

THE LINK BETWEEN REGULARITY AND STRONG-PI-REGULARITY

PEDRO PATRÍCIO✉ and R. E. HARTWIG

(Received 23 December 2009; accepted 5 June 2010)

Communicated by B. J. Gardner

Abstract

It is shown that if all powers of a ring element a are regular, then a is strongly pi-regular exactly when a suitable word in the powers of a and their inner inverses is a unit.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 15A09; secondary 16A30.

Keywords and phrases: Drazin inverse, strongly pi-regular, Drazin index.

1. Introduction

An element m in a ring R is said to be *regular* if there exists m^- , referred to as an inner inverse, such that $mm^-m = m$. The set of all inner inverses of m is denoted by $m\{1\}$. We say that m is *strongly pi-regular* if it has a *Drazin inverse* m^d that satisfies $xmx = x$ and $mx = xm$, as well as $m^kxm = m^k$ for some k [2]. The smallest such k is called the *index* of m and is denoted by $i(m)$. When $i(m) \leq 1$, we say that m has a group inverse, and this is denoted by $m^\#$. In particular, m is a unit if and only if $i(m) = 0$. The index $i(m)$ can also be characterized as the smallest k for which there exist x and y such that $a^{k+1}x = a^k = ya^{k+1}$. Given ring elements x and y , we say they are *orthogonal*, and we write $x \perp y$, if $xy = yx = 0$.

It is known that if m is strongly pi-regular, then $m^{i(m)}$ is regular, and in fact belongs to a multiplicative group, which ensures that $(m^{i(m)})^\#$ exists. We propose to solve the converse problem, namely, that of characterizing strong-pi-regularity in terms of the regularity of suitable powers of m together with the existence of a word, in powers of m and their inner inverses, that is a unit.

This research received financial support from the Research Centre of Mathematics of the University of Minho (CMAT) through the FCT Pluriannual Funding Program.

© 2010 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 1446-7887/2010 \$16.00

2. The regular stack

Suppose m is an element in R , and assume that m and all its powers are regular. For each power, we pick a fixed inner inverse. That is, we fix a list

$$\{m^-, (m^2)^-, \dots, (m^k)^-, \dots\}.$$

We define the fixed idempotents $E_k = m^k(m^k)^-$, when $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and we also set $e = E_1 = mm^-$. It is easily seen that

$$em = m, \quad eE_k = E_k = E_k^2, \quad E_k m E_k = m E_k, \quad E_k E_{k+1} = E_{k+1}.$$

We now consider the map $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\phi(x) = mxe + 1 - exe$, and construct the sequence of elements $m_k = \phi(E_k) = x_k + y_k$, where $x_k = mE_k e$ and $y_k = 1 - eE_k e$, when $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Observe that $\phi(1) = \phi(e)$. We recall that $\phi(e)$ is a unit precisely when m has a group inverse [7], and that $\phi(a)$ is a unit exactly when am has a group inverse [3]. In addition, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x_k y_k &= mE_k e - mE_k e E_k e = 0, \\ y_k x_k &= mE_k e - eE_k e m E_k e = mE_k e - E_k m E_k e = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we have an orthogonal splitting $m_k = x_k + y_k$.

We now claim that the elements m_k are in fact regular and may be generated recursively.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $m_k = \phi(m^k(m^k)^-)$, then there exists an inner inverse m_{k-1}^- such that*

$$m_k = m_{k-1}^2 m_{k-1}^- + 1 - m_{k-1} m_{k-1}^-,$$

with $m_0 = m$.

PROOF. If $i \geq 1$, then we have $m_i = x_i + y_i$, in which *both* components are regular. Indeed, $y_i = 1 - m^i(m^i)^- mm^-$ and so y_i is idempotent, and x_i has an inner inverse, namely, $m^i(m^{i+1})^- mm^-$; calling this x_i^- , we deduce that $x_i x_i^- = m^{i+1}(m^{i+1})^- mm^-$ and $y_i x_i^- = 0$ since

$$eE_i e m^i = mm^- m^i (m^i)^- mm^- m^i = m^i.$$

We can, therefore, take $m_{k-1}^- = x_{k-1}^- + y_{k-1}$, and this in turn gives

$$\begin{aligned} m_k &= m^{k+1}(m^k)^- mm^- + 1 - m^k(m^k)^- mm^- \\ &= x_{k-1} x_{k-1}^- x_{k-1}^- + y_{k-1} + 1 - x_{k-1} x_{k-1}^- - y_{k-1} \\ &= (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1})(x_{k-1} x_{k-1}^- + y_{k-1}) + 1 - (x_{k-1} x_{k-1}^- + y_{k-1}) \\ &= m_{k-1}^2 m_{k-1}^- + 1 - m_{k-1} m_{k-1}^-, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Using this lemma, we can now express m_k alternatively:

$$m_k = m^{k+1}(m^k)^- mm^- + 1 - m^k(m^k)^- mm^-.$$

3. Index results

Let us now use the above regular stack to obtain suitable index results. Suppose that m is strongly pi-regular, and consider the associated sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &= m^{k+1}(m^k)^- + 1 - m^k(m^k)^-, \\ w_k &= m^-m^{k+1}(m^k)^-m + 1 - m^-m^k(m^k)^-m, \\ v_k &= (m^k)^-m^{k+1} + 1 - (m^k)^-m^k. \end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following fact.

