Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (2003) **46**, 669–677 ©
DOI:10.1017/S0013091501000682 Printed in the United Kingdom

L^p BOUNDS FOR MARCINKIEWICZ INTEGRALS

YONG DING[1] AND YIBIAO PAN[2]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China* (dingy@bnu.edu.cn)
[2] *Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA* (yibiao@pitt.edu)

(Received 17 July 2001)

Abstract In this paper the authors establish the L^p boundedness for several classes of Marcinkiewicz integral operators with kernels satisfying a condition introduced by Grafakos and Stefanov in *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 455–469.

Keywords: Marcinkiewicz integral; Littlewood–Paley g-function; rough kernel; surfaces of revolution

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 42B25; 42B99

1. Introduction and results

Let $n \geqslant 2$ and S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{d}\sigma$. Let Ω be a homogeneous function of degree zero on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (which is then naturally identified with a function on S^{n-1}) satisfying $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(y)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma(y) = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

For a suitable mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the Marcinkiewicz integral operator $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ along a mapping Φ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_{\Phi,t}(x)|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^3}\right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$F_{\Phi,t}(x) = \int_{|y|\leqslant t} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n-1}} f(x-\Phi(y))\,\mathrm{d}y.$$

If $d = n$ and $\Phi(y) = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, we shall simply denote the operator $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ by μ_Ω.

The study of the Marcinkiewicz integral operator μ_Ω began in Stein [**13**], where Ω was assumed to be in a certain Lipschitz class (see also [**2**]). In two recent papers [**5**,**6**], the L^p boundedness of the operators $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ was established for Ω in the Hardy space $H^1(S^{n-1})$ and Φ in several classes of mappings.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the L^p boundedness of the operators $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ when $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$, where for an $\alpha > 0$, $F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ denotes the set of all Ω which are integrable over S^{n-1} and satisfy

$$\sup_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \left(\log \frac{1}{|\langle \xi, y \rangle|}\right)^{1+\alpha} \mathrm{d}\sigma(y) < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

Condition (1.2) was introduced by Grafakos and Stefanov in [**9**]. The examples in [**9**] show that there is the following relationship between $F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ and $H^1(S^{n-1})$:

$$\bigcap_{\alpha>0} F_\alpha(S^{n-1}) \not\subset H^1(S^{n-1}) \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha>0} F_\alpha(S^{n-1}).$$

It was proved in [**9**] that, under condition (1.2), the usual singular integral operator with the kernel $\Omega(y)|y|^{-n}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+\alpha}{1+\alpha}, 2+\alpha\right).$$

The range of p was later enlarged to

$$\left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right)$$

in [**8**].

We shall state our main results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}(y) = (P_1(y), \dots, P_d(y))$, where P_j is a real-valued polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$. If $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^1)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then $\mu_{\mathcal{P},\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for*

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

Moreover, the bound on the operator norm is independent of the coefficients of the polynomials $\{P_j\}_{1\leqslant j\leqslant d}$.

There is a similar result for $n \geqslant 3$ when the condition $\Omega \in F_\alpha$ is properly modified (see Theorem 4.1).

Singular integrals along surfaces of revolution have been studied quite extensively (see, for example, [**4**, **10–12**]). Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 deal with L^p bounds for corresponding Marcinkiewicz integrals.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $d = n+1$ and $\Phi(y) = (y, \phi(|y|))$ be the surface of revolution generated by a function $\phi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\phi \in C^1([0,\infty))$, ϕ' is convex and increasing, and $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

(i) *If $n = 2$, then $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for*

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

(ii) *If $n \geqslant 3$ and $\phi'(0) = 0$, then $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for*

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let $d = n+1$ and $\Phi(y) = (y, \phi(|y|))$, where ϕ is a polynomial. In addition, let $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ for some $\alpha > 0$.*

(i) *If $n = 2$, then $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for*

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

(ii) *If $n \geqslant 3$ and $\phi'(0) = 0$, then $\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for*

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

Moreover, in both (i) and (ii), the bounds on the operator norm are independent of the coefficients of ϕ.

Our method is based on a lemma presented in § 2. The proofs of our results can be found in §§ 3 and 4.

