

Quantum polydisk, quantum ball, and a q -analog of Poincaré's theorem

A Yu Pirkovskii

Faculty of Mathematics, National Research University Higher School of Economics,
7 Vavilova, 117312 Moscow, Russia

E-mail: aupirkovskii@hse.ru

Abstract. The classical Poincaré theorem (1907) asserts that the polydisk \mathbb{D}^n and the ball \mathbb{B}^n in \mathbb{C}^n are not biholomorphically equivalent for $n \geq 2$. Equivalently, this means that the Fréchet algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ of holomorphic functions are not topologically isomorphic. Our goal is to prove a noncommutative version of the above result. Given $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we define two noncommutative power series algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$, which can be viewed as q -analogs of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n)$, respectively. Both $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ are the completions of the algebraic quantum affine space $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ w.r.t. certain families of seminorms. In the case where $0 < q < 1$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ admits an equivalent definition related to L. L. Vaksman's algebra $C_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ of continuous functions on the closed quantum ball. We show that both $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ can be interpreted as Fréchet algebra deformations (in a suitable sense) of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n)$, respectively. Our main result is that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ are not isomorphic if $n \geq 2$ and $|q| = 1$, but are isomorphic if $|q| \neq 1$.

1. Introduction

Noncommutative geometry is a vast and rapidly growing subject consisting of a number of different branches (noncommutative algebraic geometry, noncommutative differential geometry, noncommutative topology, noncommutative measure theory, etc.). Each of these branches has its own objects of study and its own methods. Nevertheless, all of them share the common unifying “philosophy” that some classical constructions and results known from various fields of geometry and topology can be successfully applied to noncommutative objects, which, at the first glance, have nothing to do with geometry.

The subject of the present paper can be characterized as “noncommutative complex analysis”, or “noncommutative complex analytic geometry”. At the moment, this theory is much less developed than any of the above-mentioned parts of noncommutative geometry. However, a number of important results have been obtained in this field during the last decade. First of all, let us mention the tremendous work done by L. L. Vaksman's school (see, e.g., [63, 68–71] and references therein), which eventually resulted in the creation of the general theory of quantum bounded symmetric domains. A more operator-theoretic aspect of the subject is reflected in the papers by K. R. Davidson, D. R. Pitts, E. G. Katsoulis, C. Ramsey, and O. Shalit [7–12], F. H. Szafraniec [62], and G. Popescu [42–51]. An algebraic point of view is adopted by A. Polishchuk and A. Schwarz [38–41], M. Khalkhali, G. Landi, W. D. van Suijlekom, and A. Moatadelro [19–21], E. Beggs and S. P. Smith [3], R. Ó Buachalla [28, 29]. All this shows



that noncommutative complex analysis and noncommutative complex geometry will hopefully reach their bloom period fairly soon.

Our primary goal is to prove a noncommutative version of a classical theorem by Poincaré [37], which asserts that the polydisk \mathbb{D}^n and the ball \mathbb{B}^n in \mathbb{C}^n are not biholomorphically equivalent for $n \geq 2$. This result is often mentioned in textbooks as one of the first results in function theory of several complex variables (see, e.g., [27, 54]). To understand how a noncommutative version of Poincaré's theorem should look like, let us recall O. Forster's important result [14] whose informal meaning is that all essential information about a domain of holomorphy D in \mathbb{C}^n is contained in the algebra $\mathcal{O}(D)$ of holomorphic functions on D . To be more precise, Forster's theorem states that the functor

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Stein spaces} \\ (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Fréchet algebras} \\ \mathcal{O}(X) \end{array} \right\},$$

is fully faithful. As a consequence, two domains of holomorphy D_1 and D_2 in \mathbb{C}^n are biholomorphically equivalent if and only if the algebras $\mathcal{O}(D_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}(D_2)$ are topologically isomorphic. Thus Poincaré's theorem is equivalent to the assertion that the algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ are not topologically isomorphic for $n \geq 2$.

Now we can explain our plan in more detail. Let $\mathbb{C}^\times = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. For each $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we define q -analogs of the algebras $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ to be the completions of the algebraic quantum affine space $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to certain families of seminorms. Both the resulting algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ were introduced earlier in [35] (see also [36] for a more detailed treatment of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$), but the definition of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ was given only for $0 < q < 1$. Here we propose a different approach to $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ which makes sense for all $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and which is equivalent to the approach of [35] in the case where $0 < q < 1$. To justify our definitions, we show that the Fréchet algebra families $\{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n) : q \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ and $\{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n) : q \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ can be arranged into Fréchet algebra bundles over \mathbb{C}^\times , generalizing thereby our earlier result from [35]. Our main result, i.e., a q -analog of Poincaré's theorem, states that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ are not topologically isomorphic if $n \geq 2$ and $|q| = 1$. On the other hand, we show that they are topologically isomorphic if $|q| \neq 1$.

This paper is mostly a survey. The proofs are either sketched or omitted. We plan to present the details elsewhere.

2. Preliminaries

We shall work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. All algebras are assumed to be associative and unital, and all algebra homomorphisms are assumed to be unital (i.e., to preserve identity elements). By a *Fréchet algebra* we mean a complete metrizable locally convex algebra (i.e., a topological algebra whose underlying space is a Fréchet space). A *locally m -convex algebra* [25] is a topological algebra A whose topology can be defined by a family of submultiplicative seminorms (i.e., seminorms $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$). A complete locally m -convex algebra is called an *Arens-Michael algebra* [17]. The algebra of holomorphic functions on a complex manifold X will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}(X)$. Recall that $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a Fréchet-Arens-Michael algebra with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of X .

3. Quantum affine space

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Recall that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of *regular functions on the quantum affine n -space* (see, e.g., [6]) is generated by n elements x_1, \dots, x_n subject to the relations $x_i x_j = q x_j x_i$ for all $i < j$. If $q = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is nothing but the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathcal{O}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Of course, $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is noncommutative unless $q = 1$, but the monomials $x^k = x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$) still form a basis of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ may be viewed as a “deformed” polynomial algebra.

The algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ that we are going to define will be certain completions of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. There are many nonequivalent ways to complete this algebra, but, among all the completions, there is a universal one. Recall that the *Arens-Michael envelope*, \widehat{A} , of an algebra A is the completion of A with respect to the family of all submultiplicative seminorms on A . The Arens-Michael envelope has the universal property that, for each Arens-Michael algebra B , there is a 1-1 correspondence

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{AM}}(\widehat{A}, B),$$

where Alg is the category of algebras and AM is the category of Arens-Michael algebras. Moreover, the assignment $A \mapsto \widehat{A}$ is a functor from Alg to AM , and this functor is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\text{AM} \rightarrow \text{Alg}$.

Arens-Michael envelopes were introduced by J. L. Taylor [64] under the name of “completed locally m -convex envelopes”. Now it is customary to call them “Arens-Michael envelopes”, following the terminology suggested by A. Ya. Helemskii [17]. As was observed in [33], the Arens-Michael envelope of a finitely generated algebra is a nuclear Fréchet algebra.

Example 3.1 ([65]). If $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the polynomial algebra, then $\widehat{A} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the algebra of entire functions on \mathbb{C}^n .

Example 3.2 ([34]). If $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{reg}})$ is an affine scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} , and if $A = \mathcal{O}^{\text{reg}}(X)$, then $\widehat{A} = \mathcal{O}(X_h)$, where (X_h, \mathcal{O}_{X_h}) is the complex space associated to $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{reg}})$ (cf. [16, Appendix B]).

Using these examples as a motivation, we defined [34] the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of *holomorphic functions on the quantum affine n -space* to be the Arens-Michael envelope of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This algebra can also be described explicitly as follows. Define a function $w_q: \mathbb{Z}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$w_q(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |q| \geq 1, \\ |q|^{\sum_{i < j} k_i k_j} & \text{if } |q| < 1. \end{cases}$$

As was shown in [34], we have

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n) = \left\{ a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k x^k : \|a\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| w_q(k) \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho > 0 \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ for $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. The topology on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by the norms $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ ($\rho > 0$). Moreover, each norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ is submultiplicative.

4. Quantum polydisk and quantum ball

The explicit construction (1) of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ leads naturally to the following definition.

Definition 4.1 ([35, 36]). Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and let $r > 0$. We define the *algebra of holomorphic functions on the quantum n -polydisk of radius $r \in (0, +\infty]$* by

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = \left\{ a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k x^k : \|a\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| w_q(k) \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The multiplication on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is uniquely determined by $x_i x_j = q x_j x_i$ ($i < j$).

It follows from the above discussion that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is a Fréchet-Arens-Michael algebra with respect to the topology determined by the submultiplicative norms $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ ($\rho \in (0, r)$). It is a

simple exercise to show that, if $q = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is topologically isomorphic to the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ of holomorphic functions on the polydisk

$$\mathbb{D}_r^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |z_i| < r\}.$$

If $r = \infty$, then we clearly have $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

The definition of the algebra of holomorphic functions on the quantum ball is less straightforward. It is based on a theorem by L. A. Aizenberg and B. S. Mityagin [1]. Recall that a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a *complete Reinhardt domain* if for each $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in D$ and each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) we have $(\lambda_1 z_1, \dots, \lambda_n z_n) \in D$. Clearly, the polydisk \mathbb{D}_r^n and the ball

$$\mathbb{B}_r^n = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 < r^2 \right\}$$

are complete Reinhardt domains.

Given a complete bounded Reinhardt domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, let

$$b_k(D) = \sup_{z \in D} |z^k| \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n).$$

Aizenberg and Mityagin proved that there exists a topological isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(D) \cong \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k z^k : \|f\|_s = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| b_k(D) s^{|k|} < \infty \forall s \in (0, 1) \right\}.$$

Explicitly, the above isomorphism takes each function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ to its Taylor expansion at 0.

We clearly have $b_k(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = r^{|k|}$. An explicit calculation involving Lagrange's multipliers shows that

$$b_k(\mathbb{B}_r^n) = \left(\frac{k^k}{|k|^{|k|}} \right)^{1/2} r^{|k|}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n) \cong \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k z^k : \|f\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| \left(\frac{k^k}{|k|^{|k|}} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Now we have to quantize the above algebra. To this end, it will be convenient to represent $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ in a slightly different way.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a topological isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n) \cong \left\{ f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k z^k : \|f\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| \left(\frac{k!}{|k|!} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (4)$$

The proof is a simple application of Stirling's formula.

The power series representation (4) of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is more convenient to us than (3) because there is a standard way to quantize the factorial. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$[k]_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{k-1}; \quad [k]_q! = [1]_q [2]_q \dots [k]_q.$$

It is also convenient to let $[0]_q! = 1$. Finally, given $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, we let $[k]_q! = [k_1]_q! \dots [k_n]_q!$.

Definition 4.3. The space of holomorphic functions on the quantum n -ball of radius $r \in (0, +\infty]$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n) = \left\{ a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} c_k x^k : \|a\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} |c_k| \left(\frac{[k]_{|q|-2}!}{[|k|]_{|q|-2}!} \right)^{1/2} \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (5)$$

It is immediate from the definition that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is a Fréchet space with respect to the topology determined by the norms $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ ($\rho \in (0, r)$). However, it is not obvious at all whether it is an algebra. In fact it is:

Proposition 4.4. The Fréchet space $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is an Arens-Michael algebra with respect to the multiplication uniquely determined by $x_i x_j = q x_j x_i$ ($i < j$). Moreover, each norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ given by (5) is submultiplicative.

The proof is based on a q -analog of the Chu-Vandermonde formula (see, e.g., [23, 2.1.2, Proposition 3]).

