

Interaction between a drift and a fractional power of a Laplacian in semi-group theory

Rémi Léandre

Laboratoire de Mathématiques. Université de Franche-Comté. Route de Gray. 25030.
Besançon. FRANCE

E-mail: Remi.Leandre@univ-fcomte.fr

Abstract. We give an interaction between a drift and a fractional power of a degenerated Laplacian such that the involved semi-group has a density by using the Malliavin Calculus for boundary processes translated by ourself in semi-group theory in [1].

1. Introduction and statement of the main theorem

We consider m vector fields on R^d with bounded derivatives at each order X_1, \dots, X_m and the diffusion generator

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + 1/2 \sum_{i>0} (X_i)^2 \quad (1)$$

on R^{d+1} . We could add a drift in (1), but it is done to simplify the proof. We consider a vector field on R^d D with bounded derivatives at each order. Bismut [2] considers the generator

$$A = D - 1/2\sqrt{-2L} \quad (2)$$

For the theory of fractional powers of Laplacian, we refer to the book of Yosida [3]. Let us recall quickly its definition. L generates a semi-group P_s acting on bounded continuous functions f on R^{d+1} :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_t f(s, x) = L P_t(s, x) \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\sqrt{-2L} = C \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} (P_s - I) ds \quad (4)$$

A generates a Markovian semi-group $\exp[tA]$ acting on continuous functions f on R^{1+d} :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \exp[tA] f(s, x) = A \exp[tA] f(s, x) \quad (5)$$

There is a stochastic representation of this semi-group (See [2]). Let (B_1, \dots, B_m, z_t) be a Brownian motion on R^{m+1} starting from the origin. Let L_t be the local time associated to



z_t ([4]) and A_t its right inverse process. We introduce the stochastic differential equation in Stratonovitch sense issued from x :

$$dx_t = \sum_1^m X_i(x_t)dB_i + D(x_t)dL_t \quad (6)$$

We consider the subordinated process $(x_{A_t}, A_t + s)$. Unlike x_t , this process is not continuous but is still a Markov process. We have the main relation

$$\exp[tA]f(s, x) = E[f(A_t + s, x_{A_t})] \quad (7)$$

This paper follows the probabilistic intuition which comes from this stochastic representation of the semi-group. But in [2] and [5], only stochastic differential equations appear which explain that we can expulse the probabilistic language of [2] and [5].

The natural question is to know if the semi-group has an heat-kernel:

$$\exp[tA]f(s, x) = \int_{R^{1+d}} q_t(s, x, s', x')f(s', x')ds'dx' \quad (8)$$

This problem was solved by Bismut by using the Malliavin Calculus and a stochastic representation of it ([2]) in the elliptic case. We applied Bismut's technic to state an Hoermander theorem for fractional powers of Laplacians in [5] We have translated Malliavin Calculus of Bismut for Boundary processes in semi-group theory in [1] and state a regularity result for the semi-group associated to A in the elliptic case. We do now an Hoermander type hypothesis. We put

$$G_1Y = Y \quad (9)$$

$$G_lY = \cup_{i \geq 0} \cup_{Z \in G_{l-1}} ([Z, X_i]) \cup G_{l-1}Z \quad (10)$$

We put:

$$E_l = \cup_{j \leq l} \cup_{i > 0} (G_j X_i) \quad (11)$$

The following theorem was proved in [5] by using the Calculus of Boundary Process of Bismut. We prove it again by using the Malliavin Calculus of Bismut type in semi-group theory of [1]:

Theorem 1 Let us suppose that the uniform Hoermander's hypothesis is checked:

$$\inf_{x \in R^d, \|f\|=1} \sum_{Y \in E_{i_0}} \langle Y, f \rangle^2 + \langle [D, Y], f \rangle^2 > C > 0 \quad (12)$$

Then the heat-kernel on R^{d+1} $q_t(0, x, s, y)$ exists.

Remark:It should be possible to show that $(s, y) \rightarrow q_t(0, x, s, y)$ is smooth.

Remark:It is possible to replace (12) by the general hypothesis (3.5) of [5].

2. The main ingredient of the proof

Let $E_d = R^{1+d} \times G_d \times M_d$ where G_d denotes the set of invertible matrices on R^d and M_d the set of symmetric matrices on R^d . (s, x, U, V) is the generic element of E_d . V is called the Malliavin matrix.

