H. MASAOKA
KODAI MATH. J.
18 (1995), 487—493

HARMONIC DIMENSION OF COVERING SURFACES, II

Dedicated to Professor Fumi-Yuki Maeda on his sixtieth birthday

HIROAKI MASAOKA

Introduction

Let F be an open Riemann surface of null boundary which has a single ideal boundary component in the sense of Kerékjártó-Stoïlow (cf. [3, p. 98]). A relatively noncompact subregion Ω of F is said to be an *end* of F if the relative boundary $\partial\Omega$ consists of finitely many analytic Jordan curves (cf. Heins [4]). We denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ the class of all nonnegative harmonic functions on Ω with vanishing values on $\partial\Omega$. The *harmonic dimension* of Ω, $\dim\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ in notation, is defined as the minimum number of elements of $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ generating $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ provided that such a finite set exists, otherwise as ∞. It is well-known that $\dim\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ dose not depend on a choice of end of F: $\dim\mathcal{P}(\Omega)=\dim\mathcal{P}(\Omega')$ for any pair (Ω, Ω') of ends of F (cf. [4]). In terms of the Martin compactification $\dim\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ coincides with the number of minimal points over the ideal boundary (cf. Constantinesc and Cornea [3]).

In this note we especially consider ends W which are subregion of p-sheeted unlimited covering surfaces of $\{0<|z|\leqq\infty\}$. For these W it is known that $1\leqq\dim\mathcal{P}(W)\leqq p$ (cf. [4]). Consider two positive sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ satisfying $b_{n+1}<a_n<b_n<1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=0$. Set $G=\{0<|z|<1\}-I$ where $I=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}I_n$ and $I_n=[a_n, b_n]$. We take p (>1) copies $G_1, \cdots, G_p$ of G. Joining the upper edge of I_n on G_j and the lower edge of I_n on G_{j+1} ($j \bmod p$) for every n, we obtain a p-sheeted covering surface $W=W_p^I$ of $\{0<|z|<1\}$ which is naturally considered as an end of a p-sheeted covering surface of $\{0<|z|\leqq\infty\}$. In the previous paper [6] we proved the following.

THEOREM A ([6, Theorem]). *Suppose that* $p=2^m$ $(m\in\boldsymbol{N})$. *Then*
(i) $\dim\mathcal{P}(W)=p$ *if and only if* I *is thin at* $z=0$;
(ii) $\dim\mathcal{P}(W)=1$ *if and only if* I *is not thin at* $z=0$.

The purpose of this note is to show that, in a bit more general setting for I, Theorem A is valid for every p (>1) (cf. §1). Consequently we have the following.

Received March 2, 1994; revised October 3, 1994

THEOREM. *For every integer p (>1), it holds that*
(i) $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=p$ *if and only if* I *is thin at* $z=0$;
(ii) $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=1$ *if and only if* I *is not thin at* $z=0$.

In § 1 we give preliminaries and state Main Theorem. The proof of Main Theorem is given in § 2.

Finally the author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Prof. S. Segawa for his valuable comment and constant encouragement and at the same time to the referee for his helpful advice. They pointed him out some mistakes of the original Theorem 1.1.

1. Preliminaries from potential theory and statement of Main Theorem

1.1. We begin with recalling the definition of balayage. Consider an open Riemann surface F possessing the Green's function. Denote by $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}(F)$ the class of all nonnegative superharmonic functions on F. Let E be a subset of F and s belong to $\mathcal{S}$. Then the *balayage* $\hat{R}_s^E={}^F\hat{R}_s^E$ of s relative to E on F is defined by

$$\hat{R}_s^E(z)=\liminf_{x\to z}\ \inf\{u(x): u\in\mathcal{S},\ u\geqq s \text{ on } E\}$$

(cf. e.g. [1]). Let $G_\xi^F(\cdot)$ be the Green's function on F with pole at ξ. We here review fundamental properties of balayage (cf. [1], [2], [5], etc.).

