

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal spectrum

To cite this article: Habeeb Kareem Abdullah and Ali Khalid Hasan 2019 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **571** 012032

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices  
to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the **collection** - download the first chapter of  
every title for free.

# Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal spectrum

Habeeb Kareem Abdullah<sup>1</sup> and Ali Khalid Hasan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, Kufa University, Najaf, Iraq .

<sup>2</sup>Directorate General of Education in Karbala province Ministry of Education, Iraq

E-mail: <sup>1</sup> habeebk.abdullah@uokufa.edu.iq . and <sup>2</sup>alimathfruit@gmail.com

**Abstract.** The main purpose of this paper is to study the spectrum of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal in d-algebra, and the relationship between the topological properties and the algebraic properties of the Spectrum of d-algebra  $X$  with respecting to connectedness and separation axioms .

## 1. Introduction

BCK-algebra is a classe of abstract algebras introduced by Y. Imai and K. Iseki [9,15] . A d-algebra is a useful generalization of BCK-algebra was introduced by J. Negger and H. S. Kim [7]. J. Negger , Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim [8] discussed ideal theory in d-algebra. After the introduction of intuitionistic fuzzy set by Atanassov in 1986 [10], there was a number of generalizations of this concept . This concept was generalizations for fuzzy set concept which was introduced by Zadeh in 1965 [11]. In [14] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers and H. S. Kim apply the ideal theory in fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras . H. K. Abdullah and A. K. Hasan introduce the notation of semi d-ideal of d-algebra in [5]. Y. B. Jun , H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo in [13] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra. A. K. Hasan introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideals of d-algebra in [1] . Ali K. Hasan and Osamah A. Shaheed introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideals of d-algebra in [2], and in this paper we study the spectrum of intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal in d-algebra, and the relationship between the topological properties and the algebraic properties of the d-algebra  $X$  . Also we consider strongly connected and separated properties .

## 2. Background

This section contains some basic about intuitionistic fuzzy set and the ordinary and intuitionistic fuzzy concepts about semi d-ideal and prime semi d-ideal in d-algebra, with some theorems and propositions.

**Definition (2.1) :** [7] A d-algebra is any non-empty set  $X$  with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant  $0$  which satisfies that:

- I.  $a * a = 0$
- II.  $0 * a = 0$
- III. If  $a * b = b * a = 0$  then  $a = b \forall a, b \in X$ .

We will refer to  $a * b$  by  $ab$ , and it is said to be commutative if  $a(ab) = b(ba)$  for all  $a, b \in X$ , and  $b(ba)$  is denoted by  $(a \wedge b)$ . Every set  $X$  in the following is a d-algebra

**Definition (2.2) :** [5] A semi d-ideal of a d-algebra  $X$  is a non empty subset  $J$  of  $X$  satisfies i)  $a, b \in J$  imply  $ab \in J$  ,

ii)  $ab \in J$  and  $b \in J$  imply  $a \in J$  , for all  $a, b \in X$

**Definition(2.3) :** [4] In a commutative d-algebra  $X$  , a semi d-ideal  $I$  is said to be prime if  $a \wedge b \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  , for all  $a, b \in X$  .

**Definition (2.4) [10] :** An IFS " intuitionistic fuzzy set "  $A$  in a set  $X$  is an object having the form  $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$  , such that  $\alpha_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  and  $\beta_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  denoted the degree of



membership (namely  $\alpha_A(a)$ ) and the degree of non membership (namely  $\beta_A(a)$ ) for any elements  $a \in X$  to the set  $A$ , and  $0 \leq \alpha_A(a) + \beta_A(a) \leq 1$ , for all  $a \in X$ . To simplicity, we shall use  $A = \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A \rangle$  instead of  $A = \{ \langle a, \alpha_A(a), \beta_A(a) \rangle : a \in X \}$ .

