

Carrying capacity of water resources in Bandung Basin

D Marganingrum¹

¹ Pusat Penelitian Geoteknologi-LIPI

E-mail: dyah001@lipi.go.id

Abstract. The concept of carrying capacity is widely used in various sectors as a management tool for sustainable development processes. This idea has also been applied in watershed or basin scale. Bandung Basin is the upstream of Citarum watershed known as one of the national strategic areas. This area has developed into a metropolitan area loaded with various environmental problems. Therefore, research that is related to environmental carrying capacity in this area becomes a strategic issue. However, research on environmental carrying capacity that has been done in this area is still partial either in water balance terminology, land suitability, ecological footprint, or balance of supply and demand of resources. This paper describes the application of the concept of integrated environmental carrying capacity in order to overcome the increasing complexity and dynamic environmental problems. The sector that becomes the focus of attention is the issue of water resources. The approach method to be carried out is to combine the concept of maximum balance and system dynamics. The dynamics of the proposed system is the ecological dynamics and population that cannot be separated from one another as a unity of the Bandung Basin ecosystem.

1. Introduction

The Bandung basin has been appointed as one of the National Strategic Areas (KSN) with a width of more or less 2,283 km² and it covers five administrative areas. The appointment of this region as KSN has implications for the escalation in the number of people who follow the global trend which is marked by the flow of urbanization. The calculation results show that the population in this area is predicted to reach 10.39 million people by 2020 [1]. The population increment means an increase in the availability of adequate water in quantity as well as quality though the amount of water as a resource relatively remains and even tends to decrease due to pollution. The existence of clean water in this area can be said as the blue gold for the survival of human life [2]. To achieve the balance of life and sustainable development, it is necessary to determine the carrying capacity of water resources in this area. This paper describes the development of the application of the carrying capacity concept and the method of carrying capacity assessment in Bandung Basin. The application of study on the carrying capacity water resource concept in this paper is presented as an integrated manner with other resources in an overall environmental unity [3].

2. The development of global carrying capacity concept

The concept of carrying capacity appeared when humans recognized that the availability of the limited resources must be able to meet the increase of human needs [4,5,6,7,8,3]. Taiwo and Feyisara (2017) define the terminology of carrying capacity as simply as the maximum number of populations that can be sustainably supported by the ecosystems in a certain area [9]. Sustainability means that there is a supportive and assimilative capacity in the concept of carrying capacity [10]. Therefore, the carrying capacity refers to the definition of extreme limits. When the limit is exceeded (an imbalance between



supply and demand occurs), undesirable negative effects may occur, such as the extinction of a particular species or organism, changes or environmental damage, the breakdown of a food chain, and so on.

At first, the terminology of carrying capacity emerged from the mechanical which was then initially used in genetic theory. With the increase of population and the reduce of agricultural land and food crises, the concept of carrying capacity gradually changes and is used to solve problems that arise in the development of humanity. Furthermore, the application of the concept of carrying capacity develops to various sectors such as environment, ecology, regional, resources and environment, tourism, fishery, and marine. Even today, the application of the concept of carrying capacity has been used widely as a management tool in various sectors [3,11,12,13,14,15,16]. The development of the carrying capacity concept in various sectors shows that this concept can be seen from various perspectives which general purpose is to anticipate the occurrence of overshooting or achieving a balance of a habitat in the objective sector.

To anticipate the occurrence of overshooting conditions, many researchers developed various methods of carrying capacity valuation [3,7,17,18,19]. Until now, however, the evaluation method on the results of the carrying capacity assessment has not been sufficient, so there is still a need to look for evaluation methods and a clear calculation of the concept of carrying capacity [3,20,21]. Taiwo and Feyisara (2017) stated that the carrying capacity of an area is not static [9]. The dynamics of carrying capacity indicate a relationship between humans and their environment. The relationship with different species varies and changes over time due to varieties of factors. These factors include population size, natural resources, natural assimilation, technology, and population resistance against environmental changes. Since the components within an ecosystem can change over time, the development of a carrying capacity assessment method needs to be directed to a dynamic system.

