

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Public-Private Format for the Formation of the Center of Connectivity of the Russia's Far East

To cite this article: E V Gudkova 2019 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **272** 032187

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Public-Private Format for the Formation of the Center of Connectivity of the Russia's Far East

E V Gudkova¹

¹Economic Research Institute of Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Khabarovsk, 153 Tikhookeanskaya Street, 680042, Russia

E-mail: gudkova@ecrin.ru

Abstract. Object: Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East. Methods: Subject-object analysis of spatial interaction meta-regional control. The object of the research is the integrity of the system, providing the refusal of "atomism", abstracting from any part of a coherent system. The subject of the research is event or action, place in the system of interrelations of the format patterns in the economic system. Findings: Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East justifies the goal of the public, in general, and economic, in particular, development of situational centers working according to the uniform regulations. Conclusions: The dominance of invariant of linear interaction paradigms of subject and society in the territory of growth and development corporation initiates socio-economic contradictions that need resolution. The concept of cognitive center of formal simulate software of nonlinear processes justifies the public-private partnership for the formation of the Russia's Far East connectivity center.

1. Introduction

Dynamics of international economic relations, the progress of IT- technologies, program "The digital economy of the Russian Federation" (2017), increased requirements for making state decisions provide the relevance problem solving of public-private format forming the center of connectedness.

The generated version of the solution of the problem is justified, firstly, by "new metacel of the public, in general, and economic, in particular, development" P. Minakir (2017) [1] of the uniform rules of the situation centre (SC) of strategic planning, management and implementation of government programmes and projects. Secondly, the transition of "natural state" (single actor approach) to the state of elite groups coalition justifies the social order of public private partnerships (PPP) D. North, J. Wallis, B. Weingast (2009) [2] "The natural state" is "a sustainable form of larger social organizations created five to ten thousand years ago" is a synonym for social order with restricted access (limited access orders). Social order is an organization model, structuring interaction in society. Social order of limited access formalizes the "synthetic state structure by connectedness of rational and irrational elements of the state and social orders (Russia)." Free access social order formalizes "analytical state structure by isolation, atomicity and rationality of the elements of the state and social orders (Anglo-Saxon countries)" [3]. Russian Federation forms the transition of the "natural state" (single actor approach) to the elite groups coalition state by increased PPP in creating territories of advanced socio-economic development (TOR), the free port of Vladivostok, in support for investment projects, the Fund for the development of the Russia's Far East. Restriction of public-private format forming the



center of cohesion proves the dominance of linear interaction paradigms of subject and society on the territory of the growth and development of the corporation.

The solution to the problem, as shown in this article, is justified by study the theory of formal economic modeling software methodology system modeling, followed by specific areas [4; 5].

2. Methodology and Methods of Research

Object: Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East.

Methods: Subject-object analysis of spatial interaction meta-regional control. The object of the research is the integrity of the system, providing the refusal of "atomism", abstracting from any part of a coherent system. The subject of the research is event or action, place in the system of interrelations of the format patterns in the economic system.

Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity justifies the concept of cognitive meta-approach regional center management [5] of spatial interaction relationships in the system public-private partnerships (PPP) large and complex social object, region. With all the variety of theoretical research of Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity, models and theories of regional economics (gravitational models, theory of central places theory of growth poles, etc.) dominate. Study on the spatial interaction PPP system interconnections of large and complex social object, region justifies the territorial concentration (in the form of centralization and metropolitan area), mostly PPP in forming structural elements only in certain areas or centres of the territory (foci) as a result of objective manifestations of inequality and heterogeneity of the territorial structure. Models and theories justify the spatial PPP interaction in linear system of cohesion, in accordance with the theory of complexity of W. Arthur (2013) [6]. The concept of Connectedness, proposed by Center APEC Policy Support Unit (APEC PSU) URL [7], moves existing work on Connectedness, which adopts a linear, point-to-point approach by using methodology which is firmly grounded in value chain activity as a complex, nonlinear, network phenomenon. In accordance with the APEC PSU methodology, the interaction of the subject marked not only by parameters of linear interaction network, but also takes into account effect of nonlinear connectedness factors group - trade facilitation and logistics; and contract enforcement. PPP interaction is basing on connectivity provided by depth, the breadth of the economic integration of international flows of goods and services, capital, information, and knowledge in the system of interrelations of the Second Global Economy (1978 onwards) URL [8]. The formation is based, firstly, on the study of public-private partnership in the creation of social and business value, the «Shared Value» M. Porter, M. Kramer (2006; 2011); M. Kramer, M. Pfitzer (2016); N. Bloom (2017) URL [9; 10; 11; 12]. Indeed, the PPP in the establishment of social and business value justifies the tax and administrative regime. In accordance with the approach, the Corporation carries out business plan that forms the meta-goal strategy of the format of single rules situation centre for strategic planning, management and implementation of government programmes and projects. Secondly, on public-private research format of connectivity or isolation of rational and irrational elements of the State by B. Yernzkyan (2016); D. North, J. Wallis, B. Weingast (2009). In accordance with the model of the social organization of society, the transition from the State as a single agent (single actor approach) to the State as a coalition of elite groups is justified by taxes in accordance with the lobbying interests of elite groups.

