

A JOINT SPECTRAL THEOREM FOR UNBOUNDED NORMAL OPERATORS

A. B. PATEL

(Received 8 July 1981; revised 31 March 1982)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

Abstract

A joint spectral theorem for an n -tuple of doubly commuting unbounded normal operators in a Hilbert space is proved by using the techniques of GB^* -algebras.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): primary 47 A 10, 47 B 15; secondary 46 L 99.

Introduction

The definition of a joint spectrum for an n -tuple of bounded operators on a Hilbert space H has been given by Harte and others [7, 12]. We generalize this to define the joint spectrum of an n -tuple of unbounded operators in H . By using the techniques of GB^* -algebras (a class of involutive algebras studied by Allan [1] and Dixon [4]), we prove the spectral theorem for an n -tuple of unbounded normal operators (Theorem 2.2). The analogous result for bounded operators is straightforward and has been recently noted by Hastings [8].

1. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper H denotes a complex Hilbert space and $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H .

Research supported by U.G.C. (India) Jr. Research Fellowship
© Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1983

(a) *Joint spectrum.*

1.1. DEFINITIONS. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be closed linear operators in H defined on the same dense domain \mathfrak{D} . Suppose that T_1^*, \dots, T_n^* also have the same dense domain \mathfrak{D}^* .

(1) The joint left spectrum $\text{Sp}_l(T)$ of $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is the set of all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that for no n -tuple (B_1, \dots, B_n) of operators in $\mathfrak{B}(H)$, $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i(T_i - \lambda_i) \subset I$ holds.

(2) The joint right spectrum $\text{Sp}_r(T)$ of $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is the set $(\text{Sp}_l(T^*))^*$ where $T^* = (T_1^*, \dots, T_n^*)$ and for $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $K^* = \{(\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n) : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in K\}$.

(3) The joint spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$ is the set $\text{Sp}_l(T) \cup \text{Sp}_r(T)$.

REMARK. It is easily seen that our definition of the joint spectrum agrees with the usual definition of the spectrum of a closed unbounded operator [10, page 346].

In the remaining part of this section, we assume that T_1, \dots, T_n are closed linear operators in H defined on the same dense domain \mathfrak{D} such that their adjoints T_1^*, \dots, T_n^* also have the same dense domain \mathfrak{D}^* .

1.2. PROPOSITION. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$. Then $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \text{Sp}_l(T)$ if, and only if, there is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ of unit vectors in \mathfrak{D} such that $(T_i - \lambda_i)x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

PROOF. Suppose $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If there is no sequence $\{x_k\}$ of unit vectors in \mathfrak{D} such that $(T_i - \lambda_i)x_k \rightarrow 0$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the operator $\delta: \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus H_i$ ($H_i = H$) defined by $\delta(x) = ((T_1 - \lambda_1)x, \dots, (T_n - \lambda_n)x)$ is bounded below. Hence there exists a bounded operator $\beta: \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus H_i \rightarrow H$ such that $\beta\delta(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{D}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, define a operator B_i on H by $B_i(x) = \beta(0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ where x is in the i th place on the right hand side. Then B_i 's are bounded operators and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n B_i(T_i - \lambda_i)x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i(T_i - \lambda_i) \subset I$ and so $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \text{Sp}_l(T)$.

The proof of the converse is easy.

1.3. COROLLARY. $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \text{Sp}_r(T)$ if, and only if, there is a sequence $\{x_k\}$ of unit vectors in \mathfrak{D}^* such that $(T_i^* - \bar{\lambda}_i)x_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

1.4. COROLLARY. *If T_1, \dots, T_n are normal, then $\text{Sp}_l(T) = \text{Sp}_r(T)$ and hence $\text{Sp}(T) = \text{Sp}_l(T)$.*

Since $\|(T_i - \lambda_i)^*x\| = \|(T_i - \lambda_i)x\|$ for $x \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, the proof of the corollary follows from Proposition 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

(b) *GB*-algebras.*

A Generalized B^* -algebra (GB^* -algebra) [1, 4] is essentially a symmetric topological $*$ -algebra A which admits a largest bounded $*$ -semigroup (with respect to multiplication) B_0 called its unit ball which is also closed and absolutely convex so that the $*$ -subalgebra $A(B_0)$ of A which B_0 generates algebraically is a Banach algebra with Minkowski functional of B_0 in $A(B_0)$ as the norm. $A(B_0)$ is, in fact, a B^* -algebra. We shall need the following theorem regarding a GB^* -algebra.

