

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal spectrum

To cite this article: Habeeb Kareem Abdullah and Ali Khalid Hasan 2019 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **571** 012032

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal spectrum

Habeeb Kareem Abdullah¹ and Ali Khalid Hasan²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, Kufa University, Najaf, Iraq .

²Directorate General of Education in Karbala province Ministry of Education, Iraq

E-mail: ¹ habeebk.abdullah@uokufa.edu.iq . and ²alimathfruit@gmail.com

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to study the spectrum of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal in d-algebra, and the relationship between the topological properties and the algebraic properties of the Spectrum of d-algebra X with respecting to connectedness and separation axioms .

1. Introduction

BCK-algebra is a classe of abstract algebras introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [9,15] . A d-algebra is a useful generalization of BCK-algebra was introduced by J. Negger and H. S. Kim [7]. J. Negger , Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim [8] discussed ideal theory in d-algebra. After the introduction of intuitionistic fuzzy set by Atanassov in 1986 [10], there was a number of generalizations of this concept . This concept was generalizations for fuzzy set concept which was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [11]. In [14] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim apply the ideal theory in fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras . H. K. Abdullah and A. K. Hasan introduce the notation of semi d-ideal of d-algebra in [5]. Y. B. Jun , H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo in [13] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra. A. K. Hasan introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideals of d-algebra in [1] . Ali K. Hasan and Osamah A. Shaheed introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideals of d-algebra in [2], and in this paper we study the spectrum of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal in d-algebra, and the relationship between the topological properties and the algebraic properties of the d-algebra X . Also we consider strongly connected and separated properties .

2. Background

This section contains some basic about intuitionistic fuzzy set and the ordinary and intuitionistic fuzzy concepts about semi d-ideal and prime semi d-ideal in d-algebra, with some theorems and propositions.

Definition (2.1) : [7] A d-algebra is any non-empty set X with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 which satisfies that:

- I. $a * a = 0$
- II. $0 * a = 0$
- III. If $a * b = b * a = 0$ then $a = b \forall a, b \in X$.

We will refer to $a * b$ by ab , and it is said to be commutative if $a(ab) = b(ba)$ for all $a, b \in X$, and $b(ba)$ is denoted by $(a \wedge b)$. Every set X in the following is a d-algebra

Definition (2.2) : [5] A semi d-ideal of a d-algebra X is a non empty subset J of X satisfies i) $a, b \in J$ imply $ab \in J$,

ii) $ab \in J$ and $b \in J$ imply $a \in J$, for all $a, b \in X$

Definition(2.3) : [4] In a commutative d-algebra X , a semi d-ideal I is said to be prime if $a \wedge b \in I$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, for all $a, b \in X$.

Definition (2.4) [10] : An IFS " intuitionistic fuzzy set " A in a set X is an object having the form $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$, such that $\alpha_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\beta_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denoted the degree of



membership (namely $\alpha_A(a)$) and the degree of non membership (namely $\beta_A(a)$) for any elements $a \in X$ to the set A , and $0 \leq \alpha_A(a) + \beta_A(a) \leq 1$, for all $a \in X$. To simplicity, we shall use $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$ instead of $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$.

Definition (2.5) : [3] Let X, Y be d-algebra and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism mapping, and C be IFS in X we define IFS, $f(C)$ in Y , by

$$f(C)_{(Y)} = \langle \alpha_{f(C)}(b), \beta_{f(C)}(b) \rangle, \text{ where } \alpha_{f(C)}(b) = \begin{cases} \sup \alpha_C(a) & a \in X, f(a) = b \text{ if } f^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwais} \end{cases}, \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_{f(C)}(b) = \begin{cases} \inf \beta_C(a) & a \in X, f(a) = b \text{ if } f^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwais} \end{cases} \text{ for each } b \in Y.$$

Definition (2.6) [6] : Let $\mu, \nu \in [0,1]$ such that $\mu + \nu \leq 1$. An intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is defined

to be an IFS in X , define by $x_{(\mu,\nu)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\mu, \nu) & \text{if } y = x \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$ for all y in X , and $x_{(\mu,\nu)} \in A$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\beta \geq \nu_A(x)$.

