

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Degradation of Mangrove Ecosystem in Karimunjawa Island Based on Public Perception and Management

To cite this article: Sri Puryono and Suryanti Suryanti 2019 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **246** 012080

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Degradation of Mangrove Ecosystem in Karimunjawa Island Based on Public Perception and Management

Sri Puryono^{1*} and Suryanti Suryanti²

¹Regional Secretary, Province of Central Java, Indonesia.

²Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Diponegoro University. Semarang. Indonesia.

Corresponding author: spuryonodr@gmail.com

Abstract. The condition of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island was thought in degraded condition, arisen the questions concerning its possible causes. This research aimed to study the perception of the society concerning the degradation of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island, its causes, as well as to formulate the management solution to support its sustainability. The research was conducted in August 2017 and March 2018 in Karimunjawa island. Data was collected by questionnaire involving local society as the respondents. Respondents were questioned about the causes of mangrove degradation in Karimunjawa Island. The result showed that 59.40% of the respondents agreed that mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island is degraded. The presented data showed the level of agreement of the respondents concerning the mentioned causing factors of mangrove degradation, including fishing activities (48.12%), mangrove logging (59.40%), increasing population (60.90%), development of aquaculture facilities (48.87%), development of ponds (61.65%), aquaculture activities (51.13%), and development of tourism facilities (39.10%). Analysis of correlation between the mangrove related activities and the degradation of mangrove ecosystem showed weak relations, where the coefficient of correlations was less than 50%. The awareness of the local community and the tourist to the condition of mangrove ecosystem was poor. However, the tourist has better interest to participate in the mangrove conservation activity. In order to maintain the sustainability of mangrove ecosystem, integrated management of mangrove through eco-tourism activity should be considered.

1. Introduction

Mangrove ecosystem as one of the coastal ecosystems has important role both ecologically and economically. Ecologically, mangrove plays a role in maintaining the stability of coastal environment [1]. Through the environmental services it provided, mangrove contribute to decrease the erosion and sedimentation rate in the coastal area, which lead to reduction of the erosion in the coastal area[2]. Moreover, the function also has an impact on more transparent coastal waters, ensure the nutrient supply which supports the existence of seagrass and coral ecosystems[3]. Mangrove forest also has important role as habitat of various organisms, both terrestrial and aquatic [4]. Thus, mangrove supports the biodiversity of coastal area.

Another important role which is related to human livelihood in the coastal area is in controlling sea water intrusion [5]. It has important implication in providing freshwater for the community which lives in the coastal area. Various resources which are produced directly or indirectly by mangrove ecosystem can not be neglected as well, such as fish resources [6,7], timber production [8] and fruit



products [9] which can be utilized as food sources as well as to support the civilization of human in the coastal area.

Small island is vulnerable to ecosystem dynamics, especially in the inhabited islands [10–12]. Excessive exploitation of small islands could cause structured damage to the ecosystem. However, mangrove ecosystem has important role in maintaining the stability of coastal area [13]. Small islands which are surrounding by sea along with the tidal and wave dynamic tend to be vulnerable to high erosion rate [14,15].

The development of mangrove ecosystem in the small islands show the change of coastal sediment structure caused by the increasing concentration of clay. The rock in the coastal area generally gets weathered and crumbled into sand, thus mangrove plays major role in protecting the coastal area. Unfortunately, mangrove ecosystem in the inhabited small island is generally degraded due to the irresponsible utilization [13].

Mangrove ecosystem in the small island is threatened where a lot of mangrove forest has been deforested for the development of settlements, aquaculture and agriculture [16]. The high exploitation was thought contribute to the damage of the mangrove land and terrestrial vegetation [17]. Mangrove ecosystem provides various goods and services to the society in the small island [18–20]. Mangrove woods are utilized for building materials, boats and firewood [21]. Therefore, the destruction of mangrove forests lead the decrease of its carrying capacity in maintaining the coastline and supporting the fisheries resources.

Karimunjawa is a small island in the territorial waters of Jepara Regency, Province of Central Java with intensive utilization level. The beauty of Karimunjawa waters become the tourism interest of domestic and international tourists. As the impact, land utilization for the development of tourism infrastructure was increased [22]. Thus, mangrove ecosystem became the most affected area due the high conversion rate for various purposes, such as settlements and homestays [23].