PROPOSITION 1.1. (i) *If* $E_1\subset E_2$ *then* $\hat{R}_s^{E_1}\leqq\hat{R}_s^{E_2}$;
(ii) $\hat{R}_s^{E_1\cup E_2}\leqq\hat{R}_s^{E_1}+\hat{R}_s^{E_2}$;
(iii) $\hat{R}_{u+v}^E=\hat{R}_u^E+\hat{R}_v^E$;
(iv) *if* N *is a polar set, then* $\hat{R}_s^{E\cup N}=\hat{R}_s^E$;
(v) *if* E *is a closed subset of* F, *then* $\hat{R}_s^E(z)=s(z)$ *on* E *except possibly for those* $z\in\partial E$ *which are irregular boundary points of* $F-E$, *and* $\hat{R}_s^E=H_s^{F-E}$ *on* $F-E$, *where* $H_s^{F-E}={}^FH_s^{F-E}$ *is the generalized Dirichlet solution for* s *on* $F-E$.

The following lemma gives us the relation between balayage on F and balayage on a covering surface of F.

LEMMA 1.1 (cf. [6, Lemma 3.1]). *Let* $\tilde{F}$ *be an unlimited covering surface of* F, E *a subset of* F, s *a positive superharmonic function on* F *and* π *the canonical projection from* $\tilde{F}$ *onto* F. *Then, it holds that*

$${}^F\hat{R}_s^E\circ\pi={}^{\tilde{F}}\hat{R}_{s\circ\pi}^{\pi^{-1}(E)}$$

on $\tilde{F}$.

Next we state the definition of thinness (cf. [2]).

DEFINITION 1.1. Let z be a point of F and E a subset of F. We say that E is thin at z if ${}^F\hat{R}_{G_z^F}^E\neq G_z^F$.

Assuming that E is closed and z belongs to ∂E in the above definition, it is well-known that E is thin at z if and only if z is an irregular point of $F-E$ with respect to Dirichlet problem (cf. e.g. [1, p. 348]).

1.2. In order to state Main Theorem, we begin with fixing the notations. Denote by D the open unit disc $\{|z|<1\}$. Let $\{J_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of closed segments J_n in $(D-\{0\})\cap \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $J_n\cap J_m=\emptyset$ for every m and n with $m\neq n$ and that J_n accumulate only at $z=0$ in $D\cup\partial D$. Set $J=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}J_n$ and $S=D-\{0\}-J$. We take p (>1) copies $S_1, \cdots, S_p$ of S. Joining the upper edge of J_n on S_j and the lower edge J_n on S_{j+1} (j mod p) for every n, we obtain a p-sheeted covering surface $W=W_p$ of $\{0<|z|<1\}$ which is naturally considered as an end of a p-sheeted covering surface of $\{0<|z|\leqq\infty\}$. Then, our previous paper [6] gives us the following results.

THEOREM B. *If J is thin at the origin, then* $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=p$.

THEOREM C. *Suppose that $p=2^m$ $(m\in \boldsymbol{N})$. If neither of J and $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin, then* $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=1$.

We will prove that Theorem C holds for every integer p (>1).

THEOREM 1.1. *If neither of J and $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin, then* $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=1$.

By Theorems B and 1.1 we obtain Main Theorem.

MAIN THEOREM. *It holds that*
(i) $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=p$ *if and only if J or $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin*;
(ii) $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=1$ *if and only if neither of J and $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin.*

It is easily checked that Theorem in Introduction follows from Main Theorem.

