

ON \mathcal{I} -CONVERGENCE IN RANDOM 2-NORMED SPACES

M. MURSALEEN* — ABDULLAH ALOTAIBI**

(Communicated by Wladyslaw Wilczynski)

ABSTRACT. Recently the concepts of statistical convergence and ideal convergence have been studied in 2-normed and 2-Banach spaces by various authors. In this paper we define and study the notion of ideal convergence in random 2-normed space and construct some interesting examples.

©2011
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [4] and since then several generalizations and applications of this concept have been investigated by various authors. One of its generalizations is the ideal convergence or I -convergence which was introduced by Kostyrko et al [11] and studied by Balcerzak et al [2], Das et al [3], and Komisarski [12]. Recently, Karakus [10] studied the concept of statistical convergence in probabilistic normed spaces.

The theory of probabilistic normed spaces was initiated and developed in [1], [14], [18], [19] and further it was extended to random/probabilistic 2-normed spaces [8] by using the concept of 2-norm [7].

Recently, statistical convergence and I -convergence have been studied in 2-Banach and 2-normed spaces in [9] and [17]. In this paper we define and study I -convergence in random 2-normed space which is quite a new and interesting idea to work with. For the study of statistical convergence and I -convergence of double sequences we refere to [3], [13], [15] and [16].

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40A05; Secondary 46A70, 40A99, 46A99.

Keywords: t -norm, random 2-normed space, statitical convergence, I -convergence.

DEFINITION 1.1. ([4, 5]) Let K be a subset of \mathbb{N} the set of natural numbers. Then the *asymptotic density* of K denoted by $\delta(K)$, is defined as

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in K\}|,$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set.

A number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number ℓ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \leq n : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has asymptotic density zero, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - \ell| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $\text{st-lim } x = \ell$.

DEFINITION 1.2. If X is a non-empty set then a family of subsets of X is called an *ideal in X* if and only if

- (a) $\emptyset \in I$,
- (b) $A, B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$,
- (c) For each $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ we have $B \in I$,

I is called *nontrivial ideal* if $X \in I \neq \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let X be a non-empty set and $P(X)$ be the power set of X . A non-empty family of sets $F \subset P(X)$ is called a *filter on X* if and only if

- (a) $\emptyset \notin F$,
- (b) $A, B \in F$ implies $A \cap B \in F$,
- (c) For each $A \in F$ and $B \supset A$ we have $B \in F$.

DEFINITION 1.4. A non-trivial ideal I in X is called an *admissible ideal* if it is different from $P(\mathbb{N})$ and it contains all singletons i.e., $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in X$.

Let $I \subset P(X)$ be a non-trivial ideal. Then a class

$$F(I) = \{M \subset X : M = X \setminus A, \text{ for some } A \in I\}$$

is a filter on X , called the *filter associated with the ideal I* .

DEFINITION 1.5. An admissible ideal $I \subset P(\mathbb{N})$ is said to satisfy the *condition (AP)* if for every sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from I there are sets $B_n \subset \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the symmetric difference $A_n \Delta B_n$ is a finite set for every n and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in I$.

DEFINITION 1.6. Let I be a non trivial ideal of \mathbb{N} . A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be I -convergent to $L \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

In this case we write $I\text{-lim } x = L$.

2. Random 2-normed space and \mathcal{I} -convergence

DEFINITION 2.1. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is called a *distribution function* if it is a non-decreasing and left continuous with $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t) = 0$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t) = 1$. By D^+ , we denote the set of all distribution functions such that $f(0) = 0$.

If $a \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, then $H_a \in D^+$, where

$$H_a(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t > a; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq a. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $H_0 \geq f$ for all $f \in D^+$.

A *t-norm* is a continuous mapping $*: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $([0, 1], *)$ is abelian monoid with unit one and $c * d \geq a * b$ if $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$ for all $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$. A *triangle function* τ is a binary operation on D^+ which is commutative, associative and $\tau(f, H_0) = f$ for every $f \in D^+$.