3. Carrying capacity of water resources

The water sector becomes strategic enough to be studied, not only as a national issue but also a global issue. The research results show that the availability of water sources that can meet human needs in quantity and quality are more and more difficult to find. Moreover, many regions of the world wide have experienced droughts and water scarcity [22,23,24,25]. Since water resources are a vital need for all humans and other living beings, this paper will examine the development of the concept of carrying capacity in the water sector.

The foundation of the carrying capacity concept in Indonesia is The Law Number 26 Year 2007 on Spatial Planning. Article 34, paragraph 4 stated that one of the foundations in the utilization of space is the compatibility between the carrying capacity and the capacity of the environment. Furthermore, the Regulation of the State Minister of Environment Number 17 Year 2009 stated that the scope of environmental carrying capacity in the determination of the spatial planning includes: 1) determination of land capability to allocate space utilization; 2) comparison between land availability and demand, and 3) comparison between water availability and demand.

The Ministry of Public Works is one of the institutions that often utilizes the concept of carrying capacity in a spatial study in Indonesia by using ecological footprint method and ability and suitability unit of land (SKL). The ecological footprint method in the concept of carrying capacity is the maximum number of populations that can be supported by a particular land area. Rusli (2009) defines ecological footprint as a resource management tool that can measure the amount of land and water needed by the human population to produce the resources they consume, and absorb waste from the utilization of these resources using certain technologies [8]. Kustiwan also defines ecological footprint as an indicator of sustainability and measuring instrument of the impact of human activities on the natural environment [26]. In this method, there are two aspects of ecological footprint, the ecological footprint of demand (EF Consumption) and ecological footprint of supply (Biocapacity). Ecological footprint of demand is calculated from the wide area of production land that can ecologically sustain a certain population. The ecologically productive land in question is cropland, graze land, forest land, fishing ground, carbon uptake land, and built-up land while ecological footprint of supply illustrate the

analysis to determine the availability of water and the direct utilization of raw water without considering the natural assimilation. Because of the two regulations mentioned above, many researchers conduct a study of the carrying capacity of water resources to only limitedly compare water availability and demand [30,31]. Therefore, the development of methods for enhancing the depth of carrying capacity analysis, particularly for comprehensive water resources, is still open to be developed to be stronger and deeper.

Lihong conducted a study of the carrying capacity of water resources in Tarim watershed (Xinjiang, China), [17]. They explored a comprehensive method for evaluating the carrying capacity of water resources using the fuzzy theory approach. Data used in this case include population, the width of land area, annual average rainfall, and total availability of water resources (water supply). Xiufeng et al. predicted the carrying capacity of water resources in Jining City using non-linear dynamic models [32]. Prediction was based on the sustainable socio-economic development theory for the next 20 years. Wang and Xu applied the method of dynamic succession assessment in the carrying capacity of water resources through PSR (Pressure State Response) as a framework to build a system which was represented in three aspects of WEPCC (Water Environment State Carrying Capacity), WESC (Water Environment State Carrying Capacity), and WERCC (Water Environment Response Carrying Capacity) [33]. The dynamic succession of these three aspects was assessed using a combination of fuzzy variable pattern and analytical hierarchies process (AHP) methods. Through spatial and temporal analysis, these study results can be used to compare the carrying capacity of the 31 provinces in China quite well.

Starting from some previous research and application development concept of carrying capacity of water resources in Indonesia, this paper tries to give an approach concept of water resources carrying capacity with dynamic system approach through the study case of Bandung Basin. Dynamic system is a methodology to understand certain complex problems. Dynamic system method tries to examine the problem and its characteristics. Then based on understanding its characteristics, the policy draft to control and improve the characteristics of the system in the desired direction will be executed [34]. Dynamic systems can also provide a unique mathematical framework to integrate the physical and social processes in the management of water resources [35]. This approach was chosen by reason that water resources and population variations change over time and space. Factor of population dynamics, directly or indirectly, will give an influence to the other carrying capacity factors. Therefore, in addition to the maximum population number as a boundary, the ecological dynamics in an ecosystem as a whole will provide an assessment of the carrying capacity of water resources within a single unified ecosystem system. Figure 1 shows a draft model framework that will be proposed to be assessed in evaluating the carrying capacity of water resources in the Bandung Basin using dynamic system methodology approach.