Notation (2.7): Let A be an IFS of a d-algebra X . We denote a level cut set A_* by $A_* = \{x \in X : \alpha_A(x) = \alpha_A(0), \beta_A(x) = \beta_A(0)\}$.

Definition (2.8) [3] : The IFS $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ in X are define as $\tilde{0} = \{ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle, x \in X \}$ and $\tilde{1} = \{ \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle, x \in X \}$, where 1 and 0 represent the constant maps sending every element of X to 1 and 0, respectively.

Definition (2.9) [1] : An intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal of X , "shortly IFSD – ideal", is an IFS $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$ in X satisfies the following inequalities :

$$(IFSd_1) \alpha_D(a) \geq \min\{\alpha_D(ab), \alpha_D(b)\}, (IFSd_2) \beta_D(a) \leq \max\{\beta_D(ab), \beta_D(b)\}$$

$$(IFSd_3) \alpha_D(ab) \geq \min\{\alpha_D(a), \alpha_D(b)\}, \text{ and } (IFSd_4) \beta_D(ab) \leq \max\{\beta_D(a), \beta_D(b)\}, \text{ for all } a, b \in X.$$

Definition(2.10) [2] : An IFSD – ideal $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$ of X is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal " shortly IFPSd – ideal " in X if it is satisfies $(IFPSd_1) \alpha_D(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_D(a), \alpha_D(b)\}$

$$(IFPSd_2) \beta_D(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_D(a), \beta_D(b)\}, \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

Theorem (2.11) [2] : If $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$ is an IFPSd – ideal, then the set $A_* = \{a \in X: \alpha_D(a) = \alpha_D(0) \text{ and } \beta_D(a) = \beta_D(0)\}$ is a prime semi d-ideals.

Definition (2.12):[2] A non-constant intuitionistic fuzzy ideal A of a d-algebra X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal if for any intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal B of X , if $A \subseteq B$, then either $B_* = A_*$ or $B_* = X$.

Theorem (2.13) : [2] Let A is an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal of a d-algebra X , then A_* is a maximal semi d-ideal of X .

Definition (2.14): [2] Let A be an IFS of X . Then the least IFSD – ideal of X containing A is called the IFSD – ideal of X generated by A and is denoted by $\langle A \rangle$.

3. Topological spectrum

In this section we introduce the spectrum of d-algebra and we discuss the relationship between some algebraic and topological properties of d-algebra .

Notation (3.1) :

- (i) $\chi = \{P, P \text{ is IFPSd – ideal of } X\}$
- (ii) $V(A) = \{P \in \chi, A \subseteq P, \text{ whrer } A \text{ is an IFSD – ideal of } X\}$.
- (iii) $\chi(A) = \chi \setminus V(A)$ the complement of $V(A)$ in X , $\chi(A) = \{P \in \chi, A \not\subseteq P\}$.

Lemma (3.2) : Let A and B be IFSD – ideal. If $A \subseteq B$, then $V(B) \subseteq V(A)$.

proof : Let $P \in V(B)$ that implies $B \subseteq P$, and so $A \subseteq B \subseteq P$ that mean $P \in V(A)$.

proposition (3.3) : If P is a smallest IFPSd – ideal containing A , then $V(A) = V(P)$.

proof : It is clear that $V(P) \subseteq V(A)$ by lemma (3.2) . Now let $P_1 \in V(A)$, so $A \subseteq P_1$, but P is a smallest IFPSd – ideal containing A , so $P \subseteq P_1$, then $P_1 \in V(A)$. Thus $V(A) = V(P)$.

Proposition (3.4) : Let A be an IFSD – ideal, then $V(\langle A \rangle) = V(A)$.

proof : Let $P \in V(A)$ that implies $A \subseteq P$, and so $\langle A \rangle \subseteq P$. Hence $P \in V(\langle A \rangle)$.

Conversely , let $P \in V(\langle A \rangle)$, then $\langle A \rangle \subseteq P$, note that so $A \subseteq \langle A \rangle \subseteq P$, we get $P \in V(A)$.
Therefore $V(\langle A \rangle) = V(A)$.