If $q = 1$, then Proposition 4.2 implies that $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$. It can also be shown that, if $r = \infty$, then $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n) = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (although this is not that obvious as in the case of the quantum polydisk algebra).

Proposition 4.5. For each $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and each $r \in (0, +\infty]$ there exist Fréchet algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{q^{-1}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n), & x_i &\mapsto x_{n-i}; \\ \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{q^{-1}}(\mathbb{B}_r^n), & x_i &\mapsto x_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

The idea of the proof of Proposition 4.5 is as follows. Clearly, there is an algebra isomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{q^{-1}}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ taking each x_i to x_{n-i} . An explicit calculation shows that τ is isometric with respect to each norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, both on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$. The rest is clear.

5. Quantum ball à la Vaksman

In the special case where $0 < q < 1$, $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is closely related to L. L. Vaksman's q -analog of $A(\mathbb{B}^n)$, the algebra of functions holomorphic on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n = \mathbb{B}_1^n$ and continuous on the closed ball $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ [70]. Let us recall how Vaksman's algebra is defined. Assume that $0 < q < 1$, and let $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the $*$ -algebra generated (as a $*$ -algebra) by n elements x_1, \dots, x_n subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j &= q x_j x_i & (i < j); \\ x_i^* x_j &= q x_j x_i^* & (i \neq j); \\ x_i^* x_i &= q^2 x_i x_i^* + (1 - q^2) \left(1 - \sum_{k>i} x_k x_k^* \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Clearly, for $q = 1$ we have $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n) = \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the algebra of polynomials in the complex coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n on \mathbb{C}^n and their complex conjugates $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n$. Observe that the subalgebra of $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ generated (as an algebra) by x_1, \dots, x_n is exactly $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. The algebra $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ was introduced by W. Pusz and S. L. Woronowicz [53], although they used different $*$ -generators a_1, \dots, a_n given by $a_i = (1 - q^2)^{-1/2} x_i^*$. Relations (6) divided by $1 - q^2$ and written in terms of the a_i 's are called the "twisted canonical commutation relations", and the algebra $A_q = \text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ defined in terms of the a_i 's is sometimes called the "quantum Weyl algebra" (see, e.g., [2, 18, 23, 72]). Note that, while $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ becomes $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $q = 1$, A_q becomes the Weyl algebra. The idea to use the generators x_i instead of the a_i 's and to consider $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as a q -analog of $\text{Pol}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is probably due to Vaksman [67]; the one-dimensional case was considered in [22]. The algebra $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ serves as a basic example in the general theory of

quantum bounded symmetric domains developed by Vaksman and his collaborators (see [69, 71] and references therein).

Now let H be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$. The algebra of bounded linear operators on H will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Following [53], for each $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ we will write $|k_1, \dots, k_n\rangle$ for e_k . As was proved by Pusz and Woronowicz [53], there exists a faithful irreducible $*$ -representation $\pi: \text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_j)e_k &= \sqrt{1 - q^2} \sqrt{[k_j + 1]_{q^2}} q^{\sum_{i>j} k_i} |k_1, \dots, k_j + 1, \dots, k_n\rangle \\ (j &= 1, \dots, n, k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n). \end{aligned}$$

The completion of $\text{Pol}_q(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to the operator norm $\|a\|_{\text{op}} = \|\pi(a)\|$ is denoted by $C_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and is called the *algebra of continuous functions on the closed quantum ball* [70]; see also [52, 53]. The closure of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ in $C_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ is denoted by $A_q(\mathbb{B}^n)$ [70]; this is a natural q -analog of the algebra $A(\mathbb{B}^n)$, which consists of those continuous functions on \mathbb{B}^n that are holomorphic on \mathbb{B}^n .

For each $\rho > 0$, let γ_ρ be the automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ uniquely determined by $\gamma_\rho(x_i) = \rho x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Define a norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho^\infty$ on $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by

$$\|a\|_\rho^\infty = \|\gamma_\rho(a)\|_{\text{op}} \quad (a \in \mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

According to Vaksman's point of view, $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ is a natural q -analog of the supremum norm over \mathbb{B}^n . Therefore our $\|\cdot\|_\rho^\infty$ is a q -analog of the supremum norm over \mathbb{B}_ρ^n . It is well known that $\mathcal{O}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$; in other words, the completion of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\rho^\infty : 0 < \rho < r\}$ of norms is topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$. This result has the following q -analog.

Theorem 5.1. *For each $q \in (0, 1)$ and each $r \in (0, +\infty]$, the completion of $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\rho^\infty : 0 < \rho < r\}$ of norms is topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$.*

Thus we see that our definition of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is consistent with the definition given in [35].

6. Free polydisk and free ball

Let $F_n = \mathbb{C}\langle \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \rangle$ denote the free algebra on n generators ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n . Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_q^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is nothing but the quotient of F_n modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\zeta_i \zeta_j - q \zeta_j \zeta_i$ ($i < j$). Our next goal is to represent the algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ in a similar way, i.e., as quotients of certain ‘‘analytic analogs’’ of F_n . This will enable us to interpret $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ as ‘‘deformations’’ (in a suitable sense) of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$, respectively.

Let us introduce some notation. For each $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $W_{n,d} = \{1, \dots, n\}^d$, and let $W_n = \bigsqcup_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_+} W_{n,d}$. Thus a typical element of W_n is a d -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ of arbitrary length $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $\alpha_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for all j . Given $\alpha \in W_{n,d} \subset W_n$, we let $|\alpha| = d$. The only element of $W_{n,0}$ will be denoted by $*$. For each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in W_n$ with $d > 0$, let $\zeta_\alpha = \zeta_{\alpha_1} \cdots \zeta_{\alpha_d} \in F_n$. It is also convenient to set $\zeta_* = 1 \in F_n$. The family $\{\zeta_\alpha : \alpha \in W_n\}$ of all words in ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n is the standard vector space basis of F_n .