**Definition (2.5) :** [3] Let  $X, Y$  be d-algebra and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homomorphism mapping, and  $C$  be IFS

in  $X$  we define IFS,  $f(C)$  in  $Y$ , by

$$f(C)_{(Y)} = \langle \alpha_{f(C)}(b), \beta_{f(C)}(b) \rangle, \text{ where } \alpha_{f(C)}(b) = \begin{cases} \sup \alpha_C(a) & a \in X, f(a) = b \text{ if } f^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwais} \end{cases}, \text{ and} \\ \beta_{f(C)}(b) = \begin{cases} \inf \beta_C(a) & a \in X, f(a) = b \text{ if } f^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwais} \end{cases} \text{ for each } b \in Y.$$

**Definition (2.6)** [6] : Let  $\mu, v \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu + v \leq 1$ . An intuitionistic fuzzy point  $x_{(\mu, v)}$  is defined

to be an IFS in  $X$ , define by  $x_{(\mu, v)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\mu, v) & \text{if } y = x \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$  for all  $y$  in  $X$ , and  $x_{(\mu, v)} \in A$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$  and  $\beta \geq v_A(x)$ .

**Notation (2.7):** Let  $A$  be an IFS of a d-algebra  $X$ . We denote a level cut set  $A_*$  by  $A_* = \{x \in X :$

$$\alpha_A(x) = \alpha_A(0), \beta_A(x) = \beta_A(0)\}.$$

**Definition (2.8)** [3] : The IFS  $\tilde{0}$  and  $\tilde{1}$  in  $X$  are define as  $\tilde{0} = \{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle, x \in X\}$  and  $\tilde{1} = \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle, x \in X\}$ , where 1 and 0 represent the constant maps sending every element of  $X$  to 1 and 0, respectively.

**Definition (2.9)** [1] : An intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal of  $X$ , "shortly IFSD – ideal", is an IFS  $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$  in  $X$  satisfies the following inequalities :

$$(IFSd_1) \alpha_D(a) \geq \min\{\alpha_D(ab), \alpha_D(b)\}, (IFSd_2) \beta_D(a) \leq \max\{\beta_D(ab), \beta_D(b)\} \\ (IFSd_3) \alpha_D(ab) \geq \min\{\alpha_D(a), \alpha_D(b)\}, \text{ and } (IFSd_4) \beta_D(ab) \leq \max\{\beta_D(a), \beta_D(b)\}, \text{ for all } a, b \in X.$$

**Definition(2.10)** [2] : An IFSD – ideal  $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$  of  $X$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal " shortly IFPSd – ideal " in  $X$  if it is satisfies  $(IFPSd_1) \alpha_D(a \wedge b) \leq \max\{\alpha_D(a), \alpha_D(b)\}$

$$(IFPSd_2) \beta_D(a \wedge b) \geq \min\{\beta_D(a), \beta_D(b)\}, \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

**Theorem (2.11)** [2] : If  $D = \langle \alpha_D, \beta_D \rangle$  is an IFPSd – ideal, then the set  $A_* = \{a \in X: \alpha_D(a) = \alpha_D(0) \text{ and } \beta_D(a) = \beta_D(0)\}$  is a prime semi d-ideals.

**Definition (2.12):**[2] A non-constant intuitionistic fuzzy ideal  $A$  of a d-algebra  $X$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal if for any intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal  $B$  of  $X$ , if  $A \subseteq B$ , then either  $B_* = A_*$  or  $B_* = X$ .

**Theorem (2.13)** : [2] Let  $A$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal of a d-algebra  $X$ , then  $A_*$  is a maximal semi d-ideal of  $X$ .

**Definition (2.14):** [2] Let  $A$  be an IFS of  $X$ . Then the least IFSD – ideal of  $X$  containing  $A$  is called the IFSD – ideal of  $X$  generated by  $A$  and is denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$ .

### 3. Topological spectrum

In this section we introduce the spectrum of d-algebra and we discuss the relationship between some algebraic and topological properties of d-algebra .