On E_d we consider the vector fields:

$$\hat{D} = (0, D, DD(x)U, 0) \quad (13)$$

$$\hat{X}_i = (0, X_i, DX_i(x)U, 0) \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{Y} = (0, 0, 0, \sum_i^m \langle U^{-1} X_i, \cdot \rangle^2) \tag{15}$$

We consider the Malliavin generator \hat{L} on E_d :

$$\hat{L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + 1/2 \sum_{i=1}^m (\hat{X}_i)^2 + \hat{Y} \tag{16}$$

and the square root associated $\sqrt{-\hat{L}}$. This semi-group on this bigger space is defined according the line of (5).

We consider

$$\hat{A} = \hat{D} - 1/2 \sqrt{-2\hat{L}} \tag{17}$$

and the Malliavin semi-group $\exp[t\hat{A}]$.

An adaptation of one of main result of Léandre [1] is the following:

Theorem 2 Let us suppose that the Malliavin condition is checked: for all $p \in N$, all $s > 0$

$$\exp[t\hat{A}][V^{-p}1_{[0,s_0]}](0, x, I, 0) < \infty \tag{18}$$

then

$$\exp[tA]f(0, x) = \int_{R^{1+d}} f(s, y)q_t(s, y)dsdy \tag{19}$$

where $q_t(s, y) \geq 0$.

Remark:The proof follows the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [1]. Following the general strategy of the Malliavin Calculus, it is enough to show the theorem to get integration by parts formulas. If f is with compact support

$$|\exp[tA](df)(s, x)| \leq C\|f\|_\infty \tag{20}$$

where C depends only from the support of f and $\|f\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm of f . For that, we integrate by parts under the underlying diffusion P_s and the Brownian motions B_i as in part 3 of [1]. This allows to remove the space derivatives of f . In order to remove the time derivatives in $df(s, x)$, we integrate by parts on the subordinators A_t as it was done in part 4 of [1]. The main difference with [1] is that \hat{D} appears when we take the variation of the subordinated semi-group. It is the only change in the abstract theorem of part 5 of [1]. When we get this abstract theorem, the drift D will appear another time in the inversion of the Malliavin matrix V .

3. Inversion of the Malliavin matrix in semi-group theory

Let be

$$F_l(x, U, \xi) = \sum_{Y \in G_l} \langle U^{-1}Y(x), \xi \rangle^2 \tag{21}$$

where ξ is of modulus one. A simple adaptation of Lemma 3 of [6] shows:

Lemma 3 Let us suppose that

$$\exp[t_0\hat{A}][1_{[0,s_0]}; F_l(I, \cdot, \xi) > Ct_0^\alpha](0, x_0, U_0, 0) > C > 0 \tag{22}$$

for all $x_0 \in R^d$, $\|U_0\| < t_0^{-\epsilon}$ for a small ϵ and some positive β . Then (22) remains true on an interval of length t_0^β for another β .

Since \hat{A} is Markovian, $\exp[t\hat{A}]$ is represented by a stochastic process X_t following the same line of the representation of $\exp[tA]$ by a stochastic process. X_t is a Markov jump process. It has a Levy measure [7]. The main remark is the following: if the Levy measure of a jump process is enough concentrated in small jumps, there are a lot of small jumps. We get:

Definition 4 If f is a function from R^+ into R^+ , we consider the Levy measure associated with the Malliavin matrix where ξ is of norm 1:

$$\mu_\xi(f) = C \int_0^{s_0} \frac{ds}{s^{3/2}} \hat{P}_s[f(V'(\xi) - V(\xi))](0, x, U, V) \tag{23}$$

We recall (See Lemma 3 of [6]):

Lemma 5 Let us suppose that $F_1(x, U, \xi) \geq \rho$ for $|U| + |U^{-1}| < \rho^{-\epsilon}$ for some small ϵ . Then $\mu_\xi[z > \rho^\alpha] \geq C\rho^\beta$ for some positive α and some negative β .