1.5. THEOREM [2]. *Let A be a GB^* -algebra with unit ball B_0 . Then $A(B_0)$ is sequentially dense in A .*

In the sequel, we shall need to deal with two important GB^* -algebra—the algebra of measurable functions and the algebra of measurable operators. We discuss below these two algebras.

1.6. EXAMPLE. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space with finite subset property. Let $m(X)$ be the $*$ -algebra consisting of all complex-valued measurable functions (modulo equality a.e.) on X . For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $F \in \Sigma$, $\mu(F) < \infty$, consider the set $V(F, \varepsilon) = \{f \in m(X) : \mu(\{x \in F : |f(x)| > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon\}$. Let t_1 be the topology on $m(X)$ for which $\mathcal{V} = \{V(F, \varepsilon) : F \in \Sigma, \mu(F) < \infty, \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a zero neighbourhood base. Then $(m(X), t_1)$ is a complete GB^* -algebra with underlying B^* -algebra $A(B_0) = L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ (see [5]).

1.7. EXAMPLE. Let A be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H . Yeadon [13] has discussed the set $m_l(A)$ of locally measurable operators in H defined with respect to A . Dixon [5] has proved that $m_l(A)$ is a complete GB^* -algebra with bounded part $A(B_0) = A$, with respect to a topology t_2 , called the topology of convergence locally in measure (see also [13]) which is defined as follows: Let Z be the centre of A . Then Z is $*$ isomorphic to $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ for some measure space (X, Σ, μ) . Let d be the Segal's dimension function [11] from the projections in A to nonnegative extended real valued measurable functions on

X . For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \in \Sigma$, $\mu(F) < \infty$, consider the set

$$U(F, \varepsilon) = \{T \in m_f(A) : \text{for some projection } P \in A,$$

$$\|TP\| < \varepsilon \text{ and } \mu(\{x \in F : d(1 - P)(x) > \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then t_2 is a topology on $m_f(A)$ for which $\mathcal{U} = \{U(F, \varepsilon) : F \in \Sigma, \mu(F) < \infty, \varepsilon > 0\}$ is a zero neighbourhood base.

1.8. DEFINITION. For $u_1, \dots, u_n \in m(X)$, the joint essential range $\mathfrak{E}(u)$ of $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{E}(u) = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mu \left(\left\{ x \in X : \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x) - \lambda_i| < \varepsilon \right\} \right) > 0 \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0 \right\},$$

and the joint spectrum $\text{Sp}_{L^\infty(X)}(u)$ of u is defined by

$$\text{Sp}_{L^\infty}(u) = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \text{for no } n\text{-tuple } (v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ of elements in } L^\infty(X), \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \left(u_i - \lambda_i \right) = 1 \text{ a.e.} \right\}.$$

1.9. PROPOSITION. Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Then for $u_1, \dots, u_n \in m(X)$, $\text{Sp}_{L^\infty}(u) = \mathfrak{E}(u)$ where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$.

PROOF. First we prove the result for $n = 1$. Let $u \in m(X)$. Suppose that $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}_{L^\infty}(u)$. Then there is $v \in L^\infty(X)$ such that $(u - \lambda)v = 1$ a.e. Then $\mu(\{x \in X : |u(x) - \lambda| < 1/(2\|v\|_\infty)\}) = 0$. Hence $\lambda \notin \mathfrak{E}(u)$. Conversely, if $\lambda \notin \mathfrak{E}(u)$ then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the set $S = \{x \in X : |u(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}$ has measure zero. Define v on X by

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} 1/(u(x) - \lambda) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus S, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

Then $v \in L^\infty(X)$ and $v(u - \lambda) = 1$ a.e. Hence $\lambda \notin \text{Sp}_{L^\infty(X)}(u)$.