Proposition (3.5) : Let A and B be two *IFSD – ideal* , then $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A) \cup V(B)$

Proof :Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$, so $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A)$ and $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(B)$. Thus $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A) \cup V(B)$.

Definition (3.6) : For an *IFPSd – ideal* A of X . The prime radical $rad(A)$ of A is the intersection of all

IFSD – ideals of X containing A . In case there is no such *IFSPd – ideal* , then $rad(A) = \tilde{1}$.

Proposition (3.7) : Let A be an *IFSD – ideal* , then

- i) $A \subseteq rad(A)$
- ii) $rad(rad(A)) = rad(A)$
- iii) If A *IFPSd – ideal* , then $rad(A) = A$
- iv) If $A \subseteq B$, then $rad(A) \subseteq rad(B)$

proof :

- i) It is clear that $A \subseteq \cap\{P_i, P \in A, \forall i \in \Lambda\}$.
- ii) We can easily show that $\cap\{P_i, rad(A) \subseteq P\} = \cap\{P_i, \cap\{\hat{P}_i, A \subseteq \hat{P}\}, \cap\{\hat{P}_i, A \subseteq \hat{P}\} \subseteq P\}$ for all $i \in \Lambda$, so $A \subseteq P$, then $rad(rad(A)) = rad(A)$.
- iii) Since A is an *IFPSd – ideal* , then $\cap P_i = A$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ this mean $rad(A) = A$.
- iv) It is clear .

Proposition (3.8) : For any *IFSD – ideal* A and B the following are hold

- i) $V(A) = V(rad(A))$
- ii) $V(A) = V(B)$ if and only if $rad(A) = rad(B)$.

proof :

- i) Since $A \subseteq rad(A)$, then $V(rad(A)) \subseteq V(A)$. Now let $P \in V(A)$, thus $A \subseteq P$, so $rad(A) = \cap\{\hat{P}_i \in Spec(X): A \subseteq \hat{P}\}$, this imply that $rad(A) \subseteq P$. Thus $P \in V(rad(A))$, then $V(A) \subseteq V(rad(A))$. Hence $V(A) = V(rad(A))$.
- ii) It is clear .

proposition (3.9): If f is a d-morphisim from X to \hat{X} , then $f(x_{(\mu,v)}) = (f(x))_{(\mu,v)}$, for all $x \in X$ and for all $\mu, v \in (0, 1]$ such that $\mu + v \leq 1$.

proof : Let $y \in \hat{X}$ be any element, then $f(x_{(\mu,v)})(y) = \langle \alpha_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y), \beta_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) \rangle$, where

$$\alpha_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) = \sup \left\{ \alpha_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(p), f(p) = y \right\} = \begin{cases} \mu ; & \text{if } p = x, y = f(x) \\ 0 ; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \alpha_{(f(x))_{(\mu,v)}}(y) , \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) = \inf \left\{ \beta_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(p), f(p) = y \right\} = \begin{cases} v ; & \text{if } p = x, y = f(x) \\ 0 ; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \beta_{(f(x))_{(\mu,v)}}(y) .$$

Hence $f(x_{(\mu,v)}) = (f(x))_{(\mu,v)}$.

Definition (3.10) : Let A and B are *IFS* we will define $A.B = \{ \langle a, \alpha_{A.B}(a), \beta_{A.B}(a) \rangle : a \in X \} = \langle \alpha_A \cdot \alpha_B, \beta_A \cdot \beta_B \rangle$

Theorem (3.11) : Let $T = \{ \chi(A), A \text{ is } IFSD - \text{ideal in } X \}$. Then T is a topology on χ .

proof : Since $V(\tilde{0}) = X$ and $V(\tilde{1}) = \emptyset$, so that $\chi(\tilde{0}) = \emptyset$ and $\chi(\tilde{1}) = X$, and that implies $\emptyset, X \in T$.

Next let A_1 and A_2 be any two *IFSD – ideal*. Then let $B \in V(A_1) \cup V(A_2)$ that mean $A_1 \subseteq B$ or $A_2 \subseteq B$ then $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq B$, so $B \in V(A_1 \cap A_2)$, and if

$B \in V(A_1 \cap A_2)$ we get that $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq B$ and that's mean $A_1.A_2 \subseteq B$ then $A_1 \subseteq B$ or $A_2 \subseteq B$ and thus $B \in V(A_1) \cup V(A_2)$. Hence $V(A_1) \cup V(A_2) = V(A_1 \cap A_2)$, so $\chi(A_1) \cap \chi(A_2) = \chi(A_1 \cap A_2)$,

and that mean

$\chi(A_1) \cap \chi(A_2) = \chi(A_1 \cap A_2)$. This show that T closed under finite intersection .

