

Review Article

Progress in myeloma stem cells

Richard Dela Cruz, Guido Tricot, Maurizio Zangari, Fenghuang Zhan

Division of Hematology, Blood/Marrow Transplant and Myeloma Program, 30 N 1900 E, 5C417, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA.

Received July 15, 2011; accepted July 31, 2011; Epub September 8, 2011; published September 30, 2011

Abstract: Multiple myeloma (MM) is the second most common hematologic malignancy in the United States and affects about 4 in 100,000 Americans. Even though much progress has been made in MM therapy, MM remains an incurable disease for the vast majority of patients. The existence of MM stem cell is considered one of the major causes of MM drug-resistance, leading to relapse. This highlights the importance and urgency of developing approaches to target MM stem cells. However, very little is known about the molecular characteristics of the MM stem cells, which makes it difficult to target MM stem cells therapeutically. Evidence of the existence of a myeloma stem cell has been provided by Matsui et al. showing that the CD138- and CD20+ fraction, which is a minor population of the MM cells, has a greater clonogenic potential and has the phenotype of a memory B-cell (CD19+, CD27+). In this review, we report recent progress of cell surface markers in cancer stem cells, especially in myeloma and the molecular mechanisms related to drug resistance and myeloma disease progression.

Keywords: Cancer stem cell, multiple myeloma, drug resistance, and cell signaling

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematologic cancer subtype characterized by uncontrolled proliferation of plasma cells in the bone marrow. The exact cause of MM is unknown and MM patients generally have no symptoms until the disease is already in an advanced state. In 2010, the NCI estimates that there were 20,180 new cases of MM and 10,650 deaths directly attributed to this cancer. Research into the molecular, cytogenetic, gene expression, and signaling pathway signature of MM (as reviewed and discussed in [1-4]) has significantly improved our understanding of how MM develops and progresses, and has led to advances in MM therapies and increased median survival rates. Unfortunately, this disease eventually relapses and then becomes incurable. One theory, based on the cancer stem cell (CSC) model, is that current MM treatments kill the bulk of tumor cells but not the self-renewing MM cancer stem cells (MMSCs) subpopulation. The latter type of cells is believed to be the most malignant and drug resistant but also a rare subpopulation of MM cells with the ability for self-renewal, division, and maintenance of its undif-

ferentiated state not unlike normal hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). Although the stem cell phenotype of acute myeloid leukemia has long been identified and is generally accepted, the definitive MMSC phenotype / markers are still being deliberated in the MM research community and there are currently several viewpoints on MM cells with stemness characteristics. Targeting of MMSCs is clinically relevant since insight into the characteristics of this subpopulation, if it exists, could result in novel therapeutics that can make MM a more manageable and even curable disease.

Normal and cancer stem cells in the hematopoietic system

The existence of HSCs is an accepted concept along with their important use clinically (as reviewed in [5, 6]). HSCs are typically isolated based on their surface markers as first shown by the Weissman group for both mouse and human stem cells [7, 8], and it has now been 10 years since it was demonstrated that a single bone marrow-derived cell can recapitulate blood cell lineages in irradiated mice [9]. Gene expression profiling (GEP) of highly purified

HSCs versus non-self-renewing multipotent hematopoietic progenitors helped identify the SLAM family of cell surface markers, and has further improved surface marker-based fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) to almost 100% purity with about half of the purified fraction possessing full HSC activity [10]. The relatively successful isolation of semi-pure HSC has been translated into clinical use (as reviewed in [5, 6]). HSCs are critical in stem cell transplantation (SCT) after myeloablative therapy to treat various diseases including leukemia and multiple myeloma [11]. With the exception of myeloma, SCT are mainly performed upon relapse, which could reflect the resistance of CSCs to traditional cancer therapies (the relevance of CSCs to BMT is discussed in [12]). Although the idea of the clonal origin of malignancies and the still utilized clonogenesis assay for CSCs have been around for more than 40 years now [13-15], definitive evidence for hematopoietic CSCs and its hierachal organization was only first presented by Bonnet and Dick in 1997 based on their seminal observation that only the CD34⁺/CD38⁻ leukemia cell subpopulation is able to induce leukemia in a SCID mouse model [16]. The unique MMSC signature, unfortunately, is still unresolved. While the markers for different CSC types differ from one another, there is congruence in their functional properties including ability for self-renewal, tumorigenesis, and drug-resistance. Thus, these stemness characteristics are the basis for trying to functionally identify the definitive MMSC signature.

Multiple myeloma stem cells

HSCs are different from CSCs in that the former's activity and function are tightly regulated whereas they are dysregulated in CSCs, which eventually lead to cancer cell overpopulation (where the CSC remains a minor fraction). In MM, this minor population has functionally been shown to have increased potential of self renewal. The cancer stem cell model is based on the concept that most cancers just like the normal hematopoiesis, are hierarchically organized [17]. This implies that CSCs give not only to daughter cancer cells that are more differentiated, but also to another CSC (asymmetrical division). Based on this model, MMSCs then should possess a surface marker signature more similar to plasma cell precursors. Indeed drug-resistant clonotypic cells from myeloma

patients with stemness characteristics have been reported to have memory B cell-like surface marker profile [18-21] although MM cells with stemness characteristics not possessing this signature have also been reported [22, 23].

HSC and CSC research share a common problem that these rare cells being very difficult to identify, isolate, and characterize. The possible existence of MMSCs was first postulated based on experiments using MM cell lines and patient MM samples indicating that there is a small population of MM cells with the ability for unlimited self renewal [24, 25]. Second, the capacity for self-renewal of primary MM samples *in vitro*, using the sphere-forming assay [26], as well as *in vivo*, by serial transplantability in NOD/SCID mice [27] was also observed. Finally, the fact that most MM patients after traditional treatment ultimately relapse also points to the existence of MMSC.

The identification of SC markers in combination with FACS has exponentially increased the efficiency of HSC and CSC identification and isolation. This has led to progress in trying to identify the unique MMSC surface marker signature.