Next for an arbitrary n , suppose that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \text{Sp}(u)$. Then there are $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L^\infty(X)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(u_i - \lambda_i) = 1$ a.e. Let $M = \max\{\|V_i\| : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\mu(F_{1/2M}) = 0$ where for $\varepsilon > 0$, $F_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x) - \lambda_i| < \varepsilon\}$. For, if $\mu(F_{1/2M}) > 0$, then for some $x \in F_{1/2M}$,

$$1 = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n v_i(x)(u_i(x) - \lambda_i) \right| \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x) - \lambda_i| < \frac{1}{2},$$

which is a contradiction. This shows that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \mathfrak{S}(u)$. Conversely, suppose that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \mathfrak{S}(u)$. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mu(F_\varepsilon) = 0$. If now $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \text{Sp}(u)$ then for any v_1, \dots, v_n in $L^\infty(X)$, $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(u_i - \lambda_i)$ is not invertible in $L^\infty(X)$ and hence

$$0 \in \text{Sp}_{L^\infty(X)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(u_i - \lambda_i) \right).$$

But then by the result proved above for $n = 1$, $0 \in \mathfrak{S}(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(u_i - \lambda_i))$ for every $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L^\infty(X)$. Hence for each $\eta > 0$ and for each $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in (L^\infty(X))^n$, $\mu(E_\eta(v)) > 0$, where

$$E_\eta(v) = \left\{ x \in X : \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i(u_i - \lambda_i))(x) \right| < \eta \right\}.$$

Take

$$v_i = \frac{\overline{(u_i - \lambda_i)}}{1 + |u_i - \lambda_i|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{n^2 + \varepsilon^2}.$$

Then $E_\eta(v) \subset F_\varepsilon$, so that $\mu(E_\eta(v)) = 0$, which is a contradiction. It follows that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \text{Sp}(u)$ and the proof is complete.

2. Main theorems

In this section, we prove two main results of the paper.

2.1. THEOREM. *Let T_1, \dots, T_n be doubly commuting (that is $T_i T_j^* = T_j^* T_i$ and $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$) normal operators in H with the same domain \mathfrak{D} . Then the bounded bicommutant A of $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra such that $m_i(A)$ contains T_1, \dots, T_n .*

PROOF. Since each T_i is closed, $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$ exists and is a bounded operator with $\|(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Let E^i be the resolution of identity on Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$ for the operator $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$. Let $w_0 = \{0\}$, $w_k = (1/(k+1), 1/k]$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{w_k\}$ is a sequence of disjoint Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$ with union $[0, 1]$. Then $T_{ik} = T_i E^i(w_k)$ is bounded and normal for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence using ([3], Theorem 15.12.8 page 389), $x \in \mathfrak{D}$ ($= \mathfrak{D}(T_i)$, domain of T_i) if, and only if, $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|T_{ik} x\|^2 < \infty$, and for such x , $\sum_{k=0}^\infty T_{ik} x = T_i x$ and $\sum_{k=0}^\infty T_{ik}^* x = T_i^* x$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Now let $S \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}'$, the bounded commutant of T_1, \dots, T_n (that is $S \in \mathfrak{B}(H)$ and $ST_i \subset T_i S$ for each i). By the Fuglede theorem [6], it is easy to see that

$(1 + T_i^*T_i)^{-1}S = S(1 + T_i^*T_i)^{-1}$. Therefore, $E^i(w_k)S = SE^i(w_k)$; and so $ST_{ik}x = T_{ik}Sx$ ($x \in H$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $k = 0, 1, \dots$). Hence $S \in \{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}'$. Conversely, let $S \in \{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}'$. Then $ST_{ik} = T_{ik}S$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $Sx \in \mathcal{D}$, for

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T_{ik}Sx\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|ST_{ik}x\|^2 \leq \|S\|^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T_{ik}x\|^2 < \infty$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} ST_i x &= S \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{ik} x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ST_{ik} x \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{ik} S x = T_i S x \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $S \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}'$. Thus $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}' = \{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}'$.

