

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Fuzzy Topological Spectrum of a KU-Algebra

To cite this article: Fatema F. Kareem *et al* 2019 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **571** 012015

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



IOP | ebooks™

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the [collection](#) - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Fuzzy Topological Spectrum of a KU-Algebra

Fatema F.Kareem¹, Reyadh.D.Ali², Samy M. Mostafa³

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, Ibn Al-Haithem, University of Baghdad

² Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, University of Karbala

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, University of Ain Shams, Egypt

Email: ¹ fa_sa20072000@yahoo.com, ² reyadhdelphi@gmail.com, ³ samymostafa@yahoo.com

Abstract. In the present paper, discuss the concept of fuzzy topological spectrum of a bounded commutative KU-algebra and study some of the characteristics of this topology. Also, we show that the fuzzy topological spectrum of this structure is compact and T_1 -space.

2010 AMS Classification: 06F35, 54A05, 03E72

Key words: KU-algebra, fuzzy ideal, fuzzy prime ideal, fuzzy spectrum.

1. Introduction

Iseki [2] introduced BCK-algebras. The topology on $M \ni M = \{\text{all fuzzy prime ideal of a commutative BCK - algebra}\}$ was introduced by [1]. A new algebraic structure which is a KU-algebra was introduced by [5]. In [4] a topology on the set of all prime ideals of a commutative KU-algebra was studied. Now, the purpose of this paper is to define the concept of fuzzy spectrum and discusses some properties of this topological space over a bounded commutative KU-algebra.

2. Preliminaries:

Definition 2.1 [3,5]. Let $M \neq \emptyset$ with a binary operation \bullet and a constant 0 , then $(M, \bullet, 0)$ is a KU - algebra, if

$$(ku_1) (s \bullet w) \bullet [(w \bullet z)) \bullet (s \bullet z)] = 0,$$

$$(ku_2) s \bullet 0 = 0,$$

$$(ku_3) 0 \bullet s = s,$$

$$(ku_4) s \bullet w = 0 \text{ and } w \bullet s = 0 \text{ implies } s = w,$$

$$(ku_5) s \bullet s = 0,$$

For all $s, w, z \in M$.

On a KU-algebra $(M, \bullet, 0)$, a binary relation \leq on M define by:

$u \leq v \Leftrightarrow v \bullet u = 0$. Therefore (M, \leq) is a partially ordered set also 0 is its smallest element. Hence

$(M, \bullet, 0)$ It meets the conditions as it comes: $\forall s, w, z \in M$

$$(ku_{1'}) : (w * z) * (s * z) \leq (s * w)$$

$$(ku_{2'}) : 0 \leq s$$

$$(ku_{3'}) : s \leq w, w \leq s \text{ implies } s = w,$$

$$(ku_{4'}) : w \bullet s \leq s.$$

Theorem 2.2 [3]: Let $(M, \bullet, 0)$ be a KU-algebra, the following axioms are satisfied:

$\forall s, w, z \in M$,

$$(1): s \leq w \text{ imply } w \bullet z \leq s \bullet z,$$

$$(2): s \bullet (w \bullet z) = w \bullet (s \bullet z), \forall s, w, z \in M,$$



(3): $((w \bullet s) \bullet s) \leq w$.

Definition 2.3 [4]: A KU-algebra M is KU-commutative if it satisfies: $(w \bullet s) \bullet s = (s \bullet w) \bullet w, \forall s, w$ in M . For all s, w

$(w * s) * s$ is denoted by $s \wedge w$.

Definition 2.4 [4]: A KU-algebra M is bounded if $\exists e \in M$ satisfying $u \leq e \forall u \in M$. In this case, $\forall u \in M u * e$ is denoted by N_u .

Definition 2.5 [3]: A subset J of a KU-algebra $(M, \bullet, 0)$ is a KU-ideal of M , if

$(I_1) 0 \in J$

$(I_2) \forall s, w, z \in M$, if $s * (w * z) \in J$ and $w \in J$, imply $s * z \in J$.

Theorem 2.6 [4]: Any bounded commutative KU-algebra M with respect to (M, \leq) is a KU-lattice.

Definition 2.7 [4]: Let M be a KU-lattice and Pr a proper ideal of M . Then Pr is a prime if $u \wedge v \in Pr$ implies $u \in Pr$ or $v \in Pr \forall u, v$ in M .