- 1) The SCID-hu *in vivo* mouse model and FACS were used by Yaccoby et al. to show that only primary CD38⁺⁺/CD45⁻ plasma cells proliferate successfully within the engrafted fetal bone microenvironment although it did not invade the murine host bone [22] and CD38⁺⁺ is not a plasma cell precursor marker *but* is a plasma cell marker. However, the purity of the population is never 100% and it is possible that the disease was propagated by the few CD 138⁻ cells.
- 2) Drug resistant MM cells in a novel 3D model were CD20⁺, although expression of CD20 is heterogenous in bulk myeloma cells and is only detected in a minority of patients [28].
- 3) Cells with the CD138⁻/CD19⁺/CD20⁺/CD27⁺ phenotype which is memory B cell-like, are serially transplantable. This is consistent with the theory that CSC can arise from hierachally more primitive than malignant plasma cells [18, 19, 29].
- 4) The CD138⁻ MM phenotype has also been associated with increased apoptosis of these cells [30]. It is now generally accepted that

Myeloma stem cells

TABLE 1. Cancer stem cell markers

Cancer	CD19	CD20	CD24	CD27	CD34	CD38	CD44	CD133	CD138	CD166	ALDH1	ESA	other
breast		- / low					+	+			+	+	B1, Lin-
brain									+				
colon							+			+			+
head and neck							+						
leukemia (ALL)					+		-						t(12;21), Lin-
leukemia (AML)					+		-						t(9;22), Lin-
lung								+			+		
melanoma		+						+					CD271, Hoescht 33342 SP
pancreatic			+				+					+	
prostate							+	+			+		B1
ovarian													Hoescht 33342 SP
multiple myeloma	+	+			+					-		+	Hoescht 33342 SP

Table modified from [37-39]. B1 = integrin B1 chain; ALDH = aldehyde dehydrogenase; ESA = epidermal surface antigen

apoptotic myeloma cells lose CD138 marker on its membrane.

5) Yaccoby showed that a co-culture of differentiated MM cells with OCs reprogrammed the differentiated MM cells to immature CD138^{low} MM cells that were drug resistant [31].

6) Pilarski et al. showed CD34⁺/CD45^{low} clonotypic myeloma cells xenograft in NOD/SCID mice [32]. A subpopulation of cycling CD34⁺/CD138⁺/ B7-H1⁺ mature plasma cells from primary MM patients was shown to be malignant and could be a possible source of mature MM plasma cell de-differentiation to MMSC [33]. This intriguing possibility was also raised by results recently published by the Weinberg group showing that neoplastic mammary epithelial cells spontaneously convert to a stem-cell like state [34].

7) Aside from surface markers, FACS has also been used to sort MMSC subpopulation based on functional stem cell markers including ALDH expression [35] and efflux pump ABCG2 activa-

tion in a side population (SP) by Hoechst 33342 staining [36].

8) Jakubikova et al. recently showed that various MM cell lines contain SPs that are inhibited by lenalidomide and that this SP has no correlation with CD138⁻ [23] but Matsui et al. , in an earlier publication, showed that ALDH⁺ and SP are enriched for CD138⁻ MMSC [18].

MMSC stem cell markers agreeable with the hierachal model of CSC theory, along with the stem cell markers of various types of malignancies, are shown in **Table 1** [37-39]. The MM subpopulations with stemness features above were tested *in vivo* using SCID mouse models that permit proliferation initiated by clonogenic myeloma cells (except for [28]). The robust *in vivo* NOD/SCID model was also used to verify most of the cancer stem cell markers listed in **Table 1** [37]. A related model, the SCID-hu, which involves implantation of fetal bone and injection of myeloma cells in this “pseudo-synergistic” humanized environment, was used by Yaccoby et al. to identify the clonogenic potential of the

CD38⁺⁺/CD45⁻ population [22]. One criticism against the SCID models is that the clonogenic growth of the transplantable subpopulations could be dependent on the recipient's weakened immune system. A recent study seems to support this hypothesis. The use of a more severely immunocompromised model, the IL2ry null NOD/SCID mice, led to at least a 250-fold increased tumor formation *in vivo* compared to NOD/SCID mice [40, 41]. The MM-specific 5T33 or 5T2 murine mouse model will be useful in confirming the validity of currently published MMSC markers in identifying MMSC since the clonogenicity of the injected murine myeloma cells will be dependent on, and synergistic to, the recipient bone marrow microenvironment (as further discussed in [42-44]).

There is currently a major controversy regarding the MMSC signature, although this issue is not unique to myeloma [21]. Methodological differences in stem cell purification and source undoubtedly perturb the primary stem cell niche [45] to different extents depending on the protocol employed and could contribute to the identification of various MM subpopulations with stemness characteristics. To definitively confirm self-renewal of the proposed MMSC populations (compared to differentiated but highly proliferative cells) above, *in vitro* assays need to be done at the single cell level together with the appropriate *in vivo* model.

MMSC in MM treatment and relapse

Intensive research on the molecular mechanisms of MM disease and progression has led the discovery of a new generation of therapeutics against MM. The thalidomide derivative, lenalidomide, has recently been shown to be effective against relapsed and refractory MM and has been experimentally shown to target the MM SP [23]. The 26S proteasome inhibitor, bortezomib, significantly delays MM progression compared to dexamethasone [46] by inducing apoptosis and inactivation of upregulated NFkB [47-49] although MM cells with constitutively activated NFkB expression are resistant to bortezomib [50]. Synergistic effects have been observed when these drugs are used in combinatorial therapy [51, 52]. Even with more available treatment options and a better understanding of the molecular underpinnings of MM, MM remains a largely incurable disease. In general, current systemic cancer therapies fail to

cure advanced tumors, and MM is no exception. Based on CSC theory, MM relapse can be explained by the persistence of cancer stem cells after chemotherapy.