2. Main lemma

We shall begin by establishing some notation. For a family of measures $\tau = \{\tau_{k,t} : k \in \mathbb{N},\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define the operators Δ_τ and τ_k^* by

$$\Delta_\tau(f)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\tau_{k,t} * f)(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_k^*(f)(x) = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}(|\tau_{k,t}| * |f|)(x).$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Suppose that there are constants $C_0, C_p, \alpha, \gamma > 0$ such that the following hold for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$:*

$$\|\tau_{k,t}\| \leqslant C_0 2^{-k}; \tag{2.1}$$

$$|\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi)| \leqslant C_0 2^{-k} |2^{\gamma(t-k)} L\xi|; \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi)| \leqslant C_0 2^{-k} (\log |2^{\gamma(t-k)} L\xi|)^{-(1+\alpha)}, \quad \textit{if } |2^{\gamma(t-k)} L\xi| > 2; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|\tau_k^*(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_p 2^{-k} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \textit{for } 1 < p < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Then, for

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

there exists a constant $A_p > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\Delta_\tau(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant A_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$*. The constant* A_p *may depend on* C_0, C_p, α, γ, d *and* m*, but it is independent of the linear transformation* L*.*

Proof. By an argument in [**7**] we may assume that $m \leqslant d$ and $L\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \xi'$ for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Choose a C^∞ function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset [\frac{1}{4}, 4]$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\psi(r)}{r}\,\mathrm{d}r = 2. \tag{2.6}$$

Define the Schwartz functions $\Psi, \Psi_t : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{\Psi}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \psi(\xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_m^2)$$

and $\Psi_t(u) = t^{-m}\Psi(u/t)$ for $t > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If we let δ_{d-m} represent the Dirac delta on $\mathbb{R}^{d-m}$, then by (2.6), for any Schwartz function f,

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty (\Psi_t \otimes \delta_{d-m}) * f(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = (\gamma \log 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Psi_{2^{\gamma s}} \otimes \delta_{d-m}) * f(x)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.7}$$

Define the g-function $g(f)$ by

$$g(f)(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Psi_{2^{\gamma s}} \otimes \delta_{d-m}) * f(x)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \right)^{1/2}.$$

By $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Psi_t(z)\,\mathrm{d}z = \psi(0) = 0$ and Littlewood–Paley theory, we have

$$\|g(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and Schwartz function f, let

$$H_{s,k}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Psi_{2^{\gamma(s+t)}} \otimes \delta_{d-m}) * \tau_{k,t} * f(x)|^2\,\mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$H_s(f) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty H_{s,k}(f).$$

It follows from (2.7) and Minkowski's inequality that

$$\Delta_\tau(f)(x) \leqslant (\gamma \log 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_s(f)(x)\,\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, if we can prove that, for

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha \right),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

there exist $\theta_p > 0$ and $\theta'_p > 1$ such that

$$\|H_s\|_{p,p} \leqslant \begin{cases} C_p 2^{-s\theta_p}, & \text{for } s > 0, \\ C_p |s|^{-\theta'_p}, & \text{for } s < -N, \\ C_p, & \text{for } -N \leqslant s \leqslant 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where $N > 0$ depended only α and γ, then (2.5) follows from (2.10) and (2.11).

We shall first establish (2.11) for $p = 2$. When $s > 0$, by (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(|2^{\gamma(s+t)}\xi'|^2)\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t &\leqslant C2^{-2k} \int_{(2^{\gamma s+1}|\xi'|)^{-1} \leqslant 2^{\gamma t} \leqslant 2(2^{\gamma s}|\xi'|)^{-1}} (2^{\gamma(t-k)}|\xi'|)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leqslant C(2^{k(\gamma+1)+\gamma s})^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

It then follows from Plancherel's Theorem and (2.12) that

$$\|H_s\|_{2,2} \leqslant C2^{-\gamma s}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now let us consider the case of $s < 0$. For given $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, take

$$-s > \max\biggl\{1 + \frac{8}{\gamma}, \frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{\log 2}\biggr\}.$$

