{13}j$  and  $a_{31}/a_{21} = b_{31} + b_{33}j$ . Then  $a_{13}/a_{23} = b_{11} - b_{13}j$  and  $a_{33}/a_{23} = b_{31} - b_{33}j$ . On the other hand, let  $b_{12} := a_{12}/a_{22}$  and  $b_{32} := a_{32}/a_{22}$ . Note that  $b_{12} \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $b_{32} \in \mathbb{K}$ . One can immediately check that  $X = QJD'$ , where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(3, \mathbb{K}), \quad D' = \text{diag}(a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}) \in \text{diag}(3, \mathbb{K}[j]).$$

For  $n > 3$ , we proceed by induction. Let us denote our matrix  $J \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  by  $J_n$ . We are going to prove that if  $X \in GL(n, \mathbb{K}[j])$  satisfies  $\bar{X} = XSD$ , then using elementary row operations with entries from  $\mathbb{F}$  and multiplying columns by proper elements from  $\mathbb{K}[j]$  we can bring  $X$  to  $J_n$ .

We will need the following operations on a matrix

$$M = \{m_{pq}\} \in \text{Mat}(n) :$$

1.  $u_n(M) = \{m_{pq}, p, q = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\} \in \text{Mat}(n-2)$ ;
2.  $g_n(M) = \{m_{pq}\} \in \text{Mat}(n+2)$ , where  $m_{pq}$  are already defined for  $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $m_{00} = m_{n+1, n+1} = 1$  and the rest  $m_{0,a} = m_{a,0} = m_{n+1,a} = m_{a, n+1} = 0$ .

It is clear that  $u_n(X)$  satisfies the twisted cocycle condition. However, its determinant might vanish. To avoid this complication, we note that columns  $2, 3, \dots, n-1$  of  $X$  are linearly independent. Applying elementary row operations (in fact, they are permutations) we obtain a new cocycle  $X_1$ , which is equivalent to  $X$  and such that  $u_n(X_1)$  is a cocycle in  $GL(n-2, \mathbb{K}[j])$ . Then, by induction, there exist  $Q_{n-2} \in GL(n-2, \mathbb{K})$  and a diagonal matrix  $D_{n-2}$  such that

$$Q_{n-2} \cdot u_n(X_1) \cdot D_{n-2} = J_{n-2}.$$

Let us consider  $X_n = g_{n-2}(Q_{n-2}) \cdot X_1 \cdot g_{n-2}(D_{n-2})$ . Clearly,  $X_n$  is a twisted cocycle equivalent to  $X$  and  $u_n(X_n) = J_{n-2}$ .

Applying elementary row operations with entries from  $\mathbb{K}$  and multiplying by a proper diagonal matrix, we can obtain a new cocycle  $Y_n = (y_{pq})$  equivalent to  $X$  with the following properties:

1.  $u_n(Y_n) = J_{n-2}$ ;
2.  $y_{12} = y_{13} = \dots = y_{1, n-1} = 0$  and  $y_{n2} = y_{n3} = \dots = y_{n, n-1} = 0$ ;
3.  $y_{11} = y_{nn} = 1$ , here we use the fact that if  $y_{pq} = 0$ , then  $y_{p, n+1-q} = 0$ .

It follows from the cocycle condition  $\bar{Y}_n = Y_n \cdot S \cdot \text{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$  that  $h_1 = h_n = 1$  and hence,  $y_{n1} = \bar{y}_{nn}$ .

Now, we can use the first row to achieve  $y_{n1} = -y_{nn} = j$  and after that, we use the first and the last rows to annihilate  $\{y_{k1}, k = 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then the set  $\{y_{kn}, k = 2, \dots, n-1\}$  will automatically vanish. We have obtained  $J_n$  from  $X$  and thus, have proved that  $X$  is equivalent to  $J_n$ . □