Even though Karimunjawa archipelago has become a popular tourism destination, but the perspective of the local community and the tourists concerning the existing condition of natural resources remains unknown, especially regarding mangrove ecosystem. The knowledge and awareness are important factors which effect the management of mangrove ecosystem. This research aimed to understand the perspective of the local community regarding the degradation of mangrove ecosystem in the Karimunjawa island, the cause of damage, to study the knowledge and willingness to participate of local community and tourists in the conservation of mangrove ecosystem and to formulate the management strategy of mangrove ecosystem.

2. Research methods

This research was conducted in two periods. The first period was conducted in August 2017 while the second period was conducted in March 2018. The research instrument utilized in this research was questionnaire. The respondents selected in this research were the local community of Karimunjawa island and the tourists. Data collection was conducted through door to door interview for the local community and incidental interview to the tourists. The questionnaires included 11 questions related to the degradation of mangrove ecosystem and 5 questions related to the knowledge and willingness to participate of the respondents in mangrove conservation. The Likert scale was utilized in the data collection of community's perspective, including three agreement states: (1) disagree; (2) doubt; and (3) agree.

The collected data was then tabulated and presented descriptively to describe the level of respondent's agreement concerning the factors affecting mangrove degradation of the degradation of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island. Statistical analysis used in this research was cross-tabulation with chi-square test and correlation test. Validity and reliability test was conducted to fulfill the statistical rule. Chi-square test was conducted to understand the distribution differences of respondent's perception. Cross-tabulation also provides the information about the domination of respondent's perspective. Further analysis was conducted through bivariate correlation test to prove the relationship of the mangrove degradation toward its causes. Literature study was conducted to

formulate the management strategy in order to achieve sustainable and integrated management plan in Karimunjawa island.

3. Results

In total, 133 respondents participated in the first period. The respondents of the first observation was comprised of the local community, while in the second period there were 198 respondents, including 98 respondents from the local community and 100 respondents from the tourists. Detailed level of agreement of the respondent's perception on the mangrove condition and the cause of degradation obtained from the data collection in the first period is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Proportions of respondents' perception on the mangrove condition and its degrading cause

No.	Variable	Statement	Proportion of Answers (%)		
			Disagree	Doubt	Agree
1.	Y	The mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island has been degraded?	19,55	21,05	59,40
2.	X1	Some of the fishermen do fishing activity in the mangrove area.	40,60	39,10	20,30
3.	X2	The increased fishing activity causes degradation of the mangrove ecosystem.	27,82	24,06	48,12
4.	X3	Some of the fishermen gather mangrove wood for firewood.	41,35	21,05	37,59
5.	X4	Some of the fishermen cut mangrove trees for sale which cause disturbance on the function of mangrove forest.	15,04	25,56	59,40
6.	X5	Increasing population in the coastal area has caused the extension of settlements and ponds toward mangrove ecosystem, resulted in the destruction of mangrove forest.	21,05	18,05	60,90
7.	X6	The development of infrastructure for aquaculture has caused the degradation of mangrove ecosystem.	20,30	30,83	48,87
8.	X7	The development of ponds in the coastal area has caused the decrease of mangrove coverage.	6,02	32,33	61,65
9.	X8	Aquaculture activity affected the ecological function of mangrove ecosystem due to increasing pollutant loads.	18,05	30,83	51,13
10.	X9	The development of offshore inns (guesthouses) contributes the degradation of mangrove ecosystem.	38,35	33,08	28,57
11.	X10	The development of tourism facilities has caused degradation of mangrove ecosystem.	28,57	32,33	39,10

According to the information obtained from the questionnaire, 59.4% of the respondents agreed that the condition of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa has been degraded. Several activities contribute to the degradation were including: increased fishing activity (48.12%), mangrove logging (59.40%), increasing population (69.90%), development of infrastructure for aquaculture (48.87%), development of ponds (61.65%), aquaculture (51.13%), and development of tourism facilities (39.10%).