Finally, let $\{A_i, i \in \Lambda\}$ be any family of *IFSD – ideal* of X it can be easily confirm that $\cup \{V(A_i), i \in \Lambda\} = V(\langle \cup \{A_i, i \in \Lambda\} \rangle)$. In other words , $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \chi(A_i) = \chi(\langle \cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i \rangle)$. Hence T is closed under arbitrary unions . Thus T is a topology on X .

Remark (3.12) : The topological space (X, T) defined in theorem (3.11) is called the intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal spectrum of d-algebra and is denoted by *IFPSd – Spec(X)* or for convenience χ .

Notations (3.13) :

1- We will denoted for all $x \notin \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$ by \tilde{x} , and for all $x \in$

$\cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$ by \check{x} .

2- Let A be an *IFS* of the X . Put $\wedge(A) = \{(\alpha_0, \beta_0), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in [0,1]$ such that $\alpha_i + \beta_i \leq 1$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem (3.14) : Let $x, y \in X$ and $\mu, v \in [0, 1]$ such that $\mu + v \leq 1$, then

i) $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \cap \chi(y_{(\mu,v)}) = \chi(xy_{(\mu,v)})$

ii) $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) = \emptyset$ if and only if x is \check{x} .

iii) $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) = \chi$ if and only if x is \tilde{x} in X .

proof :

i) If $P \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \cap \chi(y_{(\mu,v)})$, then $P \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)})$ and $P \in \chi(y_{(\mu,v)})$, that means $x_{(\mu,v)} \not\subseteq P$ and $y_{(\mu,v)} \not\subseteq P$, and that implies $\alpha_P(x) < \mu, \beta_P(x) > v$ and $\alpha_P(y) < \mu, \beta_P(y) > v$. Thus $\mu > \alpha_P(x) = \alpha_P(y) = \alpha_P(xy)$, and $v < \beta_P(x) = \beta_P(y) = \beta_P(xy)$, since $P_* = \{x \in X : \alpha_P(x) = 1, \beta_P(x) = 0\}$ is a prime semi d-ideal of X and $\wedge(P) = \{(0,1), (\mu, v)\}$ implies that $\alpha_P(a) = \alpha_P(b)$ and $\beta_P(a) = \beta_P(b)$ for all $a, b \in X \setminus P_*$ and $x, y, xy \notin P_*$. Then $xy_{(\mu,v)} \not\subseteq P$, which means that $P \in \chi(xy_{(\mu,v)})$. The proof of (i) is complete, since all the implication can be reversed.

ii) Let J be any prim semi d-ideal of d-algebra X and let X_J be the intuitionistic fuzzy characteristic function of J . It is follows that $X_J \in X$. Next if $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) = \emptyset$, then $V(x_{(\mu,v)}) = X$, which implies that $x_{(\mu,v)} \subseteq X_J$, and therefore $\alpha_{X_J}(x) = 1$ and $\beta_{X_J}(x) = 0$, so $x \in J$. Thus $x \in \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$. Hence x is \check{x} . Conversely, assume that x is \check{x} . Let $A \in X$, then A_* is prim semi d-ideal of X , and $x \in A_*$, therefore $\alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0$. Hence $\mu = \alpha_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x) \leq \alpha_A(x)$ and $v = \beta_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x) \geq \beta_A(x)$, where $x_{(\mu,v)} \subseteq A$ for all $A \in X$. Thus $V(x_{(\mu,v)}) = X$, i.e. $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) = \emptyset$.

iii) Let J be any prim semi d-ideal of d-algebra X and let X_J be the intuitionistic fuzzy characteristic function of J . Now if $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) = X$, then $V(x_{(\mu,v)}) = \emptyset$, which implies that $x_{(\mu,v)} \not\subseteq X_J$, and therefore $\alpha_{X_J}(x) < \mu$ and $\beta_{X_J}(x) > v$, so $x \notin J$. Thus $x \notin \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$. Hence x is \tilde{x} . The converse in the converse way .