LEMMA 3.1 [1]. *If $1 + ab$ has a Drazin inverse, then $1 + ba$ has a Drazin inverse and*

$$i(1 + ab) = i(1 + ba).$$

PROOF. Suppose $1 + ab$ has a Drazin inverse and its index $i(1 + ab)$ is equal to k . Then

$$(1 + ab)^{k+1}x = (1 + ab)^k = y(1 + ab)^{k+1},$$

for some x and y in R . This means that

$$(1 + ba)^{k+1}(1 - bxa) = (1 + ba)^k = (1 - bya)(1 + ba)^{k+1},$$

and thus $i(1 + ba) \leq i(1 + ab)$. By interchanging a and b , we obtain the equality. \square

By applying this lemma we may conclude that $i(m_k) = i(u_k) = i(w_k) = i(v_k)$.

We now recall the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2 [5]. *If m is strongly pi-regular, then*

$$i(m^2m^- + 1 - mm^-) = i(m) - 1.$$

As a consequence, we may deduce that $i(m_k) = t$ if and only if $i(m_{k+1}) = t - 1$.

We shall also need the following result, which can be deduced from the proof of [2, Theorem 4].

LEMMA 3.3. *If $a^{k+1}x = a^k = ya^{k+1}$, then we have $a^d = a^kx^{k+1} = y^{k+1}a^k$ and $aa^d = a^kx^k = y^ka^k$.*

PROOF. Repeatedly premultiplying the first equality by a and postmultiplying it by x shows that $a^{k+r}x^r = a^k$ when $r = 1, 2, \dots$, and in particular, if $r = k$, then $a^{2k}x^k = a^k$. By symmetry, $a^k = y^ka^{2k}$. The latter two equalities ensure that a^k has a group inverse of the form

$$(a^k)^\# = y^ka^kx^k = y^ka^{2k}x^{2k} = a^kx^{2k} = y^{2k}a^k.$$

This implies that

$$a^d = a^{k-1}(a^k)^\# = a^{k-1}a^kx^{2k} = (a^{k+(k-1)}x^{k-1})x^{k+1} = a^kx^{k+1},$$

and by symmetry $a^d = y^{k+1}a^k$.

Finally, we also see that $aa^d = a^{k+1}x^{k+1} = (a^{k+1}x)x^k = a^kx^k$, and so $aa^d = y^ka^k$ by symmetry. \square

Combining these results, we now may state the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $i(m) = s$.
- (b) s is the smallest integer such that $m^s + 1 - m^s(m^s)^-$ is a unit.
- (c) s is the smallest integer such that $m^{2s}(m^s)^- + 1 - m^s(m^s)^-$ is a unit.
- (d) s is the smallest integer such that m_s is a unit.
- (e) s is the smallest integer such that u_s is a unit.
- (f) m_ℓ is strongly pi-regular and $i(m_\ell) = s - \ell$, for one and hence all ℓ such that $0 \leq \ell \leq s$.
- (g) u_ℓ is strongly pi-regular and $i(u_\ell) = s - \ell$, for one and hence all ℓ such that $0 \leq \ell \leq s$.

If the conditions are satisfied, then

$$\begin{aligned} m^d &= u_s^{-1} m^s v_s^{-s} = m^s v_s^{-s-1} = u_s^{-s} m^s v_s^{-1} = u_s^{-s-1} m^s \\ &= m^{s-1} u_s^{-(s+1)} m^{s+2} v_s^{-(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The equivalences between (a), (b) and (c) are known (see [6]). Since $i(m_\ell) = t$ if and only if $i(m_{\ell+1}) = t - 1$, we may, by using this argument recursively, conclude that $i(m) = s$ if and only if $i(m_\ell) = s - \ell$.

The equivalence of (f) and (g), and that of (d) and (e), may be seen by applying Lemma 3.1, setting $b = mm^-$ and first $a = m^{\ell+1}(m^\ell)^- - m^\ell(m^\ell)^-$ and then $a = m^{s+1}(m^s)^- - m^s(m^s)^-$. It is obvious that (f) implies (d) and that (g) implies (e).

Finally, we now prove that (e) implies (a). As u_s is a unit and $u_s m^s = m^{s+1}$, we have $m^s = u_s^{-1} m^{s+1}$. Likewise, $v_s = (m^s)^- m^{s+1} + 1 - (m^s)^- m^s$, so u_s being a unit implies that v_s is a unit, and this in turns yields $m^s = m^{s+1} v_s^{-1}$. Therefore, $m^s \in m^{s+1} R \cap R m^{s+1}$ and $m^d = m^{s-1} u_s^{-(s+1)} m^{s+2} v_s^{-(s+1)}$. \square

We may in fact compute the Drazin inverses of the three associated sequences $\{u_k\}$, $\{v_k\}$ and $\{w_k\}$. It suffices to compute the former.