Targeting MMSC

Beside the distinctive properties of self-renewal and proliferation, cancer stem cells are thought to be much more resistant to chemo- and radiotherapy and are a logical cause of drug resistance [17,53,54]. MM is no exception as most anti-MM drugs prolong survival but do not cure the disease. The SCID-hu model is used to test anti-MM drugs *in vivo* [55-57] but not specifically against the MMSC subpopulation. The CD138⁻/CD19⁺/CD20⁺/CD27⁺ MM stem cells have been shown to be resistant to dexamethasone, lenalidomide, cyclophosphamide and bortezomib [18]. However, in other studies, the MM SP stem cells were shown to be susceptible to lenalidomide treatment [23]. These results indicate that while lenalidomide can kill potential MMSCs, it may still be ineffective against other possible MMSC subpopulations. One possible solution is to target the self-renewal pathway specific for CSCs. These include the Hedgehog (HH), Wnt, and Notch pathways which are typically active in cancer stem cells [29, 17, 58-63]. One study in particular showed that the HH pathway through SMO upregulation allows for clonal expansion of MM CD138⁻ cells *in vitro*, while inhibition of CD138-proliferation was observed by using the HH pathway inhibitor cyclopamine [29]. Numerous CSC-targeted therapeutics, specifically inhibiting the HH / Wnt / Notch self-renewal pathways, are now currently in clinical trials including two specifically for MM patients after autologous stem cell transplantation (**Table 2**).

A major reason of failure in cancer treatment is the existence of a drug resistant sub-clone, which may represent cancer stem cells at diagnosis and may expand during treatment. A comprehensive analysis of the genetic and molecular mechanisms of drug resistances in cancers remains elusive. We were the first to describe that the presence of chromosomal abnormalities detected by conventional metaphase cytogenetic analysis, as the most important predictor for outcome in myeloma [64]. In particular, complete or partial deletion of chromosome 13, present in 17% of newly diagnosed myeloma patients, was associated with poor prognosis

Myeloma stem cells

Table 2. Potential cancer stem cell self-renewal pathway inhibitors

Drug	Company / Institute	Pathway	Indication	Combination drug	Phase
LGK974	Novartis Pharmaceuticals	Wnt	melanoma, breast neoplasms, lobular carcinoma		I
PRI-724	Prism Biolab Corp	Wnt	advanced solid tumors		I
Resveratrol	Univ of California, Irvine	Wnt	colon cancer		I / II
Diclofenac + Vitamin D3	Maastricht Univ Medical Center	Wnt + HH	basal cell carcinoma		III
RO4929097	Cancer Institute of New Jersey / NCI	Notch	multiple myeloma and plasma cell neoplasm after autologous stem cell transplant	melphalan	II
RO4929097	M.D. Anderson Cancer Center / NCI	Notch	lung cancer after front-line chemotherapy		II
RO4929097	Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva Univ / NCI	Notch	cutaneous melanoma		II
RO4929097	Children's Oncology Group / NCI	Notch	brain and central nervous system tumors leukemia, lymphoma, unspecified childhood solid tumor	dexamethasone for all indications	I / II
PF-04449913	Pfizer	HH	solid tumors, unspecified hematological malignancies		I
PF-04449913	Pfizer	HH	chronic myeloid leukemia	dasatinib, bosutinib	I
LEQ506	Novartis Pharmaceuticals	HH	advanced solid tumors, recurrent or refractory medulloblastoma		I
IPI-926	Infinity Pharmaceuticals	HH	metastatic pancreatic cancer, recurrent head and neck cancer	gemcitabine, cetuximab	I / II
GDC-0449	Roche Pharma AG Genentech	HH	conventional chondrosarcoma various indications including MM**	various interventions**	I / II

**From clinicaltrials.gov July 2011: <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=gdc-0449>

[65]. This was surprising since such a deletion by fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) analysis is associated with a good prognosis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [66]. Using FISH analysis, many of the partners involved in translocations with 14q32 (heavy chain gene locus) have been identified, some of which could not be detected with conventional cytogenetics, because of their telomeric location [67]. These include t(4;14) involving FGFR3, t(14;16), involving c-MAF and t(14;20), involving MAF-B. We now know that these translocations have a poor prognosis and are very often associated with a deletion of chromosome 13. Therefore, the poor prognosis of chromosome 13 by conventional cytogenetics is most likely not directly related to loss of cytogenetic material of this chromosome, but to the frequent association with these poor-prognosis translocations defined by FISH [68]. These translocations are much more frequently seen in hypodiploid myelomas, which are known to have a poor prognosis [69]. Large studies using FISH analysis also identified that a deletion of 17p13 (p53 gene locus) was a poor prognostic indicator. In con-

trast, hyperdiploidy or translocations involving Cyclin D1, t(11;14) or Cyclin D3, t(6;14) have a good prognosis. Cyclin D abnormalities are an early event in the development of myeloma [70]. Although cytogenetic abnormalities are more frequently (almost in 100% of patients) found with FISH analysis, the predictive value of metaphase abnormalities, found in one third of patients has proven to be superior to that of FISH [71], because they represent the best surrogate marker for bone marrow stroma dependency of the myeloma cells. Typically, if myeloma cells are removed from their micro-environmental support system and transferred to a hostile environment in the test tube, they rapidly apoptose. The mitoses observed in such patients by conventional cytogenetics are derived from the remaining normal hematopoietic cells. If on the other hand, myeloma cells have become stroma-independent, which is a feature of advanced and aggressive myeloma, they will not undergo apoptosis after removal from the bone marrow stroma, and will be able to survive and grow in the test tube and thereby will give rise to abnormal metaphase cytogenetics [72].

