

# DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS FOR MORGAN-VOYCE AND OTHER MODIFIED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

THOMAS STOLL\* — ROBERT F. TICHY\*\*

(Communicated by Stanislav Jakubec)

**ABSTRACT.** It is well-known that Morgan-Voyce polynomials  $B_n(x)$  and  $b_n(x)$  satisfy both a Sturm-Liouville equation of second order and a three-term recurrence equation ([SWAMY, M.: *Further properties of Morgan-Voyce polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart. **6** (1968), 167–175]). We study Diophantine equations involving these polynomials as well as other modified classical orthogonal polynomials with this property. Let  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\{p_k(x)\}$  be a sequence of polynomials defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(x) &= 1 \\ p_1(x) &= x - c_0 \\ p_{n+1}(x) &= (x - c_n)p_n(x) - d_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(c_0, c_n, d_n) \in \{(A, A, B), (A + B, A, B^2), (A, Bn + A, \tfrac{1}{4}B^2n^2 + Cn)\}$$

with  $A \neq 0$ ,  $B > 0$  in the first,  $B \neq 0$  in the second and  $C > -\frac{1}{4}B^2$  in the third case. We show that the Diophantine equation

$$\mathcal{A}p_m(x) + \mathcal{B}p_n(y) = \mathcal{C}$$

with  $m > n \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \neq 0$  has at most finitely many solutions in rational integers  $x, y$ .

©2008  
Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

## 1. Introduction

A topic of particular interest in number theory is the general Diophantine equation

$$\mathcal{A}p_m(x) + \mathcal{B}p_n(y) = \mathcal{C} \tag{1}$$

in rational integers  $(x, y)$  with  $\{p_k(x)\}$  being an infinite family of polynomials

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11D45; Secondary 33C45, 34B24.  
Keywords: Diophantine equation, three-term recurrence, orthogonal polynomial, Morgan-Voyce polynomial, Sturm-Liouville differential equation.

Both authors are supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF)  
FSP-Project S 8307-MAT.

with rational coefficients and  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  some fixed rational parameters satisfying  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ . Bilu – Tichy’s criterion [1] enables one to decide quite algorithmically the problem whether there is a finite number of solutions in rational integers  $(x, y)$  of (1) for given  $m, n \geq 2$  or not. Equation (1) sometimes arises while investigating combinatorial counting and enumeration problems, so that it is likely the case that there appears a three-term recurrence relating the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(x) &= 1 \\ p_1(x) &= x - c_0 \\ p_{n+1}(x) &= (x - c_n)p_n(x) - d_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  are parameters depending just on  $n$ . Finiteness for (1) has already been proved in case of  $c_n = 0$  and  $d_n = \text{const}$  in [3] by Dujella and Tichy,  $c_n = 0$  and  $d_n = n^2$  in [5] by Kirschenhofer, Pethő and Tichy in some special cases of  $m, n$ . In [6], Kirschenhofer and Pfeiffer could give a direct combinatorial interpretation of some special case of (2) in terms of colored permutations. Moreover, in [7] these authors attacked the general case  $c_n = 0$  and arbitrary  $d_n$ . Results of finiteness of number of integral solutions  $(x, y)$  in case of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) = (1, -1, 0)$  could be given under certain growth conditions of  $d_n$ . Other results have recently been obtained by the authors of this paper for  $p_k(x) = \binom{x}{k}$  in [12] and  $p_k(x)$  being the classical Jacobi, Laguerre or Hermite polynomials in [11]. In all these cases it has been shown that ‘two interval monotonicity’ of stationary points of the polynomials  $p_k(x)$ , i.e. informally speaking, the convexity of the local maxima, is a useful condition to get reductions in the above-mentioned criterion. In this paper we continue the investigation from [11], this time starting from a recurrence of type (2). We give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  for  $\{p_k(x)\}$  to satisfy second order Sturm-Liouville differential equations which automatically inherit the wanted ‘two interval monotonicity’ property. The general results will finally be applied to the classical Morgan-Voyce polynomials  $B_n(x)$  and  $b_n(x)$  which are known to satisfy both a recurrence of type (2) and a differential equation ([13]), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(x) &= 1, \quad B_1(x) = x + 1, \quad B_{n+1}(x) = (x + 2)B_n(x) - B_{n-1}(x), \quad \text{resp.} \\ b_0(x) &= 1, \quad b_1(x) = x + 2, \quad b_{n+1}(x) = (x + 2)b_n(x) - b_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(x + 4)B_n''(x) + 3(x + 2)B_n'(x) - n(n + 2)B_n(x) &= 0, \quad \text{resp.} \\ x(x + 4)b_n''(x) + 2(x + 1)b_n'(x) - n(n + 1)b_n(x) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Morgan-Voyce polynomials  $B_n(x)$  and  $b_n(x)$  can also be given explicitly by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+j-1}{n-j} x^j, \quad b_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+j}{n-j} x^j, \tag{3}$$