Recall from [36] (see also [35]) that each family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of Arens-Michael algebras has a coproduct $\widehat{*}_{i \in I} A_i$ in the category AM of Arens-Michael algebras. The algebra $\widehat{*}_{i \in I} A_i$ is called the *Arens-Michael free product* of the A_i 's. By definition [35, 36], the *algebra of holomorphic functions on the free n -polydisk of radius $r \in (0, +\infty]$* is

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r) \widehat{*} \cdots \widehat{*} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r).$$

The algebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ can also be described more explicitly as follows. Let \mathfrak{F}_n denote the algebra of all free formal series $a = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} c_\alpha \zeta_\alpha$ (where $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$) with the obvious multiplication. In other words, $\mathfrak{F}_n = \varprojlim_d F_n/I^d$, where I is the ideal of F_n generated by ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n . Given $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in W_{n,d}$, let $s(\alpha)$ denote the cardinality of the set

$$\{i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} : \alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}\}.$$

If $d \in \{0, 1\}$, we let $s(\alpha) = d - 1$. By [36, Proposition 7.8], we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = \left\{ a = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} c_\alpha \zeta_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}_n : \|a\|_{\rho, \tau} = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} |c_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} \tau^{s(\alpha)+1} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r), \forall \tau \geq 1 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

The topology on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is given by the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\rho, \tau}$ ($\rho \in (0, r)$, $\tau \geq 1$), and the multiplication is given by concatenation. Moreover, each norm $\|\cdot\|_{\rho, \tau}$ is submultiplicative.

Another natural candidate for the algebra of holomorphic functions on the free polydisk was introduced by J. L. Taylor [65, 66]. By definition,

$$\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n) = \left\{ a = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} c_\alpha \zeta_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}_n : \|a\|_\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} |c_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n) \subset \mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$, and that the inclusion of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ into $\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is continuous. On the other hand, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n) \neq \mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ unless $n = 1$ or $r = \infty$. Note also that, if $r = \infty$, then both $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ are topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the Arens-Michael envelope of F_n .

Theorem 6.1. *Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $r \in (0, +\infty]$.*

- (i) *The algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is topologically isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ modulo the closed two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\zeta_i \zeta_j - q \zeta_j \zeta_i$ ($i < j$). Moreover, for each $\rho \in (0, r)$ and each $\tau \geq 1$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ given by (2) is equal to the quotient of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\rho, \tau}$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ given by (7).*
- (ii) *The algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is topologically isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ modulo the closed two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\zeta_i \zeta_j - q \zeta_j \zeta_i$ ($i < j$). Moreover, for each $\rho \in (0, r)$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ given by (2) is equal to the quotient of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ given by (8).*

Part (i) of Theorem 6.1, except for the equality of the norms, was proved in [36, Theorem 7.13] in the more general multiparameter case. Part (ii) is new.

To formulate a similar result for $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$, we need G. Popescu’s algebra of “holomorphic functions on the free ball” [44]. Let H be a Hilbert space, and let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded linear operators on H . Following [44], we identify T with the “row” operator acting from the Hilbert direct sum $H^n = H \oplus \dots \oplus H$ to H . Thus we have $\|T\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^*\|^{1/2}$. For each $a = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha \zeta_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}_n$, the radius of convergence $R(a) \in [0, +\infty]$ is given by the Cauchy-Hadamard-type formula

$$\frac{1}{R(a)} = \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=d} |c_\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2d}}.$$

By [44, Theorem 1.1], for each $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)^n$ such that $\|T\| < R(a)$, the series

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=d} c_\alpha T_\alpha \right) \quad (9)$$

converges in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and, moreover, $\sum_d \|\sum_{|\alpha|=d} c_\alpha T_\alpha\| < \infty$. On the other hand, if H is infinite-dimensional, then for each $R' > R(a)$ there exists $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)^n$ with $\|T\| = R'$ such that the series (9) diverges. This “free operator analog” of the classical Hadamard lemma explains why the radius of convergence is so called.

By [44, Theorem 1.4], the collection of all $a \in \mathfrak{F}_n$ such that $R(a) \geq r$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{F}_n . We denote this algebra by $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ (Popescu uses the notation $Hol(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_r^n)$), and we call it the *algebra of holomorphic functions on the free n -ball of radius r* [35]. For each $a \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$, each Hilbert space H , and each $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)^n$ with $\|T\| < r$, the sum of the series (9) is denoted by $a(T)$. The map

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H), \quad a \mapsto a(T),$$

is an algebra homomorphism.

Fix an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and, for each $\rho \in (0, r)$, define a seminorm $\|\cdot\|_\rho^P$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ by

$$\|a\|_\rho^P = \sup\{\|a(T)\| : T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^n, \|T\| \leq \rho\}.$$

As was observed in [44], $\|\cdot\|_\rho^P$ is in fact a norm on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$. This norm can be viewed as a “free operator analog” of the supremum norm over $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_r^n$. By [44, Theorem 5.6], $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is a Fréchet algebra with respect to the topology determined by the family $\{\|\cdot\|_\rho^P : \rho \in (0, r)\}$ of norms.

For our purposes, a slightly different definition of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is needed. Consider the projection $p: W_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ given by

$$p(\alpha) = (p_1(\alpha), \dots, p_n(\alpha)), \quad p_j(\alpha) = |\alpha^{-1}(j)|.$$

Proposition 6.2. *There exists a topological isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n) \cong \left\{ a = \sum_{\alpha \in W_n} c_\alpha \zeta_\alpha \in \mathfrak{F}_n : \|a\|_\rho = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in p^{-1}(k)} |c_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \rho^{|k|} < \infty \forall \rho \in (0, r) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, each norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ given by (10) is submultiplicative.

Using Proposition 6.2, we obtain the following theorem, which extends our earlier result from [35].