THEOREM 3.5. *If $i(m) = s$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq s$, then*

$$u_\ell^d = m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^- + 1 - m^\ell (m^\ell)^-.$$

PROOF. Set $X = m^\ell$ and $A = m(m^\ell)^-$, so that $u_\ell = XA + (1 - E_\ell)$. From the last theorem, we recall that $i(u_\ell) = i(m) - \ell$. Now observe that u_ℓ is a sum of two orthogonal elements, and since u_ℓ is strongly pi-regular, so are each of the two orthogonal summands. In particular, $m^{\ell+1}(m^\ell)^-$ is strongly pi-regular and we obtain the expression

$$(u_\ell)^d = (mE_\ell)^d + 1 - E_\ell = (XA)^d + 1 - E_\ell, \quad (3.1)$$

where $E_\ell = m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$.

Next, we turn our attention to the computation of $(XA)^d = (mE_\ell)^d$. We claim that $(XA)^{k+1}y = (XA)^k$, where $y = m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$. Indeed, it follows by induction that $(XA)^i = m^{i+\ell} (m^\ell)^-$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(XA)^{k+1}y &= m^{k+\ell+1} (m^\ell)^- m^\ell m^d (m^\ell)^- = m^\ell m^{k+1} m^d (m^\ell)^- \\ &= m^{k+\ell} (m^\ell)^- = (XA)^k.\end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 3.3 to obtain $(XA)^d = (XA)^k y^{k+1}$.

Again, by induction, $y^i = (m^d)^i m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$, whence $y^{k+1} = (m^d)^{k+1} m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$, and this gives

$$\begin{aligned}(XA)^d &= (XA)^k y^{k+1} = m^{\ell+k} (m^\ell)^- (m^d)^{k+1} m^\ell (m^\ell)^- \\ &= m^{\ell+k} (m^\ell)^- m^\ell (m^d)^{k+1} (m^\ell)^- = m^\ell m^k (m^d)^{k+1} (m^\ell)^- \\ &= m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^-, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(XA)^d XA = (mE_\ell)^d mE_\ell = m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^- m^{\ell+1} (m^\ell)^- = m^d m^{\ell+1} (m^\ell)^-.$$

Finally, substituting the expression for $(XA)^d$ in (3.1), we arrive at

$$(u_\ell)^d = m^d E_\ell + 1 - E_\ell = m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^- + 1 - m^\ell (m^\ell)^-,$$

which is the desired expression. \square

We close with some pertinent remarks.

Remarks

- (a) If m_k is a unit for one choice of $(m^k)^-$, then it is a unit for all such choices. Indeed, the fact that m_k is a unit implies that $i(m) = s$, which implies, from the proof of Theorem 3.4, that $m_s = m^{s+1} (m^s)^- = mm^- + 1 - m^s (m^s)^- = mm^-$ is also a unit.
- (b) If u_s is a unit for one choice of $(m^s)^-$, then it is a unit for all such choices.
- (c) In a ring, a^2 may be regular without a being regular. For example, take $a = 4$ in \mathbb{Z}_8 .
- (d) In a ring, a may be regular without a^2 being regular. Indeed, in \mathbb{Z}_4 , consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A has an inner inverse, namely B , but A^2 has no inner inverse, since $A^2 = 2A$, and so $(2A)X(2A) = 0 \neq 2A$.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referee and the editor for their valuable corrections and comments.

References

- [1] N. Castro-González, C. Mendes Araújo and P. Patrício, 'A note on generalized inverses of a sum in rings', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 156–164.
- [2] M. P. Drazin, 'Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **65** (1958), 506–514.
- [3] F. J. Hall and R. E. Hartwig, 'Algebraic properties of governing matrices used in Cesàro–Neumann iterations', *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **26**(7) (1981), 959–978.
- [4] R. E. Hartwig, 'More on the Souriau–Frame algorithm and the Drazin inverse', *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **31**(1) (1976), 42–46.
- [5] P. Patrício and A. Veloso da Costa, 'On the Drazin index of regular elements', *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **7**(2) (2009), 200–205.
- [6] R. Puystjens and M. C. Gouveia, 'Drazin invertibility for matrices over an arbitrary ring', *Linear Algebra Appl.* **385** (2004), 105–116.
- [7] R. Puystjens and R. E. Hartwig, 'The group inverse of a companion matrix', *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **43**(1–3) (1997), 137–150.

PEDRO PATRÍCIO, Departamento de Matemática e Aplicações,
Universidade do Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
e-mail: pedro@math.uminho.pt

R. E. HARTWIG, Department of Mathematics, N.C.S.U., Raleigh,
NC 27695-8205, USA
e-mail: hartwig@unity.ncsu.edu