Thus, paying tribute to the scientific and practical significance of works of the aforementioned authors, it should be noted that the rationale for public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East, in accordance with the global trans-disciplinary trends needs to be implemented with the subsequent allocation of the basic directions of the participation in the international division of labour. Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East as the aim of the research is considered mainly through the system approach of formal software process modeling of nonlinear system modeling of economic and social development.

3. Results

The validity of the theoretical studies allows to highlight main directions for spatial PPP interaction in the interconnections system of large and complex social object, region of the Russia's Far East. Economic agents (firms, consumers, and investors) of the forming centre of connectivity, constantly change their actions and strategies in response to jointly generated result. The nature of their occurrence is probable, they are existing for a while and then disappear; and they compare with complex structures in other regions. Probabilistic decision is justified by the conception of economic interaction methodology system modeling of subject-object approach.

Thus, the public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East proves the approach of meta-subject PPP control in the system interconnections of large and complex social object, in the following major directions. Firstly, Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East is provided by a system of universal and national planning software format of the investment projects, planning institutes, programs to address the key problems of the competitive advantage of the Russian Far East in East Asia. Starting from 2014 onwards, the economy of the Russian Federation defines the strategic priority participation in technological exchange with the countries of Eastern Asia, as well as higher efficiency policies. Policy aims primarily at providing transport and logistics links, through the Russian Far East in cross-border segments of global value chains (Global Value Chains (GVCs)) in the Asian region, rather than Western part of Russia. For this purpose the export orientation of the Russian Far East is formed, as well as the expansion of economic relations. To generate greater possibilities for the implementation of the plan of development of the Russian Far East, the measures to strengthen its implementation are adopted. The necessary support measures are adopted, including tax concessions and the creation of the territories of advanced socio-economic development, the implementation of which is guaranteed by the federal legislation. The public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East presupposes an extended understanding of horizons for economic cooperation in Eurasia [13] from Europe to the ASIA-PACIFIC REGION on the basis of the so-called "Euro-Pacific paradigm". The target parameter is formed of Russia's participation in the economic cooperation of the EU with the West and the countries of Northeast Asia and ASEAN from the East. The format of the generated target setting - that is economic integration within the post-Soviet space, based on the concept of building the single market from the Atlantic to the Pacific, - formalizes involvement of scientific, technological and economic capacity of these countries as factors in the development of the Russian Far East. The communication tool of the Far East of Russia is provided by an unprecedented, for the Russian Federation, a set of tax and other preferential conditions for business. Communication tool release to the Russia's Far East is formulated in the draft project of the Minister of Strategy and Finance (MOSF) of Republic of Korea "New Eurasia" strategy and policy "9 bridges", in particular in the field of railways, electricity, gas, shipbuilding and port infrastructure. And in the draft project of the Minister of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) of Japan; Nomura Research Institute (NRI) from February 27, 2015 year- "Russia: a project to provide assistance within the framework of the Japanese-Russian investment promotion work." Secondly, public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East is justified by region's economic development of the Russian Far East as such. Development is formed within the strategies of economic agents, operating and repairing in territorial socio-economic complex and implementing horizontal interoperability format network structure for regional economic life. Increased financial resources associated with the development of corporate export projects of the State program of the Russian Federation "The socio-economic development of the far East and Baikal region" (2014) will definitely be strong positive external shock to macroeconomic dynamics in the region. However, the problem of development of the region, it still won't be solved, because investment will have a relatively short-term impact shock dynamics, that is confirmed by experiences leading up to the regional development programmers. Public-private partnership in shaping the public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East region providing infrastructure access to public benefits and public funds;

transport infrastructure that ensures mobility of citizens; conditions and mechanisms of generating income through the regional business community; comfortable business environment; the mode of the open economy in the regions of concentrated economic activity [14]. Thirdly, public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East defines the format of adequate interoperability of the elite, behind State authority and elites that achieved mainstream success in the economic sphere competition of the national spatial strategy. An adequate measure of the effectiveness in the case of private profit, formalizes the corporation and popularity, as measured by the results of elections or opinion polls, in the case of a State. Economic rivalry formalizes the format imposed by the State of various "distorting" taxes, reduce the efficiency of the economy [15; 16].