**Notation (3.1) :**

- (i)  $\chi = \{P, P \text{ is IFPSd – ideal of } X\}$
- (ii)  $V(A) = \{P \in \chi, A \subseteq P, \text{ whrer } A \text{ is an IFSD – ideal of } X\}.$
- (iii)  $\chi(A) = \chi \setminus V(A)$  the complement of  $V(A)$  in  $X$ ,  $\chi(A) = \{P \in \chi, A \not\subseteq P\}.$

**Lemma (3.2)** : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be IFSD – ideal. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $V(B) \subseteq V(A)$  .

proof : Let  $P \in V(B)$  that implies  $B \subseteq P$ , and so  $A \subseteq B \subseteq P$  that mean  $P \in V(A)$  .

**proposition (3.3)** : If  $P$  is a smallest IFPSd – ideal containing  $A$ , then  $V(A) = V(P)$  .

proof : It is clear that  $V(P) \subseteq V(A)$  by lemma (3.2) . Now let  $P_1 \in V(A)$ , so  $A \subseteq P_1$ , but  $P$  is a smallest IFPSd – ideal containing  $A$ , so  $P \subseteq P_1$ , then  $P_1 \in V(A)$  . Thus  $V(A) = V(P)$  .

**Proposition (3.4)** : Let  $A$  be an IFSD – ideal, then  $V(\langle A \rangle) = V(A)$  .

proof : Let  $P \in V(A)$  that implies  $A \subseteq P$ , and so  $\langle A \rangle \subseteq P$ . Hence  $P \in V(\langle A \rangle)$  .

Conversely, let  $P \in V(\langle A \rangle)$ , then  $\langle A \rangle \subseteq P$ , note that so  $A \subseteq \langle A \rangle \subseteq P$ , we get  $P \in V(A)$ . Therefore  $V(\langle A \rangle) = V(A)$ .

**Proposition (3.5)** : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two *IFSD – ideal*, then  $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A) \cup V(B)$

Proof : Since  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ , so  $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A)$  and  $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(B)$ . Thus  $V(A \cup B) \subseteq V(A) \cup V(B)$ .

**Definition (3.6)** : For an *IFPSd – ideal*  $A$  of  $X$ . The prime radical  $rad(A)$  of  $A$  is the intersection of all

*IFSD – ideals* of  $X$  containing  $A$ . In case there is no such *IFSPd – ideal*, then  $rad(A) = \tilde{1}$ .

**Proposition (3.7)** : Let  $A$  be an *IFSD – ideal*, then

i)  $A \subseteq rad(A)$

ii)  $rad(rad(A)) = rad(A)$

iii) If  $A$  *IFPSd – ideal*, then  $rad(A) = A$

iv) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $rad(A) \subseteq rad(B)$

proof :

i) It is clear that  $A \subseteq \cap \{P_i, P \in A, \forall i \in \Lambda\}$ .

ii) We can easily show that  $\cap \{P_i, rad(A) \subseteq P\} = \cap \{P_i, \cap [P_i, A \subseteq P], \cap \{P_i, A \subseteq P\} \subseteq P\}$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$ , so  $A \subseteq P$ , then  $rad(rad(A)) = rad(A)$ .

iii) Since  $A$  is an *IFPSd – ideal*, then  $\cap P_i = A$  for all  $i \in \Lambda$  this mean  $rad(A) = A$ .

iv) It is clear.

**Proposition (3.8)** : For any *IFSD – ideal*  $A$  and  $B$  the following are hold

i)  $V(A) = V(rad(A))$

ii)  $V(A) = V(B)$  if and only if  $rad(A) = rad(B)$ .

proof :

i) Since  $A \subseteq rad(A)$ , then  $V(rad(A)) \subseteq V(A)$ . Now let  $P \in V(A)$ , thus  $A \subseteq P$ , so  $rad(A) = \cap \{P_i \in Spec(X) : A \subseteq P_i\}$ , this imply that  $rad(A) \subseteq P$ . Thus  $P \in V(rad(A))$ , then  $V(A) \subseteq V(rad(A))$ . Hence  $V(A) = V(rad(A))$ .

ii) It is clear.