Then for $1 \leqslant k < -s - (4/\gamma)$, by (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(|2^{\gamma(s+t)}\xi'|^2)\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad \leqslant C2^{-2k} \int_{(2^{\gamma s+1}|\xi'|)^{-1} \leqslant 2^{\gamma t} \leqslant 2(2^{\gamma s}|\xi'|)^{-1}} (\log |2^{\gamma(t-k)}\xi'|)^{-2(1+\alpha)} \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\qquad \leqslant C2^{-2k}(1 + \gamma|s+k|)^{-2(1+\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, for s chosen above and $k \geqslant -s - (4/\gamma)$, by (2.2) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(|2^{\gamma(s+t)}\xi'|^2)\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant C2^{-2k}2^{-2\gamma(s+k)}. \tag{2.15}$$

Apply Plancherel's Theorem again, by (2.14) and (2.15), for s chosen above we have

$$\|H_{s,k}(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant \begin{cases} C2^{-k}(1+\gamma|s+k|)^{-(1+\alpha)}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant k < -s - (4/\gamma), \\ C2^{-k}2^{-\gamma(s+k)}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \text{for } k \geqslant -s - (4/\gamma). \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, by (2.16) we get

$$\|H_s\|_{2,2} \leqslant C\biggl\{\sum_{1 \leqslant k < -s-(4/\gamma)} 2^{-k}(1+\gamma|s+k|)^{-(1+\alpha)} + \sum_{k \geqslant -s-(4/\gamma)} 2^{-k}2^{-\gamma(s+k)}\biggr\}. \tag{2.17}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\leqslant k<-s-(4/\gamma)} 2^{-k}(1+\gamma|s+k|)^{-(1+\alpha)}\\
&\quad = 2^{s}\sum_{(4/\gamma)<j\leqslant-(s+1)} 2^{j}(1+\gamma j)^{-(1+\alpha)}\\
&\quad \leqslant 2^{s}\biggl(\sum_{(4/\gamma)<j\leqslant-(s+1)/2} 2^{j}(1+\gamma j)^{-(1+\alpha)}+\sum_{-(s+1)/2<j\leqslant-(s+1)} 2^{j}(1+\gamma j)^{-(1+\alpha)}\biggr)\\
&\quad \leqslant 2^{s}\biggl[2^{-(s+1)/2}\sum_{4<j<\infty}(1+j)^{-(1+\alpha)}+\biggl(1-\frac{\gamma(s+1)}{2}\biggr)^{-(1+\alpha)}\sum_{-(s+1)/2<j\leqslant-(s+1)} 2^{j}\biggr]\\
&\quad \leqslant C(2^{s/2}+|s|^{-(1+\alpha)})
\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\sum_{k\geqslant-s-(4/\gamma)} 2^{-k}2^{-\gamma(s+k)}\leqslant 2^{s}\sum_{j\geqslant-[4/\gamma]-1} 2^{-j(1+\gamma)}\leqslant C2^{s}.\tag{2.19}$$

It is easy to see that, for given $\alpha>0$ and $\gamma>0$, there exists an

$$N>\max\biggl\{1+\frac{8}{\gamma},\frac{\gamma(1+\alpha)}{\log 2}\biggr\}$$

such that, for all $s<-N$, $2^{s}<2^{s/2}<|s|^{-(1+\alpha)}$. Hence, by (2.17) and (2.18), (2.19), we see that

$$\|H_s\|_{2,2}\leqslant C|s|^{-(1+\alpha)},\quad \text{for } s<-N.\tag{2.20}$$

Next we shall prove that, for every $p\in(1,\infty)$, there exists a $C_p>0$ such that for any $s\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\|H_s\|_{p,p}\leqslant C_p.\tag{2.21}$$

Let $G_u(x)=(\Psi_{2^{\gamma u}}\otimes\delta_{d-m})*f(x)$. Then by (2.1),

$$\biggl\|\biggl|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\tau_{k,t}*G_{s+t}(\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}t\biggr|\biggr\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leqslant C2^{-k}\biggl\|\int_{\mathbb{R}}|G_t(\cdot)|\,\mathrm{d}t\biggr\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\tag{2.22}$$