Global gene expression profiling (GEP) has emerged as a powerful tool for classifying disease subtypes, for developing robust prognostic models and identifying new drug-resistance targets in cancers [73-76]. Using GEP analysis, we have established transcriptomes by comparing multiple myeloma (MM), monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), and normal plasma cells [77, 78]. We have elucidated the role of the Wnt-signaling antagonist DKK1 in the development of osteolytic lesions [79, 80]; and identified molecular and genetic myeloma subgroups [3, 81]. We have also defined a 70-high-risk gene model through the GEP analysis of more than 550 newly diagnosed MM patients [4]. Through GEP analysis, our lab determined that *CKS1B* gene, which is in the 1q21 chromosome amplification hotspot in MM and is overexpressed in relapsed patients, is associated with increased drug resistance and is a viable anti-myeloma target [82-84]. Using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) we investigated amplification of chromosome band 1q21 (Amp1q21) in 479 untreated MM patients and 22 MM cell lines. The frequency of Amp1q21 was 43% in newly diagnosed MM, but was present in 21 of 22 (95%) in MM cell lines. We also correlated microarray data with FISH results in plasma cells from 250 patients. This analysis revealed that the percentage of cells with amplification of the *CKS1B* locus was a significant predictor of *CKS1B* gene expression level ($P < 0.0001$). Newly diagnosed MM patients with Amp1q21 had an inferior 5-year overall survival compared with those lacking Amp1q21 ($P < 0.001$). Also, patients, who had the highest expression of *CKS1B* (top 13% of 351 patients) at baseline and at relapse, had the worst 5-year overall survival when receiving salvage therapies ($P = 0.0025$). We further demonstrated that *CKS1B* plays a crucial role in MM cell growth and survival and for the first time provides direct evidence for the crucial role of *CKS1B* in myeloma multidrug-resistance [84]. We identified STAT3 and MEK/ERK/BCL2 as *CKS1B*-downstream signaling pathways; and thereby provided targets for the development of new therapeutic approaches for *CKS1B* over-expressing myeloma and other malignancies [84].

GEP was also utilized in MMSC CD138⁻ and CD138⁺ cells isolated from ARP.1, CAG, H929, JJN3, KMS28PE, OCI-MY5, OPM2, U266 and XG1 cell lines and we identified 645 genes that

were significantly differentially expressed in CD138⁻ cells compared to CD138⁺ cells (SAM, $FDR < 1\%$), with 598 up-regulated and 47 down-regulated. Our preliminary data based on analysis of 9 MM cell lines show that RAR α is the top one up-regulated gene in CD138⁻ MM stem cells. RAR α has two major isoforms, RAR α 1 and RAR α 2. Real-time PCR detected significantly higher expression of RAR α 2 but not RAR α 1 in CD138⁻ MM stem cells compared to CD138⁺ tumor cells. While RAR α 1 was ubiquitously expressed in MM cells, RAR α 2 was present in only 30% of newly diagnosed patients [85]. Patients with RAR α 2 expression had a significantly shorter overall-survival, also on multivariate analysis. RAR α 2-knockdown in RAR α 2⁺ MM cells induced cell growth arrest and apoptosis [85]. Wnt signaling is activated in MM stem cells and forced-RAR α 2-expression in MM cells induced Wnt activation. These results suggest that RAR α 2-mediated Wnt signal activation may be critical for MM stem cell survival and MM progression. Both RAR α 1 and RAR α 2 are specific receptors for all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA). Interestingly, we found that ATRA selectively killed MM stem cells while sparing CD138⁺ tumor cells. Forced expression of RAR α 2 in RA-RAR α 2-deficient MM tumor cells restored sensitivity to ATRA. Finally, ATRA was shown to down-regulate Wnt signaling (β catenin and other downstream targets).

We also compared GEP samples at baseline, in remission, and at relapse from patients enrolled on our 25009 protocol, and defined 56 genes associated with drug resistance (persistence after transplantation) or rapid disease relapse (< 2 years after the second transplant). The chromosomal instability signatures (CINs) were identified as the most differentially expressed genes, with low expression at diagnosis and high expression after chemotherapy (at a stage with minimal residual disease) and at relapse. Our functional assays indicate that increased CIN signature promotes MMSC self-renewal and induces drug resistance by up-regulating ABCG2 efflux and the Wnt pathway (submitted manuscript). We are currently working on verifying these novel MMSC-specific targets with the goal of using these findings in future MM therapies.

However, even if a potential drug candidate is found that specifically targets the self-renewal pathways, there must also be a more selective targeting of MMSC compared with normal HSC.

Better targeted combinatorial therapy using the self-renewal pathway inhibitor plus either traditional chemotherapeutic drugs (as in **Table 2**) or a selective inhibitor of MMSCs and not HSCs needs to be developed. For example, a potential target, cell surface receptor CS1, is highly expressed in the bulk of the myeloma cells but not HSC, has been targeted successfully with a monoclonal antibody that blocks CS1 activity and inhibits MM cell bone adhesion [86, 87]. Antibodies raised against CD44, an acute myeloid leukemia SC marker, successfully eliminated CSCs *in vivo* in a NOD-SCID mouse model [88]. Similarly, in MM, CD20 antibody was demonstrated to inhibit CD138⁻ clonogenic recovery in a complement-dependent manner [18] although CD20 is only expressed in a minority of MM patients and response to CD20 antibody treatment is variable as tabulated by Kapoor et al. [89].

Future directions

What is a cancer stem cell? Ideally the answer should only depend on the type of cancer, but currently in MM the CSC phenotype is still poorly defined as is the concept of CSC itself. Even if the MMSC signature is found, there are still many questions to answer: Can MMSCs be expanded in *in vitro* cultures? How do MMSCs interact with the bulk tumor? What is its niche? Are the MMSC always the same cells or is there plasticity as has been shown in HSC?

The physical isolation of the MMSC intrinsically disturbs the CSC niche and could contribute to the differences in phenotype being observed but it is still of vital importance to identify this (these?) subpopulation(s) if a cure for MM is to ever to be found. Single cell *in vitro* assays can be used to compare the absolute clonogenicity of the various potential MMSC populations. A distinct advantage of studying MM is that the HSC system is well established and the blood cancer leukemia stem cell system serves as a guide for elucidating the MMSC system. If a definitive MMSC signature / marker attains universal acceptance, microarray profiling could be used to associate this signature / marker with a prognosis for MM patient survival. The Morrison group used GEP to find the leukemia SC marker SLAM [10]. Similarly, our group has performed GEP between new and relapsed patients, and between CD138⁻ and CD138⁺ MM cell lines and have found promising targets that seem to be

involved in drug resistance and self-renewal [84, 87, 90]. Targeting of MMSC will also have a different therapeutic profile than traditional drugs, and attacking the bulk tumor, the stem cell niche, and the microenvironment needs to be considered especially if the more differentiated bulk tumor might have the capacity to revert to the stem cell-like phenotype [31, 34].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute R01CA115399 (GT), R01CA152105 (FZ), R21CA143887 (FZ), leukemia lymphoma society (FZ), Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation (FZ 2008 & 2010), and institutional start-up funds from the School of Medicine and Huntsman Cancer institute of the University of Utah (FZ).