**proposition (3.9)**: If  $f$  is a d-morphism from  $X$  to  $\hat{X}$ , then  $f(x_{(\mu,v)}) = (f(x))_{(\mu,v)}$ , for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $\mu, v \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\mu + v \leq 1$ .

proof : Let  $y \in \hat{X}$  be any element, then  $f(x_{(\mu,v)})(y) = \langle \alpha_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y), \beta_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) \rangle$ , where

$$\alpha_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) = \sup \{ \alpha_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(p), f(p) = y \} = \begin{cases} \mu; & \text{if } p = x, y = f(x) \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \alpha_{(f(x))_{(\mu,v)}}(y), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta_{f(x_{(\mu,v)})}(y) = \inf \{ \beta_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(p), f(p) = y \} = \begin{cases} v; & \text{if } p = x, y = f(x) \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \beta_{(f(x))_{(\mu,v)}}(y).$$

Hence  $f(x_{(\mu,v)}) = (f(x))_{(\mu,v)}$ .

**Definition (3.10)** : Let  $A$  and  $B$  are *IFS* we will define  $A.B = \{ \langle a, \alpha_{A.B}(a), \beta_{A.B}(a) \rangle : a \in X \} = \langle \alpha_A \cdot \alpha_B, \beta_A \cdot \beta_B \rangle$

**Theorem (3.11)** : Let  $T = \{ \chi(A), A \text{ is IFSD – ideal in } X \}$ . Then  $T$  is a topology on  $\chi$ .

proof : Since  $V(\tilde{0}) = X$  and  $V(\tilde{1}) = \emptyset$ , so that  $\chi(\tilde{0}) = \emptyset$  and  $\chi(\tilde{1}) = X$ , and that implies  $\emptyset, X \in T$ .

Next let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be any two *IFSD – ideal*. Then let  $B \in V(A_1) \cup V(A_2)$  that mean  $A_1 \subseteq B$  or  $A_2 \subseteq B$  then  $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq B$ , so  $B \in V(A_1 \cap A_2)$ , and if

$B \in V(A_1 \cap A_2)$  we get that  $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq B$  and that's mean  $A_1.A_2 \subseteq B$  then  $A_1 \subseteq B$  or  $A_2 \subseteq B$  and thus  $B \in V(A_1) \cup V(A_2)$ . Hence  $V(A_1) \cup V(A_2) = V(A_1 \cap A_2)$ , so  $\chi(A_1) \cap \chi(A_2) = \chi(A_1 \cap A_2)$ ,

and that mean

$\chi(A_1) \cap \chi(A_2) = \chi(A_1 \cap A_2)$ . This show that  $T$  closed under finite intersection .

Finally, let  $\{A_i, i \in \Lambda\}$  be any family of *IFSD – ideal* of  $X$  it can be easily confirm that  $\cup \{V(A_i), i \in \Lambda\} = V(\langle \cup \{A_i, i \in \Lambda\} \rangle)$ . In other words ,  $\cup_{i \in \Lambda} \chi(A_i) = \chi(\langle \cup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i \rangle)$ . Hence  $T$  is closed under arbitrary unions . Thus  $T$  is a topology on  $X$ .

**Remark (3.12) :** The topological space  $(X, T)$  defined in theorem (3.11) is called the intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal spectrum of d-algebra and is denoted by *IFPSd – Spec*( $X$ ) or for convenience  $\chi$ .

**Notations (3.13) :**

1- We will denoted for all  $x \notin \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$  by  $\tilde{x}$ , and for all  $x \in$

$\cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$  by  $\check{x}$ .

2- Let  $A$  be an *IFS* of the  $X$ . Put  $\wedge(A) = \{(\alpha_0, \beta_0), (\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ , where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha_i + \beta_i \leq 1$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem (3.14) :** Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\mu, v \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu + v \leq 1$ , then

$$i) \chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) \cap \chi(y_{(\mu, v)}) = \chi(xy_{(\mu, v)})$$

$$ii) \chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) = \emptyset \text{ if and only if } x \text{ is } \check{x}.$$

$$iii) \chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) = \chi \text{ if and only if } x \text{ is } \tilde{x} \text{ in } X.$$

proof :