Theorem 2.8 [4]: In a KU-lattice M , a proper ideal Pr of M is a prime if $A \cap B \subseteq Pr$ implies $A \subseteq Pr$ or $B \subseteq Pr \forall A, B$ ideals in M .

Lemma 2.9 [4]: Every maximal KU-ideal is prime.

Lemma 2.10: Every KU-ideal is contained in a maximal KU-ideal.

Proof. Straightforward

Definition 2.11 [6]: A fuzzy subset σ of M is a function $\sigma : M \rightarrow [0,1]$. Let σ and η be fuzzy sets in a set fuzzy sets, we define:

(1) $\sigma = \eta \Leftrightarrow (\forall s \in M)(\sigma(s) = \eta(s))$,

(2) $\sigma \subseteq \eta \Leftrightarrow (\forall s \in M)(\sigma(s) \leq \eta(s))$.

The union is defined by $(\sigma \cup \eta)(s) = \max\{\sigma(s), \eta(s)\}, \forall s \in M$.

The intersection is defined by $(\sigma \cap \eta)(s) = \min\{\sigma(s), \eta(s)\}, \forall u \in M$.

More generally, for a family of fuzzy sets $\{\sigma_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ in a set M , the union and the intersection are defined by

$$\left(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \sigma_j\right)(s) = \sup_{j \in \Lambda} \sigma_j(s), \left(\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} \sigma_j\right)(s) = \inf_{j \in \Lambda} \sigma_j(s), \text{ respectively } \forall s \in M.$$

M is a KU-lattice unless otherwise indicated.

Definition 2.12: For any fuzzy subsets μ and η in M . $\mu\eta$ is defined as

$$\mu\eta(u) = \sup_{u=v \wedge w} \{\min(\mu(v), \eta(w))\}, \forall u, v, w \in M.$$

Definition 2.13: Let $u \in M$, then u_t the fuzzy point of M is a fuzzy subset of M , which is defined

$$\text{by } u_t(v) = \begin{cases} t & u = v \\ 0 & u \neq v. \end{cases}, \text{ where } t \in (0,1].$$

Definition 2.14: Let μ be a fuzzy subset of M , if $\mu(u) = 0 \forall u \in M$, then μ is called empty fuzzy set denoted by Φ .

Definition 2.15: If μ is a fuzzy subset of M and u_t is a fuzzy point of M . For $t \in [0,1]$, the set

$$\mu_t = \{u \in M : \mu(u) \geq t\}$$

is a level subset of μ and if $u \in \mu_t$ then $u_t \subseteq \mu$.

Definition 2.16 [3]: A fuzzy set σ of a KU-algebra M is fuzzy KU-ideal if

$(F_1) \sigma(0) \geq \sigma(s), \forall s \in M$.

(F₂) $\sigma(s \bullet z) \geq \min\{\sigma(s \bullet (wz)), \sigma(w)\}, \forall s, w, z \in M$.

Lemma 2.17 [3]: Let μ be fuzzy KU-ideal of M . $\forall u, v \in M$, if $u \leq v$, then $\mu(u) \geq \mu(v)$.

Definition 2.18: The fuzzy KU-ideal generated by μ (μ is a fuzzy subset of M) which is denoted by $\langle \mu \rangle$ is the intersection of all fuzzy KU-ideals η of M . (i.e)

$$\langle \mu \rangle = \bigcap \{ \eta : \mu \subseteq \eta, \eta \text{ is fuzzy KU-ideal of } M \}.$$

Obviously, we get $\mu \subseteq \langle \mu \rangle$, and if μ is fuzzy KU-ideal of M , then $\mu = \langle \mu \rangle$.

Lemma 2.19: If λ, η are two fuzzy KU-ideal of M , then $\lambda \eta = \lambda \cap \eta$.

Proof. Let $u \in M$, $u = b \wedge c$ and λ, η be fuzzy KU-ideals. Since $b \wedge c \leq b$ So,

$\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(b \wedge c) = \lambda(u)$ and $\eta(c) \leq \eta(b \wedge c) = \eta(u)$ by Lemma 2.17. Hence,

$\min\{\lambda(b), \eta(c)\} \leq (\lambda \cap \eta)(u)$. Therefore, $\lambda \eta \leq (\lambda \cap \eta)(u)$ or equivalently $\lambda \eta \subseteq \lambda \cap \eta$.