On the other hand, by (2.4), for $1<q<\infty$ we get

$$\Bigl\|\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|\tau_{k,t}*G_{s+t}|\Bigr\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leqslant\Bigl\|\tau_k^*\Bigl(\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|G_t|\Bigr)\Bigr\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leqslant C2^{-k}\Bigl\|\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}|G_t|\Bigr\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\tag{2.23}$$

Hence, (2.22) and (2.23) show that the linear mapping $T:G_t\to\tau_{k,t}*G_{s+t}$ is bounded from $L^1(L^1(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself and from $L^q(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself, respectively. If $q>1$ satisfies $1/q=2/p-1$, then by using the operator interpolation theorem between (2.22) and (2.23), it can be concluded that for $1<p<2$ the mapping T is bounded from $L^p(L^2(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself. By using an appropriate duality argument, we know that T is also bounded from $L^p(L^2(\mathbb{R}),\mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself for $2<p<\infty$. Thus, for $1<p<\infty$,

$$\biggl\|\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\tau_{k,t}*G_{s+t}(\cdot)|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\biggr)^{1/2}\biggr\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}\leqslant C_p2^{-k}\biggl\|\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}}|G_t(\cdot)|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\biggr)^{1/2}\biggr\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

From this and (2.8), we get that

$$\|H_{s,k}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_p 2^{-k} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty, \tag{2.24}$$

holds for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that (2.21) holds for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Finally, by interpolating between (2.13) and (2.21), (2.20) and (2.21), respectively, we obtain (2.11) for every p in

$$\left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right)$$

with $\theta_p > 0$ and $\theta_p' > 1$. Lemma 2.1 is proved. □

3. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^{n-1})$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and let Ω satisfy (1.1). Let $\Phi(y) = (y, \phi(|y|))$, where ϕ is a real-valued polynomial. In addition, we assume that $\phi'(0) = 0$ when $n \geqslant 3$.

Let $D_s = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^s < |y| \leqslant 2^{s+1}\}$ and define the family of measures $\tau = \{\tau_{k,t} : t \in \mathbb{R},\ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} f(y, y_{n+1}) \,\mathrm{d}\tau_{k,t} = 2^{-t} \int_{D_{t-k}} f(y, \phi(|y|)) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n-1}} \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$\mu_{\Phi,\Omega}(f) \leqslant \Delta_\tau(f). \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to see that (2.1) follows from the integrability of Ω on S^{n-1}. In light of (3.2) and Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that (2.2) and (2.3) also hold when we choose $\gamma = 1$ and $L(\xi, \xi_{n+1}) = \xi$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$I_\lambda(\xi, \xi_{n+1}, y) = \int_1^2 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}[\lambda(\xi\cdot y)u + \xi_{n+1}\phi(\lambda u)]} \,\mathrm{d}u. \tag{3.3}$$

By using a van der Corput type estimate in [**3**, Corollary 7.3] and (1.2) we obtain

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |I_\lambda(\xi, \xi_{n+1}, y)\Omega(y)| \,\mathrm{d}\sigma(y) \leqslant C(\log^+ |\lambda\xi|)^{-(1+\alpha)} \tag{3.4}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\xi, \xi_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The distinction between the cases $n = 2$ and $n \geqslant 3$ was made clear in [**4**] (see also the example given at the end of § 3 in [**4**]). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi, \xi_{n+1})| &\leqslant 2^{-k} \int_{S^{n-1}} |I_{2^{t-k}}(\xi, \xi_{n+1}, y)\Omega(y)| \,\mathrm{d}\sigma(y) \\ &\leqslant C 2^{-k} (\log^+ |2^{t-k}\xi|)^{-(1+\alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, by (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\tau}_{k,t}(\xi, \xi_{n+1})| &\leqslant 2^{-t} \int_{D_{t-k}} |\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}[\xi\cdot y + \xi_{n+1}\phi(|y|)]} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\xi_{n+1}\phi(|y|)}| \frac{|\Omega(y)|}{|y|^{n-1}} \,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\leqslant C 2^{-k} |2^{t-k}\xi|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, (3.5) and (3.6) imply (2.2).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

Finally, one may apply a theorem of Stein and Wainger on maximal operators along curves in [**14**] to obtain (2.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar. Details are omitted.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and additional results

