

**TREES  
WITH EQUAL GLOBAL OFFENSIVE  $k$ -ALLIANCE  
AND  $k$ -DOMINATION NUMBERS**

Mustapha Chellali

**Abstract.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. A set  $S$  of vertices of a graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is called a global offensive  $k$ -alliance if  $|N(v) \cap S| \geq |N(v) - S| + k$  for every  $v \in V(G) - S$ , where  $N(v)$  is the neighborhood of  $v$ . The subset  $S$  is a  $k$ -dominating set of  $G$  if every vertex in  $V(G) - S$  has at least  $k$  neighbors in  $S$ . The global offensive  $k$ -alliance number  $\gamma_o^k(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a global offensive  $k$ -alliance in  $G$  and the  $k$ -domination number  $\gamma_k(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a  $k$ -dominating set of  $G$ . For every integer  $k \geq 1$  every graph  $G$  satisfies  $\gamma_o^k(G) \geq \gamma_k(G)$ . In this paper we provide for  $k \geq 2$  a characterization of trees  $T$  with equal  $\gamma_o^k(T)$  and  $\gamma_k(T)$ .

**Keywords:** global offensive  $k$ -alliance number,  $k$ -domination number, trees.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C69.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We begin with some terminology. For a vertex  $v$  of a simple graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v \in V(G)$  is  $N(v) = N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$  and the *degree* of  $v$ , denoted by  $\deg_G(v)$ , is  $|N_G(v)|$ . By  $n(G)$  and  $\Delta(G) = \Delta$  we denote the *order* and the *maximum degree* of the graph  $G$ , respectively. Specifically, for a vertex  $v$  in a rooted tree  $T$ , we denote by  $C(v)$  and  $D(v)$  the set of *children* and *descendants*, respectively, of  $v$ , and we define  $D[v] = D(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The *maximal subtree* at  $v$  is the subtree of  $T$  induced by  $D[v]$ , and is denoted by  $T_v$ .

In [9] Kristiansen, Hedetniemi, and Hedetniemi introduced several types of alliances in graphs, including defensive and offensive alliances. We are interested in a generalization of offensive alliances, namely global offensive  $k$ -alliances given by Shafique and Dutton [10, 11]. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. A set  $S$  of vertices of a graph  $G$  is called a *global offensive  $k$ -alliance* if  $|N(v) \cap S| \geq |N(v) - S| + k$  for every  $v \in V(G) - S$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \Delta$ . The *global offensive  $k$ -alliance number*  $\gamma_o^k(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a global offensive  $k$ -alliance in  $G$ . If  $S$  is a global offensive

$k$ -alliance of  $G$  and  $|S| = \gamma_o^k(G)$ , then we say that  $S$  is a  $\gamma_o^k(G)$ -set. Note that a global offensive 1-alliance is a global offensive alliance and a global offensive 2-alliance is a global strong offensive alliance. Recently, Fernau, Rodríguez and Sigarreta showed in [5] that the problem of finding optimal global offensive  $k$ -alliances is NP-complete, and Chellali, Haynes, Randerath and Volkmann presented in [3] several bounds on the global offensive  $k$ -alliance number.

For a positive integer  $k$ , a set of vertices  $D$  in a graph  $G$  is said to be a  $k$ -dominating set if each vertex of  $G$  not in  $D$  has at least  $k$  neighbors in  $D$ . The order of the smallest  $k$ -dominating set of  $G$  is called the  $k$ -domination number, and it is denoted by  $\gamma_k(G)$ . The concept of  $k$ -domination was introduced by Fink and Jacobson in [6, 7], and is studied, for example, in [4, 8] and elsewhere.

Clearly, if  $S$  is any global offensive  $k$ -alliance, then every vertex of  $V(G) - S$  has at least  $k$  neighbors in  $S$ . Thus  $S$  is a  $k$ -dominating set of  $G$ , and hence  $\gamma_k(G) \leq \gamma_o^k(G)$ .

In this paper, we provide a characterization of trees with equal global offensive  $k$ -alliance and  $k$ -domination numbers for every integer  $k \geq 2$ . Note that a characterization of trees  $T$  with  $\gamma_1(T) = \gamma_o^1(T)$  has been given by Bouzeffrane and Chellali [2].

