

## WHEN IS THE ALGEBRA OF REGULAR SETS FOR A FINITELY ADDITIVE BOREL MEASURE A $\sigma$ -ALGEBRA?

THOMAS E. ARMSTRONG

(Received 4 May 1981; revised 3 December 1981)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

### Abstract

It is shown that the algebra of regular sets for a finitely additive Borel measure  $\mu$  on a compact Hausdorff space is a  $\sigma$ -algebra only if it includes the Baire algebra and  $\mu$  is countably additive on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of regular sets. Any infinite compact Hausdorff space admits a finitely additive Borel measure whose algebra of regular sets is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Although a finitely additive measure with a  $\sigma$ -algebra of regular sets is countably additive on the Baire  $\sigma$ -algebra there are examples of finitely additive extensions of countably additive Baire measures whose regular algebra is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra. We examine the particular case of extensions of Dirac measures. In this context it is shown that all extensions of a  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued countably additive measure from a  $\sigma$ -algebra to a larger  $\sigma$ -algebra are countably additive if and only if the convex set of these extensions is a finite dimensional simplex.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): 28 C 15, 28 A 60, 54 G 10.

*Keywords*: Borel measure, regularity, extensions of measures, completion regular compact space, Borel regular compact space.

### Introduction and synopsis

In [16], Kupka noted that if a vector-valued Borel measure on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is countably additive then its algebra of regular sets is in fact a  $\sigma$ -algebra. In Question 3.3.1 of [16], he asked whether countable additivity is necessary for this result. We essentially answer this question in the negative but do show that a good deal of countable additivity is implicit in the assumption that the algebra of regular sets of a finitely additive Borel measure is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. More specifically we show that, on any  $\sigma$ -algebra contained in the algebra of regular

sets of a finitely additive Borel measure  $\mu$ ,  $\mu$  is countably additive (Lemma 1). If in fact the algebra of regular sets for  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra then it includes the  $\mu$ -completion of the Baire algebra and  $\mu$  agrees with the canonical regular extension of  $\mu$  to the Borel algebra from the Baire algebra at least on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of regular sets (Propositions 3 and 5). In fact, the latter statement holds even if  $\mu$  is only assumed to be countably additive on the Baire algebra but with the algebra of regular sets not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Corollary 3.1 answers Kupka's question affirmatively for completion regular compact Hausdorff spaces. Here a finitely additive Borel measure is countably additive if and only if its algebra of regular sets is  $\sigma$ -algebra. Corollary 3.2 shows that on any infinite compact Hausdorff space there is a finitely additive Borel measure which does not have a  $\sigma$ -algebra of regular sets. This follows from Proposition 4 which asserts that a Boolean algebra admits a non-countably additive measure if and only if it is not Cantor separable if and only if its Stone space is not an almost  $P$ -space, a result of independent interest.

The latter part of the paper examines the regular algebras of finitely additive Borel measures  $\mu$  whose restriction to the Baire algebra is countably additive when  $\mu$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued on the Baire algebra. Proposition 6 deals with the convex compact set of all extensions of a countably additive  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure  $\delta$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_1$  to a larger  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_2$ . It is shown that this convex compact set is finite dimensional if and only if all extensions of  $\delta$  are countably additive. Otherwise, there exist  $2^c$  mutually singular non-atomic purely finitely additive extensions or  $c$   $\{0, 1\}$ -valued extensions where  $c = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , (Corollary 6.1). This is applied to the case where  $\Sigma_1$  is the Baire algebra,  $\Sigma_2$  is the Borel algebra and  $\delta$  is  $\delta_x$  for some non- $G_\delta$ -point  $x \in X$ . If the extensions of  $\delta_x$  to the Borel algebra are all countably additive there is a countably additive extension  $\mu$  whose regular algebra is just the  $\delta_x$ -completion of the Baire algebra. However, for this to be true  $X$  must be topologically pathological near  $x$ .

We conclude with an example which yields finitely additive Borel measures whose regular algebras are not  $\sigma$ -algebras yet contain the Baire algebra. If real valued measurable cardinals exist an example is given of a countably additive Borel measure whose regular  $\sigma$ -algebra is properly contained in the Borel algebra and properly contains the completed Baire algebra.