Please address correspondence to: Dr. Fenghuang Zhan, Division of Hematology, Blood/Marrow Transplant and Myeloma Program, 30 N 1900 E, 5C417, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA. E-mail: Fenghuang.zhan@hsc.utah.edu

References

- [1] Chng W, Glebov O, Bergsagel P and Kuehl W. Genetic events in the pathogenesis of multiple myeloma. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol 2007; 20: 571-596.
- [2] Kuehl WM and Bergsagel PL. Multiple myeloma: evolving genetic events and host interactions. Nat Rev Cancer 2002; 2: 175-187.
- [3] Zhan F, Huang Y, Colla S, Stewart JP, Hanamura I, Gupta S, Epstein J, Yaccoby S, Sawyer J, Burlington B, Anaissie E, Hollmig K, Pineda-Roman M, Tricot G, van Rhee F, Walker R, Zangari M, Crowley J, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy JD, Jr. The molecular classification of multiple myeloma. Blood 2006; 108: 2020-2028.
- [4] Shaughnessy JD, Jr., Zhan F, Burlington BE, Huang Y, Colla S, Hanamura I, Stewart JP, Kordsmeier B, Randolph C, Williams DR, Xiao Y, Xu H, Epstein J, Anaissie E, Krishna SG, Cottler-Fox M, Hollmig K, Mohiuddin A, Pineda-Roman M, Tricot G, van Rhee F, Sawyer J, Alsayed Y, Walker R, Zangari M, Crowley J and Barlogie B. A validated gene expression model of high-risk multiple myeloma is defined by deregulated expression of genes mapping to chromosome 1. Blood 2007; 109: 2276-2284.
- [5] Kirschstein RL, Skirboll LR, editors. Stem cells: scientific progress and future research directions. Bethesda: National Institutes of Health, 2001.
- [6] Regenerative Medicine. Department of Health and Human Services. August 2006. </info/

Myeloma stem cells

- scireport/2006report.htm>
- [7] Baum CM, Weissman IL, Tsukamoto AS, Buckle AM and Peault B. Isolation of a candidate human hematopoietic stem-cell population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992; 89: 2804-8.
 - [8] Spangrude GJ, Heimfeld S and Weissman IL. Purification and characterization of mouse hematopoietic stem cells. *Science* 1988; 241: 58-62.
 - [9] Krause DS, Theise ND, Collector MI, Henegariu O, Hwang S, Gardner R, Neutzel S and Sharkis SJ. Multi-organ, multi-lineage engraftment by a single bone marrow-derived stem cell. *Cell* 2001; 105: 369-377.
 - [10] Kiel MJ, Yilmaz ÖH, Iwashita T, Yilmaz OH, Terhorst C and Morrison SJ. SLAM family receptors distinguish hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells and reveal endothelial niches for stem cells. *Cell* 2005; 121: 1109-1121.
 - [11] Gojo I, Meisenberg B, Guo C, Fassas A, Murthy A, Fenton R, Takebe N, Heyman M, Philips G and Cottler-Fox M. Autologous stem cell transplantation followed by consolidation chemotherapy for patients with multiple myeloma. *Bone marrow transplant* 2005; 37: 65-72.
 - [12] Lin T, Jones R and Matsui W. Cancer stem cells: relevance to SCT. *Bone marrow transplant* 2009; 43: 517-523.
 - [13] Bruce W and Van Der Gaag H. A quantitative assay for the number of murine lymphoma cells capable of proliferation in vivo. *Nature* 1963; 199: 79-80.
 - [14] Park C, Bergsagel D and McCulloch E. Mouse myeloma tumor stem cells: a primary cell culture assay. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1971; 46: 411-22.
 - [15] Hamburger AW and Salmon SE. Primary bioassay of human tumor stem cells. *Science* 1977; 197: 461-3.
 - [16] Bonnet D and Dick JE. Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. *Nat Med* 1997; 3: 730-737.
 - [17] Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF and Weissman IL. Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. *Nature* 2001; 414: 105-111.
 - [18] Matsui W, Wang Q, Barber JP, Brennan S, Smith BD, Borrello I, McNiece I, Lin L, Ambinder RF and Peacock C. Clonogenic multiple myeloma progenitors, stem cell properties, and drug resistance. *Cancer Res* 2008; 68: 190-7.
 - [19] Matsui W, Huff CA, Wang Q, Malehorn MT, Barber J, Tanhehco Y, Smith BD, Civin CI and Jones RJ. Characterization of clonogenic multiple myeloma cells. *Blood* 2004; 103: 2332-6.
 - [20] Ghosh N and Matsui W. Cancer stem cells in multiple myeloma. *Cancer lett* 2009; 277: 1-7.
 - [21] Brennan SK and Matsui W. Cancer stem cells: controversies in multiple myeloma. *J Mol Med* 2009; 87: 1079-1085.
 - [22] Yaccoby S and Epstein J. The proliferative potential of myeloma plasma cells manifest in the SCID-hu host. *Blood* 1999; 94: 3576-3582.
 - [23] Jakubikova J, Adamia S, Kost-Alimova M, Klipfel S, Cervi D, Daley JF, Cholujova D, Kong SY, Leiba M and Blotta S. Lenalidomide targets clonogenic side population in multiple myeloma: pathophysiologic and clinical implications. *Blood* 2011; 117: 4409-19.
 - [24] Bergsagel D and Valeriote F. Growth characteristics of a mouse plasma cell tumor. *Cancer Res* 1968; 28: 2187-96.
 - [25] Drewnko B, Alexanian R, Boyer H, Barlogie B and Rubinow S. The growth fraction of human myeloma cells. *Blood* 1981; 57: 333-8.
 - [26] Hamburger A and Salmon SE. Primary bioassay of human myeloma stem cells. *J Clin Invest* 1977; 60: 846-54.
 - [27] Pilarski LM, Hipperson G, Seeberger K, Pruski E, Coupland RW and Belch AR. Myeloma progenitors in the blood of patients with aggressive or minimal disease: engraftment and self-renewal of primary human myeloma in the bone marrow of NOD SCID mice. *Blood* 2000; 95: 1056-65.
 - [28] Kirshner J, Thulien KJ, Martin LD, Debes Marun C, Reiman T, Belch AR and Pilarski LM. A unique three-dimensional model for evaluating the impact of therapy on multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2008; 112: 2935-45.
 - [29] Peacock CD, Wang Q, Gesell GS, Corcoran-Schwartz IM, Jones E, Kim J, Devereux WL, Rhodes JT, Huff CA and Beachy PA. Hedgehog signaling maintains a tumor stem cell compartment in multiple myeloma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; 104: 4048-53.
 - [30] Khotskaya YB, Dai Y, Ritchie JP, MacLeod V, Yang Y, Zinn K and Sanderson RD. Syndecan-1 is required for robust growth, vascularization, and metastasis of myeloma tumors in vivo. *J Biol Chem* 2009; 284: 26085-95.
 - [31] Yaccoby S. The phenotypic plasticity of myeloma plasma cells as expressed by dedifferentiation into an immature, resilient, and apoptosis-resistant phenotype. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; 11: 7599-606.
 - [32] Pilarski LM and Belch AR. Clonotypic myeloma cells able to xenograft myeloma to nonobese diabetic severe combined immunodeficient mice copurify with CD34+ hematopoietic progenitors. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002; 8: 3198-204.
 - [33] Kuranda K, Berthon C, Dupont C, Wolowiec D, Leleu X, Polakowska R, Jouy N and Quesnel B. A subpopulation of malignant CD34+ CD138+ B7-H1+ plasma cells is present in multiple myeloma patients. *Exp Hematol* 2010; 38: 124-131, e124.
 - [34] Chaffer CL, Brueckmann I, Scheel C, Kaestli AJ, Wiggins PA, Rodrigues LO, Brooks M, Reinhardt F, Su Y and Polyak K. Normal and neoplastic nonstem cells can spontaneously convert to a stem-like state. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011; 108: 7950-5.
 - [35] Jones R, Barber J, Vala M, Collector M, Kauf-