Thus, Russian Federation forms the transition of "natural state" (single actor approach) to the state coalition of elite groups by increasing PPP in creating territories of advanced socio-economic development (TOR), the free port of Vladivostok, in support for investment projects, the Fund for the development of the Russia's Far East. Public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East justify spatial interaction of PPP in the system non-linear connectivity, in accordance with the theory of complexity of W. Arthur, not only dominate invariant linear paradigm subject interaction, society in the territory of the growth and development of the corporation.

4. Conclusion

Summarizing, we note that public-private format for the formation of the center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East, justifies the goal of the public, in general and, economic, in particular, development. The dominance of invariant linear interaction paradigms entity of society in the territory of growth and development corporation initiates socio-economic contradictions that need resolution. Obviously, the solution of probabilistic concept is justified by the concept of cognitive interaction Centre for economic methodology system modeling. The forming center of connectivity of the Russia's Far East considers not only interregional but also global interaction. Transformation processes of model "Asia for the World" to "Asia for Asia" form interaction format gradually exhausting the potential of extensive farming practices. Transformation processes model Asia model for peace "in Asia for Asia" form interaction format of gradually exhausting potential of extensive farming practices. Needs and opportunities appearing with the growth of incomes and standards of living in Asian countries, and public-private partnership in accordance with the APEC Connectivity action plan for 2015-2025, need the reasonable solution. The solution to the problem of interaction, provide, first and foremost, the territories of advanced socio-economic development in accordance with the factors of non-linear connectivity - trade facilitation, logistics optimization, special tax and administrative regimes in accordance with the Federal law "On territories of advanced socio-economic development in the Russian Federation" (2014). Public-private format forming the center of connectedness justified allocates methodology of creating Shared Value, adequate effectiveness of PPP concept of cognitive center meta-management regional approach of spatial interaction of PPP in the system of interrelations of large and complex social object, region.

5. References

- [1] Minakir P 2017 Strategic Branching: Is There a Way out of a Dead End? *Prostranstvennaya Ekonomika Spatial Economics* **3** 7–18
- [2] North D, Wallis J, Weingast B 2009 Violence and Social Orders. A Conceptual Framework for Interpreting Recorded Human History *Cambridge University Press* (Cambridge)
- [3] Theory and Practice of Institutional Reforms in Russia / Collection of scientific works ed. by B H Yernzkyan 2016 **35** CEMI Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow)
- [4] Blaug M 1986 Economic History and the History of Economics *Wheatsheaf Books* (Brighton)
- [5] Cognitive Centers as Information Systems for Strategic Forecasting Preprints of Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow)
- [6] Arthur W 2013 Complexity Economics: a Different Framework for Economic Thought Working Paper, Santa Fe Institute

- [7] Evaluation of Value Chain Connectedness in the APEC Region Reports APEC Policy Support Unit. October 2014 http://publications.apec.org/publication-detail.php?pub_id=1566, last accessed 2016/05/11
- [8] Geoffrey J International Business and Emerging Markets: A Long-Run Perspective Harvard Business School Working Paper, No. 18-020, September 2017 http://www.hbs.edu/faculty/Publication%20Files/18-020_0da6ca17-091e-4491-8ec1-974156463cb7.pdf
- [9] Porter M, Kramer M Strategy and Society: The Link Between Competitive Advantage and Corporate Social Responsibility https://hbr.org/2006/12/strategy-and-society-the-link-between-competitive-advantage-and-corporate-social-responsibility?referral=03758&cm_vc=rr_item_page.top_right
- [10] Porter M, Kramer M Creating Shared Value <https://hbr.org/2011/01/the-big-idea-creating-shared-value>
- [11] Kramer M, Pfitzer M The Ecosystem of Shared Value <https://hbr.org/2016/10/the-ecosystem-of-shared-value>
- [12] Bloom N Corporations in the Age of Inequality Economy <https://hbr.org/cover-story/2017/03/corporations-in-the-age-of-inequality>
- [13] Lee J-Y 2016 Eurasia Initiative and Cooperation in the Far East. In: 11 KIEP-ERI Joint International Seminar «Changes in the Global and Domestic Economic Conditions and Future of Development of the Far East» 219-234 KIEP
- [14] Minakir P 2014 New Development Strategy of the Russian Far East: Assessment and Prospects Outlines of Global Transformations: *Politics, Economics, Law* **7**(4) 6-14
- [15] Gudkova E 2017 Formation of the Area of the Cognitive Center for Forecasting, Planning and Designing of Large-scale Complex Social Object: Russia's Far East. *Problems of Modern Economy* **3** (63) 131-137
- [16] Radygin A, Entov R 2012 Government Failures: Theory and Policy *Voprosy Ekonomiki* **12** 4-30