**Example 7.16.** For  $\mathfrak{g} = sl(2)$ , the Belavin–Drinfeld list of non-skewsymmetric constant  $r$ -matrices consists of only one class,  $r_{DJ} = e \otimes f + \frac{1}{4}h \otimes h$ , where  $e = e_{12}$ ,  $f = e_{21}$  and  $h = e_{11} - e_{22}$ . One can easily determine the corresponding class of gauge equivalent Lie bialgebra structures on  $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$  with classical double  $sl(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$  and  $\mathbb{K}$ -isomorphic to  $\delta(r_{DJ})$ . Indeed, since any twisted cocycle is equivalent to  $J$ , it follows that a class representative is  $\delta_0 = dr_0$ , where

$$r_0 = j(\text{Ad}_J \otimes \text{Ad}_J)r_{DJ}.$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$r_0 = \frac{j\Omega}{2} + \frac{1}{4}h \wedge e + \frac{\hbar}{4}f \wedge h.$$

We conclude that any Lie bialgebra structure on  $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$  with classical double  $sl(2, \mathbb{K}[j])$  is gauge equivalent to that given by  $dr_0$ , multiplied by a constant from  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Remark 7.17.** In case  $sl(2)$ , it follows that  $r_{DJ}$  and  $r_0$ , multiplied by some constants of  $\mathbb{K}$ , provide all gauge non-equivalent Lie bialgebra structures on  $sl(2, \mathbb{K})$  of types II and III and, consequently, two families of non-isomorphic Hopf algebra structures on  $U(sl(2, \mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$ . Moreover, in some sense, these two structures exhaust all Hopf algebra structures on  $U(sl(2, \mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$  with a non-trivial Drinfeld associator (see also conjectures below).

*Remark 7.18.* The next step would be to compute the Belavin–Drinfeld twisted cohomology corresponding to an arbitrary  $r$ -matrix  $r_{BD}$ . Unlike untwisted cohomology, it might happen that even  $\overline{Z}(r_{BD})$  is empty as we will see in next publications.

## 8. Conjectures

### 8.1. Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology conjecture

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra and  $G = \text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the corresponding adjoint group, which is the connected component of the group unit element modulo its center. Let  $C(r_{BD})$  be the subgroup of elements of  $G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  which act trivially on  $r_{BD}$ .

**Definition 8.1.** We say that  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  is a *Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* associated to  $r_{BD}$  if  $X^{-1}\sigma(X) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, r_{BD})$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$ .

**Definition 8.2.** Two Belavin–Drinfeld cocycles  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *equivalent* if  $X_1 = QX_2C$ , where  $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$  and  $C \in C(r_{BD})$ .

Let us denote the set of equivalence classes by  $H_{BD}^1(r_{BD}, G)$ .

**Conjecture 8.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra and  $r_{DJ}$  the Drinfeld–Jimbo  $r$ -matrix. Then  $H_{BD}^1(r_{DJ}, G)$  is trivial.

*Remark 8.4.* We have already proved the conjecture for  $sl(n)$  and  $o(n)$ .

### 8.2. Quantization conjecture

Let  $L$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta$  a Lie bialgebra structure on  $L(\mathbb{K})$  such that  $\delta = 0 \pmod{\hbar}$ . Let  $(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$  be the corresponding quantum group. Let  $G(\mathbb{K}) = \text{Ad}(L(\mathbb{K}))$  and  $G(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) = \text{Ad}(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}))$ .

Let us define the centralizer  $C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$ . Consider the classical double  $D(L(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$ . Clearly,  $\delta$  can be extended to a Lie bialgebra structure  $\overline{\delta}$  on  $L(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  and  $D(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}), \overline{\delta})$  contains  $D(L(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$ , more precisely  $D(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}), \overline{\delta}) = D(L(\mathbb{K}), \delta) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ . The universal classical  $r$ -matrix  $r_{\delta} = \sum e_i \otimes e^i$  is the same for  $D(L(\mathbb{K}), \delta)$  and  $D(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}), \overline{\delta})$ .

**Definition 8.5.** The centralizer  $C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$  consists of all  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  such that

$$(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X^*)(r_{\delta}) = r_{\delta} + \alpha\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is an invariant element of  $D(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}), \overline{\delta})^{\otimes 2}$  and  $\text{Ad}^*$  is the coadjoint representation on  $(L(\overline{\mathbb{K}}))^*$ . Equivalently,  $(\text{Ad}_X \otimes \text{Ad}_X)\delta(\text{Ad}_X^{-1}(l)) = \delta(l)$ , for any  $l \in L$ .

