

ON DIRECT LIMIT CLASSES OF ALGEBRAS

EMÍLIA HALUŠKOVÁ

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. We investigate classes of algebras which can be obtained by a direct limit construction from an algebra. We generalize some results from monounary algebras.

©2007
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

Let \mathcal{K} be a nonempty class of algebras of the same type. We denote by \mathbf{LK} the class of all algebras which are isomorphic copies of direct limits of algebras belonging to \mathcal{K} .

If $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{A}\}$, where \mathcal{A} is finite, then \mathbf{LK} consists precisely of retracts of the algebra \mathcal{A} , c.f. [4]. The class of direct limits of a cyclically ordered group was studied in [7]. The class of direct limits of a monounary algebra was studied in [2], [3]. Monounary algebras \mathcal{A} with the property that the class $\mathbf{L}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ has exactly two nonisomorphic algebras were characterized in [5].

Let \mathcal{S} be the class of all algebras \mathcal{A} such that every surjective or injective endomorphism of \mathcal{A} is an automorphism. (Note that every simple algebra has this property.) The aim of this paper is to generalize some results of [5] to the case of algebras from \mathcal{S} , c.f. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 in the paper.

Preliminaries

For monounary algebras we will use the terminology as in [8].

Let A, B, C be sets. Let $B \subseteq A$. If ψ is a mapping from A into C , then $\psi|_B$ denotes the restriction ψ onto B . Denote by $\psi(B) = \{\psi(b) : b \in B\}$.

Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 08A60.

Keywords: algebra, direct limit, endomorphism, monounary algebra.

Supported by grant VEGA 2/5065/5.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ algebras, denote by $[\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n]$ the class of all isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$.

If \mathcal{K} is a class of algebras, then

$$[\mathcal{K}] = \bigcup_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{K}} [\mathcal{B}].$$

For the notion of a direct limit, c.f. e.g. Grätzer [1, §21].

Let $\langle P, \leq \rangle$ be a directed partially ordered set. For each $p \in P$, let $\mathcal{A}_p = (A_p, F)$ be an algebra of some fixed type. Assume that if $p, q \in P$, $p \neq q$, then $A_p \cap A_q = \emptyset$. Suppose that for each pair of elements p and q in P with $p \leq q$, we have a homomorphism φ_{pq} of \mathcal{A}_p into \mathcal{A}_q such that $p \leq q \leq s$ implies that $\varphi_{ps} = \varphi_{pq} \circ \varphi_{qs}$. For each $p \in P$, suppose that φ_{pp} is the identity on A_p . The family $\{P, \mathcal{A}_p, \varphi_{pq}\}$ is said to be *direct*.

Assume that $p, q \in P$ and $x \in A_p$, $y \in A_q$. Put $x \equiv y$ if there exists $s \in P$ with $p \leq s$, $q \leq s$ such that $\varphi_{ps}(x) = \varphi_{qs}(y)$. For each $z \in \bigcup_{p \in P} A_p$ put

$$\bar{z} = \left\{ t \in \bigcup_{p \in P} A_p : z \equiv t \right\}. \text{ Denote } \bar{A} = \left\{ \bar{z} : z \in \bigcup_{p \in P} A_p \right\}.$$

Let $f \in F$ be an n -ary operation. Let $x_j \in A_{p_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let s be an upper bound of p_j . Define $f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) = \overline{f(\varphi_{p_1 s}(x_1), \dots, \varphi_{p_n s}(x_n))}$. Then the algebra $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = (\bar{A}, F)$ is said to be the *direct limit of the direct family* $\{P, \mathcal{A}_p, \varphi_{pq}\}$. We express this situation as follows

$$\{P, \mathcal{A}_p, \varphi_{pq}\} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}. \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ be an algebra and (1) hold. Let $\mathcal{A}_p \cong \mathcal{A}$ for every $p \in P$. Then we say that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is obtained by a direct limit from \mathcal{A} or that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a direct limit of \mathcal{A} .

We denote by \mathbf{LA} the class of all algebras which are isomorphic to some algebra obtained by direct limits from \mathcal{A} .

It is obvious that if every endomorphism of \mathcal{A} is an automorphism, then

$$\mathbf{LA} = [\mathcal{A}].$$

For monounary algebras the opposite implication holds, c.f. [6, Theorem 2.2].

Algebras \mathcal{A} with $\mathbf{LA} = \mathbf{LB} \cup [\mathcal{A}]$

Denote by \mathbf{EA} the set of all algebras which are endomorphic images of \mathcal{A} .

It is easy to see that, in general, $[\mathbf{EA}] \subseteq \mathbf{LA}$ need not hold, c.f. e.g. [5, Lemma 4].

Denote by \mathcal{S} the class of all algebras \mathcal{A} such that every surjective or injective endomorphism of \mathcal{A} is an automorphism.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $[\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$ and $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}\}$. Then $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be nonisomorphic algebras, $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B, F)$.