# 1. When is the algebra of regular sets for a finitely additive Borel measure a $\sigma$ -algebra?

$\mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  denote, respectively, the Baire and Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$ .  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  denotes the real continuous functions on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  the dual of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is identified, as usual, with both  $CA(\mathcal{B}_0)$  the countable additive Baire measures

and with  $CA_r(\mathfrak{B})$  the regular countably additive Borel measures. For any Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $BA(\mathcal{Q})$  denotes the finitely additive real measures of bounded variation on  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $CA(\mathcal{Q})$  the band of countably additive elements of  $BA(\mathcal{Q})$ . If  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  we denote by  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  all  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  so that  $\inf\{\mu(\theta \setminus K) : K \text{ compact} \subset A \subset \theta \text{ open}\} = 0$ . Note that  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is an algebra which is  $\mu$ -complete in  $\mathfrak{B}$  in that whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is an increasing sequence and  $\{B_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $A_n \subset B_n$  for all  $n$  and with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n \setminus A_n) = 0$  then  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  provided  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $A_n \subset A \subset B_n$  for all  $n$ . For any algebra  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^\mu$  will denote its completion in  $\mathfrak{B}$  with respect to the finitely additive Borel measure  $\mu$ . Thus,  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = (\text{Reg}(\mu))^\mu$ . This lemma was pointed out by Douglas Dokken. It is a generalization of Problem 7 on page 11 of [6].

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra contained in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  then  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\Sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** It must be shown that if  $\{D_n\} \subset \Sigma$  is a disjoint sequence with union  $D$  then  $\mu(D) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(D_n)$ . That  $\mu(D) \geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(D_n)$  is immediate. If we show that  $\mu(D) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(D_n) + \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the assertion will be established. Pick  $K$  compact  $\subset D$  with  $\mu(D) \leq \mu(K) + \varepsilon/2$ . Pick  $\theta_n$  open with  $D_n \subset \theta_n$  and with  $\mu(\theta_n \setminus D_n) \leq \varepsilon 2^{-n-1}$ . Since  $K \subset D \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \theta_n$  there is an integer  $m$  so that  $K \subset \theta_1 \cup \dots \cup \theta_m$ . For this  $m$  it is true that  $\mu(K) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(\theta_m) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(\theta_n) < \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(D_n) + \varepsilon/2$ . Thus,  $\mu(D) < \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu(D_n) + \varepsilon$ .

**REMARK.** Lemma 1 is a consequence of Proposition 1.6 in Chapter V of [4] and of Lemma 1 of [25].

**COROLLARY 1.1.** a) *If  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  and  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra then  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ .*

b)  *$\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if and only if  $\mu$  is countably additive on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ .*

**PROOF.** Only b) needs to be established. This is done in the standard fashion. Let  $\{D_n\}$  be a disjoint sequence in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  with union  $D$ . Let  $\theta_n$  be open with  $D_n \subset \theta_n$  and  $\mu(\theta_n \setminus D_n) \leq 2^{-n-1} \cdot \varepsilon$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $m$  be such that  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=m+1}^\infty D_n) \leq \varepsilon/4$ . Let  $K_n \subset D_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, m$  be compacts with  $\mu(D_n \setminus K_n) < \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon m^{-1}$ . We have  $\mu[(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \theta_n) \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^m K_n)] \leq \varepsilon$  with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^m K_n \subset D \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \theta_n$ . Thus,  $D \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . Thus,  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if  $\mu$  is countably additive on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ . The converse follows from a).

LEMMA 2. Let  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$ .

- i) There exists a  $G_\delta$   $A_\delta \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  and an  $F_\sigma$   $A_\sigma \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $A_\sigma \subset A \subset A_\delta$  and  $\mu(A_\delta \setminus A_\sigma) = 0$ .
- ii) There exists a  $G_\delta$   $A^\delta \in \mathfrak{B}_0 \cap \text{Reg}(\mu)$  and an  $F_\sigma$   $A^\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_0 \cap \text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $A_\sigma \subset A^\sigma \subset A^\delta \subset A_\delta$ .
- iii)  $\mu(A) = \mu(A_\sigma) = \mu(A_\delta) = \mu(A^\sigma) = \mu(A^\delta) = \sup\{\mu(K): K \text{ compact Baire } \subset A^\sigma\} = \inf\{\mu(G): G \text{ open Baire } \supset A^\delta\}$ .
- iv) There is an  $A_0 \in \mathfrak{B}_0 \cap \text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $\mu(A \Delta A_0) = 0$ .

PROOF.

- i) Immediate from the definition of regularity.
- ii) Let  $A_\sigma = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$  and  $A_\delta = \bigcap G_n$  where  $K_n$  is compact and  $G_n$  is open for all  $n$ . By Urysohn's Theorem there is a compact  $G_\delta$ ,  $K'_{n,m}$  satisfying  $K_n \subset K'_{n,m} \subset G_m$  for all  $n, m$ . Set  $K'_n = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty K'_{n,m}$ .  $K'_n$  is a compact  $G_\delta$  and  $K_n \subset K'_n \subset A_\delta$  for all  $n$ . Set  $A^\sigma$  equal to the  $F_\sigma$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K'_n$ .  $A^\delta$  is obtained analogously as a countable intersection of open  $F_\sigma$  sets.
- iii) From the definition of regularity the  $K_n$  in ii) may be chosen with  $\mu(A) = \sup \mu(K_n) \leq \sup \mu(K'_n) \leq \sup\{\mu(K): K \text{ compact Baire } \subset A^\sigma\} \leq \mu(A^\sigma) = \mu(A)$ . Thus,  $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K): K \text{ compact Baire } \subset A^\sigma\}$ . Similarly,  $\mu(A) = \inf\{\mu(G): G \text{ open Baire } \supset A^\sigma\}$ .
- iv) Set  $A_0 = A^\delta$  or  $A^\sigma$ .