2. Proof of Main Theorem

2.1. Here and hereafter, for simplicity, we denote by $G_{\xi}(\cdot)$ the Green's function on $\{|z|<1\}$ with pole at ξ. We first give the following lemma which is useful in the sequel:

LEMMA 2.1. *Let J and W_p be as in § 1, and K be the upper edge of J on S_1. Suppose that* $\dim \mathcal{P}(W)=1$. *If J is not thin at the origin, then, for every integer n $(1<n\leqq p)$,*

$$^{W_n}\hat{R}^{K}_{G_0\circ\pi}=G_0\circ\pi$$

on W_n, where π is the canonical projection from W_n onto $D-\{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\dim \mathcal{P}(W_p)=1$ and $p\geqq 2$. First we prove the assertion of this lemma for $n=p$. Let θ be a covering transformation of W_p:

$$\theta(z_i)=z_{i+1}\ (i \bmod p,\ i=1, \cdots, p),$$

where $\pi^{-1}(z)=\{z_1, \cdots, z_p\}$ and $z_i\in S_i$ for $z\in D-\{0\}$. We note that θ^p is the identity mapping on W_p. We set $K_i=\theta^{i-1}(K)$ $(i=1, \cdots, p)$. Since J is not thin at the origin, by Lemma 1.1 and (ii) of Proposition 1.1, we have,

$$G_0\circ\pi={}^D\hat{R}_{G_0}^J\circ\pi={}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{\pi^{-1}(J)}\leqq\sum_{j=1}^{p}{}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_j} \tag{1}$$

on W_p. By the fact $\dim \mathcal{P}(W_p)=1$ and by Naïm's theorem (cf. [3, Lemma 11.2]), ${}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_j}$ $(j=1, \cdots, p)$ is equal to $G_0\circ\pi$ or a Green potential on W_p, and hence, by (1), we can find an integer λ $(\leqq p)$ such that

$${}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_\lambda}=G_0\circ\pi \tag{2}$$

on W_p. By definition of balayage, we have, for every $z\in W_p$,

$$\begin{aligned}{}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_\lambda}(z)&=\liminf_{y\to z}\ \inf\{s(y)\,|\,s\in\mathcal{S}(W_p),\ s\geqq G_0\circ\pi \text{ on } K_\lambda\}\\&=\liminf_{y\to z}\ \inf\{(s\circ\theta^{\lambda-1})(\theta^{1-\lambda})(y)\,|\,s\circ\theta^{\lambda-1}\in\mathcal{S}(W_p),\ s\circ\theta^{\lambda-1}\geqq G_0\circ\pi \text{ on } K\}\\&={}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K}(\theta^{1-\lambda}(z))\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

on W_p. Therefore, by (2) and (3), we have

$${}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_j}=G_0\circ\pi \tag{4}$$

on W_p $(j=1, \cdots, p)$.

Next we prove the assertion of this lemma for $n=p-1$ $(p>2)$. By (4) and (i) of Proposition 1.1, we have

$$G_0\circ\pi={}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_p}\leqq{}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_{p-1}\cup K_p}\leqq G_0\circ\pi$$

on W_p, and hence,

$${}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_{p-1}\cup K_p}=G_0\circ\pi \tag{5}$$

on W_p. Thus, by (v) of Proposition 1.1 and definition of generalized Dirichlet solution (cf. [1]), we have, for every $z\in W_p-(S_p\cup K_{p-1}\cup K_p)$,

$$\begin{aligned}(G_0\circ\pi)(z)&={}^{W_p}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_{p-1}\cup K_p}(z)={}^{W_p}H_{G_0\circ\pi}^{W_p-(S_p\cup K_{p-1}\cup K_p)}(z)\\&={}^{W_{p-1}}H_{G_0\circ\pi}^{W_{p-1}-K_{p-1}}(z)={}^{W_{p-1}}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K_{p-1}}(z)={}^{W_{p-1}}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K}(\theta^{2-p}(z)),\end{aligned}$$

where we consider a point of $W_{p-1}-K_{p-1}$ as a point of $W_p-(S_p\cup K_{p-1}\cup K_p)$. Hence we have

$${}^{W_{p-1}}\hat{R}_{G_0\circ\pi}^{K}=G_0\circ\pi$$

on W_{p-1}.