We will prove that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{L}\mathcal{A}$.

Without loss of generality we will suppose that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{E}\mathcal{A}$. Assume that φ is an endomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . Since $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{S}$, we have that $\varphi|_B$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{B} .

Let \leq be the natural ordering of the set \mathbb{N} . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{A}_n = (A_n, F)$ be an algebra isomorphic to \mathcal{A} and $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$ whenever $m \neq n$. Let ψ_n be an isomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{A}_n . Let $\xi_{n,n}$ be the identity mapping of A_n . We define $\xi_{n,n+1} = \psi_n^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{n+1}$ and $\xi_{n,n+m} = \xi_{n,n+1} \circ \xi_{n+1,n+2} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{n+m-1,n+m}$. Then $\{\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{A}_n, \xi_{n,k}\}$ is a direct family. Denote by $\mathcal{D} = (D, F)$ the direct limit of this direct family.

For every $b \in B$ we put $\Phi(b) = \overline{\psi_1(b)}$. We will show that Φ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{B} onto \mathcal{D} .

Assume that $a, b \in B$ are such that $\Phi(a) = \Phi(b)$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_{1,n}(\psi_1(a)) = \xi_{1,n}(\psi_1(b))$. The restriction $(\psi_1 \circ \xi_{1,n})|_B$ is an injective mapping, because $\varphi|_B$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{B} and ψ_n is an isomorphism from \mathcal{B} onto $(\psi_n(B), F)$. Thus $a = b$.

Suppose that $z \in D$. Consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in A_n$ such that $y \in z$. Since $\xi_{n,n+1}$ is onto $\psi_{n+1}(B)$, we have $(\psi_n^{-1} \circ \varphi)(y) = (\xi_{n,n+1} \circ \psi_{n+1}^{-1})(y) \in B$. The mapping $\varphi|_B$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{B} and so there is $w \in B$ such that $\varphi^n(w) = (\psi_n^{-1} \circ \varphi)(y)$. Put $x = \psi_1(w)$. We obtain $\xi_{1,n+1}(x) = \psi_{n+1}(\varphi^n(\psi_1^{-1}(x))) = \psi_{n+1}(\varphi(\psi_n^{-1}(y))) = \xi_{n,n+1}(y)$. Therefore $\Phi(w) = \overline{x} = \overline{y} = z$.

Let $f \in F$ be an n -ary operation, $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$. Then

$$\Phi(f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) = \overline{\psi_1(f(b_1, \dots, b_n))} = \overline{f(\psi_1(b_1), \dots, \psi_1(b_n))} \quad (2)$$

$$= f(\overline{\psi_1(b_1)}, \dots, \overline{\psi_1(b_n)}) = f(\Phi(b_1), \dots, \Phi(b_n)). \quad (3)$$

We have $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$ is a subset of $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A}$. To see the opposite inclusion let (1) hold and $\mathcal{A}_p \cong \mathcal{A}$ for every $p \in P$. Two cases can occur:

- (i) There exists $p \in P$ such that $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) = A_q$ for every $p \leq q$.
- (ii) For every $p \in P$ there exists $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ and $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \neq A_q$.

We claim $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{A}$ in the first event and $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{B}$ in the second one.

Consider (i). Denote $Q = \{q \in P : p \leq q\}$. Let $q, s \in Q$ be such that $q \leq s$. We have $\varphi_{qs}(A_q) = \varphi_{qs}(\varphi_{pq}(A_p)) = \varphi_{ps}(A_p) = A_s$. Thus φ_{qs} is an isomorphism from \mathcal{A}_q onto \mathcal{A}_s . Conclude $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{A}$, because Q is cofinal with P .

Now consider (ii). Suppose that for every $p \in P$ there exists $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ and $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \neq A_q$.

Choose $p \in P$. Take $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ and $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \neq A_q$. Denote $R = \{r \in P : q \leq r\}$. Let $B_r = \varphi_{pr}(A_p)$ and $\mathcal{B}_r = (B_r, F)$ for every $r \in R$. Then $\mathcal{B}_r \cong \mathcal{B}$ and $\{R, \mathcal{B}_r, \varphi_{rs}|_{B_r}\}$ is a direct family. Let $\{R, \mathcal{B}_r, \varphi_{rs}|_{B_r}\} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. We have $\overline{\mathcal{B}} \cong \mathcal{B}$.