Plachky, [20], shows that if  $\nu$  is a finitely additive probability on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $BA_1^+(\mathcal{Q}_1, \nu, \mathcal{Q}_2)$  denotes the convex compact set of extensions of  $\nu$  to a probability measure on a larger algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  then  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathcal{Q}_1, \nu, \mathcal{Q}_2)$  is extreme if and only if for all  $A_2 \in \mathcal{Q}_2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $A_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_1$  with  $\mu(A_1 \Delta A_2) < \varepsilon$ . Thus, in Lemma 2,  $\mu$ , on  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ , is an extreme extension of its restriction to  $\mathfrak{B}_0 \cap \text{Reg}(\mu)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. If  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  is such that  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra then  $\mathfrak{B}_0 \subset \text{Reg}(\mu)$ .

To establish this we first consider the case  $X = [0, 1]$ . Let  $Y$  denote those  $x \in (0, 1)$  so that  $\inf\{\mu(\theta): x \in \theta \text{ open}\} = 0$ . The complement of  $Y$  is at most countably hence  $Y$  is dense. Each  $\{x\}$  with  $x \in Y$  is in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\theta$  be an open set containing  $x \in Y$  with  $\mu(\theta_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ ,  $K_\varepsilon^- = [0, x) \setminus \theta_\varepsilon$  and  $K_\varepsilon^+ = (x, 1] \setminus \theta_\varepsilon$ . Both  $K_\varepsilon^-$  and  $K_\varepsilon^+$  are compact. It is easily verified that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu(K_\varepsilon^-) = \mu([0, x))$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu(K_\varepsilon^+) = \mu((x, 1])$ . Thus,  $\{[0, x), (x, 1]\} \subset \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . It follows that all intervals, open, closed, or half open, whose endpoints

are chosen from  $Y$  belong to  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by these intervals is  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \mathfrak{B}$ . Since  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . This establishes this case.

Let  $X$  be arbitrary and let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be continuous. Let  $\nu$  be the finitely additive Borel measure on  $[0, 1]$  which is the image of  $\mu$  under  $f$ . Thus, for Borel  $A \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $\nu(A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A))$ . Just as in the countably additive case  $A \in \text{Reg}(\nu)$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(A) \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . Consequently,  $\text{Reg}(\nu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra hence is equal to the Borel algebra of  $[0, 1]$  by the special case just established. Thus,  $f$  is measurable for the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ . Since  $f$  is arbitrary it follows that all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  are  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ -measurable. Thus, since  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra so that all  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  are  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ -measurable,  $\mathfrak{B}_0 \subset \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . This establishes the proposition.

In [4], Babiker and Knowles define a space  $X$  to be *completion regular* if and only if every  $\mu \in CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  is completion regular in the sense of Berberian [5]. That is, each  $\mu \in CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  has a unique extension in  $BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$ . Alternatively  $X$  is completion regular if and only if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the  $\mu$ -completion of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  for all  $\mu \in CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$ . Examples of completion regular spaces include all perfectly normal compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$ . In [5] Berberian notes that if  $X$  is completion regular all points must be  $G_\delta$ 's. Under the assumption that the continuum is real valued measurable an example may be constructed of a non-completion regular  $X$  each of whose points is a  $G_\delta$ . In order that  $X$  be completion regular it is necessary and sufficient that every Borel set be regular with respect to the paving of compact  $G_\delta$ 's for all countably additive Borel measures. This corollary is easily deduced from the definition of completion regularity.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be completion regular. The following are equivalent for  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$*

- a)  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra
- b)  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \mathfrak{B}$
- c)  $\mu \in CA^+(\mathfrak{B}) = CA^+_t(\mathfrak{B})$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *If  $X$  is an infinite compact Hausdorff space there is a  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  so that  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.*

**PROOF.** Any extension  $\mu$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  of a member of  $BA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0) \setminus CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  will do. The non-emptiness of  $BA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0) \setminus CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  is a special case of Proposition 4.