Conversely, $\lambda \eta(u) = \sup_{u=v \wedge w} \{ \min\{\lambda(v), \eta(w)\} \} \geq \min\{\lambda(u), \eta(u)\} = (\lambda \cap \eta)(u)$.

So $\lambda \eta \supseteq \lambda \cap \eta$. Thus $\lambda \eta = \lambda \cap \eta$.

Corollary 2.20: If λ, η are two fuzzy KU-ideal of M , then $\lambda \eta$ is a fuzzy KU-ideal of M .

Lemma 2.21: If λ, η are two fuzzy KU-ideal of M and $\lambda \eta$ is a fuzzy KU-ideal of M . Then $\lambda \eta \subseteq \eta, \lambda \eta \subseteq \lambda$.

Proof. If η is a fuzzy KU-ideal of M . If $s = w \wedge z$, then $\eta(z) \leq \eta(s)$ by Lemma 2.17

Hence,

$$\lambda \eta(s) = \sup_{s=w \wedge z} \{ \min\{\lambda(w), \eta(z)\} \} \leq \sup_{s=w \wedge z} \{ \eta(z) \} \leq \eta(s).$$

Therefore $\lambda \eta \subseteq \eta$.

Definition 2.22: A non-constant fuzzy KU-ideal σ of a bounded commutative KU-algebra M is called prime if $\sigma(s \wedge w) \leq \max\{\sigma(s), \sigma(w)\} \forall s, w \in M$.

Definition 2.23: A non-constant fuzzy KU-ideal μ of a bounded commutative KU-algebra M is called prime if, for all fuzzy KU-ideals λ and σ such that $\lambda \sigma \subseteq \mu$, then either $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ or $\sigma \subseteq \mu$.

Lemma 2.24: Let σ be a fuzzy prime KU-ideal of a commutative KU-algebra M . Then

$\sigma_* = \{s \in M : \sigma(s) = \sigma(0)\}$ is a prime KU-ideal of M .

Proof. Let $s, w \in M$ be such that $\sigma(0) = \sigma(s \wedge w) \leq \max\{\sigma(s), \sigma(w)\} = \sigma(s)$ or $\sigma(w)$. It follows from (F₁) that $\sigma(s) = \sigma(0)$ or $\sigma(w) = \sigma(0)$. Hence $s \in \sigma_*$ or $w \in \sigma_*$. Therefore σ_* is a prime KU-ideal of M .

Corollary 2.25. Let μ be a fuzzy KU-ideal of a commutative KU-algebra M , then

$pr = \{u \in M : \mu(u) = 1\}$ is either $pr = \Phi$ or a prime ideal of M .

3. Fuzzy spectrum:

In this section we define a topology on $spec(\mu)$ and we give some properties about the induced space, we prove this space is T_1 -space and we can define a nontrivial base for this topology.

Definition 3.1: If M is a bounded commutative KU-algebra and μ is a fuzzy set of M . The set L of all prime fuzzy KU-ideals of σ is spectrum of σ and denoted by $spec(\sigma)$.

(i.e.) $L = spec(\sigma) = \{\rho : \rho \text{ is fuzzy prime KU-ideal of } \sigma\}$. And for each proper fuzzy KU-ideal λ of σ , let $D(\lambda) = \{\rho \in spec(\sigma) : \lambda \subseteq \rho\}$.

Notation 3.2: $L(\lambda) = L \setminus D(\lambda) = \{\rho \in spec(\sigma) : \lambda \not\subseteq \rho\}$, $\exists L \setminus D(\lambda)$ is the complement of $O(\lambda)$ in K .

Clearly $D(\langle \lambda \rangle) = D(\lambda)$, for all fuzzy subset of σ .

Theorem 3.3: If M is a bounded KU-commutative algebra and σ is a fuzzy set of M , $\tau = \{L(\lambda) : \lambda \text{ is a fuzzy KU-ideal of } \sigma\}$. Then the pair (L, τ) is a topological space, it is called fuzzy spectrum of σ .