- mann S, Ludeman S, Colvin O and Hilton J. Assessment of aldehyde dehydrogenase in viable cells. *Blood* 1995; 85: 2742-6.
- [36] Goodell MA, Brose K, Paradis G, Conner AS and Mulligan RC. Isolation and functional properties of murine hematopoietic stem cells that are replicating in vivo. *J Exp Med* 1996; 183: 1797-806.
- [37] Sengupta A and Cancelas JA. Cancer stem cells: A stride towards cancer cure? *J Cell Physiol* 2010; 225: 7-14.
- [38] Boman BM and Wicha MS. Cancer stem cells: a step toward the cure. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26: 2795-9.
- [39] Nirmalanandhan VS and Sittampalam GS. Stem cells in drug discovery, tissue engineering, and regenerative medicine: emerging opportunities and challenges. *J Biomol Screen* 2009; 14: 755-68.
- [40] Cheng L, Ramesh AV, Flesken-Nikitin A, Choi J and Nikitin AY. Mouse models for cancer stem cell research. *Toxicol Pathol* 2010; 38: 62-71.
- [41] Quintana E, Shackleton M, Sabel MS, Fullen DR, Johnson TM and Morrison SJ. Efficient tumour formation by single human melanoma cells. *Nature* 2008; 456: 593-598.
- [42] Roodman GD. Myeloma bone disease (current clinical oncology). Humana Pr Inc, 2010.
- [43] Brown RD and Ho PJ. Multiple myeloma: methods and protocols. Humana Pr Inc, 2005.
- [44] Anderson KC and Ghobrial IM. Multiple myeloma: translational and emerging therapies. Informa Healthcare, 2007.
- [45] Hope K and Bhatia M. Clonal interrogation of stem cells. *Nat Methods* 2011; 8: S36-S40.
- [46] Richardson PG, Sonneveld P, Schuster MW, Irwin D, Stadtmauer EA, Facon T, Harousseau JL, Ben-Yehuda D, Lonial S and Goldschmidt H. Bortezomib or high-dose dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352: 2487-2498.
- [47] Hideshima T, Mitsiades C, Akiyama M, Hayashi T, Chauhan D, Richardson P, Schlossman R, Podar K, Munshi NC and Mitsiades N. Molecular mechanisms mediating antimyeloma activity of proteasome inhibitor PS-341. *Blood* 2003; 101: 1530-4.
- [48] Hideshima T, Richardson P, Chauhan D, Palombella VJ, Elliott PJ, Adams J and Anderson KC. The proteasome inhibitor PS-341 inhibits growth, induces apoptosis, and overcomes drug resistance in human multiple myeloma cells. *Cancer Res* 2001; 61: 3071-6.
- [49] Annunziata CM, Davis RE, Demchenko Y, Bellamy W, Gabrea A, Zhan F, Lenz G, Hamamura I, Wright G and Xiao W. Frequent Engagement of the Classical and Alternative NF-[kappa]B Pathways by Diverse Genetic Abnormalities in Multiple Myeloma. *Cancer cell* 2007; 12: 115-130.
- [50] Markovina S, Callander NS, O'Connor SL, Kim J, Werndl JE, Raschko M, Leith CP, Kahl BS, Kim KM and Miyamoto S. Bortezomib-resistant nuclear factor- B activity in multiple myeloma cells. *Mol Cancer Res* 2008; 6: 1356-64.
- [51] San Miguel JF, Schlag R, Khuageva NK, Dimopoulos MA, Shpilberg O, Kropff M, Spicka I, Petrucci MT, Palumbo A and Samoilova OS. Bortezomib plus melphalan and prednisone for initial treatment of multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359: 906-917.
- [52] Richardson PG, Weller E, Lonial S, Jakubowiak AJ, Jagannath S, Raje NS, Avigan DE, Xie W, Ghobrial IM, Schlossman RL, Mazumder A, Munshi NC, Vesole DH, Joyce R, Kaufman JL, Doss D, Warren DL, Lunde LE, Kaster S, Delaney C, Hideshima T, Mitsiades CS, Knight R, Esseltine DL and Anderson KC. Lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone combination therapy in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2010; 116: 679-686.
- [53] Jordan CT, Guzman ML and Noble M. Cancer stem cells. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 355: 1253-1261.
- [54] Bao S, Wu Q, McLendon RE, Hao Y, Shi Q, Hjelmeland AB, Dewhirst MW, Bigner DD and Rich JN. Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. *Nature* 2006; 444: 756-760.
- [55] Yaccoby S, Johnson CL, Mahaffey SC, Wezeman MJ, Barlogie B and Epstein J. Antimyeloma efficacy of thalidomide in the SCID-hu model. *Blood* 2002; 100: 4162-8.
- [56] Tassone P, Neri P, Burger R, Savino R, Shammas M, Catley L, Podar K, Chauhan D, Masciari S and Gozzini A. Combination therapy with interleukin-6 receptor superantagonist Sant7 and dexamethasone induces antitumor effects in a novel SCID-hu In vivo model of human multiple myeloma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005; 11: 4251-8.
- [57] Ikeda H, Hideshima T, Fulciniti M, Perrone G, Miura N, Yasui H, Okawa Y, Kiziltepe T, Santo L and Vallet S. PI3K/p110 is a novel therapeutic target in multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2010; 116: 1460-8.
- [58] Taipale J and Beachy PA. The Hedgehog and Wnt signalling pathways in cancer. *Nature* 2001; 411: 349-354.
- [59] He TC, Sparks AB, Rago C, Hermeking H, Zawel L, da Costa LT, Morin PJ, Vogelstein B and Kinzler KW. Identification of c-MYC as a target of the APC pathway. *Science* 1998; 281: 1509-1512.
- [60] Shtutman M, Zhurinsky J, Simcha I, Albanese C, D'Amico M, Pestell R and Ben-Ze'ev A. The cyclin D1 gene is a target of the beta-catenin/LEF-1 pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999; 96: 5522-5527.
- [61] Tetsu O and McCormick F. Beta-catenin regulates expression of cyclin D1 in colon carcinoma cells. *Nature* 1999; 398: 422-426.
- [62] Wielenga VJ, Smits R, Korinek V, Smit L, Kielman M, Fodde R, Clevers H and Pals ST. Expression of CD44 in Apc and Tcf mutant mice