Theorem (3.15) : The sub-family $\{\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}), x \in X \text{ and } \mu, v \in (0, 1] \text{ such that } \mu + v \leq 1\}$ of χ is a base for T .

proof : Let $\chi(A) \in T$, and let $B \in \chi(A)$, then $\alpha_B(x) < \alpha_A(x)$ and $\beta_B(x) > \beta_A(x)$ for some $x \in X$. Let $\alpha_A(x) = \mu$ and $\beta_A(x) = v$, then $x_{(\mu,v)} \notin A$ and so $A \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)})$. Now $V(A) \subseteq V(x_{(\mu,v)})$, because if $P \in V(A)$, then $\alpha_P(x) \geq \alpha_A(x) = \mu = \alpha_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x)$, and $\beta_P(x) \leq \beta_A(x) = v = \beta_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x)$. So that $x_{(\mu,v)} \subseteq P$ and thus $P \in V(x_{(\mu,v)})$. Hence $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \subseteq \chi(A)$. Thus $B \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \subseteq \chi(A)$. And this complete the proof.

Theorem (3.16) : $\text{Spec}(X)$ is disconnected if and only if there exist two *IFSD – ideal* A, B such that $\text{rad}(A \cup B) = \text{rad}(1)$ and $\text{rad}(A \cap B) = \text{rad}(0)$.

proof : Let $\text{spec}(X)$ be disconnected, then there exist two *IFSD – ideal* A, B in X such that $\chi(A) \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(B) \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(A) \cap \chi(B) = \emptyset$, $\chi(A) \cup \chi(B) = \text{spec}(X)$. That is mean $\chi(A) \cap \chi(B) = \chi(\tilde{0})$ and $\chi(A) \cup \chi(B) = \chi(\tilde{1})$. Thus $\chi(A \cap B) = \chi(\tilde{0})$ and $\chi(A \cup B) = \chi(\tilde{1})$. So by proposition (3.10)(ii) " we get $\text{rad}(A \cap B) = \text{rad}(\tilde{0})$ and $\text{rad}(A \cup B) = \text{rad}(\tilde{1})$. and in the converse way the proof will complete.

Recall that a subset A of a topological space X is called strongly connected (s-connected) when we get for any open subset U and V of X , if $A \subseteq U \cup V$, then $A \subseteq U$ or $A \subseteq V$. [12]

Theorem (3.17) : Any subset of $\text{spec}(X)$ is S-connected.

proof : Let \wp be a collection of an *IFPSd – ideal* of $\text{spec}(X)$, and let C, D be an *IFSD – ideal* in X . Since $\wp \subseteq \chi(C) \cup \chi(D) \subseteq \chi(C \cup D)$. Then by proposition (3.5) we get that $\wp \subseteq \chi(C)$ or $\wp \subseteq \chi(D)$ and this complete the proof.

Theorem (3.18) : $\text{Spec}(X)$ is a T_0 – space.

Proof : Let $A, B \in \chi$ and $A \neq B$. Then either $A \not\subseteq B$ or $B \not\subseteq A$. Let $A \not\subseteq B$ then $B \notin V(A)$, but $A \in V(A)$, Then $B \in X(A)$, and $A \notin X(A)$. Now let $B \not\subseteq A$ similarly we can get $A \in X(B)$ but $B \notin X(B)$. It follow that $\text{spec}(X)$ is a T_0 – space.

Theorem (3.19) : In $\text{Spec}(X)$, $V(A) = \{\overline{A}\}$ for all *IFSD – ideal* in X

proof : It is clear that $\{\overline{A}\} \subseteq V(A)$, since $V(A)$ is closed set containing A . Now let $B \notin \{\overline{A}\}$, then there exist an open set $X \setminus V(C)$ containing B but not A , therefore $C \not\subseteq B$, but $C \subseteq A$ and so $B \notin V(A)$. Thus $V(A) \subseteq \{\overline{A}\}$, and that complete the proof.