Theorem 6.3. *For each $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is topologically isomorphic to the quotient of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ modulo the closed two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\zeta_i \zeta_j - q \zeta_j \zeta_i$ ($i < j$). Moreover, for each $\rho \in (0, r)$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ given by (5) is equal to the quotient of the norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ given by (10).*

7. Fréchet algebra bundles

Now we can explain in which sense the algebras $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ are “deformations” of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$, respectively. Let us recall some definitions from [15] (in a slightly modified form). Suppose that X is a locally compact, Hausdorff topological space. By a *family of vector spaces* over X we mean a pair (E, p) , where E is a set and $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a surjective map, together with a vector space structure on each fiber $E_x = p^{-1}(x)$ ($x \in X$). As usual, we let

$$E \times_X E = \{(u, v) \in E \times E : p(u) = p(v)\}.$$

By a *prebundle of topological vector spaces* over X we mean a family (E, p) of vector spaces over X together with a topology on E such that p is continuous and open, the zero section $0: X \rightarrow E$ is continuous, and the operations

$$\begin{aligned} E \times_X E &\rightarrow E, & (u, v) &\mapsto u + v, \\ \mathbb{C} \times E &\rightarrow E, & (\lambda, u) &\mapsto \lambda u, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

are also continuous.

Let (E, p) be a family of vector spaces over X . By definition, a function $\|\cdot\|: E \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a *seminorm* if the restriction of $\|\cdot\|$ to each fiber is a seminorm in the usual sense. A family $\mathcal{N} = \{\|\cdot\|_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of seminorms on E is said to be *directed* if for each $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ there exist $C > 0$ and $\nu \in \Lambda$ such that $\|\cdot\|_\lambda \leq C\|\cdot\|_\nu$ and $\|\cdot\|_\mu \leq C\|\cdot\|_\nu$. If $\mathcal{N} = \{\|\cdot\|_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\mathcal{N}' = \{\|\cdot\|_\mu : \mu \in \Lambda'\}$ are two directed families of seminorms on E , then we say that \mathcal{N} is *dominated* by \mathcal{N}' (and write $\mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{N}'$) if for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist $C > 0$ and $\mu \in \Lambda'$ such that $\|\cdot\|_\lambda \leq C\|\cdot\|_\mu$. If $\mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{N}'$ and $\mathcal{N}' \prec \mathcal{N}$, then we say that \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' are *equivalent* and write $\mathcal{N} \sim \mathcal{N}'$.

Remark 7.1. A directed family $\mathcal{N} = \{\|\cdot\|_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of seminorms on E determines a uniform structure $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N})$ on E whose basis consists of all sets of the form

$$\{(u, v) \in E \times_X E : \|u - v\|_\lambda < \varepsilon\} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda, \varepsilon > 0).$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{N}'$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}')$, and consequently $\mathcal{N} \sim \mathcal{N}'$ if and only if $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}')$.

The following definition is essentially a locally convex version of the notion of a Banach bundle in the sense of J. M. G. Fell (see, e.g., [13]).

Definition 7.2. Let (E, p) be a prebundle of topological vector spaces over X , and let $\mathcal{N} = \{\|\cdot\|_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a directed family of seminorms on E . We say that \mathcal{N} is *admissible* if for each $x \in X$ the sets

$$\{u \in E : p(u) \in U, \|u\|_\lambda < \varepsilon\} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda, \varepsilon > 0, U \subseteq X \text{ is an open neighborhood of } x)$$

form a base of open neighborhoods of $0 \in E_x$. By a *locally convex uniform structure* on (E, p) we mean the equivalence class of an admissible directed family of seminorms on E . By a *locally convex bundle* over X we mean a prebundle of topological vector spaces over X together with a locally convex uniform structure. A *locally convex algebra bundle* over X is a locally convex bundle (E, p) over X together with an algebra structure on each fiber E_x such that the map

$$m_E: E \times_X E \rightarrow E, \quad (u, v) \mapsto uv,$$

is continuous. A *Fréchet algebra bundle* over X is a locally convex algebra bundle (E, p) over X such that each fiber E_x is a Fréchet algebra.

The following result is an improvement of [35, Theorem 6.1].

Theorem 7.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $r \in (0, +\infty]$.*

- (i) *There exists a Fréchet algebra bundle (D, p) over \mathbb{C}^\times such that for each $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have $D_q \cong \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$.*
- (ii) *There exists a Fréchet algebra bundle (B, p) over \mathbb{C}^\times such that for each $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ we have $B_q \cong \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$.*

In fact, we constructed the bundles (D, p) and (B, p) already in [35, Theorem 6.1], but we did not know how the fibers of (B, p) look like unless $q \in (0, 1]$. The construction is as follows. Let z denote the complex coordinate on \mathbb{C}^\times , and let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ denote the quotient of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n))$ modulo the closed two-sided ideal generated by the elements $x_i x_j - z x_j x_i$ ($i < j$). The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ is a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ -algebra in a canonical way (i.e., we have a continuous homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ to the center of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$). Given a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ -algebra R , we define the *fiber* of R over $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ to be $R_q = R/M_q R$, where $M_q = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times) : f(q) = 0\}$. Let now $E = \bigsqcup_{q \in \mathbb{C}^\times} R_q$, and let $p: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ take each R_q to q . There is a canonical way to topologize E in such a way that (E, p) becomes a Fréchet algebra bundle over \mathbb{C}^\times . Applying

this construction to $R = \mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$, we obtain a Fréchet algebra bundle (D, p) over \mathbb{C}^\times whose fibers are equal to those of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$. On the other hand, Theorem 6.1 (i) implies that the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ over $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$. We can also use $\mathcal{F}^T(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ instead of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ in the above construction; the deformation algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and hence the bundle (D, p) will then be the same. By replacing $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ with $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ in the above construction, and by using Theorem 6.3 instead of Theorem 6.1, we obtain a Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ and a Fréchet algebra bundle (B, p) whose fiber over $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$.