For a general integer n $(1<n\leqq p)$, repeating the same argument successively as in the case: $n=p-1$, we obtain the desired result.

2.2. *Proof of Theorem* 1.1. For a point $z\in W=W_p$ which belongs to S_i $(i=1, \cdots, p)$, we denote by $\bar{z}$ the point in S_i whose projection coincides with $\overline{\pi(z)}$. Let f be a mapping on W_p defined by the following fashion; for $z_i\in S_i$ $(i=1, \cdots, p)$ with $\pi(z_i)=z\in D-\{0\}$,

$$f(z_j)=\overline{z_{p+2-j}} \quad (j \bmod p,\ j=1, \cdots, p). \tag{6}$$

Observe that f is an anti-conformal automorphism of W and that $f\circ f=\mathrm{id}$.

First, we prove that, if h is an element of $\mathscr{P}(W)$ such that $h\circ f=h$ on W, there exists a positive constant α such that

$$h=\alpha G_0\circ\pi \tag{7}$$

on W. Letting θ be the covering transformation of W as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can find a positive constant β such that

$$\beta G_0\circ\pi=\sum_{j=1}^{p} h\circ\theta^j \tag{8}$$

on W. Let K be the upper edge of J on S_1. Since neither of J and $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin, by Theorem C and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\beta G_0\circ\pi=\hat{R}^K_{\beta G_0\circ\pi} \ (={}^W\hat{R}^K_{\beta G_0\circ\pi}) \tag{9}$$

on W. By (8), (9) and (iii) of Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\beta G_0\circ\pi=\hat{R}^K_{\Sigma_{j=1}^p h\circ\theta^j}=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\hat{R}^K_{h\circ\theta^j}\leqq\sum_{j=1}^{p} h\circ\theta^j=\beta G_0\circ\pi \tag{10}$$

on W, and hence,

$$h\circ\theta^j=\hat{R}^K_{h\circ\theta^j} \tag{11}$$

on W $(j=1, \cdots, p)$. On the other hand, we find that

$$h\circ\theta^{p-1}=h \tag{12}$$

on K, because $h\circ f=h$ on W. By (11) and (12), we have

$$h\circ\theta^{p-1}=\hat{R}^K_{h\circ\theta^{p-1}}=\hat{R}^K_h=h \tag{13}$$

on W, and hence,

$$h\circ\theta=h \tag{14}$$

on W. By (14) we can consider h as an element of $\mathscr{P}(D-\{0\})$ and hence, there exists a positive constant α such that the equation (7) holds.

Next, let $h\in\mathscr{P}(W)$ be a minimal function on W. Setting

$$K'=(\pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{R}-J-\{0\}))\cap S_1,$$

we prove that there exists an integer μ $(1\leqq\mu\leqq p)$ such that

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}=\hat{R}^{K'}_{h\circ\theta^{\mu}} \tag{15}$$

on W. The assumption that $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is not thin at the origin implies that $(\boldsymbol{R}-J)\cap D$ is not thin at the origin (cf. e. g. [2]) and hence, by (iv) of Proposition 1.1, $J'=(\boldsymbol{R}-J-\{0\})\cap D$ is not thin at the origin. Thus, by Lemma 1.1, (8) and (iii) of Proposition 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta G_0\circ\pi&=\hat{R}^{J'}_{G_0}\circ\pi=\hat{R}^{\pi^{-1}(J')}_{\beta G_0\circ\pi}=\hat{R}^{\pi^{-1}(J')}_{\Sigma_{j=1}^{p}h\circ\theta^{j}}\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\hat{R}^{\pi^{-1}(J')}_{h\circ\theta^{j}}\leqq\sum_{j=1}^{p}h\circ\theta^{j}=\beta G_0\circ\pi\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