In [7], Gähler introduced the following concept of 2-normed space.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *2-normed space* is a pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, where X is a linear space of greater than one and $\|\cdot, \cdot\|: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$,
- (iii) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and
- (iv) $\|x + y, z\| \leq \|x, z\| + \|y, z\|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 2.1. The usual 2-norm on $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ is $\|x, y\| = |x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1|$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2)$.

Recently, Goleć [8] used the idea of 2-normed space to define the random 2-normed space.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let X is a linear space of greater than one, τ a triangle, and $\mathcal{F}: X \times X \rightarrow D^+$. Then \mathcal{F} is called a *probabilistic 2-norm* and (X, \mathcal{F}, τ) a probabilistic 2-normed space if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (2.3.1) $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t) = H_0(t)$ if x and y are linearly dependent, where $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t)$ denotes the value of $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ at $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (2.3.2) $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t) \neq H_0(t)$ if x and y are linearly independent,
- (2.3.3) $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t) = \mathcal{F}(y, x; t)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (2.3.4) $\mathcal{F}(\alpha x, y; t) = \mathcal{F}\left(x, y; \frac{t}{|\alpha|}\right)$ for every $t > 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $x, y \in X$,
- (2.3.5) $\mathcal{F}(x + y, z; t) \geq \tau(\mathcal{F}(x, z; t), \mathcal{F}(y, z; t))$ whenever $x, y, z \in X$.

If (2.3.5) is replaced by

$$(2.3.5)' \quad \mathcal{F}(x + y, z; t_1 + t_2) \geq \mathcal{F}(x, z; t_1) * \mathcal{F}(y, z; t_2) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ and } t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_0^+;$$

then $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ is called a *random 2-normed space* (for short, RTNS).

Remark 2.1. Note that every 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ can be made a random 2-normed space in a natural way, by setting

- (i) $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t) = H_0(t - \|x, y\|)$, for every $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$, $a, b \in [0, 1]$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}(x, y; t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x, y\|}$ for every $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and $a * b = ab$ for $a, b \in [0, 1]$.

Quite recently, Mursaleen and Mohiuddine [16] studied the concept of ideal convergence in intuitionistic fuzzy normed space. Now we define the concept of convergence and I -convergence and I^* -convergence in RTN space:

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be *convergent* (or \mathcal{F} -convergent) to ξ in $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $\mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; \varepsilon) > 1 - \theta$ whenever $k \geq k_0$. In this case we write $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim_k x_k = \xi$, and ξ is called the \mathcal{F} -limit of $x = (x_k)$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be *I -convergent to ξ* in $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and nonzero $z \in X$

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; \varepsilon) \leq 1 - \theta\} \in I,$$

or equivalently

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; \varepsilon) > \theta\} \in F(I).$$

and we say that x is *I (RTN)-convergent to ξ* .

In this case we write I (RTN)-lim $x = \xi$, and ξ is called the I (RTN)-limit of x .

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. We say that a sequence $x = (x_k)$ of elements in X is I^* -convergent to $\xi \in X$ in $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ if there exists a subset $K = \{k_m : k_1 < k_2 < \dots\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $K \in F(I)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{N} \setminus K \in I$) and $\nu\text{-}\lim_m x_{k_m} = \xi$. In this case we write $I^*(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$, and ξ is called the $I^*(RTN)$ -limit of x .

3. Main results

We prove the following results:

THEOREM 3.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. If a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is $I(RTN)$ -convergent, then $I(RTN)$ -limit is unique.