- implies regulation by the WNT pathway. *Am J Pathol* 1999; 154: 515-523.
- [63] Schwartz DR, Wu R, Kardia SL, Levin AM, Huang CC, Shedd KA, Kuick R, Misek DE, Hanash SM, Taylor JM, Reed H, Hendrix N, Zhai Y, Fearon ER and Cho KR. Novel candidate targets of beta-catenin/T-cell factor signaling identified by gene expression profiling of ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res* 2003; 63: 2913-2922.
- [64] Tricot G, Sawyer JR, Jagannath S, Desikan KR, Siegel D, Naucke S, Mattox S, Bracy D, Munshi N and Barlogie B. Unique role of cytogenetics in the prognosis of patients with myeloma receiving high-dose therapy and autotransplants. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; 15: 2659-2666.
- [65] Tricot G, Barlogie B, Jagannath S, Bracy D, Mattox S, Vesole DH, Naucke S and Sawyer JR. Poor prognosis in multiple myeloma is associated only with partial or complete deletions of chromosome 13 or abnormalities involving 11q and not with other karyotype abnormalities. *Blood* 1995; 86: 4250-4256.
- [66] Dohner H, Stilgenbauer S, Benner A, Leupolt E, Krober A, Bullinger L, Dohner K, Bentz M and Lichter P. Genomic aberrations and survival in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 343: 1910-1916.
- [67] Avet-Loiseau H, Facon T, Grosbois B, Magrangeas F, Rapp MJ, Harousseau JL, Minvielle S and Bataille R. Oncogenesis of multiple myeloma: 14q32 and 13q chromosomal abnormalities are not randomly distributed, but correlate with natural history, immunological features, and clinical presentation. *Blood* 2002; 99: 2185-2191.
- [68] Moreau P, Facon T, Leleu X, Morineau N, Huyghe P, Harousseau JL, Bataille R and Avet-Loiseau H. Recurrent 14q32 translocations determine the prognosis of multiple myeloma, especially in patients receiving intensive chemotherapy. *Blood* 2002; 100: 1579-1583.
- [69] Smadja NV, Leroux D, Soulier J, Dumont S, Arnould C, Taviaux S, Taillemite JL and Bastard C. Further cytogenetic characterization of multiple myeloma confirms that 14q32 translocations are a very rare event in hyperdiploid cases. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2003; 38: 234-239.
- [70] Fonseca R, Bergsagel PL, Drach J, Shaughnessy J, Gutierrez N, Stewart AK, Morgan G, Van Ness B, Chesi M, Minvielle S, Neri A, Barlogie B, Kuehl WM, Liebisch P, Davies F, Chen-Kiang S, Durie BG, Carrasco R, Sezer O, Reiman T, Pilarski L and Avet-Loiseau H. International Myeloma Working Group molecular classification of multiple myeloma: spotlight review. *Leukemia* 2009; 23: 2210-2221.
- [71] Chieccio L, Protheroe RK, Ibrahim AH, Cheung KL, Rudduck C, Dagrada GP, Cabanas ED, Parker T, Nightingale M, Wechalekar A, Orchard KH, Harrison CJ, Cross NC, Morgan GJ and Ross FM. Deletion of chromosome 13 detected by conventional cytogenetics is a critical prognostic factor in myeloma. *Leukemia* 2006; 20: 1610-1617.
- [72] Zhan F, Sawyer J and Tricot G. The role of cytogenetics in myeloma. *Leukemia* 2006; 20: 1484-1486.
- [73] Valk PJ, Verhaak RG, Beijen MA, Erpelinck CA, Barjesteh van Waalwijk van Doorn-Khosrovani S, Boer JM, Beverloo HB, Moorhouse MJ, van der Spek PJ, Lowenberg B and Delwel R. Prognostically useful gene-expression profiles in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350: 1617-1628.
- [74] Shipp MA, Ross KN, Tamayo P, Weng AP, Kutok JL, Aguiar RC, Gaasenbeek M, Angelo M, Reich M, Pinkus GS, Ray TS, Koval MA, Last KW, Norton A, Lister TA, Mesirov J, Neuberg DS, Lander ES, Aster JC and Golub TR. Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma outcome prediction by gene-expression profiling and supervised machine learning. *Nat Med* 2002; 8: 68-74.
- [75] Yeoh EJ, Ross ME, Shurtleff SA, Williams WK, Patel D, Mahfouz R, Behm FG, Raimondi SC, Relling MV, Patel A, Cheng C, Campana D, Wilkins D, Zhou X, Li J, Liu H, Pui CH, Evans WE, Naeve C, Wong L and Downing JR. Classification, subtype discovery, and prediction of outcome in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia by gene expression profiling. *Cancer Cell* 2002; 1: 133-143.
- [76] van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, He YD, Hart AA, Mao M, Peterse HL, van der Kooy K, Marton MJ, Witteveen AT, Schreiber GJ, Kerkhoven RM, Roberts C, Linsley PS, Bernards R and Friend SH. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature* 2002; 415: 530-536.
- [77] Zhan F, Hardin J, Kordsmeier B, Bumm K, Zheng M, Tian E, Sanderson R, Yang Y, Wilson C, Zangari M, Anaissie E, Morris C, Muwalla F, van Rhee F, Fassas A, Crowley J, Tricot G, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy J, Jr. Global gene expression profiling of multiple myeloma, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance, and normal bone marrow plasma cells. *Blood* 2002; 99: 1745-1757.
- [78] Zhan F, Barlogie B, Arzoumanian V, Huang Y, Williams DR, Hollmig K, Pineda-Roman M, Tricot G, van Rhee F, Zangari M, Dhodapkar M and Shaughnessy JD, Jr. Gene-expression signature of benign monoclonal gammopathy evident in multiple myeloma is linked to good prognosis. *Blood* 2007; 109: 1692-1700.
- [79] Tian E, Zhan F, Walker R, Rasmussen E, Ma Y, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy JD, Jr. The role of the Wnt-signaling antagonist DKK1 in the development of osteolytic lesions in multiple myeloma. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 349: 2483-2494.
- [80] Colla S, Zhan F, Xiong W, Wu X, Xu H, Stephens O, Yaccoby S, Epstein J, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy JD, Jr. The oxidative stress response