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $A(n, m)$ denote the set of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which have real coefficients and degrees not exceeding m. Let

$$U(n,m) = \biggl\{ \sum_{|\beta|=m} a_\beta y^\beta \in A(n,m) \setminus A(n,m-1) : \sum_{|\beta|=m} |a_\beta|^2 = 1 \biggr\}.$$

Based on the work in [**1**] regarding singular integrals, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $n \geqslant 2$, $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}(y) = (P_1(y), \dots, P_d(y)) \in (A(n,m))^d$. If $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$ and Ω satisfies*

$$\sup_{P \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{m} U(n,l)} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(y)| \biggl(\log \frac{1}{|P(y)|} \biggr)^{1+\alpha} \mathrm{d}\sigma(y) < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

then $\mu_{\mathcal{P},\Omega}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for

$$p \in \biggl(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha \biggr).$$

Moreover, the bound on the operator norm is independent of the coefficients of the polynomials $\{P_j\}_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d}$.

Proof. Define the family of measures $\sigma = \{\sigma_{k,t} \mid k \in \mathbb{N},\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\, \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,t}(x) = 2^{-t} \int_{D_{t-k}} f(x - \mathcal{P}(y)) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n-1}}\, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Then

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P},\Omega}(f) \leqslant \Delta_\sigma(f). \tag{4.2}$$

By the arguments in [**7**] and [**1**], there are families of measures

$$\tau^{(1)} = \{\tau^{(1)}_{k,t} : k \in \mathbb{N},\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \dots, \tau^{(m)} = \{\tau^{(m)}_{k,t} : k \in \mathbb{N},\ t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

each of which satisfies (2.1)–(2.4) with appropriate choices of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ and linear transformations $L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(m)}$, such that

$$\sigma_{k,t} = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \tau^{(l)}_{k,t} \tag{4.3}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091501000682

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 and Minkowski's inequality that

$$\|\mu_{\mathcal{P},\Omega}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{m} \|\Delta_{\tau^{(l)}}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leqslant C_p\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$p \in \left(\frac{2+2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}, 2+2\alpha\right).$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved. □

It was shown in [**1**] that, when $n = 2$ and $\Omega \in F_\alpha(S^1)$, (4.1) holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, one obtains Theorem 1.1 as a corollary of Theorem 4.1.

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for very valuable comments. This paper was written while Y.D. was visiting the University of Pittsburgh. He gratefully acknowledges the generous support provided by the University of Pittsburgh. His research was also supported in part by the NSF of China (grant no. 10271016).

References

1. A. Al-Salman and Y. Pan, Singular integrals with rough kernels, *Can. Math. Bull.*, in press.
2. A. Benedek, A. Calderón and R. Panzones, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **48** (1962), 356–365.
3. A. Carbery, M. Christ and J. Wright, Multidimensional van der Corput and sublevel set estimates, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **12** (1999), 981–1015.
4. L. Cheng and Y. Pan, L^p bounds for singular integrals associated to surfaces of revolution, *J. Math. Analysis Applic.* **265** (2002), 163–169.
5. Y. Ding, D. Fan and Y. Pan, L^p boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernel, *Acta Math.* **16** (2000), 593–600.
6. Y. Ding, D. Fan and Y. Pan, On the L^p boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Michigan Math. J.* **50** (2002), 17–26.
7. D. Fan and Y. Pan, Singular integral operators with rough kernels supported by subvarieties, *Am. J. Math.* **119** (1997), 799–839.
8. D. Fan, K. Guo and Y. Pan, A note on a rough singular integral operator, *Math. Ineq. Applic.* **2** (1999), 73–81.
9. L. Grafakos and A. Stefanov, L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernel, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 455–469.
10. W. Kim, S. Wainger, J. Wright and S. Ziesler, Singular integrals and maximal functions associated to surfaces of revolution, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **28** (1996), 291–296.
11. S. Lu, Y. Pan and D. Yang, Rough singular integrals associated to surfaces of revolution, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), 2931–2940.
12. Y. Pan, L. Tang and D. Yang, Boundedness for singular integrals with rough kernels along surfaces of revolution, preprint.
13. E. Stein, On the function of Littlewood–Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 430–466.
14. E. Stein and S. Wainger, Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **84** (1978), 1239–1295.