Remark 7.4. We hope that Theorem 7.3 may be of interest from the viewpoint of “analytic” deformation theory of associative algebras. Recall that most papers and monographs on algebraic deformation theory deal with *formal* deformations. Roughly, this means that the deformed product of two elements of an algebra A is no longer an element of A , but is a formal power series with coefficients in A . To some extent, such an approach to deformation theory is dictated by convenience considerations. However, formal deformations are not entirely satisfactory from the point of view of physics. Indeed, only those deformations are physically interesting that are represented by convergent power series [4]. In other words, one should be able to substitute concrete values of Planck’s constant into the deformed product. The first successful attempt to develop a theory satisfying this requirement was made by M. Rieffel [55–58]. In his approach, a deformation is a continuous field (or a bundle) of C^* -algebras endowed with an additional structure. See [59] for a recent survey.

For a number of reasons, it is natural to expect that there should be an approach intermediate between the formal and continuous (i.e., C^* -algebraic) deformation theories. Specifically, it is desirable to have a “differentiable” or “complex analytic” deformation theory. Perhaps the first attempt to develop such a theory was made by M. J. Pflaum and M. Schottenloher [32]. Important special cases of convergent deformed products were studied by H. Omori, Y. Maeda, N. Miyazaki, and A. Yoshioka [30, 31], and by S. Beiser, H. Römer, and S. Waldmann [4]. Quite recently, very interesting preprints by S. Beiser, G. Lechner, and S. Waldmann [5, 24] have appeared, in which a rather general approach to analytic deformation theory has been presented. On the other hand, so far nothing is known about deformations of algebras of holomorphic functions on classical domains. We do not try to give a general definition of a Fréchet algebra deformation here, but we believe that such a definition should be similar to that given by Rieffel in the C^* -algebra case. Also, we would like to note that our deformation algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{def}}(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ are not topologically free (moreover, they are not topologically projective) over $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$, so they do not fit into the framework suggested in [32].

8. A q -analog of Poincaré’s theorem

We now turn to our main question of whether $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ are topologically isomorphic. The answer is as follows.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in (0, +\infty]$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq 2$, $r < \infty$, and $|q| = 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ are not topologically isomorphic.*
- (ii) *If $|q| \neq 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ are topologically isomorphic (in fact, they are equal as power series algebras).*

The proof of part (ii) is elementary in the case where $|q| > 1$. The case where $|q| < 1$ is reduced to the previous one via Proposition 4.5.

The proof of part (i) is more involved and is based on an Arens-Michael algebra version of the joint spectral radius (see, e.g., [26]). Let A be an Arens-Michael algebra, and let $\{\|\cdot\|_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a directed defining family of submultiplicative seminorms on A . Given

an n -tuple $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we define the *joint ℓ^p -spectral radius* $r_p^A(a)$ by

$$r_p^A(a) = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in W_{n,d}} \|a_\alpha\|_\lambda^p \right)^{1/pd} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty; \tag{12}$$

$$r_\infty^A(a) = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{\alpha \in W_{n,d}} \|a_\alpha\|_\lambda \right)^{1/d}.$$

In the case of Banach algebras, the joint ℓ^∞ -spectral radius was introduced by G.-C. Rota and W. G. Strang [60]. The case $p < \infty$ was studied by A. Sołtysiak [61] for commuting n -tuples in a Banach algebra. The observation that a similar definition makes sense in the noncommutative case is probably due to V. Müller [26, C.32.2].

It is easy to show that $r_p^A(a)$ does not depend on the choice of a directed defining family of submultiplicative seminorms on A . Moreover, if $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a continuous homomorphism of Arens-Michael algebras, then for each $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ we have $r_p^B(\varphi(a)) \leq r_p^A(a)$, where $\varphi(a) = (\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_n))$. In particular, if $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a topological isomorphism, then $r_p^B(\varphi(a)) = r_p^A(a)$. In contrast to the Banach algebra case, it may happen that $r_p^A(a) = +\infty$. For example, if $A = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})$ and $z \in A$ is the complex coordinate, then an easy computation shows that $r_p^A(z) = +\infty$ for all p .

The following example is crucial for our purposes. Let $|q| = 1$, and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote the system of canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ or $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$. Then we have

$$r_2^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)}(x) = r \quad \text{and} \quad r_2^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)}(x) = r\sqrt{n}. \tag{13}$$

Now we can explain the idea of the proof of Theorem 8.1 (i). Fix $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $q \neq 1$, with $|q| = 1$. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $B = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$, and assume that $\varphi: B \rightarrow A$ is a topological isomorphism. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $f_i = \varphi(x_i)$. A tedious but elementary algebraic argument shows that there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

$$f_i = \lambda_i x_{\sigma(i)} + \text{terms of higher degree},$$

where $|\lambda_i| = 1$. Hence for each $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in W_n$ we have

$$f_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha x_{\sigma(\alpha)} + \text{terms of higher degree},$$

where $\sigma(\alpha) = (\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_d))$ and $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\alpha_1} \cdots \lambda_{\alpha_d}$. This implies that for each $\rho \in (0, r)$ we have $\|f_\alpha\|_\rho \geq \|x_{\sigma(\alpha)}\|_\rho = \|x_\alpha\|_\rho$, where $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ is the norm on $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ given by (2). Hence $r_2^A(f) \geq r_2^A(x)$. On the other hand, $r_2^A(f) = r_2^B(x)$, because φ is a topological isomorphism. Taking into account (13), we conclude that $r = r_2^B(x) \geq r_2^A(x) = r\sqrt{n}$, whence $n = 1$.