Validity test for the first observation data showed that there was one invalid item for item X7. The reliability test of the valid items showed that the items were reliable and could be used for further

analysis. Thus, only 10 items could be used for bivariate correlation analysis. The analysis result is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Correlation of activities in Karimunjawa island with degradation of mangrove ecosystem

No.	Items	Factor	Pearson Correlation
1.	X1	Fishing activity in the mangrove ecosystem.	,211*
2.	X2	Increased fishing activity.	,371**
3.	X3	Wood gathering for firewood.	,331**
4.	X4	Mangrove logging.	,403**
5.	X5	Increased human population.	,266**
6.	X6	Development of aquaculture infrastructure.	,241**
7.	X8	Aquaculture activities (fish farming).	,353**
8.	X9	Development of offshore inns (guesthouses)	,200*
9.	X10	Development of tourism facilities.	,376**

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Analysis of correlation showed there are several activities that have significant correlation with the degradation of mangrove ecosystem although the correlation levels were weak. Mangrove logging has the strongest correlation with mangrove degradation with 40.3%. The development of tourism facilities gets the second rank with the coefficient of 37.6%, followed by fishing activities with 37.1%. Aquaculture activity is the next correlated activity with 35.3%, followed by wood gathering for firewood with 33.1%. Other than the mentioned activities, the correlation coefficients were below 30%. However, among the observed activities, the increased community's activity and the development of tourism accessibility were not significantly correlated with the degradation of mangrove ecosystem.

The data obtained from the second observation was valid and reliable. Data includes the knowledge of local community and tourists regarding mangrove ecosystem. Detailed respondents' perception is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Knowledge and willingness to participate in mangrove conservation of local community and tourists

No.	Item	Statement	Local Community			Tourists		
			No	Doubt	Yes	No	Doubt	Yes
1.	Z1	Knowledge on mangrove ecosystem / vegetation.	17.35%	43.88%	38.78%	9%	50%	41%
2.	Z2	Knowlegde on mangrove species.	10.20%	40.82%	48.98%	18%	54%	28%
3.	Z3	Understanding the advantages of the mangrove ecosystem.	18.37%	46.94%	34.69%	13%	44%	43%
4.	Z4	Understanding the impact of mangrove ecosystem degradation.	19.39%	60.20%	20.41%	14%	65%	21%
5.	Z5	Willing to participate in the conservation activity of mangrove ecosystem.	72.45%	18.37%	9.18%	43%	19%	38%

Analysis on the knowledge of local community and tourists showed that both groups of respondents has poor knowledge regarding mangrove ecosystem. Cummulatively, only 39.9% of the respondents knows about mangrove ecosystem. Statistically, there was no significant difference between the knowledge of the respondents of local community and tourists in Karimunjawa island regarding mangrove ecosystem.

Observation on the knowledge of mangrove species showed that local community has better knowledge than the tourists. The proportion of local community with appropriate knowledge of mangrove species was 49.0%, while only 28.0% of the tourists know the mangrove species properly. Statistical analysis proved that there was significant difference between the knowledge from the two groups of respondents.

The knowledge of the respondents on the advantages of mangrove ecosystem was generally low. Statistical analysis proved that there was no significant difference between the knowledge from the two groups of respondents. Cumulatively, only 38.9% of the respondents know about the advantages of mangrove ecosystem, while the partial proportions were 34.7% and 43.0% respectively for local community and tourists.

The knowledge of the respondents regarding the impact of mangrove degradation was very poor. The proportion of local community that know the impact was only 20.4%, while the tourist was only a little higher with 21.0%. Chi-square analysis showed that the two groups of respondents did not have significant difference in knowledge regarding the impact of mangrove degradation.

Regarding the willingness to participate on the conservation of mangrove ecosystem, there was obvious differences, were the proportion of local community who wills to participate wa only 9.2%, while the tourists had the proportion of 38.0%. However, the proportion of the respondents who don't will to participate was still huge, including 72.4% of the local community and 43.0% of the tourists. This result is surprising since most of the respondents do not want to support the conservation of mangrove. Significant difference of the two groups of respondents was proven from the statistical analysis.

4. Discussion

Based on the respondents' perspective the development. of pond in the Karimunjawa island was the most dominant factor which affect the reduced coverage of mangrove forest. The coastal ponds are generally developed on mangrove forest likely through the land conversion (mangrove clearance) [24,25]. In order to do that, mangrove forests were clear cut and excavated to build ponds. Preferred organism for the pond was tiger shrimp [26–28]. Unfortunately, the validity test showed that this item (question no. 7) was not valid, thus it can not be proved whether it has significant correlation to the degradation of mangrove ecosystem.