References

- [1] Marganingrum, D 2013 *Manajemen Sumber Daya Air Terpadu "Waduk Saguling" dalam Rangka Pengembangan Air Baku SPAM Regional KSN Cekungan Bandung* Disertasi-Program Studi Teknik Lingkungan-FTSL ITB
- [2] Jusuf, G 2015 *Blue Gold: Emas Biru Sumber Nyawa Kehidupan* Penerbit: Berita Nusantara, Jakarta Selatan
- [3] Tian Y N and Wang, H Q 2013 Progress of Resources and Environmental Carrying Capacity *Journal of Clean Energy Technologies* **1** 2 April 2013
- [4] Cohen, J E Population Growth and Earth's Human Carrying Capacity *Science New Series* **269** Issue 5222 (Jul 21, 1995) 341-346
- [5] Seidl, I and Tisdell, C 1998 Carrying Capacity Reconsidered: From Malthus' Population Theory to Cultural Carrying Capacity *Economic Issue* **4** Department of Economics The University of Queensland
- [6] Hopfenberg, R 2003 Human Carrying Capacity Is Determined by Food Availability *Population and Environment* **25** 2 109-117 November 2003

- [7] Hui, C 2005 Letter to the Editor *Ecological Modelling* **192** 317–320
- [8] Rusli, S, Widiono, S and Indriana, H 2009 Tekanan Penduduk, Overshoot Ekologi Pulau Jawa, dan Masa Pemulihannya. *Jurnal Teras Terasdisiplin Sosiologi Komunikasi, dan Ekologi Manusia*, Vol. **3**, No. 01, hal: 77-112
- [9] Taiwo, F J and Feyisara, O O 2017 Understanding the Concept of Carrying Capacity and Its Relevance to Urban and Regional Planning *Journal Environmental Studies*, Vol. **3**, Issue:1, p: 1-5
- [10] Kartodihardjo, H 2011 Konsep Daya Dukung dan Daya Tampung Lingkungan Hidup dalam kerangka Perlindungan dan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup *Pertemuan Pembahasan Konsep Kerangka Peraturan dan Kerangka Implementasi Daya Dukung dan Daya Tampung Lingkungan Hidup* - Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup, Twin Plaza, Jakarta 25-26 Mei 2011
- [11] Castellani, VS, Sala and Pitea, D 2007 A New Method for Tourism Carrying Capacity Assessment. WIT Press WIT, *Transactions on Ecology and the Environment* Vol **106**, p: 365-374
- [12] Reck, G, Casafont, M, Oviedo, M, Bustos, W and Naula, E 2008 Carrying Capacity vs. Acceptable Visitor Load: Semantics or a Substantial Change in Tourism Management *Galapagos Report, 2007-2008*.
- [13] Reigner, N, Kiser, B, Lawson, S and Manning, R 2012 Using Transportation to Manage Recreation Carrying Capacity *The George Wright Forum* **29** 3 322–337.
- [14] Aylion, D, Almodovar, A, Nicola, G G, Parra, I and Elvira, B 2012 Modelling carrying capacity dynamics for the conservation and management of territorial salmonids *Fisheries Research* **134-136** (2012) 95-103
- [15] Byron, CJ and Costa-Pierce, B A 2013 *Carrying Capacity Tools for Use in The Implementation of an Ecosystems Approach to Aquaculture* Expert Workshop 6–8 December 2010. Stirling, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture *Proceedings* No. **21**. Rome, FAO. 282 pp.
- [16] Woodworth-Jefcoats, PA, Polovina, J J and Drazen, J C 2016 Climate Change is Projected to Reduce Carrying Capacity and Redistribute Species Richness in North Pacific Pelagic Marine Ecosystems *Global Change Biology* p: 1-9
- [17] Lihong, M, Yaning, C, Weihong, L and Ruifeng, Z 2009 Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation Model for Water Resources Carrying Capacity in Tarim River Basin, Xinjiang, China. *Chin. Geogra. Sci.* 2009 **19** (1) 089–095
- [18] Prato, T 2001 Modeling Carrying Capacity for National Parks *Ecological Economics* **39** (2001) 321–331
- [19] Schroll, H, Andersen, J and Kjærgård, B 2012 Carrying Capacity: An Approach to Local Spatial Planning in Indonesia *The Journal of Transdisciplinary Environmental Studies* **11** 1.
- [20] Novita, MZ, Soewardi, Kadarwan and Pratiwi, N T M 2015 Aquatic Carrying Capacity Assessment for Extensive Fishing (Case Study: Cilala Lake, Bogor Regency) *Jurnal Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia (JIPI)* April 2015 **20** (1) 66 71.
- [21] Andersona C, Jovanoskia Z, Towersa, I N and Sidhu, H S 2015 A Simple Population Model with A Stochastic Carrying Capacity *21st International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, Gold Coast, Australia*, 29 Nov to 4 Dec 2015 www.mssanz.org.au/modsim 2015
- [22] Brown, A and Matlock, MD 2011 *A Review of Water Scarcity Indices and Methodologies* White Paper #106, April 2011 by The Sustainability Consortium
- [23] Jaeger, W K, Plantinga, A J, Chang H, Dello, K, Grant, G, Hulse D, McDonnell, JJ, Lancaster, S, Moradkhani, H, Morzillo, A T, Mote P, Nolin, A, Santelmann, M and Wu, J 2013 Toward a formal definition of water scarcity in natural-human System, *WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH* VOL. **49**, 4506–4517
- [24] Gosling, SN and Arnell, NW 2016 A global assessment of the impact of climate change on water scarcity *Climatic Change* Vol. **134** 371-385