The theorem will follow as in the proof of Theorem 1 (38), (39), (40) in [6] if we show the next proposition:

Proposition 6 Let us suppose that $|U| + |U^{-1}| < \rho^{-\epsilon}$ for some small ϵ . There exists α such that

$$\exp[\rho^\alpha \hat{A}][F_1(x, U, \xi) \geq \rho; 1_{[0, s_0]}](0, x, U, 0) > C > 0 \tag{24}$$

We can state an analog of Lemma 2 of [6]:

Lemma 7 Let us suppose that

$$\exp[\rho^\alpha \hat{A}][F_l(x, U, \xi) \geq \rho^\beta; 1_{[0, s_0]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0) > C > 0 \tag{25}$$

where $|U_0| + |U_0^{-1}| < \rho^{-\epsilon}$ for some small ϵ . Then (25) remains true for $l - 1$ for others α and β .

By using this lemma, it is enough to show the following proposition in order to show Proposition 6:

Proposition 8 If we take $l_0 + 2$, (25) is checked if $|U| + |U^{-1}| < \rho^{-\epsilon}$ for some small ϵ .

Proof of proposition 8 Let us suppose that

$$F_{l_0}(x_0, U_0, \xi) \leq \rho^\beta \tag{26}$$

and

$$F_{l_0+2} \leq \rho^{\beta_1} \tag{27}$$

By Hypothesis (12), we can find a $Y \in E_{l_0}$ such that

$$\langle [D, Y](x_0), \xi \rangle > C > 0 \tag{28}$$

We choose $C > 0$ to simplify the exposition and we choose $\epsilon = 0$ in order to simplify the exposition of the proof.

We remark that if $u < t$ and if $\gamma < 1/2$ that

$$\exp[u\hat{A}][1_{[t^\gamma, \infty]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \leq Ct^r \tag{29}$$

for some $r > 0$. So it is enough to estimate

$$\exp[u\hat{A}][F_{l_0}(\cdot, \cdot, \xi) > \rho^\alpha; 1_{[0, t^\gamma]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \tag{30}$$

for some well choosed α We put

$$G(x, U, \xi) = \langle U^{-1}Y, \xi \rangle > g\left(\frac{F_{l_0+2}(x, U, \xi)}{\rho^{\beta_1}}\right) \tag{31}$$

where g is a smooth function from R^+ into $[0, 1]$ equals to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and to 0 in a neighborhood of the infinity.

Let us suppose that

$$|x - x_0| + |U - U_0| < C\rho^{\beta/2} \quad (32)$$

Let us estimate for $s' \leq t^\gamma$

$$\sqrt{-\hat{L}[G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]}(s', x, U, 0) \quad (33)$$

For that we look at

$$f(s) = \hat{P}_s[G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}](s', x, U, 0) \quad (34)$$

where \hat{P}_s is the semi-group generated by \hat{L} .

$$f'(s) = \hat{P}_s[\hat{L}[G(x', U', \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]](s', x, U, 0) \quad (35)$$

We distinguish if

$$|x - x'| + |U - U'| < C\rho^{\beta/2} \quad (36)$$

or not. If yes

$$|\hat{L}[G(x', U', \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]| \leq \rho^{\beta/2} 1_{[0,t^\gamma]} \quad (37)$$

If not we remark that

$$\hat{P}_s[|x - x'| + |U - U'| \geq \rho^{\beta/2}](s', x, U, 0) \leq Cs\rho^{-\beta} \quad (38)$$

In conclusion, we deduce that

$$|\sqrt{-\hat{L}[G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]}(s', x, U, 0)| \leq \rho^{\beta/2} t^{\gamma/2} + \rho^{-\beta} \rho^{-2\beta_1} t^{\frac{3\gamma}{2}} \quad (39)$$

Let us consider the case

$$|x - x_0| + |U - U_0| > C\rho^{\beta/2} \quad (40)$$

In such a case

$$|f'(s)| \leq C\rho^{-2\beta_1} 1_{[0,t^\gamma]} \quad (41)$$

Therefore

$$|\sqrt{-\hat{L}[G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]}(s', x, U, 0)| \leq C\rho^{-\beta_1} t^{\gamma/2} 1_{[0,t^\gamma]} \quad (42)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{-\hat{L}[|U' - U_0|^2 + |x' - x_0|^2; 1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]}(s', x, U, 0)| \\ \leq A1_{[0,t^\gamma]} \int_0^{t^\gamma} ds \frac{s}{s^{3/2}} \leq Ct^{\gamma/2} 1_{[0,t^\gamma]} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