The conversion of mangrove area for brackish water ponds contributed the most dominant cause of mangrove degradation in most regions in Indonesia, even in the world [25,27]. Mangrove forests provide suitable environment to support aquaculture activities due to its carrying capacity. Unfortunately, the extensive conversion of mangrove for ponds caused the significant reduction of mangrove forest. Indeed, the existence of mangrove is required to protect the coastal area and to provide environmental services which support pond productivity and neutralize pollutant from pond effluent [29]. The loss of mangrove ecosystem in the coastal area increases the rate of erosion and accumulation of pollutants which lead to the decrease of pond productivity [30]. As the impact, coastal ponds are abandoned while the fish resources are depleted [31].

The increasing population in Karimunjawa is acknowledged by the respondents. Most of the respondents agreed that the increasing population has altered the damage of mangrove ecosystem due to the expansion of settlements and ponds development [32]. Increasing population would lead to the increase of land, infrastructure, and building materials to develop the settlements. Land conversion for settlements is the only choice for the community. However, it may cause the degradation of mangrove ecosystem. Accessibility to sea as the main source of livelihood becomes the important consideration in the determination of settlement's location [33,34].

Mangrove cutting has been conducted for various purposes, including for timber production and land provision for another utilization [5]. According to the result, most of the respondents agreed that mangrove logging also occurred in Karimunjawa island. The distance of Karimunjawa island is pretty far from the main islands which caused limited supply of logistics and energy. However, the isolated community generally utilized traditional methods to fulfill their needs. Wood plays important role in

the culture of traditional community, such as for house material, boat material, furnitures, as well as firewood [8].

Most of the respondents agreed that aquaculture has an impact on mangrove ecosystem. Aquaculture activity in the coastal area is always related to mangrove ecosystem for the whole processes, from land preparation to the maintenance. Preparation of land is generally conducted through mangrove clearing and the development of ponds [35]. The utilization of mangrove ecosystem in the small island causes more emphasized impact due to the limitation of land resources.

To support the aquaculture activities, some infrastructures and facilities need to be developed such as roads, water canals and transportation. According to the respondents' perspective, the development of aquaculture infrastructures and facilities also has a contribution on the degradation of mangrove ecosystem. The development causes the change of land-use and hydrological pattern of coastal environment [29].

Generally, the development of tourism facilities has similar impact as the development of settlements. Several facilities such as homestays, road, restaurants as well as transportation means both on land and at the sea are improved to fulfill the needs of the tourists [4,39]. The impact of this development is the change of land use in the coastal area.

Tourism activities in Karimunjawa archipelago have been lasted for a long time and has provided significant contribution in the regional development, both economically and ecologically. In order to maintain the sustainability and carrying capacity of Karimunjawa island, public awareness is required especially the local community and the tourists since both are the main stakeholders in the management of Karimunjawa island. Thus, the knowledge and interest of both groups concerning the sustainability of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island need to be known.

Generally, both local community and tourists do not really know about mangrove ecosystem. However, the knowledge of local community concerning the species of mangrove is better than the tourists. It could be due to the local community generally acknowledge mangrove as "bakau". In the meanwhile, the tourist's knowledge about mangrove is generally poor, since most of the come from the city where mangrove is not common scene.

Both local community and tourist have poor knowledge regarding the advantages of mangrove ecosystem as well as the impact of its degradation. It showed that both groups of respondents were unaware to mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa island. This could be due to the main tourism interest was dominant in the water area [40], while tourism interest on the land area, especially in the mangrove ecosystem is very limited.

The development of Karimunjawa island as tourism area has supported the acceleration of goods and services distribution. Thus, various logistic materials could be obtained trough trading activities. However, it has positive and negative impacts to the mangrove ecosystem [42]. The positive impact is the decreased mangrove logging for building materials as well as for firewood. While the negative impact is the reduced interaction between the community and mangrove ecosystem, thus the changes that occur in the mangrove ecosystem are not well monitored.