## 2. MAIN RESULT

We begin by introducing the following trees defined in [1] by Blidia, Chellali and Volkmann. For a positive integer  $p$ , a nontrivial tree  $T$  is called  $\mathcal{N}_p$ -tree if  $T$  contains a vertex, say  $w$ , of degree at least  $p - 1$  and  $\deg_T(x) \leq p - 1$  for every vertex of  $x \in V(T) - \{w\}$ . The vertex  $w$  will be called the *special vertex* of  $T$ . An  $\mathcal{N}_p$ -tree with special vertex  $w$  is called *exact* if  $\deg_T(w) = p - 1$ .

For the purpose of characterizing trees  $T$  with  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_o^k(T)$  for  $k \geq 2$  we define the family  $\mathcal{F}_k$  of all trees  $T$  that can be obtained from a sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) of trees, where  $T_1$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $w$  of degree at least  $k - 1$ ,  $T = T_p$ , and, if  $p \geq 2$ ,  $T_{i+1}$  can be obtained recursively from  $T_i$  by one of the operations listed below.

- Operation  $\mathcal{O}_1$ : Attach an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $x$  of degree at least  $k + 1$  by adding an edge from  $x$  to any vertex  $u$  of  $T_i$  with the condition that if  $u$  does not belong to a  $\gamma_o^k(T_i)$ -set  $D$ , then  $|N_{T_i}(u) \cap D| > |N_{T_i}(u) - D| + k$ .
- Operation  $\mathcal{O}_2$ : Attach an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $x$  of degree  $k - 1$  or  $k$  by adding an edge from  $x$  to a vertex  $u$  of  $T_i$  that belongs to a  $\gamma_o^k(T_i)$ -set.
- Operation  $\mathcal{O}_3$ : Attach an exact  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $x$  and  $q \geq 1$  new trees, all vertices of degree at most  $k - 1$  and join  $x$  and a vertex of each new tree by an edge to a vertex  $z$  of  $T_i$  of degree exactly  $k - 1$ .

The following observations will be useful for the next.

**Observation 2.1.** *For every graph  $G$  and positive integer  $k$ , every vertex with degree at most  $k - 1$  belongs to every  $\gamma_o^k(G)$ -set and to every  $\gamma_k(G)$ -set.*

**Observation 2.2.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $T$  a tree obtained from an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree  $H$  with special vertex  $w$  by adding an edge between  $w$  and a vertex  $v$  of a tree  $T'$ . Then  $\gamma_o^k(T') \leq \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(H)| + 1$  with equality if:*

- 1)  $v$  belongs to a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set.
- 2)  $\deg_H(w) \geq k + 1$  and  $v$  satisfies  $|N_{T'}(v) \cap D| > |N_{T'}(v) - D| + k$ , where  $D$  is a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set such that  $v \notin D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a  $\gamma_o^k(T)$ -set. Then by Observation 2.1,  $Q$  contains  $V(H) - \{w\}$  and, without loss of generality,  $w \notin Q$  (else replace  $w$  in  $Q$  by  $v$ ) and hence  $v \in Q$ . Thus  $Q \cap V(T')$  is a global offensive  $k$ -alliance of  $T'$ , and so  $\gamma_o^k(T') \leq \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(H)| + 1$ . Now let  $D'$  be a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set. If  $v \in D'$ , then  $D' \cup (V(H) - \{w\})$  is a global offensive  $k$ -alliance of  $T'$ . If  $\deg_H(w) \geq k + 1$ ,  $v \notin D'$  and  $v$  satisfies  $|N_{T'}(v) \cap D'| > |N_{T'}(v) - D'| + k$ , then  $D' \cup (V(H) - \{w\})$  is a global offensive  $k$ -alliance of  $T'$  too. In both cases  $\gamma_o^k(T) \leq \gamma_o^k(T') + |V(H)| - 1$  and the equality follows.  $\square$

By using a similar proof we obtain the following

**Observation 2.3.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $T$  a tree obtained from an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree  $H$  with special vertex  $w$  by adding an edge between  $w$  and a vertex  $v$  of a tree  $T'$ . Then  $\gamma_k(T') \leq \gamma_k(T) - |V(H)| + 1$  with equality if either  $\deg_H(w) \geq k$  or  $v$  belongs to a  $\gamma_k(T')$ -set.*

We state a lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $k \geq 2$  and  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$ , then  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $k \geq 2$  and let  $T$  be a tree of  $\mathcal{F}_k$ . Then  $T$  is obtained from a sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) of trees, where  $T_1$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $w$  of degree at least  $k - 1$ ,  $T = T_p$ , and, if  $p \geq 2$ ,  $T_{i+1}$  can be obtained recursively from  $T_i$  by one of the operations defined above. We will use induction on  $p$ . If  $p = 1$ , then  $\gamma_o^k(T_1) = \gamma_k(T_1) = n(T_1)$  or  $n(T_1) - 1$  depending on whether  $w$  has degree  $k - 1$  or more, respectively.