9. Open problems

We conclude the paper with a couple of open problems. The first problem was already mentioned in Section 5 and is inspired by the fact that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is defined for all $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Problem 9.1. *Is it possible to define Vaksman’s algebras $C_q(\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n)$ and $A_q(\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n)$ in the case where $q \notin (0, 1]$? If yes, then can Theorem 5.1 be extended to this case?*

The second problem is related to the notion of an HFG algebra introduced in [35, 36]. Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the Arens-Michael envelope of the free algebra F_n (see Section 6). A Fréchet algebra A is said to be *holomorphically finitely generated* (HFG for short) if A is isomorphic to a quotient of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for some n . There is also an “internal” definition given in terms of

J. L. Taylor's free functional calculus [65]. By [36, Theorem 3.22], a commutative Fréchet-Arens-Michael algebra is holomorphically finitely generated if and only if it is topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X)$ for some Stein space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) of finite embedding dimension. Together with Forster's theorem (see Section 1), this implies that the category of commutative HFG algebras is anti-equivalent to the category of Stein spaces of finite embedding dimension. There are many natural examples of noncommutative HFG algebras; see [36, Section 7]. For instance, $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{D}_r^n)$ are HFG algebras. By Theorem 8.1 (ii), $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ is an HFG algebra provided that $|q| \neq 1$.

Problem 9.2. *Is $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{B}_r^n)$ an HFG algebra in the case where $|q| = 1$, $q \neq 1$?*

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 12-01-00577). The author thanks A. Ya. Helemskii and D. Proskurin for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Aizenberg L A and Mityagin B S 1960 Spaces of analytic functions in polycircular domains (in Russian) *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **1** 153–170
- [2] Alev J and Dumas F 1994 Sur le corps des fractions de certaines algèbres quantiques *J Algebra* **170** no 1 229–265
- [3] Beggs E and Smith S P 2012 Noncommutative complex differential geometry *Preprint* arXiv:1209.3595 [math.AG]
- [4] Beiser S, Römer H and Waldmann S 2007 Convergence of the Wick star product *Comm Math Phys* **272** no 1 25–52
- [5] Beiser S and Waldmann S 2011 Fréchet algebraic deformation quantization of the Poincaré disk *Preprint* arXiv:1108.2004 [math.QA]
- [6] Brown K A and Goodearl K R 2002 *Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups* (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag)
- [7] Davidson K R and Pitts D R 1998 The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras *Math Ann* **311** no 2 275–303.
- [8] Davidson K R 2001 Free semigroup algebras. A survey *Systems, Approximation, Singular Integral Operators, and Related Topics (Bordeaux 2000), Oper Theory Adv Appl* **129** (Basel: Birkhäuser) pp 209–240
- [9] Davidson K R and Popescu G 1998 Noncommutative disc algebras for semigroups *Canad J Math* **50** no 2 290–311
- [10] Davidson K R and Katsoulis E G 2010 Bihomomorphisms of the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and semicrossed products *Operator theory live, Theta Ser Adv Math* **12** (Theta: Bucharest) pp 69–80
- [11] Davidson K R and Katsoulis E G 2010 Dilating covariant representations of the non-commutative disc algebras. *J Funct Anal* **259** no 4 817–831
- [12] Davidson K R, Ramsey C and Shalit O 2011 The isomorphism problem for some universal operator algebras *Adv Math* **228** no 1 167–218
- [13] Fell J M G and Doran R S 1988 *Representations of *-Algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach *-Algebraic Bundles. Vol 1. Basic Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras* (Boston, MA: Academic Press)
- [14] Forster O 1967 Zur Theorie der Steinschen Algebren und Moduln *Math Z* **97** 376–405
- [15] Gierz G 1982 *Bundles of Topological Vector Spaces and Their Duality* (Berlin-New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [16] Hartshorne R 1977 *Algebraic Geometry* (New York–Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag)
- [17] Helemskii A Ya 1993 *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras* (New York: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press)
- [18] Jordan D A 1995 A simple localization of the quantized Weyl algebra *J Algebra* **174** no 1 267–281
- [19] Khalkhali M and Moatadelo A 2011 The homogeneous coordinate ring of the quantum projective plane *J Geom Phys* **61** no 1 276–289
- [20] Khalkhali M, Landi G and van Suijlekom W D 2011 Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line *Int Math Res Not IMRN* **4** 851–884
- [21] Khalkhali M and Moatadelo A 2011 Noncommutative complex geometry of the quantum projective space *J Geom Phys* **61** no 12 2436–2452
- [22] Klimek S and Lesniewski A 1993 A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc *J Funct Anal* **115** no 1 1–23
- [23] Klimyk A and Schmüdgen K 1997 *Quantum Groups and Their Representations* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)