Myeloma stem cells

- regulates DKK1 expression through the JNK signaling cascade in multiple myeloma plasma cells. *Blood* 2007; 109: 4470-4477.
- [81] Bergsagel PL, Kuehl WM, Zhan F, Sawyer J, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy J, Jr. Cyclin D dysregulation: an early and unifying pathogenic event in multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2005; 106: 296-303.
- [82] Hanamura I, Stewart JP, Huang Y, Zhan F, Santra M, Sawyer JR, Hollmig K, Zangari M, Pineda-Roman M and Van Rhee F. Frequent gain of chromosome band 1q21 in plasma-cell dyscrasias detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization: incidence increases from MGUS to relapsed myeloma and is related to prognosis and disease progression following tandem stem-cell transplantation. *Blood* 2006; 108: 1724-32.
- [83] Zhan F, Colla S, Wu X, Chen B, Stewart JP, Kuehl WM, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy JD. CKS1B, overexpressed in aggressive disease, regulates multiple myeloma growth and survival through SKP2-and p27Kip1-dependent and-independent mechanisms. *Blood* 2007; 109: 4995-5001.
- [84] Shi L, Wang S, Zangari M, Xu H, Cao TM, Xu C, Wu Y, Xiao F, Liu Y, Yang Y, Salama M, Li G, Tricot G and Zhan F. Over-expression of CKS1B activates both MEK/ERK and JAK/STAT3 signaling pathways and promotes myeloma cell drug-resistance. *Oncotarget* 2010; 1: 22-33.
- [85] Wang S, Tricot G, Shi L, Xiong W, Zeng Z, Xu H, Zangari M, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy JD, Jr. and Zhan F. RARalpha2 expression is associated with disease progression and plays a crucial role in efficacy of ATRA treatment in myeloma. *Blood* 2009; 114: 600-607.
- [86] Hsi ED, Steinle R, Balasa B, Szmania S, Draksharapu A, Shum BP, Huseni M, Powers D, Nansietti A and Zhang Y. CS1, a potential new therapeutic antibody target for the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008; 14: 2775-84.
- [87] Tai YT, Dillon M, Song W, Leiba M, Li XF, Burger P, Lee AI, Podar K, Hideshima T and Rice AG. Anti-CS1 humanized monoclonal antibody Hu-Luc63 inhibits myeloma cell adhesion and induces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity in the bone marrow milieu. *Blood* 2008; 112: 1329-37.
- [88] Jin L, Hope KJ, Zhai Q, Smadja-Joffe F and Dick JE. Targeting of CD44 eradicates human acute myeloid leukemic stem cells. *Nat Med* 2006; 12: 1167-1174.
- [89] Kapoor P, Greipp PT, Morice WG, Rajkumar SV, Witzig TE and Greipp PR. Anti CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy in multiple myeloma. *British J Haematol* 2008; 141: 135-148.
- [90] Anguiano A, Tuchman SA, Acharya C, Salter K, Gasparetto C, Zhan F, Dhodapkar M, Nevis J, Barlogie B and Shaughnessy JD. Gene expression profiles of tumor biology provide a novel approach to prognosis and may guide the selection of therapeutic targets in multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27: 4197-203.