

## ON TRANSLATION-BOUNDED MEASURES

A. P. ROBERTSON and M. L. THORNETT

(Received 20 February 1983)

Communicated by R. L. Tweedie

### Abstract

It is shown that a positive measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^k$  is translation-bounded if and only if the Fourier transform of the indicator function of every bounded Borel subset of  $\mathbf{R}^k$  belongs to  $L^2(\mu)$ .

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): primary 60 G 10; secondary 28 A 33, 42 B 10.

### 1. Introduction

We shall be considering non-negative measures defined on the class  $\mathfrak{B}$  of Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ , taking finite values on the subclass  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  of bounded Borel sets; for convenience these will be called *Borel measures*. A Borel measure  $\mu$  is called *translation-bounded* if, for every  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ ,

$$\sup\{\mu(A+x): x \in \mathbf{R}^k\} < \infty.$$

It is clearly sufficient that this property hold for some  $A_0$  with non-empty interior, for by a compactness argument any other  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0$  can be covered by a finite union of translates of  $A_0$ .

As in [3], we shall use the same notation for a set  $A$  and its indicator function; thus  $A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  and  $A(x) = 0$  otherwise. For each  $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0$ , its Fourier transform  $\hat{A}$  is defined for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^k$  by

$$\hat{A}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} A(x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx,$$

where  $x \cdot \xi$  denotes the canonical inner product in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ .

It is shown in [3] that if  $\mu$  is translation-bounded, then  $\hat{A} \in L^2(\mu)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ . The purpose of this note is to prove the converse and thus establish the following result.

**THEOREM.** *A non-negative Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^k$  is translation-bounded if and only if  $\hat{A} \in L^2(\mu)$  for every bounded Borel subset  $A$  of  $\mathbf{R}^k$ .*

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We are given that  $\mu$  is a non-negative Borel measure, finite on bounded sets, for which  $\hat{A} \in L^2(\mu)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

Take any subset  $I \in \mathcal{B}_0$  with nonempty interior and denote by  $B(I)$  the space of bounded Borel-measurable (complex-valued) functions on  $I$ , with the supremum norm. The indicator functions of Borel subsets of  $I$  form a subset  $X(I)$ , generating the dense vector subspace  $S(I)$  of  $B(I)$  consisting of the simple functions on  $I$ .

Every function  $f \in B(I)$  has a Fourier transform  $\hat{f} = T(f)$ ; the main part of the proof is to show the continuity of  $T$ .

**LEMMA.** *Under the hypothesis of the theorem,  $T$  is a continuous linear transformation from  $B(I)$  to  $L^2(\mu)$ ; that is, there is a constant  $c$  such that*

$$\int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\mu \leq c^2 \sup\{|f(x)|^2 : x \in I\} \quad \text{for all } f \in B(I).$$

**PROOF.** Take any compact set  $K_1$  in  $\mathbf{R}^k$  and any  $g \in L^2(\mu)$ .

By hypothesis,  $\hat{A} \in L^2(\mu)$  for each  $A \in X(I)$  and so

$$\nu_1(A) = \int_{K_1} \hat{A} \bar{g} d\mu$$

is defined in  $X(I)$ . In fact,  $\nu_1$  is a (complex-valued) measure on the Borel subsets of  $I$ . For  $\nu_1$  is clearly finitely additive. Also, if  $(A_n)$  is a sequence decreasing to the empty set, then

$$|\nu_1(A_n)|^2 \leq \int_{K_1} |\hat{A}_n|^2 d\mu \cdot \int_{K_1} |\bar{g}|^2 d\mu \leq \|g\|_2^2 \mu(K_1) (\lambda(A_n))^2,$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . So  $\nu_1(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and therefore  $\nu_1$  is countably additive.

Now take a sequence of compact sets  $K_n$  increasing to  $\mathbf{R}^k$  and let  $\nu_n$  be the corresponding measures. For each  $A \in X(I)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_n} \hat{A} \bar{g} \, d\mu = \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \hat{A} \bar{g} \, d\mu = \nu(A)$$

exists by hypothesis. Hence, by the theorem of Nikodým ([1] page 160, [2])  $\nu$  is a measure, which is therefore bounded on the Borel subsets of  $I$ . This shows that the set of  $\hat{A}$  with  $A \in X(I)$  is weakly bounded in  $L^2(\mu)$  and hence, by the uniform boundedness theorem, it is norm-bounded:

$$\sup \{\|\hat{A}\|_2 : A \in X(I)\} < \infty.$$

Now, since every  $f \in S(I)$  with  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  on  $I$  is a convex combination of elements of  $X(I)$ ,  $\{\hat{f} : f \in S(I)\}$  is also norm-bounded in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Hence there is a constant  $c$  such that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_2 \leq c\|f\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } f \in S(I).$$

Finally, any  $f \in B(I)$  is the uniform limit of a sequence  $(f_n)$  of functions of  $S(I)$ . By the continuity of  $T$  on  $S(I)$ ,  $(\hat{f}_n)$  converges in  $L^2(\mu)$ , but also  $(\hat{f}_n)$  converges to  $\hat{f}$  pointwise on  $\mathbf{R}^k$ . So

$$\|\hat{f}\|_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{f}_n\|_2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c\|f_n\|_\infty = c\|f\|_\infty,$$

and the lemma is proved.

The proof of the theorem can now be completed. Since  $\hat{I}$  is continuous and not identically zero, there is an open set  $D$  on which  $\hat{I}$  is bounded away from zero; say  $|\hat{I}(\xi)| \geq h > 0$  for  $\xi \in D$ . For any  $\zeta$ , let  $f(x) = I(x)e^{-ix \cdot \zeta}$ . Then

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| = |\hat{I}(\xi - \zeta)| \geq h \quad \text{for } \xi \in D + \zeta.$$

So  $h^2\mu(D + \zeta) = \int_{D+\zeta} h^2 \, d\mu \leq \int |\hat{f}|^2 \, d\mu \leq c^2 \sup_I |f|^2 = c^2$ , whence

$$\sup \{\mu(D + \zeta) : \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^k\} \leq \frac{c^2}{h^2}$$

and  $\mu$  is translation-bounded.

### 3. Comment

The paper [3] was concerned with a class of measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^k$  which satisfy the properties

- (i)  $\hat{A} \in L^2(\mu)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , and
- (ii) if  $(A_n)$  is a decreasing sequence of sets of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  with empty intersection, then  $\hat{A}_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ ,

and it was there shown that translation-bounded measures have both these properties. Thus it follows as a corollary to the theorem that (i) implies (ii) for Borel measures  $\mu$ .

### Acknowledgement

We thank Wendy Robertson for her help and encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, part I: *General theory* (Interscience, New York, 1958).
- [2] A. P. Robertson, 'Unconditional convergence and the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem', *Colloque Anal. Function.* [1971, Bordeaux] *Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire* 31–32 (1972), 335–341.
- [3] M. L. Thornett, 'A class of second-order stationary random measures', *Stochastic Processes Appl.* **8** (1979), 323–334.

Murdoch University  
Murdoch, W.A. 6150  
Australia

University of Western Australia  
Nedlands, W.A. 6009  
Australia