see [13]. They are also related to the well-known Fibonacci polynomials  $F(x)$  by

$$B_n(x^2) = \frac{1}{x} F_{2n+2}(x), \quad b_n(x^2) = F_{2n+1}(x).$$

## 2. Main result

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{p_k(x)\}$  be a polynomial sequence satisfying (2). Assume one of the following conditions ( $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Q}$ ):*

- (1)  $c_0 = A$ ,  $c_n = A$ ,  $d_n = B$ , with  $A \neq 0$  and  $B > 0$ ,
- (2)  $c_0 = A + B$ ,  $c_n = A$ ,  $d_n = B^2$ , with  $B \neq 0$ ,
- (3)  $c_0 = A$ ,  $c_n = Bn + A$ ,  $d_n = \frac{1}{4}B^2n^2 + Cn$ , with  $C > -\frac{1}{4}B^2$ .

*Then the Diophantine equation*

$$\mathcal{A}p_m(x) + \mathcal{B}p_n(y) = \mathcal{C}$$

*with  $m > n \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \neq 0$  has at most finitely many solutions in rational integers  $x, y$ .*

To start with, we recall the connection between three-term recurrences and Sturm-Liouville differential equations as given in [4] and [9]:

**LEMMA 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The second-order Sturm-Liouville differential equation ( $n \geq 0$ )*

$$\sigma(x)p_n''(x) + \tau(x)p_n'(x) - n((n-1)a + d)p_n(x) = 0, \quad (4)$$

*with  $\sigma(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \neq 0$ ,  $\tau = dx + e$ ,  $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d \neq -ta$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  has a (up to a factor depending on  $n$ ) unique infinite polynomial family solution  $\{p_n(x)\}$  of exact degree  $n$ .*

- (ii) *The family  $\{p_n(x)\}$  satisfies a three-term recurrence of type (2) with*

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= -\frac{e}{d} \\ c_n &= -\frac{2nb((n-1)a + d) - e(2a - d)}{(2na + d)((2n-2)a + d)} \\ d_n &= \frac{n((n-2)a + d)}{((2n-1)a + d)((2n-3)a + d)} \left( -c + \frac{((n-1)b + e)((n-1)a + d)b - ae}{((2n-2)a + d)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The properties of Lemma 2 are of course shared by all classical orthogonal polynomials (Jacobi, Laguerre, Hermite) but also by Bessel polynomials. On the other hand, one has by Favard's Theorem (see for instance [14]), that all polynomial families defined by a three-term recurrence of shape (2) are orthogonal with respect to some moment functional. If one demands orthogonality with

respect to a positive definite moment functional (in order to use all known facts about zeros of orthogonal polynomials etc.), then one exactly gets just Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials up to a linear transformation  $x \mapsto \nu_1 x + \nu_2$  with  $\nu_1 \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [10] and [2]). Hence, one can completely characterize all positive definite orthogonal solutions of (4) just by looking to the coefficients  $a, b, c, d, e$  (see [4]). Note that by ‘characterization’ we mean up to a factor depending only on  $n$  (see Lemma 2, first condition).