Proof. $L(\sigma) = Spec(\sigma) = L$ and $L(\Phi) = \phi$, where Φ is the fuzzy empty set. Thus $K, \phi \in \tau$.

Now, prove that τ is closed under finite intersection.

If λ and η are two fuzzy ideals of μ . We claim that $D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta) = D(\lambda\eta)$. Let $\mu \in D(\lambda\eta)$. Then $\lambda\eta \subseteq \mu$. Since $\mu \in L$, we have $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ or $\eta \subseteq \mu$. Hence $\mu \in D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta)$.

Conversely, if $\mu \in D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta)$, then $\mu \in D(\lambda)$ or $\mu \in D(\eta)$.

$\lambda\eta = \lambda \cap \eta \subseteq \lambda$ and $\lambda\eta = \lambda \cap \eta \subseteq \eta$. Thus, $\lambda\eta \subseteq \mu$ and so $\mu \in D(\lambda\eta)$. It follows that

$D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta) \subseteq D(\lambda\eta)$. We get $D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta) = D(\lambda\eta)$, are equivalently,

$L(\lambda) \cap L(\eta) = (L \setminus D(\lambda)) \cap (L \setminus D(\eta)) = (L \setminus (D(\lambda) \cup D(\eta))) = (L \setminus D(\lambda\eta)) = L(\lambda\eta)$. By

Corollary (2.18), $\lambda\eta$ is fuzzy ideal and so $L(\lambda) \cap L(\eta) = L(\lambda\eta) \in \tau$.

Finally, if $\{\lambda_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ is a collection of fuzzy ideals of M . Now, prove that $\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j) = D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j)$.

Let $\rho \in \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j)$, then for any $j \in \Lambda$, $\rho \in D(\lambda_j)$ and so $\lambda_j \subseteq \rho$. Hence, $\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \subseteq \rho$ and thus

$\rho \in D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j)$. Conversely, let $\rho \in D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j)$, then $\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \subseteq \rho$. Thus, for

any $j \in \Lambda$, $\lambda_j \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \subseteq \rho$. Hence $\rho \in D(\lambda_j)$ for all $j \in \Lambda$ and so $\rho \in \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j)$.

This shows that $\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j) = D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j)$. By Notation (3.2), we get $D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j) = D(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \rangle)$ and so

$$\bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j) = D(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \rangle).$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} L(\lambda_j) = \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (L \setminus D(\lambda_j)) = L \setminus \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j) = L \setminus D(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \rangle) = L(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j \rangle) \in \tau.$$

Hence (L, τ) is a topological space.

Theorem 3.4: The family $\Psi = \{L(u_t) : u \in M, t \in (0,1]\}$ of τ is a base for τ . Where u_t is a fuzzy point of M .

Proof. $\forall u \in M, t \in (0,1], L(u_t) = L(\langle u_t \rangle)$ and so $L(u_t) \in \tau$.

We will prove that Ψ is a base for τ . Let $L(\lambda) \in \tau$, $L(\lambda) = L \setminus D(\lambda)$ and

$$D(\lambda) = \bigcap_{u_t \subseteq \lambda} D(u_t) \text{ (since } D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} \lambda_j) = \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} D(\lambda_j) \text{)}. \text{ So } L(\lambda) = L \setminus \bigcap_{u_t \subseteq \lambda} D(u_t). \text{ Hence } L(\lambda) = \bigcup_{u_t \subseteq \lambda} L(u_t),$$

therefore Ψ is a base for τ .

Theorem 3.5: The topological space $L = Spec(\sigma)$ is a T_1 space.

Proof. Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L$ and $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$. Then, either $\rho_1 \not\subseteq \rho_2$ or $\rho_2 \not\subseteq \rho_1$.

If $\rho_1 \not\subseteq \rho_2$, then $\rho_2 \notin D(\rho_1)$ but $\rho_1 \in D(\rho_1)$. Moreover, $\rho_2 \in L(\rho_1)$ but $\rho_1 \notin L(\rho_1)$.

If $\rho_2 \not\subseteq \rho_1$, similarly we can get $\rho_1 \in L(\rho_2)$ but $\rho_2 \notin L(\rho_2)$. Hence, $L = Spec(\sigma)$ is a T_1 space.