We are interested in determining for which infinite Boolean algebras  $\mathcal{Q}$  every element of  $BA^+(\mathcal{Q})$  is countably additive. If no infinite strictly decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a lower bound then, automatically,  $BA^+(\mathcal{Q}) = CA^+(\mathcal{Q})$ . Such Boolean algebras are termed *Cantor separable* in [28]. Cantor separable Boolean algebras  $\mathcal{Q}$  are characterized in terms of their Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  by the fact that each

non-empty zero set has a non-empty interior. Completely regular spaces  $X$  with the aforementioned property are called *almost  $P$ -spaces* in [17] and have been studied in [7], [10] and [27]. Thus,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is Cantor separable if  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is an almost  $P$ -space. Notice that if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is  $\sigma$ -complete it is not Cantor separable if it is infinite.  $\beta N \setminus N$  is the most familiar example of an almost  $P$ -space [9, 65.8]. Graves and Wheeler in [10] give a method for producing a large class of almost  $P$ -spaces. The following proposition was pointed out by R. F. Wheeler.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *The following are equivalent for an infinite Boolean Algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$*

- a)  $\mathcal{Q}$  is Cantor separable
- b)  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is an almost  $P$ -space
- c)  $BA^+(\mathcal{Q}) = CA^+(\mathcal{Q})$ .

**PROOF.** We already have  $a) \Leftrightarrow b) \Rightarrow c)$ . Let us assume c) and see that this implies b). Notice that all  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued elements of  $BA^+(\mathcal{Q})$  are countably additive. Phrased in terms of the corresponding ultrafilters on  $\mathcal{Q}$  this says that if  $\{A_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a decreasing sequence in an ultrafilter then  $\emptyset \neq \inf_n A_n$ . That is, there is an  $A_\infty \in \mathcal{Q}$  with  $\emptyset \neq A_\infty \subset A_n$  for all  $n$ . Since every decreasing sequence of non-empty elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  lies in an ultrafilter this says that no decreasing sequence of non-empty elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  has  $\emptyset$  as infimum. In particular, regarding  $\mathcal{Q}$  as the clopen algebra of  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , the intersection of a decreasing sequence of non-empty clopen sets (that is, a zero set) has non-empty interior. Thus, c) implies both a) and b).

**REMARK.** We use the term  $\delta$ -ultrafilter for an arbitrary Boolean algebra to denote any ultrafilter whose corresponding  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure is countably additive.

A compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is called *Borel regular* [19], or *Radon*, [21], if and only if  $CA^+(\mathfrak{B}) = CA^+_t(\mathfrak{B})$  if and only if every  $\mu \in CA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0)$  has a unique extension, the regular extension, to  $\mathfrak{B}$  belonging to  $CA^+(\mathfrak{B})$ . If  $\mu \in CA^+_t(\mathfrak{B}) \setminus CA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  then  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is a super- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  properly contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The canonical example of a non-Borel regular space is the compact ordinal space  $[0, \omega_1]$  where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal. There are countably additive  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued extensions of the Dirac measure  $\delta_{\omega_1}$  from  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  other than the regular extension [9, ex. 53.10a]. An example of a Borel regular space  $X$  which is not completion regular is the one point compactification  $D \cup \{\infty\}$  of a discrete space  $D$  with uncountable non-real-valued measurable cardinal, [8, ex. 6.2]. The Dirac measure  $\delta_\infty$  has extensions from  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  other than the regular one but all must be purely finitely additive [2], [13], since they induce on  $D$  finitely additive,

diffuse [2], probability measures. We shall be primarily concerned with  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  for  $\mu$  non-countably additive yet with  $\mu$  countably additive on  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  but occasionally with  $\mu$  countably additive and non-regular on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . In any case,  $\mu_{\text{reg}}$  will denote the unique element of  $CA_1^+(\mathfrak{B})$  agreeing with  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B})$  be countably additive on  $\mathfrak{B}_0$ . On  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\mu_{\text{reg}}$  coincide.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . One can, in the proof of Lemma 2, find  $A_\sigma$  an  $F_\sigma$  in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  and  $A_\delta$  a  $G_\delta$  in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ , so that  $A_\sigma \subset A \subset A_\delta$  and so that  $\mu(A_\delta \setminus A_\sigma) = \mu_{\text{reg}}(A_\delta \setminus A_\sigma) = 0$ . Let  $\{A^\sigma, A^\delta\} \subset \mathfrak{B}_0 \cap \text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $A_\sigma \subset A^\sigma \subset A^\delta \subset A_\delta$ . Then,  $\mu(A) = \mu(A^\sigma) = \mu_{\text{reg}}(A^\sigma) = \mu_{\text{reg}}(A)$ .