P r o o f. Suppose that $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi_1$ and $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi_2$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $r > 0$ such that $(1-r)*(1-r) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Then, for any $t > 0$ and nonzero $z \in X$, define the following sets as:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathcal{F}_1}(r, t) &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_n - \xi_1, z; t) \leq 1 - r\}, \\ K_{\mathcal{F}_2}(r, t) &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_n - \xi_2, z; t) \leq 1 - r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi_1$, we have $K_{\mathcal{F}_1}(r, t) \in I$. Similarly $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi_2$ implies that $K_{\mathcal{F}_2}(r, t) \in I$. Now let $K_{\mathcal{F}}(r, t) = K_{\mathcal{F}_1}(r, t) \cup K_{\mathcal{F}_2}(r, t)$. Then from the definition of I , $K_{\mathcal{F}}(r, t) \in I$ and hence its compliment $K_{\mathcal{F}}^C(r, t)$ is a nonempty set which belongs to $F(I)$. Now if $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus K_{\mathcal{F}}(r, t)$, then we have $\mathcal{F}(\xi_1 - \xi_2, z; t) \geq \mathcal{F}(x_n - \xi_1, z; \frac{t}{2}) * \mathcal{F}(x_n - \xi_2, z; \frac{t}{2}) > (1-r)*(1-r) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we get $\mathcal{F}(\xi_1 - \xi_2, z; t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and nonzero $z \in X$. Hence $\xi_1 = \xi_2$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. If $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim x = \xi$ then $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$. But converse need not be true in general.

P r o o f. Let $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim x = \xi$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ and nonzero $z \in X$, there is a positive integer N such that

$$\mathcal{F}(x_n - \xi, z; t) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq N$. Since the set $A(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and the ideal I is admissible, $A(\varepsilon) \in I$. Hence $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$. \square

The following example shows that the converse need not be true.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, with the 2-norm $\|x, z\| = |x_1z_2 - x_2z_1|$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $z = (z_1, z_2)$, and $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F}(x, z; t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x, z\|}$ for every $x, z \in X$, $z_2 \neq 0$, and $t > 0$. Now we define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k := \begin{cases} (k, 0); & \text{if } k = n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (0, 0); & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and write

$$K_n(\varepsilon, t) := \{k \leq n : \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1; \quad \xi = (0, 0).$$

We see that

$$\mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + kz_2}, & \text{if } k = n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\lim_k \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; t) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

Therefore $x = (x_k)$ is not convergent in $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$. But if we take $I = I_\delta = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\}$, then since $K_n(\varepsilon, t) \subset \{1, 4, 9, 16, \dots\}$, $\delta(K_n(\varepsilon, t)) = 0$, that is $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. Let $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi_1$ and $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim y = \xi_2$. Then*

- (i) $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim(x \pm y) = \xi_1 \pm \xi_2$.
- (ii) $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim \alpha x = \alpha \xi_1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Easy to prove.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a RTN space. If $I^*(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$ then $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$.*

P r o o f. Suppose that $I^*(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$. Then $K = \{k_m : k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \in F(I)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{N} \setminus K = H$ (say) $\in I$) such that $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim_m x_{k_m} = \xi$. But then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$ there exists a positive integer N such that $\mathcal{F}(x_{k_m} - \xi, z; t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for all $m > N$. Since $\{k_m \in K : \mathcal{F}(x_{k_m} - \xi, z; t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ is contained in $\{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{N-1}\}$ and the ideal I is admissible, we have

$$\{k_m \in K : \mathcal{F}(x_{k_m} - \xi, z; t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

Hence

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}(x_k - \xi, z; t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \subseteq H \cup \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{N-1}\} \in I$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$. Therefore, we conclude that $I(RTN)\text{-}\lim x = \xi$. \square

Remark 3.1. The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.4 need not be true.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, with the 2-norm $\|x, z\| = |x_1z_2 - x_2z_1|$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $z = (z_1, z_2)$, and $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F}(x, z; t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x, y\|}$ for every $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$.

Then $(X, \mathcal{F}, *)$ is a RTN space. Let $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_j \Delta_j$ be a decomposition of \mathbb{N} such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ each Δ_j contains infinitely many j 's where $j \geq n$ and $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_n = \emptyset$ for $j \neq n$. Now we define a sequence $x = (x_n)$ by $x_n = (\frac{1}{j}, 0)$ if $n \in \Delta_j$. Then $\mathcal{F}(x_n, z; t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x_n, y\|} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $I(\text{RTN})\text{-lim } x = 0$.