Assume now that  $p \geq 2$  and that the result holds for all trees  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$  that can be constructed from a sequence of length at most  $p - 1$ , and let  $T' = T_{p-1}$ . By the inductive hypothesis on  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$  we have  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$ . Let  $T$  be a tree obtained from  $T'$  and consider the following cases.

Assume that  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by using Operation  $\mathcal{O}_1$  or  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Let  $H$  be the added  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree. Then by Observations 2.2 and 2.3,  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_o^k(T') + |V(H)| - 1$ ,  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') + |V(H)| - 1$  and hence  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$ .

Assume now that  $T$  is obtained from  $T'$  by using operation  $\mathcal{O}_3$ . Let  $H$  be the added  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $x$  and  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_q$  the  $q$  added new trees attached to  $z$  of  $T'$ . We further assume that  $t$  trees among the  $q$  new trees are attached to  $z$  by vertices of degree exactly  $k - 1$ , and so such vertices would have degree  $k$  in  $T$ . It can be seen easily that  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_o^k(T') + |V(H)| - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^q |V(H_i)| - t$ , and  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') + |V(H)| - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^q |V(H_i)| - t$ . Therefore  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$ .  $\square$

We now are ready to give our main result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. A tree  $T$  satisfies  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$  if and only if either  $\Delta(T) \leq k - 2$  or  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is a tree with  $\Delta(T) \leq k - 2$ , then by Observation 2.1,  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T) = n(T)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$ , then by Lemma 2.4,  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$ .

Let us prove the “only if” part. Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $T$  a tree with  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$ . Suppose that  $\Delta(T) \geq k - 1$  and let  $B(T) = \{x \in V(T) : \deg_T(x) \geq k\}$ . We use an induction on the size of  $B(T)$ . If  $|B(T)| = 0$  or  $1$ , then  $T$  is an (exact)  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree that belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_k$ . Let  $|B(T)| \geq 2$  and assume that every tree  $T'$  with  $|B(T')| < |B(T)|$  such that  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_k$ . Let  $T$  be a tree with  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$  and  $S$  a  $\gamma_o^k(T)$ -set.

We now root  $T$  at a vertex  $r$  of maximum eccentricity. Let  $w$  be a vertex of degree at least  $k$  at maximum distance from  $r$ . We further assume that among such vertices  $w$  has maximum degree. Clearly since  $k \geq 2, w \neq r$  and the subtree induced by  $D(w) \cup \{w\}$  is an  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $w$  of degree at least  $k - 1$ . Note that every vertex in  $D(w)$  has degree at most  $k - 1$  and so  $D(w)$  is contained in every  $\gamma_o^k(T)$ -set and every  $\gamma_k(T)$ -set. Let  $u$  be the parent of  $w$  in the rooted tree. We consider the following cases.

*Case 1.*  $\deg_T(w) \geq k + 2$ . Let  $T' = T - T_w$ . By Observation 2.3,  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') + |V(T_w)| - 1$  and by Observation 2.2,  $\gamma_o^k(T') \leq \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ . If  $\gamma_o^k(T') < \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ , then using the fact  $\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_k(T)$  we arrive to  $\gamma_o^k(T') < \gamma_k(T')$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ . Hence we may assume that  $w \notin S$  (else replace  $w$  by  $u$ ) and so  $S' = S \cap V(T')$  is a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set. Observe that if  $u \notin S'$ , then since  $w \notin S$  the set  $S'$  is a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set for which  $u$  satisfies  $|N_{T'}(u) \cap S'| > |N_{T'}(u) - S'| + k$ . Now it follows by the previous equalities that  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$ . If  $B(T') = \emptyset$ , then  $\deg_{T'}(u) = k$  and  $T'$  is an exact  $\mathcal{N}_k$ -tree with special vertex  $u$ , that is  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . If  $B(T') \neq \emptyset$ , then clearly  $|B(T')| < |B(T)|$  and hence by induction on  $T'$ , we have  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . Therefore in both cases  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and is obtained from  $T'$  by using Operation  $\mathcal{O}_1$ .