In the remainder of the paper we will be dealing fairly exclusively with extensions  $\mu$  of Dirac measures  $\delta_x$  for  $x \in X$  from  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$ . All such extensions must be  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued on  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ . If  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  then  $\mu(A) = 0$  if and only if  $x \notin A$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_2$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of a set  $\Omega$ . Let  $\delta \in CA_1^+(\Sigma_1)$  be  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued. Let  $\eta$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal in  $\Sigma_2$  of sets of outer measure 0 under  $\delta$ .*

i) *If the quotient algebra  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  is finite then  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  is a finite dimensional subset of  $CA_1^+(\Sigma_2)$ .*

ii) *If  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  is infinite there is a family  $\{\mu_t\} \subset BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  of mutually singular, non-atomic, purely finitely additive measures whose cardinality is  $2^c$  where  $c$  is the continuum.*

**PROOF.** There is an affine bijection from  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  to  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_2/\eta)$ . If  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  then  $\mu(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in \eta$  hence  $\mu$  induces on  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  an element, also denoted by  $\mu$ , in the usual fashion. This gives the affine bijection.

ii) If  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  is infinite it is an infinite  $F$ -algebra as in [3]. By Corollary 3.2.3 of [3] there is a family  $\{\mu_t\}$ , of cardinality  $2^c$ , of mutually singular non-atomic probability measures on  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  all with the same negligible sets. Pulling back under the affine bijection from  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  to  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_2/\eta)$  one obtains the same sort of family in  $BA^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$ . If  $\mu_s \in \{\mu_t\}$  is countably additive there can be no other countably additive  $\mu_r \in \{\mu_t\}$  for  $\mu_r \perp \mu_s$  and both have the same nullsets. Delete  $\mu_s$  if necessary so that no element of  $\{\mu_t\}$  is countably additive. Each  $\mu_t$  has a non-trivial purely finitely additive part which is a multiple of a purely finitely additive  $\mu'_t$  which is easily verified to belong to  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$ . Furthermore,  $\mu'_t$  must be non-atomic for each  $t$ . This establishes ii).



i) Suppose that  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  is finite and has  $n$  atoms  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . Corresponding to each  $a_i$  is an  $A_i \in \Sigma_2$  which is such that if  $A \in \Sigma_2$  then  $A_i \setminus A \in \eta$  or  $A \cap A_i \in \eta$ . The  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure  $\delta_i$  on  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  or in  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  corresponding to  $a_i$  is an extreme point of  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_2/\eta)$  and  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_2/\eta) = \text{conv}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ . To show that  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2) \subset CA^+(\Sigma_2)$  it suffices to show that each  $\delta_i$ , considered as an element of  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$ , is in  $CA^+(\Sigma_2)$ . To this end let  $\{E_n\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\Sigma_2$  with  $\delta_i(E_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . We have  $E_n \cap A_i \in \eta$  for all  $n$  hence, by the  $\sigma$ -completeness of  $\eta$ , we have  $(\bigcup_n E_n) \cap A_i \in \eta$ . Thus,  $\delta_i(\bigcup_n E_n) = 0$ . This establishes countable additivity of  $\delta_i$  hence establishes i).

REMARKS. Recall from [2] that a measure  $\mu$  is *strongly finitely additive* if and only if there is a partition  $\{A_n: n \in N\}$  with  $\mu(A_n) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Any purely finitely additive probability measure is the sum of countably many strongly finitely additive measures, [2]. In ii) purely finitely additive measures may be replaced by strongly finitely additive measures.

Actually ii) asserts only that such a family of probabilities exists in  $BA(\Sigma_2/\eta)$ . This is true if  $\eta$  is replaced by the ideal generated by the null sets of a non  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure or  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  by an arbitrary  $F$ -algebra.

COROLLARY 6.1. *If  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  is infinite there exist  $c$  purely finitely additive  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued elements of  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$ .*

PROOF. There is a strongly finitely additive non-atomic  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$ . Let  $\{A_n\} \subset \Sigma_2$  be an increasing sequence with  $\mu(A_n) = 0$  for all  $n$  and with  $\bigcup_n A_n = \Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the algebra  $\Sigma_2/\eta$  and let  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  be its Stone space.  $BA_1^+(\Sigma_1, \delta, \Sigma_2)$  is affinely homeomorphic to the Bauer simplex of Radon probability measures on  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the Radon measure on  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  corresponding to  $\mu$  so that if  $A \in \Sigma_2/\eta$  or if  $A \in \Sigma_2$  then  $\mu(A) = \tilde{\mu}([A])$  where  $[A]$  is the clopen set in  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  corresponding to  $A$ . We have  $\mu(A) = \int \chi_{[A]}(x) \tilde{\mu}(dx) = \int \chi_x(A) \tilde{\mu}(dx)$  (where  $x \in X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are considered as ultrafilters on  $\mathcal{Q}$ ). If there were a set  $Z$  with outer measure  $\tilde{\mu}^*(Z) > 0$  of  $\delta$ -ultrafilters  $x \in X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  (so that each  $\chi_x$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{Q}$ ), it would follow that  $0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \chi_{[A_n]}(x) \tilde{\mu}(dx) \geq \tilde{\mu}^*(Z) > 0$ . Since this is impossible  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost all  $x \in X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  have  $\chi_x$  purely finitely additive. Since  $\tilde{\mu}$  is non-atomic there is a compact perfect set  $Y \subset \text{supp}(\tilde{\mu}) \subset X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  so that if  $x \in Y$  then  $\chi_x$  is purely finitely additive.  $Y$  contains at least  $c$  elements.