- [24] Lechner G and Waldmann S 2011 Strict deformation quantization of locally convex algebras and modules *Preprint* arXiv:1109.5950 [math.QA]
- [25] Michael E A 1952 Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras *Mem Amer Math Soc* **11**
- [26] Müller V 2003 *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators and Spectral Systems in Banach Algebras* (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag)
- [27] Narasimhan R 1971 *Several Complex Variables* (Chicago and London: The Univ. of Chicago Press)
- [28] Ó Buachalla R 2012 Quantum bundle description of quantum projective spaces *Comm Math Phys* **316** no 2 345–373
- [29] Ó Buachalla R 2011 Noncommutative complex structures on quantum homogeneous spaces *Preprint* arXiv:1108.2374 [math.QA]
- [30] Omori H, Maeda Y, Miyazaki N and Yoshioka A 2000 Deformation quantization of Fréchet-Poisson algebras: convergence of the Moyal product *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol II (Dijon), Math Phys Stud* **22** (Dordrecht: Kluwer Acad Publ) pp 233–245
- [31] Omori H, Maeda Y, Miyazaki N and Yoshioka A 2007 Orderings and non-formal deformation quantization *Lett Math Phys* **82** no 2–3 153–175
- [32] Pflaum M J and Schottenloher M 1998 Holomorphic deformation of Hopf algebras and applications to quantum groups *J Geom Phys* **28** no 1–2 31–44
- [33] Pirkovskii A Yu 2006 Stably flat completions of universal enveloping algebras *Dissertationes Math (Rozprawy Math)* **441** 1–60
- [34] Pirkovskii A Yu 2008 Arens-Michael envelopes, homological epimorphisms, and relatively quasi-free algebras *Trans Moscow Math Soc* 27–104
- [35] Pirkovskii A Yu 2014 Noncommutative analogues of Stein spaces of finite embedding dimension *Algebraic Methods in Functional Analysis, Oper Theory Adv Appl* **233** (Basel: Birkhäuser/Springer) (*Preprint* arXiv:1204.4936 [math.FA])
- [36] Pirkovskii A Yu 2014 Holomorphically finitely generated algebras *J Noncomm Geometry*, to appear (*Preprint* arXiv:1304.1991 [math.FA])
- [37] Poincaré H 1907 Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme *Rend Circ Mat Palermo* **23** 185–220
- [38] Polishchuk A and Schwarz A 2003 Categories of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori *Comm Math Phys* **236** no 1 135–159
- [39] Polishchuk A 2004 Classification of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori *Doc Math* **9** 163–181
- [40] Polishchuk A 2006 Analogues of the exponential map associated with complex structures on noncommutative two-tori *Pacific J Math* **226** no 1 153–178
- [41] Polishchuk A 2007 Quasicoherent sheaves on complex noncommutative two-tori *Selecta Math (N.S.)* **13** no 1 137–173
- [42] Popescu G 1996 Non-commutative disc algebras and their representations *Proc Amer Math Soc* **124** no 7 2137–2148
- [43] Popescu G 1998 Noncommutative joint dilations and free product operator algebras *Pacific J Math* **186** no 1 111–140
- [44] Popescu G 2006 Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ *J Funct Anal* **241** no 1 268–333
- [45] Popescu G 2007 Operator theory on noncommutative varieties. II *Proc Amer Math Soc* **135** no 7 2151–2164
- [46] Popescu G 2010 Free holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ *J Reine Angew Math* **638** 119–168
- [47] Popescu G 2010 Operator theory on noncommutative domains *Mem Amer Math Soc* **205** no 964
- [48] Popescu G 2011 Free biholomorphic classification of noncommutative domains *Int Math Res Not IMRN* **4** 784–850
- [49] Popescu G 2011 Joint similarity to operators in noncommutative varieties *Proc Lond Math Soc (3)* **103** no 2 331–370
- [50] Popescu G 2012 Free biholomorphic functions and operator model theory *J Funct Anal* **262** no 7 3240–3308
- [51] Popescu G 2013 Free biholomorphic functions and operator model theory, II *J Funct Anal* **265** no 5 786–836
- [52] Proskurin D and Samoilenko Yu 2002 Stability of the C^* -algebra associated with twisted CCR *Algebr Represent Theory* **5** no 4 433–444
- [53] Puszt W and Woronowicz S L 1989 Twisted second quantization *Rep Math Phys* **27** no 2 231–257
- [54] Range R M 1986 *Holomorphic Functions and Integral Representations in Several Complex Variables* (New York: Springer-Verlag)
- [55] Rieffel M A 1989 Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds *Comm Math Phys* **122** no 4 531–562
- [56] Rieffel M A 1990 Deformation quantization and operator algebras *Operator Theory: Operator Algebras and Applications, Part 1 (Durham, NH, 1988), Proc Sympos Pure Math* **51** Part 1 (Providence, RI: Amer

- Math Soc) pp 411–423
- [57] Rieffel M A 1990 Lie group convolution algebras as deformation quantizations of linear Poisson structures *Amer J Math* **112** no 4 657–685
- [58] Rieffel M A 1993 Deformation quantization for actions of \mathbb{R}^d *Mem Amer Math Soc* **506**
- [59] Rieffel M A 1998 Questions on quantization *Operator Algebras and Operator Theory (Shanghai, 1997)*, *Contemp Math* **228** (Providence, RI: Amer Math Soc) pp 315–326
- [60] Rota G-C and Strang W G 1960 A note on the joint spectral radius *Indag Math* **22** 379–381
- [61] Soltysiak A 1993 On the joint spectral radii of commuting Banach algebra elements *Studia Math* **105** 93–99
- [62] Szafraniec F H 2003 Multipliers in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space, subnormality and noncommutative complex analysis *Reproducing Kernel Spaces and Applications, Oper Theory Adv Appl* **143** (Basel: Birkhäuser) pp 313–331
- [63] Sinel'shchikov S and Vaksman L 1998 On q -analogues of bounded symmetric domains and Dolbeault complexes *Math Phys Anal Geom* **1** no 1 75–100
- [64] Taylor J L 1972 Homology and cohomology for topological algebras *Adv Math* **9** 137–182
- [65] Taylor J L 1972 A general framework for a multi-operator functional calculus *Adv Math* **9** 183–252
- [66] Taylor J L 1973 Functions of several noncommuting variables *Bull Amer Math Soc* **79** 1–34
- [67] Vaksman L L 1995 Integral intertwining operators and quantum homogeneous spaces *Theoret and Math Phys* **105** no 3 1476–1483
- [68] Vaksman L L and Shklyarov D L 1997 Integral representations of functions in the quantum disk. I (in Russian) *Mat Fiz Anal Geom* **4** no 3 286–308
- [69] *Lectures on q -analogues of Cartan domains and associated Harish-Chandra modules* ed L Vaksman, Kharkov, Ukraine, 2001 (*Preprint arXiv:math.QA/0109198*)
- [70] Vaksman L 2003 The maximum principle for “holomorphic functions” in the quantum ball (in Russian) *Mat Fiz Anal Geom* **10** no 1 12–28
- [71] Vaksman L L 2010 *Quantum Bounded Symmetric Domains* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [72] Wess J and Zumino B 1990 Covariant differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane *Recent Advances in Field Theory (Annecy-le-Vieux, 1990)* *Nuclear Phys B Proc Suppl* **18B** 302–312