Next we show that the set $\{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a commuting set. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have $T_j T_i^* T_i = T_j T_i T_i^* = T_i T_j T_i^* = T_i T_i^* T_j = T_i^* T_i T_j$. Hence $T_j(1 + T_i^* T_i) = (1 + T_i^* T_i)T_j$. Therefore $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_j (1 + T_i^* T_i) = (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} (1 + T_i^* T_i) T_j \subset T_j$; and so $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_j \subset T_j (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$. By Fuglede's theorem, $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_j^* \subset T_j^* (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$ also. After a little computation, we get $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} (1 + T_j^* T_j)^{-1} \subset (1 + T_j^* T_j)^{-1} (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$. Since $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$ and $(1 + T_j^* T_j)^{-1}$ are bounded, $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} (1 + T_j^* T_j)^{-1} = (1 + T_j^* T_j)^{-1} (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$. It follows that $E^i(w_k)E^j(w_l) = E^j(w_l)E^i(w_k)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $k, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ $(1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_j \subset T_j (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1}$, we have for $x \in H$, and $k, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_{jl} x &= (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} T_j E^j(w_l) x \\ &= T_j (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} E^j(w_l) x \\ &= T_j E^j(w_l) (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} x \\ &= T_{jl} (1 + T_i^* T_i)^{-1} x \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $E^i(w_k)$ commutes with T_{jl} . Hence for $x \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ik} T_{jl} x &= T_i E^i(w_k) T_{jl} x = T_i T_{jl} E^k(w_k) x \\ &= T_i T_j E^j(w_l) E^i(w_k) x \\ &= T_j T_i E^i(w_k) E^j(w_l) x \\ &= T_j T_{ik} E^j(w_l) x \\ &= T_j E^j(w_l) T_{ik} x = T_{jl} T_{ik} x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T_{ik}T_{jl} = T_{jl}T_{ik}$ and the set $\{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a commuting set of bounded normal operators. Hence

$$A = \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}'' = \{T_{ik}: i = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}''$$

is a commutative von Neumann algebra. Now let $Q_{ik} = \sum_{j=0}^k E^i(w_j)$. Then Q_{ik} is a projection in A and $A_{ik} \nearrow I$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Also, $T_i Q_{ik} = T_i \sum_{j=0}^k E^i(w_j) = \sum_{j=0}^k T_{ij}$. Hence $T_i Q_{ik} \in A$ and so $T_i Q_{ik}$ is measurable for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N; k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore by [13, Theorem 2.1], $T_1, \dots, T_n \in m_l(A)$.

REMARK. If A is as above and B is a von Neumann algebra containing A , then it is clear that each $T_i \in m_l(B)$.

2.2. THEOREM (Spectral Theorem). *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of doubly commuting normal operators with the same domain \mathfrak{D} . Then there is a resolution of identity on Borel subsets of joint spectrum $\text{Sp}(T)$ of T such that for each Borel function f on $\text{Sp}(T)$, there is an operator $f(T)$ with*

$$f(T) = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda).$$

PROOF. Let A be the maximal abelian self-adjoint algebra containing the bounded bicommutant of $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. Then by the remark following Theorem 2.1, A is a von Neumann algebra such that $m_l(A)$ contains T_1, \dots, T_n . Since A is maximal abelian, there exists a measure space (X, Σ, μ) such that H can be identified with $L^2(X)$ and $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is W^* -isomorphic to A .

Let Φ be the W^* -isomorphism of $L^\infty(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ onto A . We show that Φ extends uniquely to a topological $*$ -isomorphism of $m(X)$ onto $m_l(A)$. For this, since $L^\infty(X)$ and A are sequentially dense in $m(X)$ and $m_l(A)$ respectively, it is sufficient to prove that the induced topologies on $L^\infty(X)$ from $m(X)$ and on A from $m_l(A)$ are identical via Φ . We prove this below.