COROLLARY 6.2. *If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a Boolean algebra then  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathcal{Q})$  is purely finitely additive with corresponding measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  only if  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in X_{\mathcal{Q}}$  are not  $\delta$ -ultrafilters.*



We may apply the preceding results to the case where  $\mathfrak{B}_0 = \Sigma_1$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \Sigma_2$ . A  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure  $\delta$  on  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  is a Dirac measure  $\delta_x$ .  $\eta$  will be denoted by  $\eta_x$ .  $\eta_x$  consists of those Borel sets in  $X$  contained in a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $X' = X \setminus \{x\}$ . We are only interested in the case where  $\mathfrak{B}/\eta_x = \mathfrak{B}_x$  has cardinality larger than 2 so that  $\{x\}$  is not a  $G_\delta$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $x$  be a non- $G_\delta$ -point in  $X$ .*

i) *If  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  is finite the elements of  $BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  form a finite dimensional simplex in  $CA_1^+(\mathfrak{B})$ . In this case there is a  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^{\delta_x} = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ .*

ii) *If  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  is infinite there is a family of cardinality  $2^c$  of singular non-atomic purely finitely additive elements of  $BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  and a family of cardinality  $c$  of  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued purely finitely additive elements.*

**PROOF.** We need only find in case i) a  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ . Let  $\{\delta_x, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$  denote the extreme points of  $BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  where  $\delta_x$  is the usual Dirac measure on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . We assert that  $\mu = \frac{1}{n}(\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_n)$  has  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ . Suppose not. Note that  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^{\delta_x}$  is the largest subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{B}$  to which  $\delta_x$  has a unique extension. Note also that  $\delta_x$  agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  by Proposition 5. There is an extreme extension  $\delta$  of  $\delta_x$  from  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$  to  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  other than  $\delta_x$  hence other than  $\mu$ . This extreme extension  $\delta$  is the restriction of one of  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$  to  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ , say  $\delta_1$ . Since all extreme extensions of  $\delta_x$  to  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  are  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued there is an  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  with  $0 = \delta_x(A) = \mu(A)$  and  $\delta_1(A) = 1$ . But  $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{n}(\delta_1(A) + \dots + \delta_n(A)) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  which is impossible. Thus,  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ .

**COROLLARY 7.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  is infinite and  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  has  $\text{Reg}(\mu) \neq \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$  there is a  $\nu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\text{Reg}(\nu)$  a proper subset of  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ .*

**REMARK.** We know of no case in which  $x$  is a non- $G_\delta$ -point for which i) holds in Proposition 7. For the case  $X = [0, \omega_1]$  and  $x = \omega_1$  one may set  $A_0$  equal to the relatively closed set in  $[0, \omega_1]$  consisting of limit ordinals, and set  $A_n = \{\alpha + 1: \alpha \in A_{n-1}\}$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $[0, \omega_1] = \bigcup_n A_n$ . Each  $A_n$  is in  $\mathfrak{B} \setminus \eta_x$  hence  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  is infinite. A similar argument shows that if  $D$  is an infinite discrete set with uncountable cardinality then  $X = D \cup \{\infty\}$  has  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  infinite then  $x = \infty$ .

**COROLLARY 7.2.** *If  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  is finite there is a closed set  $E \subset X'$  whose complement is  $\sigma$ -compact and is such that  $E$  has a partition  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  with each  $E_i$  closed. Within each  $E_i$  the set  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  of non- $\sigma$ -compact closed sets forms a  $\delta$ -ultrafilter of closed sets. If  $E_i \cup \{x\} = X_i$  is considered as the one point compactification of  $E_i$  then  $\delta_x$*

has a one dimensional simplex of extensions to the Borel sets of  $X_i$ . The extreme extension  $\delta_i$  is defined by  $\delta_i(A) = 1$  if and only if  $A$  contains an element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$  be the extreme elements of  $BA_1^+(\mathcal{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathcal{B})$  with  $\delta_0$  the regular extension. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there is a  $\delta$ -ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}_i$  of closed subsets of  $X'$  so that  $\delta_i(A) = 1$  if and only if  $A$  meets each element of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . One may find  $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$  so that  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and so that  $F_i \cap F_j \in \eta_x$  for all  $i \neq j$ . One may find an open  $\sigma$ -compact  $\theta \subset X'$  with  $F_i \cap F_j \subset \theta$  for all  $i, j$ . Let  $E_i = F_i \setminus \theta$  for all  $i$  and let  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i = X' \setminus \theta$ . Any extension  $\delta$  of  $\delta_x$  to the Borel sets of  $X_i$  with  $\delta(x) = 0$  may be extended to an element of  $BA_1^+(\mathcal{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathcal{B})$  with  $\delta(E_i) = 1$ . We must have  $\delta = \delta_i$  which establishes the corollary.