The low participation interest of the local community in Karimunjawa island was caused by their poor knowledge about the advantages of mangrove ecosystem and the impacts of its degradation. Moreover, mangrove conservation doesn't provide direct economic advantage to the community, thus they are not interested to participate [43]. The reluctance to participate in the conservation activity is emphasized by the development of tourism activities in Karimunjawa. The development of tourism activity slowly shifts the employment of the local community, which is previously dominated by fishermen to the tourism related business, such as homestay, transportation, souvenir, food, etc [42].

The management of coastal and small island resources is dependent to the participation of the surrounding community [44], moreover on the small islands which are more vulnerable to degradation. Unfortunately, the awareness of the community regarding the vulnerability of small islands and its sustainability is poor. The increasing exploitation as the impact of economic oriented activities frequently disobeys the ecological sustainability [40].

In the Karimunjawa island, the economic growth is supported by the development of marine tourism activities [42]. As the impact, the land ecosystem is ignored. This is shown by the decreasing mangrove coverage. Ecological stress due to massive land conversion for various purposes along with active mangrove clearance becomes the main factors which contribute the degradation of mangrove ecosystem [18].

Local community has key role in the mangrove conservation activity [45]. Low participation of local community may lead to the poor planting, maintenance, monitoring and evaluation effort in terms of mangrove conservation. Education concerning the importance of mangrove ecosystem needs to be provided for the community to improve their knowledge [46]. However, it is not easy to be done. However, since the interest of the tourist to participate in the mangrove conservation is pretty high, thus the conservation effort could be conducted through tourism approach [40]. Thus, local community would be forced to actively participate in the mangrove rehabilitation effort. Various activities, such as tracking, boating, canoeing, planting, and fishing could be developed as tourism interests [47].

5. Conclusion

The local community of Karimunjawa island considered that the mangrove ecosystem has been degraded due to various human activities, including the development of ponds, increasing population, mangrove logging, aquaculture, development of aquaculture infrastructure, fishing and the development of tourism facilities. Local community and the tourist have poor knowledge about mangrove ecosystem, its advantages as well as its degradation impacts. Moreover, both local community and the tourist have poor interest to participate in the mangrove conservation effort, even though the tourist has better interest to participate than the local community. The proposed applicable solution to maintain the sustainability of mangrove ecosystem in Karimunjawa is through the utilization of mangrove as eco-tourism interest.

6. Acknowledgments

1. Head and staff of Karimunjawa Semarang National Park Office who has given permission in conducting research
2. Mariana Harahap, SPi which has helped the sampling and collection of field data

References

- [1] Marois D E and Mitsch W J 2015 *Coastal protection from tsunamis and cyclones provided by mangrove wetlands – a review* Int. J. Biodivers. Sci. Ecosyst. Serv. Manag. **11** 71–83
- [2] Woodroffe C D, Rogers K, McKee K L, Lovelock C E, Mendelssohn I A and Saintilan N 2016 *Mangrove sedimentation and response to relative sea-level rise* Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. **8** 243–66
- [3] MacKenzie R A and Kryss C L 2013 *Impacts of exotic mangroves and chemical eradication of mangroves on tide pool fish assemblages* Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **472** 219–37
- [4] Dencer-Brown A M, Alfaro A C, Milne S and Perrott J 2018 *A review on biodiversity, ecosystem services, and perceptions of New Zealand's mangroves: can we make informed decisions about their removal?* Resource **7** 1–21
- [5] Zulkarnaini and Mariana 2016 *Economic valuation of mangrove forest ecosystem in Indragiri estuary* Int. J. Ocean. Oceanogr. **10** 13–7
- [6] Hutchison J, Spalding M and Ermgassen P zu 2014 *The Role of Mangroves in Fisheries Enhancement* (Cambridge, UK: University of Cambridge)
- [7] Hutchison J, Ermgassen P zu and Spalding M 2015 *The current state of knowledge on mangrove fishery values* Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. **83** 3–15
- [8] López-Angarita J, Roberts C M, Tilley A, Hawkins J P and Cooke R G 2016 *Mangroves and people: lessons from a history of use and abuse in four Latin American countries* For.