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence in $L^\infty(X)$ converging to zero in the induced topology. Let $F \in \Sigma$, $\mu(F) < \infty$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take ε' such that $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Since $f_k \rightarrow 0$ there exists an integer k_0 such that $f_k \in V(F, \varepsilon')$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Hence if $R_k = \{x \in F: |f_k(x)| > \varepsilon'\}$, then $\mu(R_k) < \varepsilon'$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Let $E_k = \Phi(\chi_{R_k^c})$ be a projection in A . Then $\|\Phi(f_k)E_k\| = \|\Phi(f_k \chi_{R_k^c})\| = \|f_k \chi_{R_k^c}\| \leq \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Let $d = \Phi^{-1}$ restricted to projections in A . Then d satisfies all the properties of Segal's dimension function and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in F: d(1 - E_k)(x) > \varepsilon'\}) &\leq \mu(\{x \in F: d(1 - E_k)(x) > \varepsilon'\}) \\ &= \mu(\{x \in F: \Phi^{-1}(1 - \Phi(\chi_{R_k^c}))(x) > \varepsilon'\}) \\ &= \mu(\{x \in F: \chi_{R_k}(x) > \varepsilon'\}) \\ &\leq \mu(R_k) < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Phi(f_k) \in U(F, \epsilon)$ for all $k \geq k_0$, and hence $\Phi(f_k) \rightarrow 0$ in the topology induced from $m_l(A)$. Thus $\Phi: L^\infty(X) \rightarrow A$ is continuous in the induced topologies of $m(X)$ and $m_l(A)$.

Conversely, let $\{S_k\}$ be a sequence in A such that $S_k \rightarrow 0$ in $m_l(A)$. Let $F \in \Sigma$, $\mu(F) < \infty$ and let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists k_0 such that $S_k \in U(F, \epsilon)$ for all $k \geq k_0$, that is, there exists a projection P in A such that for all $k \geq k_0$, $\|S_k P\| < \epsilon$ and $\mu(\{x \in F: d(1 - P)(x) > \epsilon\}) < \epsilon$ where d is the Segal's dimension function Φ^{-1} restricted to projections in A . Since Φ is onto, there exists $W \in \Sigma$ such that $\Phi(\chi_W) = P$. Also Φ being an isometry, $\|\Phi^{-1}(S_k)\chi_W\| = \|S_k P\| < \epsilon$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Hence $\{x \in X: |\Phi^{-1}(S_k)(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \subset W^c$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Therefore, for $k \geq k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in F: |\Phi^{-1}(S_k)(x)| > \epsilon\} &\subset W^c \cap F = \{x \in F: \Phi^{-1}(1 - P)(x) > \epsilon\} \\ &= \{x \in F: d(1 - P)(x) > \epsilon\}; \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x \in F: |\Phi^{-1}(S_k)(x)| > \epsilon\}) &\leq \mu(\{x \in F: d(1 - P)(x) > \epsilon\}) \\ &< \epsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi^{-1}(S_k) \in V(F, \epsilon)$ for all $k \geq k_0$ and so $\Phi^{-1}(S_k) \rightarrow 0$ in $m(X)$. Thus Φ^{-1} is continuous.