COROLLARY 7.3. If  $\mathcal{B}_x$  is finite every closed set in  $X'$  contains a dense  $\sigma$ -compact subset.

PROOF. We may, by Corollary 7.2, assume that  $BA_1^+(\mathcal{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathcal{B}) = \{\delta_x, \delta\}$  so that  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \text{ closed in } X': \delta(F) = 1\}$  is the set of non- $\sigma$ -compact closed sets in  $X'$ .

Assume that  $X' \neq \bar{E}$  for any  $E \in \eta_x$ . If this is the case then  $E \in \eta_x$  implies that  $\bar{E} \in \eta_x$ . To see this note that if  $\bar{E} \notin \eta_x$  then  $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\bar{E}^c \in \eta_x$ . Since  $X$  is the closure of  $E \cup \bar{E}^c \in \eta_x$  one has a contradiction.

Let  $\{\theta_\alpha\} \subset \eta_x$  be a sequence indexed by ordinals  $\alpha$  defined by transfinite induction so that  $\bar{\theta}_\alpha$  is a proper subset of  $\theta_{\alpha+1}$  and so that  $\theta_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \theta_\beta$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. The last element  $\theta_\lambda$  of this sequence occurs for a limit ordinal  $\lambda$  so that  $\bar{\theta}_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  hence so that  $\theta_\lambda \notin \eta_x$ . Since  $\eta_x$  is  $\sigma$ -complete  $\lambda$  is of uncountable cofinality. Let  $\psi_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha+1} \setminus \bar{\theta}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \lambda$  and let  $\psi_\lambda = X' \setminus \bar{\theta}_\lambda$ . We have  $X' = [\bigcup \{\psi_\alpha: \alpha \leq \lambda\}] \cup [\bigcup \{\partial\theta_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}]$ . The open set  $\bigcup \{\psi_\alpha: \alpha \leq \lambda\}$  is dense in  $X'$  hence is not in  $\eta_x$ . The closed set  $\bigcup \{\partial\theta_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$  is  $\sigma$ -compact hence is in an open  $\theta_\infty \in \eta_x$ . Let  $D = \{\alpha \leq \lambda: \psi_\alpha \setminus \theta_\infty \neq \emptyset\}$ . The open sets  $\{\psi_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$  together with  $\theta_\infty$  cover  $X'$ . Thus,  $\text{card}(D) \geq \aleph_1$ . If  $K$  is a compact set in  $X'$  it is covered by  $\theta_\infty$  together with finitely many  $\psi_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in D$  hence a  $\sigma$ -compact set is covered by  $\theta_\infty$  together with countably many  $\psi_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in D$ . Let  $\{D_n: n \in N\}$  be a countable partition of  $D$  into uncountable sets. For each  $n$  let  $U_n = \bigcup \{\psi_\alpha: \alpha \in D_n\}$ . The family  $\{U_n: n \in N\}$  is a disjoint family of open sets with  $\bigcup \{U_n: n \in N\} = \bigcup \{\psi_\alpha: \alpha \in D\}$ . Since a  $\sigma$ -compact  $F$  meets only countably many  $\psi_\alpha$ , no  $U_n$  is in  $\eta_x$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_x$  is infinite which is impossible. Thus,  $X' = \bar{E}$  for some  $E \in \eta_x$ . This demonstration also establishes, if  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  replaces  $X'$ , that  $F = \bar{E}$  for some  $E \in \eta_x$ , which establishes the corollary.

In the unlikely event that  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  be finite for some non- $G_\delta$ -point  $x$ , Proposition 7 gives a countably additive  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\text{Reg}(\mu) = \hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ . We conclude by giving an example where  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is always larger than  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu$ .

**EXAMPLE 8.** Let  $X$  be the one point compactification  $D \cup \{x\}$  of an uncountable discrete space.  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  consists of countable sets in  $D$  and their complements in  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = 2^X$  and  $\eta_x$  consists of countable sets in  $D$  hence is a maximal ideal in  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  is  $\mu$ -complete for any  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$ . The  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  are identified with elements of  $BA_1^+(2^D/\eta_x)$  or with elements of  $BA_1^+(2^D)$  which annihilate  $\eta_x$  hence are those  $\mu \in BA_1^+(2^X)$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$  if  $A$  is countable in  $X$ . If  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  then  $\mu$  agrees with  $\delta_x$  on  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$ . If  $A \subset D$  has  $\mu(A) = 0$  then  $A \in \text{Reg}(\mu)$  since  $A$  is open whereas  $A \cup \{x\} \notin \text{Reg}(\mu)$ . Thus,  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  consists of  $A \subset D$  with  $\mu(A) = 0$  and the complements in  $X$  of these  $A$ . Let  $\eta_\mu$  denote the ideal in  $2^D$  of  $\mu$ -negligible sets.  $\eta_\mu$  is a maximal ideal in  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  and  $2^D/\eta_\mu$  satisfies the countable chain condition. On the other hand  $2^D/\eta_x$  does not satisfy the countable chain condition since  $D$  has an uncountable partition into uncountable sets. Thus,  $\eta_x \neq \eta_\mu$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{B}}_0^\mu \neq \text{Reg}(\mu)$ .