**LEMMA 3.** *The only infinite chains of polynomial solutions of (4) which are orthogonal with respect to some positive definite moment functional are*

- (i) *Hermite:*  $a = 0, b = 0, c = 1$ , with  $d < 0$ ,
- (ii) *Laguerre:*  $a = 0, b = 1, c = -\alpha$ , with  $d\alpha + e > 0$ ,
- (iii) *Jacobi:*  $a = -1, b = \alpha + \beta, c = -\alpha\beta$ , with  $\alpha < \beta$  and  $d < 0, d\alpha + e > 0, d\beta + e < 0$ .

Recall that, for instance, by Jacobi we mean all classical Jacobi polynomials  $P_n^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(x)$  with possibly shifted argument  $x \mapsto \nu_1 x + \nu_2$  (for the notation see the Askey-scheme [8]). We now plug these values into condition (2) of Lemma 2 and by solving the equations with computer algebra system MAPLE to the rest of the variables we get a characterization by the three-term recurrence.

**COROLLARY 4.**

- (i) *The parameters  $c_0 = A, c_n = A, d_n = B$  with  $B > 0$  give (shifted) Jacobi polynomials satisfying*  

$$(x^2 - 2Ax + A^2 - 4B)p_n''(x) + 3(x - A)p_n'(x) - n(n + 2)p_n(x) = 0.$$
- (ii) *The parameters  $c_0 = A + B, c_n = A, d_n = B^2$  with  $B \neq 0$  give (shifted) Jacobi polynomials satisfying*  

$$(x^2 - 2Ax + A^2 - 4B)p_n''(x) + 2(x - A - B)p_n'(x) - n(n + 1)p_n(x) = 0.$$
- (iii) *The parameters  $c_0 = A, c_n = Bn + A, d_n = \frac{1}{4}B^2n^2 + Cn$  with  $C > -\frac{1}{4}B^2$  give all (shifted) Laguerre polynomials ( $B \neq 0$ ) satisfying*

$$\left(x + \frac{1}{2}B - A + \frac{2C}{B}\right)p_n''(x) - \frac{2}{B}(x - A)p_n'(x) + \frac{2}{B}np_n(x) = 0$$

*and all (shifted) Hermite polynomials ( $B = 0$ ) satisfying*

$$p_n''(x) - \frac{1}{C}(x - A)p_n'(x) + \frac{1}{C}np_n(x) = 0.$$

Note that all Hermite and Laguerre polynomials (with possible shifts) are totally characterized by the choices of the given  $c_n$  and  $d_n$ , while for Jacobi polynomials we just give simple choices. Nevertheless, the classical Morgan-Voyce polynomials  $B_n(x)$  and  $b_n(x)$  defined by  $(c_0, c_n, d_n) = (-2, -2, 1)$  (which means  $A = -2$  and  $B = 1$  in the first case) resp.  $(c_0, c_n, d_n) = (-1, -2, 1)$  (which means  $A = -2$  and  $B = 1$  in the second case) are in the given classes

and we immediately see that they are simple transformations of Jacobi polynomials ([13]). The calculations to obtain Corollary 4 are straightforward with MAPLE, we just mention one crucial point. In the first Jacobi case after solving the system one also has to deal with the equation

$$(x^2 - 2Ax + A^2 - 4B)p_n''(x) + (x - A)p_n'(x) - n^2 p_n(x) = 0.$$

However, one easily sees that such equation implies  $B = 0$ , which is not allowed by  $B > 0$ .

Polynomial solutions of Sturm-Liouville equations are always two-interval monotone provided  $\sigma'(x) - 2\tau(x) \not\equiv 0$  (see [11]). This means there are always two real intervals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  on which the modulus of the values of the stationary points is strictly decreasing on  $I_1$  and increasing on  $I_2$ . All polynomial families above are two-interval monotone except for the first case of the (shifted) Jacobi with  $A = 0$  in Corollary 4. We add the condition  $A \neq 0$  in our further investigations. We employ now the reduction of the Bilu-Tichy criterion from [11]:

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\{p_k(x)\}$  be a polynomial family with simple stationary points and have the two-interval monotonicity property. Then, if there exist no parameters  $v_2, v_1, v_0, \mathcal{A}$  such that for some integers  $m, n \geq 4$  it holds that*

$$p_m(x) = \mathcal{A}p_n(v_2x^2 + v_1x + v_0), \quad (5)$$

*then the original Diophantine equation (1) has at most finitely many solutions in rational integers  $x, y$ .*

The task is now, to come to a contradiction if one supposes a quadratic representation like (5). For this purpose we compare coefficients on both sides of (5). Let  $p_m(x) = x^m + k_{m-1}^{(m)}x^{m-1} + k_{m-2}^{(m)}x^{m-2} + \dots + k_0^{(0)}$ . Then we have the following recursive relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m-1}^{(m)} &= -c_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} c_j, \\ k_{m-2}^{(m)} &= - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (c_j k_{j-1}^{(j)} + d_j), \\ k_{m-t}^{(m)} &= - \sum_{j=t-1}^{m-1} (c_j k_{j-t+1}^{(j)} + d_j k_{j-t+2}^{(j-1)}), \quad t \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

We now list the uppermost coefficient equations of (5). We do not give an ‘Eq. 5’ because we do not need it in the sequel.

- **Eq. 0**,  $[x^m]$ :

$$1 = \mathcal{A} v_2^n$$

- **Eq. 1**,  $[x^{m-1}]$ :

$$k_{m-1}^{(m)} = \mathcal{A} \left( n v_2^{n-1} v_1 \right)$$

- **Eq. 2**,  $[x^{m-2}]$ :

$$k_{m-2}^{(m)} = \mathcal{A} \left( n v_2^{n-1} v_0 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} v_2^{n-2} v_1^2 + k_{n-1}^{(n)} v_2^{n-1} \right)$$

- **Eq. 3**,  $[x^{m-3}]$ :

$$k_{m-3}^{(m)} = \mathcal{A} \left( n(n-1) v_2^{n-2} v_1 v_0 + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} v_2^{n-3} v_1^3 + k_{n-1}^{(n)} (n-1) v_2^{n-2} v_1 \right)$$

- **Eq. 4**,  $[x^{m-4}]$ :

$$k_{m-4}^{(m)} = \mathcal{A} \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} v_2^{n-2} v_0^2 + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2} v_2^{n-3} v_1^2 v_0 + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{24} v_2^{n-4} v_1^4 + \right. \\ \left. + k_{n-1}^{(n)} (n-1) v_2^{n-2} v_0 + k_{n-1}^{(n)} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} v_2^{n-3} v_1^2 + k_{n-2}^{(n)} v_2^{n-2} \right)$$

- **Eq. 6**,  $[x^{m-6}]$ :

$$k_{m-6}^{(m)} = \mathcal{A} \left( \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6} v_2^{n-3} v_0^3 + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} v_2^{n-5} v_1^4 v_0 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{4} v_2^{n-4} v_1^2 v_0^2 + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}{720} v_2^{n-6} v_1^6 \right. \\ \left. + k_{n-1}^{(n)} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} v_2^{n-3} v_0^2 + k_{n-1}^{(n)} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{2} v_2^{n-4} v_1^2 v_0 \right. \\ \left. + k_{n-1}^{(n)} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} v_2^{n-5} v_1^4 + k_{n-2}^{(n)} (n-2) v_2^{n-3} v_0 \right. \\ \left. + k_{n-2}^{(n)} \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} v_2^{n-4} v_1^2 + k_{n-3}^{(n)} v_2^{n-3} \right)$$

We start with the Jacobi case, in which  $c_0 = A \neq 0$ .

$$k_{m-1}^{(m)} = -Am$$

$$k_{m-2}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2}(m-1)(A^2m - 2B)$$

$$k_{m-3}^{(m)} = -\frac{1}{6}A(m-1)(m-2)(A^2m - 6B)$$

$$k_{m-4}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{24}(m-2)(m-3)(A^4m^2 - 12A^2Bm - A^4m + 12A^2B + 12B^2)$$

$$k_{m-6}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{720}(m-3)(m-4)(m-5)(A^6m^3 - 3A^6m^2 - 30A^4Bm^2 + 180A^2mB^2 \\ + 2A^6m + 90A^4mB - 360A^2B^2 - 60A^4B - 120B^3)$$

