

RANK-ONE PERTURBATION OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND REFLEXIVITY

Kamila Kliś-Garlicka

Abstract. It was shown that rank-one perturbation of the space of Toeplitz operators preserves 2-hyperreflexivity.

Keywords: Toeplitz operators, reflexivity, hyperreflexivity.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A15, 47L99.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. By $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

It is well known that the space of trace class operators τc is a predual to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the dual action $\langle A, f \rangle = \text{tr}(Af)$, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $f \in \tau c$. The trace norm in τc will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_1$. Denote by F_k the set of operators of rank at most k . Every rank-one operator may be written as $x \otimes y$, for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, and $(x \otimes y)z = \langle z, y \rangle x$ for $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, $\text{tr}(T(x \otimes y)) = \langle Tx, y \rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a subspace (when we write subspace we mean a norm closed linear manifold). By $d(T, \mathcal{M})$ we will denote the standard distance from an operator T to a subspace \mathcal{M} , i.e., $d(T, \mathcal{M}) = \inf\{\|T - M\| : M \in \mathcal{M}\}$. It is known that when \mathcal{M} is weak* closed $d(T, \mathcal{M}) = \sup\{|\text{tr}(Tf)| : f \in \mathcal{M}_\perp, \|f\|_1 \leq 1\}$, where \mathcal{M}_\perp denotes the preannihilator of \mathcal{M} .

Recall that the *reflexive closure* of a subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$\text{ref } \mathcal{M} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : Tx \in [\mathcal{M}x] \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the norm-closure. A subspace \mathcal{M} is called *reflexive* if $\mathcal{M} = \text{ref } \mathcal{M}$. Due to Longstaff [14] we know that when \mathcal{M} is a weak* closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then \mathcal{M} is reflexive if and only if \mathcal{M}_\perp is a closed linear span of the set of all operators of rank one contained in \mathcal{M}_\perp (i.e., $\mathcal{M}_\perp = [\mathcal{M}_\perp \cap F_1]$). A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *k-reflexive* if $\mathcal{M}^{(k)} = \{M^{(k)} : M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is reflexive in $B(\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$, where

$M^{(k)} = M \oplus \dots \oplus M$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(k)} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Kraus and Larson [12, Theorem 2.1] proved that a weak* closed subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is k -reflexive if and only if \mathcal{M}_\perp is a closed linear span of rank- k operators contained in \mathcal{M}_\perp (i.e., $\mathcal{M}_\perp = [\mathcal{M}_\perp \cap F_k]$).

In [2] Arveson defines an algebra \mathcal{A} as *hypperreflexive* if there is a constant a such that $d(T, \mathcal{A}) \leq a \sup\{\|P^\perp TP\| : P \in \text{Lat}\mathcal{A}\}$ for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. In [11] this definition was generalized to subspaces of operators. A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *hypperreflexive* if there is a constant a such that

$$d(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq a \sup\{\|Q^\perp TP\| : P, Q \text{ are projections and } Q^\perp \mathcal{M}P = 0\}$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. As it was shown in [12] the supremum on the right hand side is equal to $\sup\{|\langle T, g \otimes h \rangle| : g \otimes h \in \mathcal{M}_\perp, \|g \otimes h\|_1 \leq 1\}$.

Recall after [10] the definition of k -hypperreflexivity. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a subspace. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote

$$\alpha_k(T, \mathcal{M}) = \sup\{|\text{tr}(Tf)| : f \in \mathcal{M}_\perp \cap F_k, \|f\|_1 \leq 1\}.$$

A subspace \mathcal{M} is called *k -hypperreflexive* if there is $a > 0$ such that for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following inequality holds:

$$d(T, \mathcal{M}) \leq a \alpha_k(T, \mathcal{M}). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\kappa_k(\mathcal{M})$ be the infimum of the collection of all constants a such that inequality (1.1) holds, then $\kappa_k(\mathcal{M})$ is a constant of k -hypperreflexivity. Operator T is *k -hypperreflexive* if the WOT closed algebra generated by T and identity is k -hypperreflexive.

When $k = 1$ the definition above coincides with the definition of hyperreflexivity and the letter k will be omitted.