Now suppose that $I^*(\text{RTN})\text{-}\lim_n x_n = 0$. Then there exists a subset $K = \{n_j : n_1 < n_2 < \dots\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $K \in F(I)$ (i.e. $\mathbb{N} \setminus K = H$ (say) $\in I$) such that $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim_j x_{n_j} = 0$. Since $K \in F(I)$ there is a set $H \in I$ such that $K = \mathbb{N} \setminus H$. Now,

from the definition of I , there exists, say $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H \subset \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^p \Delta_n \right)$. But $\Delta_{p+1} \subset K$, and therefore $x_{n_j} = (\frac{1}{p+1}, 0) > (0, 0)$ for infinitely many n_j 's from K which contradicts $\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim_j x_{n_j} = 0$. Therefore the assumption $I^*(\text{RTN})\text{-}\lim_n x_n = 0$ leads to the contradiction. Hence the converse of the theorem need not be true.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALSINA, C.—SCHWEIZER, B.—SKLAR, A.: *Continuity properties of probabilistic norms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **208** (1997), 446–452.
- [2] BALCERZAK, M.—DEMS, K.—KOMISARSKI, A.: *Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 715–729.
- [3] DAS, P.—KOSTYRKO, P.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.—MALIK, P.: *I and I^* -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca **58** (2008), 605–620.
- [4] FAST, H.: *Surla convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
- [5] FREEDMAN, A. R.—SEMBER, J. J.—RAPHAEL, M.: *Some Cesàro type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **37** (1978), 508–520.
- [6] FRIDY, J. A.: *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5** (1985), 301–313.
- [7] GÄHLER, S.: *2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur*, Math. Nachr. **26** (1963), 115–148.
- [8] GOLET, I.: *On probabilistic 2-normed spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math. **35** (2006), 95–102.
- [9] GÜRDAL, M.—PEHLIVAN, S.: *The statistical convergence in 2-Banach spaces*, Thai J. Math. **2** (1), (2004), 107–113.
- [10] KARAKUS, S.: *Statistical convergence on probabilistic normed spaces*, Math. Commun. **12** (2007), 11–23.

- [11] KOSTYRKO, P.—ŠALÁT, T.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *\mathcal{I} -Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26** (2000–2001), 669–686.
- [12] KOMISARSKI, A.: *Pointwise \mathcal{I} -convergence and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence in measure of sequences of functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **340** (2008), 770–779.
- [13] KUMAR, V.: *On I and I^* -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun. **12** (2007), 171–181.
- [14] MENGER, K.: *Statistical metrics*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **28** (1942), 535–537.
- [15] MURSALEEN, M.—MOHIUDDINE, S. A.: *Statistical convergence of double sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces*, Chaos Solitons Fractals **41** (2009) 2414–2421.
- [16] MURSALEEN, M.—MOHIUDDINE, S. A.—EDELY, O. H. H.: *On ideal convergence of double sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010) 603–611.
- [17] SAHINER, A.—GÜRDAL, M.—SALTAN, S.—GUNAWAN, H.: *Ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), 1477–1484.
- [18] SCHWEIZER, B.—SKLAR, A.: *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 313–334.
- [19] SCHWEIZER, B.—SKLAR, A.: *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland, New York-Amsterdam-Oxford, 1983.

Received 12. 6. 2009

Accepted 18. 9. 2010

*Department of Mathematics

Aligarh Muslim University

Aligarh 202002

INDIA

Department of Mathematics

Tabuk University

Tabuk 71491

SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail: mursaleenm@gmail.com

**Department of Mathematics

King Abdulaziz university

P.O.Box-80203

Jeddah 21589

SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail: aalotaibi@kau.edu.sa