*Case 2.*  $\deg_T(w) = k + 1$ . Let  $T' = T - T_w$ . By Observation 2.3,  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') + |V(T_w)| - 1$  and by Observation 2.2,  $\gamma_o^k(T') \leq \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ . By using the same argument as that used in Case 1, we obtain  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ . Also  $w \notin S$  (else replace  $w$  by  $u$  in  $S$ ) and hence  $u \in S$ , implying that  $S' = S \cap V(T')$  is a  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set, where  $u \in S'$ . The previous equalities imply that  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$ . Clearly  $|B(T')| < |B(T)|$  but we note that  $B(T') \neq \{u\}$  for otherwise  $S' - \{u\}$  would be a global offensive  $k$ -alliance of  $T'$ . Now by induction on  $T'$  we have  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . Hence  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and is obtained from  $T'$  by using Operation  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .

*Case 3.*  $\deg_T(w) = k$ . By our choice of  $w$  every vertex in  $C(u)$  has degree at most  $k$ . Recall that  $|B(T)| \geq 2$ . If  $\deg_T(u) \leq k$ , then let  $T' = T - T_w$ . It can be seen that  $\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') - |V(T_w)| + 1$  and  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_o^k(T) - |V(T_w)| + 1$ . Therefore  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$  and by induction on  $T'$  we have  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . Since  $\deg_{T'}(u) \leq k - 1$ ,  $u$  belongs to every  $\gamma_o^k(T')$ -set. Thus  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and is obtained from  $T'$  by using Operation  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . Now assume that  $\deg_T(u) = q \geq k + 1$ , then let  $w = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{q-k+1}$  be any

vertices of  $C(u)$ , where the first  $t$  ( $t \geq 1$ ) vertices have degree exactly  $k$  and the remaining vertices have degree at most  $k - 1$ . Let  $T' = T - \bigcup_{j=1}^{q+1-k} T_{w_j}$ . Note that  $\deg_{T'}(u) = k - 1$ . By Observations 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, it can be seen easily that

$$\gamma_o^k(T) = \gamma_o^k(T') + \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{q+1-k} D[w_j] \right| - t,$$

and

$$\gamma_k(T) = \gamma_k(T') + \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{q+1-k} D[w_j] \right| - t.$$

Therefore  $\gamma_o^k(T') = \gamma_k(T')$ . Now since  $|B(T')| < |B(T)|$  we obtain by induction  $T' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ . Hence  $T \in \mathcal{F}_k$  and is obtained from  $T'$  by using Operation  $\mathcal{O}_3$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Blidia, M. Chellali, L. Volkmann, *Some bounds on the  $p$ -domination number in trees*, Discrete Math. **306** (2006), 2031–2037.
- [2] M. Bouzeffrane, M. Chellali, *On the global offensive alliance number of a tree*, Opuscula Math. **29** (2009), 223–228.
- [3] M. Chellali, T.W. Haynes, B. Randerath, L. Volkmann, *Bounds on the global offensive  $k$ -alliance number in graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **29** (2009), 597–613.
- [4] O. Favaron, A. Hansberg, L. Volkmann, *On  $k$ -domination and minimum degree in graphs*, J. Graph Theory **57** (2008), 33–40.
- [5] H. Fernau, J.A. Rodríguez, J.M. Sigarreta, *Offensive  $r$ -alliance in graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **157** (2009), 177–182.
- [6] J.F. Fink, M.S. Jacobson,  *$n$ -domination in graphs*, Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer Science, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1985, 282–300.
- [7] J.F. Fink, M.S. Jacobson, *On  $n$ -domination,  $n$ -dependence and forbidden subgraphs*, Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer Science, John Wiley and Sons, New York 1985, 301–311.
- [8] A. Hansberg, L. Volkmann, *Lower bounds on the  $p$ -domination number in terms of cycles and matching number*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **68** (2009), 245–255.
- [9] P. Kristiansen, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, *Alliances in graphs*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **48** (2004), 157–177.
- [10] K.H. Shafique, R.D. Dutton, *Maximum alliance-free and minimum alliance-cover sets*, Congr. Numer. **162** (2003), 139–146.
- [11] K.H. Shafique, R. Dutton, *A tight bound on the cardinalities of maximum alliance-free and minimum alliance-cover sets*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **56** (2006), 139–145.

Mustapha Chellali  
m\_chellali@yahoo.com

University of Blida  
LAMDA-RO Laboratory, Department of Mathematics  
B.P. 270, Blida, Algeria

*Received: August 3, 2009.*

*Revised: January 15, 2010.*

*Accepted: January 16, 2010.*