2. REFLEXIVITY OF PERTURBATED TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle on the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Denote $L^2 = L^2(\mathbb{T}, m)$ and $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where m is the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} . Let H^2 be the Hardy space corresponding to L^2 and P_{H^2} be a projection from L^2 onto H^2 . For each $\phi \in L^\infty$ we define $T_\phi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ by $T_\phi f = P_{H^2}(\phi f)$ for $f \in H^2$. Operator T_ϕ is called a *Toeplitz operator* and \mathcal{T} will denote the space of all Toeplitz operators.

The unilateral shift S can be realized as the multiplication operator by independent variable T_z . Moreover, $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\phi : \phi \in L^\infty\} = \{A : T_z^* A T_z = A\}$ ([9, Corollary 1 to Problem 194]). Hence \mathcal{T} is weak* closed.

Let $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the usual basis in H^2 . Denote by \mathcal{M}_{lm} the subspace $\mathcal{T} + \mathbb{C}(e_l \otimes e_m)$. In [4, Theorem 3.1] the authors proved that the space of all Toeplitz operators is not reflexive but it is 2-reflexive. We will show that the subspace \mathcal{M}_{lm} has the same properties.

Proposition 2.1. *The subspace \mathcal{M}_{lm} is not reflexive but it is 2-reflexive.*

Proof. Notice that $(\mathcal{M}_{lm})_\perp = \mathcal{T}_\perp \cap (e_l \otimes e_m)_\perp$. Since \mathcal{T}_\perp contains no nonzero rank-one operators, then \mathcal{M}_{lm} is not reflexive.

Notice that

$$\mathcal{T}_\perp = \text{span}\{e_i \otimes e_j - Se_i \otimes Se_j : i, j = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

where S is the unilateral shift. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{M}_{lm})_\perp = \text{span}\{e_i \otimes e_j - Se_i \otimes Se_j : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, (i, j) \neq (l, m) \text{ and } (i + 1, j + 1) \neq (l, m)\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{M}_{lm} is 2-reflexive. □

Recall after [5] the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has *property $\mathbb{A}_{1/k}$* if \mathcal{M} is weak* closed and for any weak* continuous functional ϕ on \mathcal{M} there is $g \in F_k$ such that $\phi(M) = \text{tr}(Mg)$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The subspace $\mathcal{M}_{lm} = \mathcal{T} + \mathbb{C}(e_l \otimes e_m)$ has property $\mathbb{A}_{1/4}$.*

Proof. Let $t \in \tau c$. Since \mathcal{T} has property $\mathbb{A}_{1/2}$ ([10, Proposition 4.1]), there is $f \in F_2$ such that $(t - f) \in \mathcal{T}_\perp$. If $(t - f) \in (\mathbb{C}e_l \otimes e_m)_\perp$, then $(t - f) \in (\mathcal{M}_{lm})_\perp$. If $(t - f) \notin (\mathbb{C}e_l \otimes e_m)_\perp$, then $(t - f - \lambda e_l \otimes e_m + \lambda e_{l+1} \otimes e_{m+1}) \in (\mathcal{M}_{lm})_\perp$, where $\lambda = P_{\mathbb{C}e_l}(t - f)P_{\mathbb{C}e_m}$ and $P_{\mathbb{C}e_i}$ denotes the orthogonal projection on $\mathbb{C}e_i$. So \mathcal{M}_{lm} has property $\mathbb{A}_{1/4}$. □

In [13] Larson proved that if \mathcal{M} is k -reflexive, then any weak* closed subspace $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is k -reflexive if and only if \mathcal{M} has property $\mathbb{A}_{1/k}$. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 that:

Corollary 2.4. *Every weak*-closed subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{lm} = \mathcal{T} + \mathbb{C}(e_l \otimes e_m)$ is 4-reflexive.*