We denote the extension of Φ to $m(X)$ again by Φ . Since Φ is from $m(X)$ onto $m_l(A)$, there exist u_1, \dots, u_n in $m(X)$ such that $\Phi(u_i) = T_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). We shall show that $\text{Sp}(T) = \text{Sp}_A(T)$ where $\text{Sp}_A(T) = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n: \text{there are no } B_1, \dots, B_n \text{ in } A \text{ satisfying } \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - \lambda_i)B_i = I\}$. By Corollary 1.4, $\text{Sp}(T) = \text{Sp}_l(T)$ and as is easily seen $\text{Sp}_l(T) \subset \text{Sp}_A(T)$. Also, since Φ is an isomorphism which maps $L^\infty(X)$ onto A , we have $\text{Sp}_A(T) = \text{Sp}_{L^\infty(X)}(u) = \mathfrak{S}(u)$ where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Thus to show that $\text{Sp}(T) = \text{Sp}_A(T)$, it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{S}(u) \subset \text{Sp}_l(T)$. Let, therefore, $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathfrak{S}(u)$. Let $E_k = \{x \in X: \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x)| \leq 1/k\}$ where k is a positive integer. Then $\mu(E_k) > 0$. Let $\{f_k\}$ be the sequence of unit vectors in $L^2(X)$ defined by $f_k = \chi_{E_k} / \sqrt{\mu(E_k)}$. Since

$$\int_X |u_i f_k|^2 d\mu = \int_X |u_i|^2 |f_k|^2 d\mu < \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad f_k \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T_i f_k\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i f_k\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_X |u_i|^2 |f_k|^2 d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu(E_k)} \int_{E_k} |u_i|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(E_k)} \frac{n}{k^2} \mu(E_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

proving that $(0, \dots, 0) \in \text{Sp}_l(T)$. Thus $\Phi(u) \subset \text{Sp}_l(T)$, hence $\text{Sp}(T) = \text{Sp}_A(T)$.

If f is a Borel function on $\text{Sp}(T)$, then $f \circ u \in m(X)$. Now a resolution of identity E on $\text{Sp}(T)$ is defined as follows: For each Borel subset w of $\text{Sp}(T)$, define $E(w) = \Phi(\chi_w \circ u)$. It is easy to verify that

- (i) $E(\emptyset) = 0$, $E(\text{Sp}(T)) = I$.
- (ii) $E(w_1 \cap w_2) = E(w_1)E(w_2)$ for all Borel subsets w_1, w_2 of $\text{Sp}(T)$.
- (iii) $E(w_1 \cup w_2) = E(w_1) + E(w_2)$ whenever w_1, w_2 are disjoint Borel subsets of $\text{Sp}(T)$.

It only remains to show:

(iv) For each $\zeta, \eta \in H$, $w \rightarrow (E(w)\zeta, \eta)$ is a complex Borel measure on $\text{Sp}(T)$, or equivalently, for each $\zeta \in H$, $w \rightarrow (E(w)\zeta, \zeta)$ is a complex Borel measure.

Let $\zeta \in H$. Define ψ_ζ on $L^\infty(X)$ by $\psi_\zeta(f) = (\Phi(f)\zeta, \zeta)$. Then ψ_ζ is a positive linear functional and hence norm continuous on $L^\infty(X)$. Therefore there is a finitely additive measure $\mu_{\zeta, \zeta}$ on X such that

$$(1) \quad \psi_\zeta(f) = (\Phi(f)\zeta, \zeta) = \int_X f d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} \quad (f \in L^\infty(X)),$$

[9, page 357]. In fact, ψ_ζ is weak* continuous on $L^\infty(X)$. To see this, let $\{g_\alpha\}$ be a net in $L^\infty(X)$ converging to g in weak* topology. Then by continuity of Φ , $\Phi(g_\alpha)$ converges to $\Phi(g)$ in σ -weak topology and so $\Phi(f_\alpha)$ converges to $\Phi(g)$ weakly. In particular, $\psi_\zeta(g_\alpha) \rightarrow \psi_\zeta(g)$, which proves the continuity of ψ_ζ in weak* topology. It follows that $\mu_{\zeta, \zeta}$ is a countably additive measure on X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} w \rightarrow (E(w)\zeta, \zeta) &= (\Phi(\chi_w \circ u)\zeta, \zeta) \\ &= \int_X \chi_w \circ u d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} \chi_w d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta}^u, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_{\zeta, \zeta}^u(w) = \mu_{\zeta, \zeta}(u^{-1}(w))$, defines a Borel measure on $\text{Sp}(T)$. Hence E is a resolution of identity on Borel subsets of $\text{Sp}(T)$, and by (1)