- Ecol. Manage. **368** 151–62
- [9] Wonggo D, Berhimpon S, Kurnia D and Dotulong V 2017 *Antioxidant activities of mangrove fruit (Sonneratia alba) taken from Wori Village, North Sulawesi, Indonesia* Int. J. ChemTech Res. **10** 284–90
- [10] Guillaumont P 2010 *Assessing the economic vulnerability of small island developing states and the least developed countries* J. Dev. Stud. **46** 828–54
- [11] Kelman I 2014 *No change from climate change: vulnerability and small island developing states* Geogr. J. **180** 120–9
- [12] ECLAC 2011 *Study on the vulnerability and resilience of Caribbean Small Island Developing States (SIDS)* (New York, US)
- [13] Wang D G, Sun L, Tan Y H, Shi A Q and Cheng J 2017 *Evaluation of mangrove ecosystem service functions of Ximen Island Marine Specially Protected Areas in Yueqing Bay, China* IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. **82** 012064
- [14] Albert S, Leon J X, Grinham A R, Church J A, Gibbes B R and Woodroffe C D 2016 *Interactions between sea-level rise and wave exposure on reef island dynamics in the Solomon Islands* Environ. Res. Lett. **11** 054011
- [15] Duvat V 2009 *Beach erosion management in Small Island Developing States: Indian Ocean case studies* Coast. Process. **126** 149–60
- [16] Vo Q T, Oppelt N, Leinenkugel P and Kuenzer C 2013 *Remote sensing in mapping mangrove ecosystems — an object-based approach* Remote Sens. **5** 183–201
- [17] Malik A, Fensholt R and Mertz O 2015 *Economic Valuation of Mangroves for Comparison with Commercial Aquaculture in South Sulawesi, Indonesia* Forests **6** 3028–44
- [18] Khaleel K M and Jaleel C A 2009 *Environmental challenges to the mangrove wetlands of North Malabar (Kerala), India: Their sustainable development and influence on local people* Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst. **392** 1–8
- [19] Gevana D, Camacho L, Carandang A, Camacho S and Im S 2015 *Land use characterization and change detection of a small mangrove area in Banacon Island, Bohol, Philippines using a maximum likelihood classification method* Forest Sci. Technol. **11** 197–205
- [20] Rudiastuti A W, Munawaroh, Setyawan I E and Pramono G H 2018 *Coastal management strategy for small island: ecotourism potency development in Karimata Island, West Kalimantan* IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. **148** 012013
- [21] Tolangara A 2014 *Forest destruction, wood utilization and mangrove area in District Jailolo, West Halmahera Regency, Province Of North Mollucas and the conservation education* Int. J. Eng. Res. Dev. **10** 54–60
- [22] Laksono A N and Mussadun 2014 *Dampak aktivitas ekowisata di Pulau Karimunjawa berdasarkan persepsi masyarakat* J. Tek. PWK **3** 262–73
- [23] Suryanti, Hendrarto I B and Anggoro D 2011 *Perubahan luas hutan mangrove di Pulau Kemujan Taman Nasional Karimunjawa* Pena J. Ilmu Pengetah. dan Teknol. **20** 1–9
- [24] Febryano I G, Sinurat J and Salampey M L 2017 *Social relation between businessman and community in management of intensive shrimp pond* IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. **55** 1–7
- [25] Van T T, Wilson N, Thanh-Tung H, Quisthoudt K, Quang-Minh V, Xuan-Tuan L, Dahdouh-Guebas F and Koedam N 2015 *Changes in mangrove vegetation area and character in a war and land use change affected region of Vietnam (Mui Ca Mau) over six decades* Acta Oecologica **63** 71–81
- [26] Muryani C 2010 *Analisis perubahan garis pantai menggunakan SIG serta dampaknya terhadap kehidupan masyarakat di sekitar Muara Sungai Rejoso Kabupaten Pasuruan* Forum Geogr. **24** 173–82
- [27] Mustafa A, Sapu I and Paena M 2010 *Keragaan budidaya tambak di Sulawesi Selatan dengan menggunakan data sensus* Media Akuakultur **5** 153–61