Combing the equations Eq. 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 we get

$$v_2^2 = \frac{2n}{B(2n+1)}.$$

# MODIFIED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

Finally, Eq. 6 gives  $(2n+1)(n-2)B^3/n^2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. In the second Jacobi case  $c_0 = A+B$  and  $d_n = B^2$  we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} k_{m-1}^{(m)} &= -B - Am \\ k_{m-2}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{2}(m-1)(A^2m + 2AB - 2B^2) \\ k_{m-3}^{(m)} &= -\frac{1}{6}(m-2)(A^3m^2 - A^3m + 3A^2mB - 6AmB^2 - 3A^2B + 6AB^2 - 6B^3) \\ k_{m-4}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{24}(m-2)(m-3)(A^4m^2 - A^4m + 4A^3mB - 12A^2mB^2 \\ &\quad - 4A^3B - 12B + 12A^2B^2 - 24AB^3) \\ k_{m-6}^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{720}(m-3)(m-4)(m-5)(A^6m^3 - 30A^4B^2m^2 - 3A^6m^2 + 6A^5Bm^2 + 90A^4mB^2 \\ &\quad - 120A^3mB^3 + 2A^6m + 180A^2mB^4 - 18A^5mB - 360A^2B^4 - 60A^4B^2 \\ &\quad + 240A^3B^3 - 120B^6 + 12A^5B + 360AB^5) \end{aligned}$$

This time Eq. 0–3 yield the contradiction  $B^3(2m+1)(m-2) = 0$ . Next, in the Laguerre-Hermite case we have the following upper coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n-1}^{(n)} &= -\frac{1}{2}n(Bn - B + 2A) \\ k_{n-2}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{8}n(n-1)(B^2n^2 + 4ABn - 3B^2n - 4AB + 4A^2 - 4C + B^2) \\ k_{n-3}^{(n)} &= -\frac{1}{48}n(n-1)(n-2)(B^3n^3 - 6B^3n^2 + 6AB^2n^2 + 12BA^2n + 8B^3n - 12BCn - 18AB^2n \\ &\quad + 8A^3 + 6AB^2 - B^3 - 24AC + 20BC - 12BA^2) \\ k_{n-4}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{384}n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(B^4n^4 - 10B^4n^3 + 8AB^3n^3 + 8AB^3n^2 - 24B^2Cn^2 + 29B^4n^2 \\ &\quad + 24B^2A^2n^2 + 64AB^3n - 96BACn - 24B^4n + 104B^2Cn - 72B^2A^2n + 32BA^3n - 96A^2C \\ &\quad + 48C^2 - 32BA^3 + 24B^2A^2 + 16A^4 + B^4 - 80B^2C - 8AB^3 + 160BAC) \\ k_{n-6}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{46080}n(n-5)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(-12AB^5 - 160B^3A^3 + 240B^2A^4 + 4720B^2C^2 \\ &\quad - 192BA^5 - 1636B^4C - 960A^4C + 2880A^2C^2 + 3200BA^3C - 6720BAC^2 + B^6 + 64A^6 \\ &\quad - 960C^3 + 4032B^3AC - 4800B^2A^2C + 60B^4A^2 + 12AB^5n^5 + 1068AB^5n + 240B^2A^4n^2 \\ &\quad - 4080B^2C^2n - 1740AB^5n^2 + 814B^6n^2 - 21B^6n^5 - 415B^6n + B^6n^6 - 1440B^4A^2n \\ &\quad + 4376B^4Cn - 720B^2A^4n - 1440B^2A^2Cn^2 - 1920BA^3Cn + 2880BAC^2n + 3840B^3ACn^2 \\ &\quad - 8160B^3ACn + 6240B^2A^2Cn - 480B^3ACn^3 + 1280B^3A^3n + 192BA^5n - 545B^6n^3 \\ &\quad + 160B^6n^4 - 960B^3A^3n^2 - 3060B^4Cn^2 + 720B^2C^2n^2 + 900AB^5n^3 + 160B^3A^3n^3 \\ &\quad + 760B^4Cn^3 - 600B^4A^2n^3 + 60B^4A^2n^4 - 180AB^5n^4 - 60B^4Cn^4 + 1740B^4A^2n^2) \end{aligned}$$