On the other hand, due to [8] we know that the algebra of analytic Toeplitz operators is hyperreflexive. Moreover, the space of all Toeplitz operators \mathcal{T} is 2-hyperreflexive and $\kappa_2(\mathcal{T}) \leq 2$ (see [10, 15]). We will show that the subspace \mathcal{M}_{lm} is 2-hyperreflexive. In the proof we will use the projection $\pi : \mathcal{B}(H^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ constructed by Arveson in [1, Proposition 5.2], which has the property that for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$ the operator $\pi(A)$ belongs to the weak* closed convex hull of the set $\{T_{z^n}^* A T_{z^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Subspace $\mathcal{M}_{lm} = \mathcal{T} + \mathbb{C}(e_l \otimes e_m)$ is 2-hyperreflexive with constant $\kappa_2(\mathcal{M}_{lm}) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ define $A_\lambda = A - \lambda e_l \otimes e_m$. Notice that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$d(A, \mathcal{M}_{lm}) \leq \|A - \pi(A) - \lambda e_l \otimes e_m\| = \|A_\lambda - \pi(A_\lambda)\|.$$

Since the space of Toeplitz operators \mathcal{T} is 2-hyperreflexive with constant at most 2, we have that

$$d(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) \leq \|A_\lambda - \pi(A_\lambda)\| \leq 2\alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) \text{ (for details see [10]).}$$

To complete the proof it is enough to show that for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$ there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) = \alpha_2(A, \mathcal{M}_{lm}). \quad (2.1)$$

Note that

$$\alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) = \sup\{|tr(A_\lambda t)| : 2t = e_i \otimes e_j - e_{i+k} \otimes e_{j+k}, k \geq 1, i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

If this supremum is realized by $2t = e_i \otimes e_j - e_{i+k} \otimes e_{j+k}$ for $(i, j) \neq (l, m)$ and $(i+k, j+k) \neq (l, m)$, then equality (2.1) holds. So, it is enough to consider the case when

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) &= \sup\{|tr(A_\lambda t)| : 2t = e_l \otimes e_m - e_{l+k} \otimes e_{m+k}, k \geq \min\{-l, -m\}\} = \\ &= \sup\{\frac{1}{2}|a_{lm} - \lambda - a_{l+k, m+k}| : k \geq \min\{-l, -m\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\alpha_2(A, \mathcal{M}_{lm}) = \beta > 0$. Note that for any λ we have $\beta \leq \alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T})$. If we choose $\lambda = a_{lm} - a_{l+1, m+1}$, then

$$\alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) = \sup\{\frac{1}{2}|a_{l+1, m+1} - a_{l+k, m+k}| : k \geq \min\{-l, -m\}\} \leq \beta.$$

Hence $\alpha_2(A_\lambda, \mathcal{T}) = \alpha_2(A, \mathcal{M}_{lm})$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by grant founded by the Rector of the University of Agriculture in Krakow.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.T. Arveson, *Interpolation problems in nest algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **20** (1975), 208–233.
- [2] N.T. Arveson, *Ten lectures on operator algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1984.
- [3] E.A. Azoff, *On finite rank operators and preannihilators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1986).
- [4] E.A. Azoff, M. Ptak, *A dichotomy for linear spaces of Toeplitz operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **156** (1998), 411–428.
- [5] H. Bercovici, C. Foias, C. Pearcy, *Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1985.
- [6] J. Bourgain, *A problem of Douglas and Rudin on factorization*, Pacific J. Math. **121** (1986), 47–50.
- [7] J.B. Conway, *A course in operator theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [8] K. Davidson, *The distance to the analytic Toeplitz operators*. Illinois J. Math **31** (1987) 2, 265–273.

- [9] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1967.
- [10] K. Kliś, M. Ptak, *k-hyperreflexive subspaces*, Houston J. Math. **32** (2006), 299–313.
- [11] J. Kraus, D. Larson, *Some applications of a technique for constructing reflexive operator algebras*. J. Operator Theory **13** (1985), 227–236.
- [12] J. Kraus, D. Larson, *Reflexivity and distance formulae*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **53** (1986), 340–356.
- [13] D. Larson, *Annihilators of operator algebras*, Operator Theory **6** (1982), 119–130.
- [14] W.E. Longstaff, *On the operation Alg Lat in finite dimensions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **27** (1979), 27–29.
- [15] H. Mustafayev, *On hyper-reflexivity of some operator spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 603–606.

Kamila Kliś-Garlicka
rmklis@cyf-kr.edu.pl

University of Agriculture
Institute of Mathematics
Balicka 253c, 30-198 Kraków, Poland

Received: July 19, 2011.

Revised: August 11, 2011.

Accepted: August 16, 2011.