Note that if the cardinality of  $D$  is not real-valued measurable, [1], [2], then all elements  $\mu$  of  $BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  with  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$  must be purely finitely additive. If the cardinality of  $D$  is real-valued measurable any countably additive diffuse measure  $m$  on  $2^D$  gives an element of  $CA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  singular to  $\delta_x$  and  $\text{Reg}(\mu)$  is guaranteed to be strictly between  $\mathfrak{B}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$  is purely finitely additive it is a countable convex combination  $\sum\{\lambda_n \mu_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of strongly finitely additive  $\{\mu_n\} \subset BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B})$ . Each  $\mu_n$  must be in  $BA_1^+(\mathfrak{B}_0, \delta_x, \mathfrak{B})$ . From the definition of strong finite additivity there exist  $\{A_m^n: m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \eta_{\mu_n}$  which partition  $D$ . We have  $\{A_m^n: m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \text{Reg}(\mu_n)$ . Since  $D \notin \text{Reg}(\mu_n)$  it is impossible for  $\text{Reg}(\mu_n)$  to be  $\sigma$ -algebra even though  $\mathfrak{B}_0 \subset \text{Reg}(\mu_n)$ .

**REMARK.** Karel Prikry and Richard Gardner pointed out Example 8.

## References

- [1] T. E. Armstrong and K. Prikry, 'Residual measures', *Illinois J. Math.* **22** (1978), 64–78.
- [2] T. E. Armstrong and K. Prikry, ' $\kappa$ -finiteness and  $\kappa$ -additivity of measures on sets and left-invariant measures on discrete groups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1980), 105–112.
- [3] T. E. Armstrong and K. Prikry, 'Liapounoff's theorem for non-atomic bounded, finitely additive, finite dimensional, vector valued measures', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **266** (1981), 499–514.
- [4] A. G. A. G. Babiker and J. D. Knowles, 'An example concerning completion regular measures, images of measurable sets and measurable selections', *Mathematika* **25** (1978), 120–124.
- [5] S. K. Berberian, *Measure and integration*, (Chelsea, New York, 1970).

- [6] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, (Wiley, New York, 1968).
- [7] F. Dashiell, A. Hager and M. Henriksen, 'Order-Cauchy completions of rings and vector lattices of continuous functions', *Canad. J. Math.* **32** (1980), 657–685.
- [8] R. J. Gardner, 'The regularity of Borel measures and Borel measure compactness', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1975), 95–113.
- [9] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960).
- [10] W. Graves and R. F. Wheeler, 'On the Grothendieck and Nikodym properties for algebras of Baire, Borel and universally measurable sets', preprint.
- [11] P. Halmos, *Measure theory*, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1950).
- [12] P. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1963).
- [13] E. Hewitt and K. Yosida, 'Finitely additive measures', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1952), 46–66.
- [14] K. Jacobs, *Measure and integral*, (Academic Press, New York, 1978).
- [15] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955).
- [16] J. Kupka, 'Uniform boundedness principles for regular Borel vector measures', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **29** (1980), 206–218.
- [17] R. Levy, 'Almost  $P$ -spaces', *Canad. J. Math.* **29** (1977), 284–288.
- [18] A. F. Martin, 'A note on monogenic Baire measures', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **84** (1977), 554–555.
- [19] S. Okada and Y. Okasaki, 'On measure-compactness and Borel measure-compactness', *Osaka J. Math.* **15** (1978), 183–191.
- [20] D. Plachky, 'Extremal and monogenic additive set functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **54** (1976), 193–196.
- [21] W. Schachermayer, 'Eberlein-compact et espaces de Radon', *Bol. Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont* **61** (1976), 129–145.
- [22] W. Schachermayer, 'On compact spaces which are not  $c$ -spaces', *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* **22** (1977), 60–63.
- [23] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions*, (Polish Scientific Press, Warsaw, 1971).
- [24] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, (Springer, New York, 1969).
- [25] F. Topsoe, 'Approximating pavings and construction of measures', *Colloq. Math.* **42** 1979, 377–385.
- [26] T. Traynor, 'The Lebesgue decomposition for group valued set functions', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **220** (1976), 307–319.
- [27] A. E. Veksler, 'Functional characteristics of  $P'$ -spaces', *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **18** (1977), 363–366.
- [28] R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, (Springer, New York, 1974).

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 Northern Illinois University  
 De Kalb, Illinois 60115  
 U.S.A.