- [25] Hoekstra, AY, Chapagain, A K, and Oel, P R. van 2017 Advancing Water Footprint Assessment Research: Challenges in Monitoring Progress towards Sustainable Development Goal 6, *Water* 2017 **9**, 43 1-9
- [26] Kustiawan, I 2012 Implikasi Perhitungan Telapak Ekologis Terhadap Perumusan Kebijakan Penataan Ruang di KSN Perkotaan Cekungan Bandung *Workshop Terbatas Daya Dukung Lingkungan pada KSN Perkotaan Cekungan Bandung*, Hotel Savoy Homan, Bandung-19 Januari 2012.
- [27] Kitzes, J, A. Galli, S M. Rizk, A. Reed and M. Wackernagel 2008 *Guidebook to the National Footprint Accounts: 2008 Edition* Oakland: Global Footprint Network.
- [28] Ewing, B and Kementerian PU 2009 *Ecological Footprint as a tool in Regional Planning for Sustainable Development in Indonesia*. Global Footprint Network.
- [29] Dirjen Spatial Planning 2008 Application Modul: Analysis Technical Guidance for Phisic-Environmental Aspect, Economic, and Social-Cultur in The Spatial Planning Formation
- [30] Admadhani D N, Haji, ATS and Susanawati, LD 2014 Analisis Ketersediaan dan Kebutuhan Air Untuk Daya Dukung Lingkungan *Jurnal Sumber Daya Alam dan Lingkungan* **1 3** (2014) 14 – 20
- [31] Artha, EU, Rahadi, B and Suharto, B 2014 Evaluasi Daya Dukung Lingkungan Berbasis Neraca Air di Kota Batu *Jurnal Sumber Daya Alam dan Lingkungan* **1 3** (2014) 40-54
- [32] Xiufeng, X, Zhenghe, X, Limin, P, Yunhai, Z, Min, Du and Penggang, L 2011 Water Resources Carrying Capacity Forecast of Jining Based on Non-Linear Dynamics Model *Energy Procedia* **5** (2011) 1742–1747
- [33] Wang, T and Xu, S 2015 Dynamic Successive Assessment of Water Environment Carrying Capacity and Its Application *Ecological Indicators* **52** (2015) 134-146
- [34] Marganingrum, D 2000 *Studi Perilaku Dinamis Ketersediaan Air Sungai Brantas dalam Memenuhi Kebutuhan Air Baku dan Menanggung Beban Pencemaran dengan Pendekatan Metodologi Sistem Dinamis* Thesis, Jurusan Teknik dan Manajemen Industri, ITB.
- [35] Tidwell, V C, Passell, H D, Conrad, S H and Thomas, R P 2004 System dynamics modeling for community-based water planning: Application to the Middle Rio Grande *Aquat. Sci.* **66** (2004) 357–372