This shows that

$$\exp[u\hat{A}[|U - U_0|^2 + |x - x_0|^2; 1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \leq Cut^{\gamma/2} \quad (44)$$

Therefore

$$\exp[u\hat{A}[|U - U_0| + |x - x_0| > \rho^{\beta/2}; 1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \leq ut^{\gamma/2} \rho^{-\beta} \quad (45)$$

By putting all together, we deduce that if $\gamma < 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} |\exp[u\hat{A}][\sqrt{-\hat{L}[G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \xi)1_{[0,t^\gamma]}]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0)| \\ C(\rho^{\beta/2} t^{\gamma/2} + \rho^{-\beta} \rho^{-2\beta_1} t^{\frac{3\gamma}{2}} + ut^{\gamma/2} \rho^{-2\beta_1} \rho^{-\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Let us now estimate

$$\exp[u\hat{A}][\hat{D}G(x, U, \xi)1_{[0,t\gamma]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \quad (47)$$

We suppose first of all that

$$|x - x_0| + |U - U_0| < C\rho^{\beta/2} \quad (48)$$

In such a case we have a lower bound in $C > 0$ of the expression. If the previous inequality is not checked we have an estimate by using the previous considerations in $Cut^{\gamma/2}\rho^{-\beta_1}\rho^{-\beta}$ By using the semi-group property for $\exp[u\hat{A}]$ we deduce that if $u < t^\gamma$

$$g'(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \exp[u\hat{A}][G(., ., \xi)1_{[0,t\gamma]}](0, x_0, U_0, 0) \geq C - Ct^{3\gamma/2}\rho^{-\beta_1}\rho^{-\beta} - C(\rho^{\beta/2}t^{\gamma/2} + \rho^{-\beta}\rho^{-2\beta_1}t^{\frac{3\gamma}{2}}) \quad (49)$$

We distinguish if

$$|g(0)| < C\rho^{3\beta} \quad (50)$$

or not. If (50) is not checked, we can apply Lemma (7). If not we have $\beta^1 < \beta$. We deduce that

$$g(t) \geq Ct - C\rho^{3\beta} - C\rho^{-\beta}\rho^{-2\beta_1}t^{1+3\gamma/2} - C\rho^{\beta/2}t^{1+\gamma/2} \quad (51)$$

We choose $t = C_1\rho^\beta$ for a big C_1 . From (51), we deduce that

$$g(C_1\rho^\beta) \geq C\rho^\beta - C\rho^{-\beta}\rho^{-2\beta_1}\rho^{(\beta)(1+3\gamma/2)} \quad (52)$$

to choose γ close from $1/2$ and β_1 very small in order to deduce that

$$g(C_1\rho^\beta) \geq C\rho^\beta \quad (53)$$

We deduce that

$$\exp[C_1\rho^\beta\hat{A}][\langle U^{-1}Y(x), \xi \rangle > C\rho^\beta](0, x_0, U_0) > C > 0 \quad (54)$$

Therefore the result holds.

◇.

Remark: The principle of the proof is very simple: we establish a criterium in order to show that the Levy measure associated to $V(\xi)$ is very concentrated in small jumps. If the Levy measure is very concentrated in small jumps, there are a lot of small jumps which obliges that $V(\xi)$ to be not very small. If this criterium is not satisfied, another criterium will obliged it to be satisfied. In [6], this criterium comes from the interaction between two Levy measures. Here it come from the interaction between a Levy measure and the drift D .

References

- [1] Léandre R 2011, *Inter. Jour. of Diff. Equ.*, article ID 575383.
- [2] Bismut J.M. 1983 *Annales Scientifiques E.N.S.* **17** 507.
- [3] Yosida K 1977 *Functional analysis* (Berlin: Springer).
- [4] Ikeda N and Watanabe S 1981 *Stochastic differential equations and diffusions processes* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [5] Léandre R 1984 *Une extension du théoreme de Hoermander a divers processus de sauts* (PHD Thesis, Besançon, France: Université de Franche-Comté).
- [6] Léandre R 2012 *XII International Carpathian control conference (Podbanske)*, (IEEE ISBN 978-1-4577-1866-3; IEEE: Xplore), p 421.
- [7] Ishikawa Y 2013 *Stochastic Calculus of variations for jump processes* (Berlin: De Gruyter)