i) If  $P \in \chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) \cap \chi(y_{(\mu, v)})$ , then  $P \in \chi(x_{(\mu, v)})$  and  $P \in \chi(y_{(\mu, v)})$ , that means  $x_{(\mu, v)} \not\subseteq P$  and  $y_{(\mu, v)} \not\subseteq P$ , and that implies  $\alpha_P(x) < \mu, \beta_P(x) > v$  and  $\alpha_P(y) < \mu, \beta_P(y) > v$ . Thus  $\mu > \alpha_P(x) = \alpha_P(y) = \alpha_P(xy)$ , and  $v < \beta_P(x) = \beta_P(y) = \beta_P(xy)$ , since  $P_* = \{x \in X : \alpha_P(x) = 1, \beta_P(x) = 0\}$  is a prime semi d-ideal of  $X$  and  $\wedge(P) = \{(0, 1), (\mu, v)\}$  implies that  $\alpha_P(a) = \alpha_P(b)$  and  $\beta_P(a) = \beta_P(b)$  for all  $a, b \in X \setminus P_*$  and  $x, y, xy \notin P_*$ . Then  $xy_{(\mu, v)} \not\subseteq P$ , which means that  $P \in \chi(xy_{(\mu, v)})$ . The proof of (i) is complete, since all the implication can be reversed.

ii) Let  $J$  be any prim semi d-ideal of d-algebra  $X$  and let  $X_J$  be the intuitionistic fuzzy characteristic function of  $J$ . It is follows that  $X_J \in X$ . Next if  $\chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) = \emptyset$ , then  $V(x_{(\mu, v)}) = X$ , which implies that  $x_{(\mu, v)} \subseteq X_J$ , and therefore  $\alpha_{X_J}(x) = 1$  and  $\beta_{X_J}(x) = 0$ , so  $x \in J$ . Thus  $x \in \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$ . Hence  $x$  is  $\check{x}$ . Conversely, assume that  $x$  is  $\check{x}$ . Let  $A \in X$ , then  $A_*$  is prim semi d-ideal of  $X$ , and  $x \in A_*$ , therefore  $\alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu = \alpha_{x_{(\mu, v)}}(x) \leq \alpha_A(x)$  and  $v = \beta_{x_{(\mu, v)}}(x) \geq \beta_A(x)$ , where  $x_{(\mu, v)} \subseteq A$  for all  $A \in X$ . Thus  $V(x_{(\mu, v)}) = X$ , i.e.  $\chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) = \emptyset$ .

iii) Let  $J$  be any prim semi d-ideal of d-algebra  $X$  and let  $X_J$  be the intuitionistic fuzzy characteristic function of  $J$ . Now if  $\chi(x_{(\mu, v)}) = X$ , then  $V(x_{(\mu, v)}) = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $x_{(\mu, v)} \not\subseteq X_J$ , and therefore  $\alpha_{X_J}(x) < \mu$  and  $\beta_{X_J}(x) > v$ , so  $x \notin J$ . Thus  $x \notin \cap \{J: J \text{ is prime semi d – ideal in } X\}$ . Hence  $x$  is  $\tilde{x}$ . The converse in the converse way.

**Theorem (3.15) :** The sub-family  $\{\chi(x_{(\mu, v)}), x \in X \text{ and } \mu, v \in (0, 1] \text{ such that } \mu + v \leq 1\}$  of  $\chi$  is a base for  $T$ .

proof : Let  $\chi(A) \in T$ , and let  $B \in \chi(A)$ , then  $\alpha_B(x) < \alpha_A(x)$  and  $\beta_B(x) > \beta_A(x)$  for some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\alpha_A(x) = \mu$  and  $\beta_A(x) = v$ , then  $x_{(\mu,v)} \not\subseteq A$  and so  $A \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)})$ . Now  $V(A) \subseteq V(x_{(\mu,v)})$ , because if  $P \in V(A)$ , then  $\alpha_P(x) \geq \alpha_A(x) = \mu = \alpha_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x)$ , and  $\beta_P(x) \leq \beta_A(x) = v = \beta_{x_{(\mu,v)}}(x)$ . So that  $x_{(\mu,v)} \subseteq P$  and thus  $P \in V(x_{(\mu,v)})$ . Hence  $\chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \subseteq \chi(A)$ . Thus  $B \in \chi(x_{(\mu,v)}) \subseteq \chi(A)$ . And this complete the proof.