- [28] Lebel L, Garden P, Luers A, Manuel-Navarrete D and Giap D H 2016 *Knowledge and innovation relationships in the shrimp industry in Thailand and Mexico* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **113** 4585–90
- [29] Udoh J P 2016 *Sustainable Nondestructive Mangrove-Friendly Aquaculture in Nigeria I: Ecological and Environmental Perspectives* AACL Bioflux **9** 50–70
- [30] Quinn C, Stringer L, Berman R, Le H, Msuya F, Pezzuti J and Orchard S 2017 *Unpacking changes in mangrove social-ecological systems: lessons from Brazil, Zanzibar, and Vietnam* Resources **6** 14
- [31] Duncan C, Primavera J H, Pettorelli N, Thompson J R, Loma R J A and Koldewey H J 2016 *Rehabilitating mangrove ecosystem services: A case study on the relative benefits of abandoned pond reversion from Panay Island, Philippines* Mar. Pollut. Bull. **109** 772–82
- [32] Gunawan H, Sugiarti and Iskandar S 2017 *Dynamics of mangrove community in revegetation area of Karangsong, North Coast of Indramayu District, West Java, Indonesia* Biodiversitas **18** 659–65
- [33] Marpaung B O Y and Silaban N W 2018 *The spatial study of unplanned settlements on the coastal of Belawan Medan fishermen village* IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. **126** 012151
- [34] Setioko B, Murtini T W and Pandelaki E E 2011 *Conceptual spatial model of coastal settlement in urbanizing area: Case study on fisherman settlement, Tambak Mulyo-Semarang City* Int. J. Archit. Sci. **8** 60–6
- [35] Carter H N, Schmidt S W and Hirons A C 2015 *An international assessment of mangrove management: incorporation in integrated coastal zone management* Diversity **7** 74–104
- [36] Turcios A E and Papenbrock J 2014 *Sustainable treatment of aquaculture effluents-What can we learn from the past for the future?* Sustainability **6** 836–56
- [37] Herbeck L S, Unger D, Wu Y and Jennerjahn T C 2013 *Effluent, nutrient and organic matter export from shrimp and fish ponds causing eutrophication in coastal and back-reef waters of NE Hainan, Tropical China* Cont. Shelf Res. **57** 92–104
- [38] Fidyansari D and Hastuty S 2016 *Valuasi ekonomi ekosistem mangrove di Desa Barowa Kecamatan Bua Kabupaten Luwu* Perbal J. Pertan. Berkelanjutan **4** 1–14
- [39] Lee S Y, Primavera J H, Dahdouh-guebas F, Mckee K, Bosire J O, Cannicci S, Diele K, Fromard F, Koedam N, Marchand C, Mendelssohn I, Mukherjee N and Record S 2014 *Ecological role and services of tropical mangrove ecosystems: a reassessment* Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. **23** 726–43
- [40] Setiawan B, Rijanta R and Baiquni M 2017 *Poverty and tourism: Strategies and opportunities in Karimunjawa Island, Central Java* J. Indones. Tour. Dev. Stud. **5** 121–30
- [41] Baskara K A, Hendarto R M and Susilowati I 2017 *Economic's valuation of marine protected area (MPA) of Karimunjawa, Jepara-Indonesia* AACL Bioflux **10** 1554–68
- [42] Limbong F and Soetomo S 2014 *Dampak perkembangan pariwisata terhadap lingkungan Taman Nasional Karimunjawa* J. Ruang **2** 351–60
- [43] Abdullah K, Said A M and Omar D 2014 *Community-based conservation in managing mangrove rehabilitation in Perak and Selangor* Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci. **153** 121–31
- [44] Niyaz A and Storey D 2011 *Environmental management in the absence of participation: A case study of the Maldives* Impact Assess. Proj. Apprais. **29** 69–77
- [45] Powell N and Osbeck M 2010 *Approaches for understanding and embedding stakeholder realities in mangrove rehabilitation processes in Southeast Asia: Lessons learnt from Mahakam Delta, East Kalimantan* Sustain. Dev. **18** 260–70

- [46] Kustanti A, Nugroho B, Kusmana C, Darusman D, Nurrochmat D, Krott M and Schusser C 2014 *Actor, interest and conflict in sustainable mangrove forest management — a case from Indonesia* *Int. J. Mar. Sci.* **4** 150–9
- [47] Wardhani M K 2011 *Kawasan konservasi mangrove: suatu potensi ekowisata* *J. Kelaut.* **4** 60–76
- [48] Safarabadi A 2016 *Assessing ecotourism potential for sustainable development of coastal tourism in Qeshm Island, Iran* *Eur. J. Geogr.* **7** 53–66