$$(\Phi(f \circ u)\zeta, \zeta) = \int_X f \circ u d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta}^u = \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f dE_{\zeta, \zeta},$$

where $E_{\zeta, \zeta}(w) = \mu_{\zeta, \zeta}^u(w)$ for all $\zeta \in H$ and for all bounded Borel functions f on $\text{Sp}(T)$. Let f be a nonnegative Borel function on $\text{Sp}(T)$. Then, as is well known, for some sequence $\{f_k\}$ of nonnegative simple functions on $\text{Sp}(T)$, $f_k \nearrow f$. Then, since $\mathfrak{S}(u) = \text{Sp}(T)$, $f_k \circ u \nearrow f \circ u$ on X and hence $\sup_k f_k \circ u = f \circ u$.

Let $\zeta \in H$ for which $\int_{\text{Sp}(T)} |f|^2 dE_{\zeta, \zeta} < \infty$. With this ζ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f dE_{\zeta, \zeta} &= \int_X f \circ u d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} = \int_X \sup_k f_k \circ u d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} \\ &= \sup_k \int_X f_k \circ u d\mu_{\zeta, \zeta} = \sup_k (\Phi(f_k \circ u)\zeta, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

By [13, Theorem 3.5], $\sup_k \Phi(f_k \circ u)$ exists and it is in $m_l(A)^+$. Let $B = \sup_k \Phi(f_k \circ u)$. Then $B \leq \Phi(f \circ u)$. If $B \neq \Phi(f \circ u)$, there exists $g \in m(X)$ such that $B = \Phi(g)$; $g \leq f \circ u$ and $g \neq f \circ u$. Since $\Phi(g) \geq \Phi(f_k \circ u)$, $g \geq f_k \circ u$ which contradicts $f \circ u = \sup_k f_k \circ u$. Thus $B = \Phi(f \circ u)$. Therefore

$$\int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f dE_{\xi, \xi} = (\Phi(f \circ u)\xi, \xi).$$

Hence $\int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f dE \subset \Phi(f \circ u)$. Both being normal, their maximal normality gives

$$\int_{\text{Sp}(T)} f dE = \Phi(f \circ u).$$

3. Concluding remarks

(a) From [10, Theorem 13.24] it is easily seen that our joint spectral theorem holds for $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ if, and only if, all the operators in the n -tuple T are doubly commuting.

(b) Also our technique yields a new proof with much algebraic flavour of the classical spectral theorem for unbounded normal operator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks S. J. Bhatt, R. D. Mehta and M. H. Vasavada for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] G. R. Allan, 'On class of locally convex algebras', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **17** (1967), 91–114.
- [2] S. J. Bhatt, 'A note on generalized B^* -algebras', *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [3] J. Dieudonné, *Treatise on analysis* Vol. II (Academic Press, 1970).
- [4] P. G. Dixon, 'Generalized B^* -algebras', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **21** (1970), 693–715.
- [5] P. G. Dixon, 'Unbounded operator algebras', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **23** (1971), 53–69.
- [6] B. Fuglede, 'A commuting theorem for normal operators', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **36** (1950), 35–40.
- [7] R. Harte, 'The spectral mapping theorem in several variables', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (5) (1972), 871–875.
- [8] W. W. Hasting, 'Commuting subnormal operators simultaneously quasisimilar to unilateral shifts', *Illinois J. Math.* **22** (3) (1978), 505–519.
- [9] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and abstract analysis* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969).
- [10] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis* (McGraw-Hill, 1970).
- [11] I. E. Segal, 'A noncommutative extension of abstract integration', *Ann. Math.* **57** (1959), 401–457.

- [12] Z. Słodkowski and W. Zelazko, 'On joint spectra of commuting families of operators', *Studia Math.* **50** (1974), 127–148.
- [13] F. J. Yeadon, 'Convergence of measurable operators', *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **74** (1973), 257–268.

Department of Mathematics
Sardar Patel University
Vallabh Vidyanagar-388120
India