

Application of the interacting boson model and the interacting boson-fermion model to β decays

Nobuaki Yoshida

Faculty of Informatics, Kansai University, Takatsuki-shi, 569-1095, Japan

E-mail: yoshida@res.kutc.kansai-u.ac.jp

Abstract. The β decay is studied in the interacting boson model. The application to single- β decay is extended to two-neutrino double- β decay.

1. Introduction

The interacting boson model (IBM) [1, 2], including the interacting boson-fermion model (IBFM) [3] and the interaction boson-fermion-fermion model (IBFFM) [4], has been successful in describing the energy levels and the electromagnetic properties of various kinds of nuclei. One of the important applications is β decay [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] because β decay is related to neutrino physics. In this school, I talk about the application of IBM to the β -decay. The decays include single- β decay from odd-A nuclei as well as double β -decay from even-even nuclei [15].

2. Description of β -decay in IBM

Single- β decay between odd-even nuclei has been studied in wide regions in IBM [5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. Double- β in IBM decay was studied by Scholten and Yu [7]. Recently, Barea, Kotila and Iachello studied extensively both neutrino-less double- β decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$) and two-neutrino double- β decay ($2\nu\beta\beta$) [12]. These works use the closure approximation in treating the intermediate states in odd-odd nuclei. I talk about the work on $2\nu\beta\beta$ with Iachello [15].

For $2\nu\beta\beta$, the Gamow-Teller (GT) $M_{2\nu}^{\text{GT}}$ and the Fermi (F) matrix elements $M_{2\nu}^{\text{F}}$ are calculated by [16]

$$M_{2\nu}^{\text{GT}} = \sum_N \frac{\langle 0_F^+ || t^+ \sigma || 1_N^+ \rangle \langle 1_N^+ || t^+ \sigma || 0_1^+ \rangle}{\frac{1}{2}(Q_{\beta\beta} + 2m_e c^2) + E_N - E_I}, \quad M_{2\nu}^{\text{F}} = \sum_N \frac{\langle 0_F^+ || t^+ || 0_N^+ \rangle \langle 0_N^+ || t^+ || 0_1^+ \rangle}{\frac{1}{2}(Q_{\beta\beta} + 2m_e c^2) + E_N - E_I}, \quad (1)$$

where $t^\pm$ is the isospin increasing/decreasing operator, $\sigma = 2s$ is the Pauli spin matrix, while $Q_{\beta\beta}$ is the Q value of the double- β decay, and E_I and E_N are the energies of the initial and the intermediate states, respectively. The proton-neutron IBM is used in which the even-even core of the nucleus is treated as a system of proton bosons and neutron bosons of angular momentum zero (s -bosons) or angular momentum two (d -bosons), which represent proton pairs and neutron pairs outside the closed shell. The microscopic theory of IBM gives the images of the Fermi and



Gamow-Teller transition operators as [5]

$$t^\pm \longrightarrow O^F = \sum_j -\sqrt{2j+1} [P_\pi^{(j)} P_\nu^{(j)}]^{(0)}; \quad t^\pm \sigma \longrightarrow O^{GT} = \sum_{j'j} \eta_{j'j} [P_\pi^{(j')} P_\nu^{(j)}]^{(1)} \quad (2)$$

where $P_\rho^{(j)}$ represents the boson-fermion image of the particle-transfer operator expanded in terms of fermion $a_{jm}^\dagger$ and boson $s_{\pi,\nu}^\dagger, d_{\pi,\nu}^\dagger$ operators: $P_{\rho,m}^{(j)} = \zeta_j a_{jm}^\dagger + \sum_{j'} \zeta_{jj'} s_\rho^\dagger [\tilde{d}_\rho \times a_{j'm}^\dagger]_m^{(j)}$ with proper coefficients [17]. From these the $\log ft$ values can be calculated for β^- and β^+ /EC transitions. In many calculations, the closure approximation is adopted in which the summation in (1) over the intermediate states N is replaced by the average. In the work that I am presenting, no closure approximation is made.

In the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay from ^{128}Te to ^{128}Xe , the states in the intermediate nucleus ^{128}I are accounted for in the proton-neutron IBFFM. Some of the low-lying states are shown in Fig. 1. In the summation for the matrix elements, the states 1^+ up to 3 MeV in excitation energy




Figure 1. Energy levels in ^{128}I . The experimental data are from [18].

are included.

From ^{128}I , some of single- β decay (β^- , β^+ /EC) are experimentally observed. Table 1 shows the $\log ft$ values of electron capture (EC) from ^{128}I , while those to ^{128}Xe are shown in Table

Table 1. The $\log_{10} ft$ values of EC from ^{128}I to ^{128}Te . The data are from [18].

transition	exp	cal	quenched
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	5.049 (7)	3.836	5.15 (9)

2. Introducing a common hindrance factor: $h \approx 4.5$, which is equivalent to a quenched axial vector coupling constant: $g_{A,\text{eff},\beta} = 1.269/h = 0.28$, we obtain a reasonable agreement, as shown as “quenched” in the Tables. The $B(\text{GT})$ values from ^{128}I have been also extracted from $(^3\text{He}, t)$ reaction [19]. The related values are: ^{128}I , $B(\text{GT})_{\text{g.s.}}^{(^3\text{He}, t)} = 0.079$ (8); $\sum = 0.829$ (50). The corresponding IBM values are: $^{128}\text{I} = 1.676$, $\sum = 15.09$. if we use the same quenched value of

Table 2. The $\log_{10} ft$ values of β^- decay from ^{128}I to ^{128}Xe . The data are from [18].

transition	exp	cal	quenched
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	6.061 (5)	4.665	5.98 (9)
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$	7.748 (24)	5.262	6.57 (9)
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 0_3^+$	7.84 (6)	5.712	7.02 (9)
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	6.495 (7)	5.212	6.52 (9)
$1_1^+ \rightarrow 2_2^+$	6.754 (9)	6.446	7.76 (9)

$g_{A,\text{eff},\beta} = 0.28$, then we have $B(\text{GT})[\text{IBM-quenched}] = 0.082$, $\sum[\text{IBM-quenched}] = 0.735$. These values are in good agreement with the (^3He , t) values.