**Theorem (3.16)** :  $\text{Spec}(X)$  is disconnected if and only if there exist two *IFSD* – ideal  $A, B$  such that  $\text{rad}(A \cup B) = \text{rad}(1)$  and  $\text{rad}(A \cap B) = \text{rad}(0)$ .

proof : Let  $\text{spec}(X)$  be disconnected, then there exist two *IFSD* – ideal  $A, B$  in  $X$  such that  $\chi(A) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\chi(B) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\chi(A) \cap \chi(B) = \emptyset$ ,  $\chi(A) \cup \chi(B) = \text{spec}(X)$ . That is mean  $\chi(A) \cap \chi(B) = \chi(\tilde{0})$  and  $\chi(A) \cup \chi(B) = \chi(\tilde{1})$ . Thus  $\chi(A \cap B) = \chi(\tilde{0})$  and  $\chi(A \cup B) = \chi(\tilde{1})$ . So by proposition (3.10)(ii) " we get  $\text{rad}(A \cap B) = \text{rad}(\tilde{0})$  and  $\text{rad}(A \cup B) = \text{rad}(\tilde{1})$ . and in the converse way the proof will complete.

Recall that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is called strongly connected (s-connected) when we get for any open subset  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$ , if  $A \subseteq U \cup V$ , then  $A \subseteq U$  or  $A \subseteq V$ . [12]

**Theorem (3.17)** : Any subset of  $\text{spec}(X)$  is S-connected.

proof : Let  $\wp$  be a collection of an *IFPSd* – ideal of  $\text{spec}(X)$ , and let  $C, D$  be an *IFSD* – ideal in  $X$ . Since  $\wp \subseteq \chi(C) \cup \chi(D) \subseteq \chi(C \cup D)$ . Then by proposition (3.5) we get that  $\wp \subseteq \chi(C)$  or  $\wp \subseteq \chi(D)$  and this complete the proof.

**Theorem (3.18)** :  $\text{Spec}(X)$  is a  $T_0$  – space.

Proof : Let  $A, B \in \chi$  and  $A \neq B$ . Then either  $A \not\subseteq B$  or  $B \not\subseteq A$ . Let  $A \not\subseteq B$  then  $B \notin V(A)$ , but  $A \in V(A)$ , Then  $B \in X(A)$ , and  $A \notin X(A)$ . Now let  $B \not\subseteq A$  similarly we can get  $A \in X(B)$  but  $B \notin X(B)$ . It follow that  $\text{spec}(X)$  is a  $T_0$  – space.

**Theorem (3.19)** : In  $\text{Spec}(X)$ ,  $V(A) = \{\overline{A}\}$  for all *IFSD* – ideal in  $X$

proof : It is clear that  $\{\overline{A}\} \subseteq V(A)$ , since  $V(A)$  is closed set containing  $A$ . Now let  $B \notin \{\overline{A}\}$ , then there exist an open set  $X \setminus V(C)$  containing  $B$  but not  $A$ , therefore  $C \not\subseteq B$ , but  $C \subseteq A$  and so  $B \notin V(A)$ . Thus  $V(A) \subseteq \{\overline{A}\}$ , and that complete the proof.

**Corollary (3.20)** :  $B \in \{\overline{A}\}$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B$ .

proof : it is follow directly from theorem (3.19).

**Theorem (3.21)** : Let  $Y = \{P \in X : \Lambda(P) = \{(0,1), (\mu, v)\} : \mu, v \in [0, 1) \text{ such that } \mu + v \leq 1\}$ , then  $Y$  is  $T_1$  if and only if every singleton element of  $Y$  is an intuitionistic fuzzy maximal semi d-ideal of  $X$ .

proof : we need to show that the semi d-ideal  $A_* = \{x \in X, \alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0\}$  is a maximal semi d-ideal. It is sufficient to show that there is no prime semi d-ideal of  $X$  containing  $A_*$ . Let  $J$  is a prim semi d-ideal containing  $A_*$ , consider an *IFSD* – ideal  $B$  of  $X$  defined by  $\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ \mu & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$  and