Let $r \in R$ and let $s \in P$ be such that $q \leq s$ and $\varphi_{rs}(A_r) \neq A_s$. We have $(\varphi_{rs}(A_r), F) \cong \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_s \cong \mathcal{B}$, there exists an isomorphism ψ from $(\varphi_{rs}(A_r), F)$ onto \mathcal{B}_s . In view of $B_s = \varphi_{ps}(A_p) = \varphi_{rs}(\varphi_{pr}(A_p)) = \varphi_{rs}(B_r) \subseteq \varphi_{rs}(A_r)$ we obtain that ψ is an endomorphism of $(\varphi_{rs}(A_r), F)$. Thus $\varphi_{rs}(A_r) = B_s$ according to $\mathbf{E}(\varphi_{rs}(A_r), F) = \{(\varphi_{rs}(A_r), F)\}$. That means that the direct limit of $\{R, A_r, \varphi_{rs}\}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$. We conclude $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{B}$, because R is cofinal with P . \square

Example. Let \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} be monounary algebras such that \mathcal{B} is a three-element cycle and \mathcal{C} is a three-element cycle too. Let \mathcal{A} be a disjoint union of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} .

We have $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}\}$, $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}\}$. So, $[\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A}] = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$ and \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} satisfy assumptions. Thus $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, let $\mathcal{B} = (B, F)$ be a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{A}\}$. If φ' is an endomorphism of \mathcal{A} , then $\varphi'(B) \subseteq B$.*

Proof. The assumption $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{A}\}$ yields $\varphi'(A) \subseteq B$ or $\varphi'(A) = A$. If $\varphi'(A) \subseteq B$ then obviously $\varphi'(B) \subseteq B$.

Suppose that $\varphi'(A) = A$. Denote $\mathcal{B}' = (\varphi'(B), F)$.

Let ψ be an endomorphism of \mathcal{A} such that $\psi(A) = B$. Then $(\psi \circ \varphi')(A) = \varphi'(B)$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathbf{E}\mathcal{A}$. According to $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{A}\}$ we have $\varphi'(B) \subseteq B$ or $\varphi'(B) = A$. If $\varphi'(B) \subseteq B$, then the proof is finished.

Let $\varphi'(B) = A$. Then φ' is a surjective endomorphism of \mathcal{A} and so, φ' is an automorphism. We obtain that $B = A$. \square

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{B} be such that $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{A}\}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{L}\mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{A}].$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = (B, F)$. Let (1) hold and $\mathcal{A}_p \cong \mathcal{A}$. Let ψ_p be an isomorphism of \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{A}_p .

a) Suppose that there exists $p \in P$ such that the following implication is satisfied: if $q \in P$ and $p \leq q$, then $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) = A_q$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathcal{A}$ analogously as in the case (i) of the proof of Theorem 1.

b) Suppose that for every $p \in P$ there exists $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ and $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \neq A_q$.

The mapping $\varphi_{pq}|_{\psi_p(B)}$ is a homomorphism of the algebra $(\psi_p(B), F)$ into $(\psi_q(B), F)$ for every $p, q \in P$, $p \leq q$, according to Lemma 1.

So, $\{P, (\psi_p(B), F), \varphi_{pq}|_{\psi_p(B)}\}$ is a direct family. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ the direct limit of this family. Since the algebra $(\psi_p(B), F) \cong \mathcal{B}$ for all $p \in P$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbf{L}\mathcal{B}$.

Let $p \in P$. Choose $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ and $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \neq A_q$. That means $\varphi_{pq}(A_p) \subseteq \psi_q(B)$. We obtain $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. \square

Example. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $f(a) = b$, $f(b) = f(c) = c$. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \{f\})$. Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} which is generated by b . Then \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} satisfy assumptions. In view of [5, Theorem 1] the algebra \mathcal{B} does not belong to $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A}$. We have $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{L}\mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{A}]$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, let \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{B} \cup \{\mathcal{A}\}$. If $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbf{L}\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathbf{L}\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathcal{B} \cup [\mathcal{A}]$.*

On monounary algebras

In this section all monounary algebras which satisfy all assumptions of Theorem 1 will be described and we will see that there exists a countable system of types of monounary algebras which satisfy all assumptions of Corollary 1.

We will handle algebras from [5]. We use the same terminology, notation, and symbols as in [5].

We denote by \mathcal{T}_1^* , \mathcal{T}_2^* , \mathcal{T}_4^* the following classes of monounary algebras:

NOTATION 1.

$\mathcal{T}_1^* = \{A \in \mathcal{U} : \text{there exists } a \in A \text{ such that } A - \{a\} \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } \{a\} \text{ fails to be a subalgebra of } A\};$

$\mathcal{T}_2^* = \{A \in \mathcal{U} : \text{there exist } B \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } k, l \in \mathbb{N}, l \neq 1, \text{ such that } A = B \cup C, B \text{ contains a cycle of length } k \text{ and } C \text{ is a cycle of length } k \cdot l\};$

$\mathcal{T}_4^* = \{A \in \mathcal{U} : A \text{ is connected and there exists } a \in A \text{ such that } A - \{a\} \cong \mathbb{Z}\}.$

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{U}$. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and there exists B such that $[\mathbf{E}A] = [A, B]$ and $\mathbf{E}B = \{B\};$
- (i) $A \in \mathcal{T} \cup \mathcal{T}_1^* \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3 \cup \mathcal{T}_4^* \cup [\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}].$

Proof. Assume that (i) is fulfilled. Then $A \in \mathcal{S}$ according to the construction of all homomorphisms between two monounary algebras, c.f. [9].