Figure 2 shows the contributions from the intermediate states in ^{128}I to the GT matrix element in Eq. (1). The single-state dominance (SSD) discussed in Refs. [13, 14], namely, the




Figure 2. The values of $\langle 1_N^+ || t^+ \sigma || 0_1^+ \rangle$ (top-left), $\langle 0_1^+ || t^+ \sigma || 1_N^+ \rangle$ (bottom-left) and $\langle 0_1^+ || t^+ \sigma || 1_N^+ \rangle \langle 1_N^+ || t^+ \sigma || 0_1^+ \rangle / (\frac{1}{2}(Q_{\beta\beta} + 2m_e c^2) + E_N - E_I)$ (top-right), for the double- β decay from the lowest 0^+ in ^{128}Te to the lowest 0^+ in ^{128}Xe through the intermediate 1^+ in ^{128}I , plotted as a function of the excitation energy.

dominance of 1_1^+ in the summation, is seen in the figures. Similar analysis has been made for the Fermi decay, as well as those from ^{130}Te . Table 3 shows the nuclear matrix elements calculated by (1) and a similar formula for the decay to a state 2^+ . The inverse half-life of $0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_F^+$ can be calculated from

$$|M_{2\nu}^{\text{calc}}| = g_A^2 \left| M_{2\nu}^{\text{GT}} - \left(\frac{g_V}{g_A} \right)^2 M_{2\nu}^{\text{F}} \right| \quad (3)$$

by multiplying the lepton phase-space integral. Table 4 shows the thus obtained nuclear matrix element as “calu”. By introducing the same quenched $g_{A,\text{eff},\beta\beta} = g_{A,\text{eff},\beta} = 0.28$ in

$$|M_{2\nu}^{\text{quenched}}| = g_{A,\text{eff},\beta\beta}^2 |M_{2\nu}^{\text{calc}}|, \quad (4)$$

while the ratio $g_V/g_A = 1/1.269$ in (3) is fixed, we obtain the values shown as “quenched” in Table 4, which are consistent with the experimental values.

Table 3. Nuclear matrix elements $M_{2\nu}^{\text{GT}}$, $M_{2\nu}^{\text{F}}$ of transitions from the ground state of $^{128,130}\text{Te}$ to some states in $^{128,130}\text{Xe}$. The sign of $M_{2\nu}^{\text{GT}}$ is chosen to be positive.

transition	$^{128}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{128}\text{Xe}$	$^{130}\text{Te} \rightarrow ^{130}\text{Xe}$
GT		
$0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	0.297	0.273
$0_1^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$	0.00718	0.00639
$0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$		0.668
F		
$0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$	-0.0353	-0.0309
$0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$		-0.112

Table 4. Two-neutrino double- β decay matrix elements, $|M_{2\nu}|$ in IBFM.

	exp	calc	quenched
^{128}Te	0.044 (6)	0.514	0.040 (8)
^{130}Te	0.031 (4)	0.470	0.037 (8)

3. Conclusion

Use of a single value of $g_{A,\text{eff},\beta} = g_{A_{\text{eff}},\beta\beta}$ appears to describe well both single- β and double- β decay in a consistent way. The question of the small value of $g_{A,\text{eff}}$ is a subject of further study.

References

- [1] Arima A and Iachello F 1975 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** p 1069
- [2] Iachello F and Arima A *The Interacting Boson Model* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Iachello F and Van Isacker P 1991 *The Interacting Boson-Fermion Model* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [4] Brant S and Paar V 1988 *Z. Phys. A* **329** p 151
- [5] Dellagiacoma F 1988 Ph. D. thesis (New Haven: Yale University)
- [6] Dellagiacoma F and Iachello F 1989 *Phys. Lett. B* **218** p 399
- [7] Scholten O and Yu Z R 1985 *Phys. Lett. B* **161** p 131
- [8] Yoshida N, Zuffi L and Brant S 2002 *Phys. Rev. C* **66** 014306
- [9] Zuffi L, Brant S and Yoshida N 2003 *Phys. Rev. C* **68** 034308
- [10] Brant S, Yoshida N and Zuffi L 2004 *Phys. Rev. C* **70** 054301
- [11] Brant S, Yoshida N and Zuffi L 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **74** 024303
- [12] Barea J and F. Iachello F 2009 *Phys. Rev. C* **79** 044301
- [13] Kotila J and Iachello F 2012 *Phys. Rev. C* **85** 034316
- [14] Barea J, Kotila J and Iachello F 2013 *Phys. Rev. C* **87** 014315
- [15] Yoshida N and Iachello F 2013 *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2013** 043D01
- [16] Tomoda T 1991 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **54** p 53
- [17] Scholten O 1980 Ph. D. thesis (The Netherlands: University of Groningen)
- [18] Kanbe K and Kitao K 2001 *Nucl. Data Sheets* **94** p 227
- [19] Puppe P, Adachi T, Akimune H, Ejiri H, Frekers D, Fujita H, Fujita Y, Fujiwara M, Ganioglu E, Grewe E -W, Hatanaka K, Hodak R, Iwamoto C, Khai N T, Lennarz A, Okamoto A, Okamura H, Povinec P P, Susoy G, Suzuki T, Tamii A, Thies J H and Yosoi M 2012 *Phys. Rev. C* **86** 044603