Corollary (3.20) : $B \in \{\overline{A}\}$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$.

proof : it is follow directly from theorem (3.19).

Theorem (3.21) : Let $Y = \{P \in X: \wedge(P) = \{(0,1), (\mu, v)\}: \mu, v \in [0, 1) \text{ such that } \mu + v \leq 1\}$, then Y is T_1 if and only if every singleton element of Y is an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal of X .

proof : we need to show that the semi d-ideal $A_* = \{x \in X, \alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0\}$ is a maximal semi d-ideal. It is sufficient to show that there is no prime semi d-ideal of X containing A_* . Let J is a prim semi d-ideal containing A_* , consider an *IFSD – ideal* B of X defined by $\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ \mu & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ and

$\beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ v & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$, where $\mu + v \leq 1$. Then $B \in Y$ and A containing in B . This contradiction the fact that $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$.

Conversely, let A is an *IFMSd – ideal* then the ideal $A_* = \{x \in X, \alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0\}$ is maximal, we claim that $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$. Clearly $\{A\} \subseteq V(A) \cap Y$. Now if $B \in V(A) \cap Y$, then $A \subseteq B$ and $A_* \subseteq B_*$. This mean that $A_* = B_*$, since A_* is a maximal semi d-ideal. Hence $B = A$, since $\wedge(A) = \wedge(B) = \{(1,0), (\mu, v)\}$, therefore $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$, consequently $\{A\}$ is closed subset of Y .

Theorem (3.22) : If every prime semi d-ideal in X is maximal, Then the space *IFPSd – Spec(X)* is not Hausdorff.

proof : Let J be a prim semi d-ideal of X , consider two *IFPSd – ideals* A, B of X defined by $\alpha_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.1 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ and $\beta_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.2 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$, $\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ $\beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.4 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ Let $X(x_{(\mu,v)})$ and $X(y_{(\mu,v)})$ be any two basic open set in X containing A and B respectively where $x, y \in X$ and $\mu + v \leq 1$. Then $x_{(\mu,v)} \notin A$ and $y_{(\mu,v)} \notin B$, and so $x \notin A_* = J$ and $y \notin B_* = J$. Since J is prime then $xy \notin J$, then xy is not nilpotent and so by theorem " (3.14) (i) and (ii) " we have $X(x_{(\mu,v)}) \cap X(y_{(\mu,v)}) = X(xy_{(\mu,v)}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence X is not Hausdorff.

References

- [1] A. K. Hasan, " Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal of d-algebra ", Journal of Iraqi AL-Khwarizmi society, Vol. 1 Issue :1 December 2017, 85-91
- [2] A. K. Hasan, Osamah A. Shaheed, " Intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal of d-algebra ", to appear .
- [3] D. Coker, " An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88 (1997), 81–89.
- [4] H. K. Abdullah , A. K. Hassan , " fuzzy filter spectrum of d-algebra " , Lambert academic publishing, 2017.
- [5] H. K. Abdullah , A. K. Hassan , " semi d-ideal " , journal of Kerbala 11(2013) NO.3 Scientific 192-197.
- [6] I. Bakhadach , S. Melliani, M. Oukessou and S.L. Chadli,(2016), Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal in a ring, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 22, no. 2 pp., 59-63.
- [7] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, " on d-algebra ", Math. Slovaca . 49(1999) No.1, 19-26.
- [8] J. Neggers; Y. B. Jun; H. S. Kim , " On d-ideals in d-algebras " , Mathematica Slovaca. 49 (1999), No. 3, 243--251
- [9] K. Iseki, " An algebra Relation with Propositional Calculus " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 26-29.
- [10] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets" , Fuzzy sets and Systems 35 (1986), 87–96.
- [11] L. A. Zadeh , " Fuzzy set ", Inform. And Control. 8(1965), 338-353.
- [12] N. Levine , " Strongly connected set in topology " , A. M. M 72(1965), 1098-1101 .
- [13] Y. B. Jun , H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo, " Intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra " , Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, e-(2006), 1289–1297 .
- [14] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers, and H. S. Kim, " Fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras " , J. Fuzzy Math. 8(2000), No. 1, 123–130.
- [15] Y. Iami and K. Iseki, " On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 19-20.