If $A \in \mathcal{T} \cup \mathbb{Z}$, then let $B = A$. If $A \in \mathcal{T}_1^*$, then let $B = A - \{a\}$ where a is as in the definition of \mathcal{T}_1^* . If $A \in \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3$, then let B be the algebra from the definition of \mathcal{T}_2 , \mathcal{T}_3 , respectively. If $A \cong \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ or $A \in \mathcal{T}_4^*$, then let B be a subalgebra of A which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

Now let the condition (ii) be satisfied. Then $\mathbf{L}A = [A, B]$ by [5, Lemma 4, Theorems 1, 2, 3]. If $A \cong B$, then $A \in \mathcal{T} \cup [\mathbb{Z}]$ according to [3, Theorem 1].

Suppose that A is not isomorphic to B . Then $A \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3 \cup \mathcal{T}_4 \cup [\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}]$ in view of [5, Theorem 4].

Let $A \in \mathcal{T}_1$ or let $A \in \mathcal{T}_4$. Let R be the chain from the definition of \mathcal{T}_1 or \mathcal{T}_4 , respectively. If R is finite and R contains at least two elements, then the equality $[\mathbf{E}A] = [A, B]$ is not fulfilled. If R is infinite, then $A \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{T}_1^* \cup \mathcal{T}_4^*$.

If $A \cong \mathbb{N}$, then B does not exist.

We conclude $A \in \mathcal{T}_1^* \cup \mathcal{T}_2 \cup \mathcal{T}_3 \cup \mathcal{T}_4^* \cup [\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}].$ □

PROPOSITION 2. *If $A \in \mathcal{T}_1^* \cup \mathcal{T}_2^* \cup \mathcal{T}_3 \cup \mathcal{T}_4^*$, then $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and there exists a subalgebra B of A such that $\mathbf{E}A = \mathbf{E}B \cup \{A\}$ and $\mathbf{L}B \subseteq \mathbf{L}A$.*

Proof. The algebra $A \in \mathcal{S}$ according to the construction of all homomorphisms between two monounary algebras, c.f. [9].

If $A \in \mathcal{T}_2^* \cup \mathcal{T}_3$, then we take B from the definition of $\mathcal{T}_2^*, \mathcal{T}_3$, respectively.

If $A \in \mathcal{T}_1^* \cup \mathcal{T}_4^*$, then let a be an element of A from the definition of $\mathcal{T}_1^*, \mathcal{T}_4^*$, respectively. We put $B = A - \{a\}$.

We have $\mathbf{E}B = \{B\}$ and $\mathbf{E}A = \{A, B\}$. Further, $\mathbf{L}B = \{B\}$ according to [3, Theorem 1] and $\mathbf{L}A = \{A, B\}$ according to [5, Lemma 4, Theorems 1, 2, 3]. Therefore, $\mathbf{L}B \subseteq \mathbf{L}A$. \square

Acknowledgement. The author wants to express her thanks to the referees for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] GRÄTZER, G.: *Universal Algebra*. The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand, Co., Princeton, N.Y., 1968.
- [2] HALUŠKOVÁ, E.: *On iterated direct limits of a monounary algebra*. In: Contributions to General Algebra 10, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 1998, pp. 189–195.
- [3] HALUŠKOVÁ, E.: *Direct limits of monounary algebras*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **49** (1999), 645–656.
- [4] HALUŠKOVÁ, E.—PLOŠČICA, M.: *On direct limits of finite algebras*. In: Contributions to General Algebra 11, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 1999, pp. 101–104.
- [5] HALUŠKOVÁ, E.: *Monounary algebras with two direct limits*, Math. Bohem. **125** (2000), 485–495.
- [6] HALUŠKOVÁ, E.: *Algebras with one and two direct limits*. In: Contributions to General Algebra 12, Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000, pp. 211–219.
- [7] JAKUBÍK, J.—PRINGEROVÁ, G.: *Direct limits of cyclically ordered groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **44** (1994), 231–250.
- [8] JÓNSSON, B.: *Topics in Universal Algebra*. Lecture Notes in Math. 250, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

EMÍLIA HALUŠKOVÁ

[9] NOVOTNÝ, M.: *Über Abbildungen von Mengen*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 1359–1369.

Received 28. 10. 2004

Revised 21. 9. 2005

*Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences
Grešákova 6
SK-040 01 Košice
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: ehaluska@saske.sk*