**Definition 8.6.** We say that  $X \in G(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$  is a *Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* associated to  $\delta$  if  $\sigma(X) = XC$ , where  $C \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$ .

Two cocycles, associated to  $\delta$ ,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *equivalent* if  $X_1 = QX_2C$ , where  $Q \in G(\mathbb{K})$  and  $C \in C(\overline{\mathbb{K}}, \delta)$ .

The set of equivalence classes will be denoted by  $H_{BD}^1(G, \delta)$ .

Now let us define quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cohomology. The quantum group  $(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$  is defined over  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ . We extend the Hopf structures of  $U_{\hbar}(L)$  to  $U_{\hbar}(L, \mathbb{K}) = U_{\hbar}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{O}} \mathbb{K}$  and  $U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}}) = U_{\hbar}(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ . By abuse of notation,  $\Delta_{\hbar}$  denotes all three comultiplications.

**Definition 8.7.** Let  $P$  be an invertible element of  $U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}})$ . We say that it belongs to  $C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$  if, for all  $a \in U_{\hbar}(L)$ ,

$$(P \otimes P)\Delta_{\hbar}(P^{-1}aP)(P^{-1} \otimes P^{-1}) = \Delta_{\hbar}(a).$$

Denote  $F_P := (P \otimes P)\Delta_{\hbar}(P^{-1}) \in U_{\hbar}(L, \overline{\mathbb{K}})^{\otimes 2}$ .

**Definition 8.8.**  $P$  is called a *quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cocycle* if  $\sigma(P) = PC$ , for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathbb{K})$  and some  $C \in C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ .

Two quantum cocycles  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are *equivalent* if  $P_2 = QP_1C$  where  $Q$  is an invertible element of  $U_{\hbar}(L, \mathbb{K})$  and  $C \in C(U_{\hbar}(L), \Delta_{\hbar})$ .

*Remark 8.9.* On  $U_{\hbar}(L)$  consider the comultiplications

$$\Delta_{\hbar, P_1}(a) = F_{P_1} \Delta_{\hbar}(a) F_{P_1}^{-1}, \quad \Delta_{\hbar, P_2}(a) = F_{P_2} \Delta_{\hbar}(a) F_{P_2}^{-1}.$$

Clearly,

$$\Delta_{\hbar, P_2}(a) = (Q \otimes Q) \Delta_{\hbar, P_1}(Q^{-1}aQ) \cdot (Q^{-1} \otimes Q^{-1}).$$

Since  $Q \in U_{\hbar}(L(\mathbb{K}))$ , it is natural to call  $\Delta_{\hbar, P_1}$  and  $\Delta_{\hbar, P_2}$   $\mathbb{K}$ -equivalent comultiplications.

The set of equivalence classes of quantum Belavin–Drinfeld cocycles will be denoted by  $H_{q-BD}^1(\Delta_{\hbar})$ .

**Conjecture 8.10.** *There is a natural correspondence between  $H_{BD}^1(G, \delta)$  and  $H_{q-BD}^1(\Delta_{\hbar})$ .*

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to V. Kac, P. Etingof and V. Hinich for valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] Belavin A and Drinfeld V 1984 Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras *Math. Phys. Rev.* **4** 93
- [2] Benkart G and Zelmanov E 1996 Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras *Invent. math.* **126** 1
- [3] Drinfeld V G 1997 Quantum groups *Proceedings ICM (AMS, Berkeley 1996)* **1** 798
- [4] Drinfeld V G 1983 Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **268** 285
- [5] Etingof P and Kazhdan D 1996 Quantization of Lie bialgebras I *Sel. Math. (NS)* **2** 1
- [6] Etingof P and Kazhdan D 1998 Quantization of Lie bialgebras II *Sel. Math. (NS)* **4** 213
- [7] Etingof P, Schiffmann O 1988 Lectures on Quantum Groups. International Press, Cambridge
- [8] Montaner F, Stolin A, Zelmanov E 2010 Classification of Lie bialgebras over current algebras *Sel. Math. (NS)* **16** 935
- [9] Serre, J P 1979 Local fields. Springer-Verlag, New York
- [10] Stolin A 1999 Some remarks on Lie bialgebra structures on simple complex Lie algebras *Comm. Alg.* **27** (9) 4289