$\beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ v & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ , where  $\mu + v \leq 1$ . Then  $B \in Y$  and  $A$  containing in  $B$ . This contradiction the fact that  $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  is an *IFMSd – ideal* then the ideal  $A_* = \{x \in X, \alpha_A(x) = 1, \beta_A(x) = 0\}$  is maximal, we claim that  $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$ . Clearly  $\{A\} \subseteq V(A) \cap Y$ . Now if  $B \in V(A) \cap Y$ , then  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A_* \subseteq B_*$ . This mean that  $A_* = B_*$ , since  $A_*$  is a maximal semi d-ideal. Hence  $B = A$ , since  $\Lambda(A) = \Lambda(B) = \{(1,0), (\mu, v)\}$ , therefore  $V(A) \cap Y = \{A\}$ , consequently  $\{A\}$  is closed subset of  $Y$ .

**Theorem (3.22) :** If every prime semi d-ideal in  $X$  is maximal, Then the space *IFPSd – Spec(X)* is not Hausdorff.

proof : Let  $J$  be a prim semi d-ideal of  $X$ , consider two *IFPSd – ideals*  $A, B$  of  $X$  defined by  $\alpha_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.1 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$  and  $\beta_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.2 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$ ,  $\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.3 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$   $\beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in J \\ 0.4 & \text{if } x \notin J \end{cases}$  Let  $X(x_{(\mu,v)})$  and  $X(y_{(\mu,v)})$  be any two basic open set in  $X$  containing  $A$  and  $B$  respectively where  $x, y \in X$  and  $\mu + v \leq 1$ . Then  $x_{(\mu,v)} \notin A$  and  $y_{(\mu,v)} \notin B$ , and so  $x \notin A_* = J$  and  $y \notin B_* = J$ . Since  $J$  is prime then  $xy \notin J$ , then  $xy$  is not nilpotent and so by theorem " (3.14) (i) and (ii) " we have  $X(x_{(\mu,v)}) \cap X(y_{(\mu,v)}) = X(xy_{(\mu,v)}) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $X$  is not Hausdorff.

## References

- [1] A. K. Hasan, " Intuitionistic fuzzy semi d-ideal of d-algebra ", Journal of Iraqi AL-Khwarizmi society, Vol. 1 Issue :1 December 2017, 85-91
- [2] A. K. Hasan, Osamah A. Shaheed, " Intuitionistic fuzzy prime semi d-ideal of d-algebra ", to appear .
- [3] D. Coker, " An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88 (1997), 81–89.
- [4] H. K. Abdullah , A. K. Hassan , " fuzzy filter spectrum of d-algebra " , Lambert academic publishing, 2017.
- [5] H. K. Abdullah , A. K. Hassan , " semi d-ideal " , journal of Kerbala 11(2013) NO.3 Scientific 192-197.
- [6] I. Bakhadach , S. Melliani, M. Oukessou and S.L. Chadli,(2016), Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal in a ring, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 22, no. 2 pp., 59-63.
- [7] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, " on d-algebra ", Math. Slovaca . 49(1999) No.1, 19-26.
- [8] J. Neggers; Y. B. Jun; H. S. Kim , " On d-ideals in d-algebras " , Mathematica Slovaca. 49 (1999), No. 3, 243--251
- [9] K. Iseki, " An algebra Relation with Propositional Calculus " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 26-29.
- [10] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets" , Fuzzy sets and Systems 35 (1986), 87–96.
- [11] L. A. Zadeh , " Fuzzy set ", Inform. And Control. 8(1965), 338-353.
- [12] N. Levine , " Strongly connected set in topology " , A. M. M 72(1965), 1098-1101 .
- [13] Y. B. Jun , H. S. Kim and D.S. Yoo, " Intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra " , Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, e-(2006), 1289–1297 .
- [14] Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers, and H. S. Kim, " Fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras " , J. Fuzzy Math. 8(2000), No. 1, 123–130.
- [15] Y. Iami and K. Iseki, " On Axiom System of Propositional Calculi XIV " Proc. Japan Acad, 42 (1966) 19-20.