Lemma 3.6: Let $t_1, t_2 \in (0,1]$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$. Then $L(u_{t_1}) \subseteq L(u_{t_2})$ for $u \in M$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu \in L(u_{t_1})$, then $u_{t_1} \not\subseteq \mu \Rightarrow t_1 > \mu(u)$.

But $t_2 \geq t_1$ then $t_2 \geq \mu(u)$ and hence $u_{t_2} \not\subset \mu \Rightarrow \mu \in L(u_{t_2})$. Therefore $L(u_{t_1}) \subseteq L(u_{t_2})$.

Lemma 3.7: If $F \subseteq (0,1]$ and $L = \bigcup \{L((u_j)_t) : j \in \Lambda, t \in F, u_j \in M\}$, then $\sup\{t : t \in F\} = 1$.

Proof. Clear.

Theorem 3.8: The topological space $L = \text{Spec}(\mu)$ is a compact space.

Proof. Since the set $\Psi = \{L(u_i) : u \in M, i \in (0,1]\}$ is a base for this topological space, we assume that the set $\{L((u_j)_t) : j \in \Lambda, u_i \in M, t \in F \subseteq (0,1]\}$ is a cover for L . Let $\beta = \sup\{t : t \in F\}$. By Lemma 3.7, $\beta = 1$ and by Lemma (3.6) the set $\{L((u_j)_1) : j \in \Lambda\}$ is a covering for L . Now we have

$$L = \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (L(u_j)_1) = L(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1 \rangle) = L \setminus D(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1 \rangle).$$

$$D(\langle \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1 \rangle) = D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1), \text{ so } L = L \setminus D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1), \text{ hence } D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1) = \phi.$$

Let Pr be any fuzzy prime KU-ideal of M and if $\mu(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u \in \text{Pr} \\ 0 & u \notin \text{Pr} \end{cases}$.

Clearly, μ is a fuzzy prime KU-ideal of M and $\mu \notin D(\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1)$, then $\bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} (u_j)_1 \not\subset \mu$, so $\exists k \in \Lambda$

$\ni (u_k)_1 \not\subset \mu$, therefore $\mu(u_k) < 1$ and hence $u_k \notin \text{Pr}$. Thus, there is no any fuzzy prime KU-ideal consist the set $\{u_j : j \in \Lambda\}$ and then there is no fuzzy KU-ideal consist the set $\{u_j : j \in \Lambda\}$,

otherwise $\Lambda \subseteq m$ for some fuzzy maximal ideal m by Lemma2.9 and Lemma2.10, m is fuzzy prime which is contradiction. Hence $\langle \{u_j : j \in \Lambda\} \rangle = M$, since M is bounded, then $e \in \langle \{u_j : j \in \Lambda\} \rangle$. Now

we show that $D(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1) = \phi$. Let $\mu \in D(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1)$. Then $\bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1 \subseteq \mu$. So

$\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n, (u_j)_1 \subseteq \mu$, therefore $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \mu(u_j) \geq 1$ and so $\mu(u_j) = 1 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n, u_j \in \mu_*$ and hence $\langle \{u_j : j \in \Lambda\} \rangle \subseteq \mu_*$, therefore $e \in \mu_*$, which is a contradiction.

Thus $D(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1) = \phi$, and so

$$L = L \setminus D(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1) = L \setminus D(\langle \bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1 \rangle) = D(\langle \bigcup_{j=1}^n (u_j)_1 \rangle) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n L((u_j)_1).$$

This shows that L is compact.

References

[1] A. Hasankhani, "F-spectrum of a BCK-algebra", Journal of fuzzy mathematics, Vol.8, No.1 (2000), 1-11.
 [2] K. Iseki, " algebra related with a propositional calculus", proc. Japan. Acad., Vol.42 (1966), 351-366.
 [3] Samy M. Mostafa, Mokhtar A. Abd-Elnaby and Moustafa M. M. Yousef, "Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras", International Math. Forum, Vol.6, No.63 (2011), 3139-3149.
 [4] Samy M. Mostafa and Fatema F. Kareem, " A topology Spectrum of a KU-Algebra", Journal of New Theory, Vol.5, No.8 (2015), 78-91.
 [5] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, "On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras" scientia Magna Journal, Vol.5, No.1 (2009), 54-57.
 [6] L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control, Vol.8 (1965), 338-353.