In this case Eq. 0–3 and MAPLE give

$$Bn(2n-1)(n-1)(B^2n + 2C) = 0,$$

which does not vanish with exception of  $B = 0$  (Hermite case). In the latter cases Eq. 4 gives  $1/v_2^2 = 2C(2n-1)$  and finally Eq. 6 the wanted contradiction  $(2n-1)(n-2)(n-1)nC^3 = 0$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we have:

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $\{p_k(x)\}$  be the Morgan-Voyce polynomials  $\{B_k(x)\}$  and  $\{b_k(x)\}$  be defined by relation (3). Then the Diophantine equation (1) has at most finitely many solutions for  $m, n \geq 4$ .*

# REFERENCES

- [1] BILU, Y.—TICHY, R. F.: *The Diophantine equation  $f(x) = g(y)$* , Acta Arith. **95** (2000), 261–288.
- [2] BOCHNER, S.: *Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme*, Math. Z. **29** (1929), 730–736.
- [3] DUJELLA, A.—TICHY, R. F.: *Diophantine equations for second-order recursive sequences of polynomials*, Q. J. Math. **52** (2001), 161–169.
- [4] HELMSTÄDTER-RÖSNER, M.: *Über Polynomlösungen Sturm-Liouvillescher Differential- bzw. Differenzengleichungen zweiter Ordnung*. Dissertation, Polyfoto-Dr. Vogt KG, Stuttgart, 1986.
- [5] KIRSCHENHOFER, P.—PETHŐ, A.—TICHY, R. F.: *On analytical and Diophantine properties of a family of counting polynomials*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **65** (1999), 47–59.
- [6] KIRSCHENHOFER, P.—PFEIFFER, O.: *On a class of combinatorial Diophantine equations*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **44** (2000), Art. B44h, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [7] KIRSCHENHOFER, P.—PFEIFFER, O.: *Diophantine equations between polynomials obeying second order recurrences*, Period. Math. Hungar. **47** (2003), 119–134.
- [8] KOEKOEK, R.—SWARTTOUW, R.: *The Askey-Scheme of Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and Its  $q$ -Analogue*. Delft, Netherlands, 1998.
- [9] KOEPF, W.—SCHMERSAU, D.: *Recurrence equations and their classical orthogonal polynomial solutions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **128** (2002), 303–327.
- [10] LESKY, P.: *Die Charakterisierung der klassischen orthogonalen Polynome durch Sturm-Liouvillesche Differentialgleichungen*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **10** (1962), 341–351.
- [11] STOLL, Th.—TICHY, R. F.: *Diophantine equations for classical continuous orthogonal polynomials*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **14** (2003), 263–274.
- [12] STOLL, Th.—TICHY, R. F.: *The Diophantine equation  $\alpha\binom{x}{m} + \beta\binom{y}{n} = \gamma$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen **64** (2004), 155–165.
- [13] SWAMY, M.: *Further properties of Morgan-Voyce polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart. **6** (1968), 167–175.
- [14] Van ASSCHE, W.: *Orthogonal polynomials in the complex plane and on the real line*. In: Special Functions,  $q$ -Series and Related Topics (Toronto, ON, 1995), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 211–245.

Received 8. 3. 2006

*\*Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie  
Technische Universität Wien  
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10/104  
A–1040 Wien  
AUSTRIA  
E-mail: stoll@dmg.tuwien.ac.at*

*\*\*Institut für Mathematik A  
Technische Universität Graz  
Steyrergasse 30  
A–8010 Graz  
AUSTRIA  
E-mail: tichy@tugraz.at*