on W and hence,

$$h\circ\theta^{j}=\hat{R}^{\pi^{-1}(J')}_{h\circ\theta^{j}} \tag{17}$$

on W $(j=1, \cdots, p)$. Setting

$$K'_j=\theta^{j}(K') \quad (j=1, \cdots, p),$$

by (17) and (ii) of Proposition 1.1, we have

$$h=\hat{R}^{\pi^{-1}(J')}_{h}=\hat{R}^{\cup_{j=1}^{p}K'_j}_{h}\leqq\sum_{j=1}^{p}\hat{R}^{K'_j}_{h} \tag{18}$$

on W. Since h is a minimal harmonic function on W, by Naïm's theorem (cf. [3, Lemma 11.2]), $\hat{R}^{K'_j}_{h}$ $(j=1, \cdots, p)$ is equal to h or a Green potential on W, and hence, by (18), we find an integer μ $(1\leqq\mu\leqq p)$ such that

$$\hat{R}^{K'_\mu}_{h}=h \tag{19}$$

on W. By (19) and definition of balayage, we have

$$h=\hat{R}^{K'_\mu}_{h}=\hat{R}^{\theta^{\mu}(K')}_{h}=(\hat{R}^{K'}_{h\circ\theta^{\mu}})\circ\theta^{-\mu} \tag{20}$$

on W and hence, we obtain the equation (15).

Finally, we prove that, if $h\in\mathcal{P}(W)$ be a minimal function on W, there exists a positive constant γ such that $h=\gamma G_0\circ\pi$ on W. We set

$$H=h\circ\theta^{\mu}+h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f, \tag{21}$$

where λ is the same integer as in (15). Since $H\circ f=H$, we see from the first observation that there exists a positive constant δ such that

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}+h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f=\delta G_0\circ\pi \tag{22}$$

on W and hence, we have

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}=h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f=\frac{\delta}{2}G_0\circ\pi \tag{23}$$

on K'. By (15) and (20) we have

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}=\hat{R}^{K'}_{h\circ\theta^{\mu}}=\hat{R}^{K'}_{h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f}\leqq h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f \tag{24}$$

on W. Since $h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f$ is a minimal harmonic function on W, by (23), we find that

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}=h\circ\theta^{\mu}\circ f \tag{25}$$

on W and hence, by (22), we have

$$h\circ\theta^{\mu}=\frac{\delta}{2}G_0\circ\pi \tag{26}$$

on W. Therefore we have the desired result.

2.3. *Proof of Theorem.* In order to prove Main Theorem, by Theorem B and 1.1, we have only to prove that, if $\boldsymbol{R}-J$ is thin at the origin, $\dim\mathcal{P}(W)=p$. Denote by $\{J'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the family of connected components of $(\boldsymbol{R}-J-\{0\})\cap D$ and by $\bar{J}'_n$ the closure of J'_n for each n. By replacing $\{J_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in 1.2 with $\{J'_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we construct a p-sheeted covering surface W' of $\{0<|z|<1\}$ in the same way as in 1.2. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\bar{J}'_n$ is thin at the origin and hence Theorem B yields that $\dim\mathcal{P}(W')=p$. Therefore we find that $\dim\mathcal{P}(W)=p$ since W is conformally equivalent to W'.

REFERENCES

[1] J. BLIEDTNER AND W. HANSEN, Potential Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[2] M. BRELOT, On Topologies and Boundaries in Potential Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., **175**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[3] C. CONSTANTINESCU AND A. CORNEA, Ideal Ränder Riemannser Flächen, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[4] M. HEINS, Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, Ann. Math., **55** (1952), 296-317.

[5] L. HELMS, Introduction to Potential Theory, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.

[6] H. MASAOKA AND S. SEGAWA, Harmonic dimension of covering surfaces, Kodai Math. J., **17** (1994), 351-359.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
KYOTO SANGYO UNIVERSITY
KAMIGAMO-MOTOYAMA, KITAKU, KYOTO 603
JAPAN