

On ideals and quotients of AT -algebras

CHANGGUO WEI

School of Mathematical Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266071,
 People's Republic of China
 E-mail: weicgqd@yahoo.com.cn

MS received 6 June 2007

Abstract. Some results on AT -algebras are given. We study the problem when ideals, quotients and hereditary subalgebras of AT -algebras are AT -algebras or AT -algebras, and give a necessary and sufficient condition of a hereditary subalgebra of an AT -algebra being an AT -algebra.

Keywords. AT -algebra; ideal; quotient.

1. Introduction

The classification of amenable C^* -algebras originated from G A Elliott's work on AT -algebras. He classified AT -algebras of real rank zero [1] and simple AT -algebras [2] in 1993 and in 1997, respectively. Since then a number of classification results appeared. Among these results, three important classes of C^* -algebras are classified. In [3], Elliott and Gong classified AH -algebras of real rank zero. Lin [5] gave the classification theorem for unital separable simple nuclear C^* -algebras with tracial topological rank zero. Phillips [9] showed the classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras.

AT -algebras were introduced by Lin and Su [7] in 1997. Recall that AT -algebras are inductive limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over extensions of circle algebra by compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. This class contains AT -algebras and is larger than AT class. Such algebras may not be simple or finite, and also they may not be of real rank zero or of stable rank one. Though Lin and Su classified AT -algebras of real rank zero in [7], for general case, little is known about such algebras till now. For example, are hereditary subalgebras and quotients of AT -algebras still AT -algebras? When a quotient of an AT -algebra is an AT -algebra? Whether or not a maximal ideal which is a stable AT -algebra is unique in AT -algebra? In this note, we try to answer these questions, and hope to make clear the relation between AT -algebras and extensions of AT -algebras in the future.

2. Preliminaries

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Recall that an extension of A by B is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0$. Denote this extension by (E, α, β) .

The extension (E, α, β) is called trivial, if the above sequence splits, i.e. if there is a homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow E$ such that $\beta \circ \gamma = \text{id}_A$. We called (E, α, β) to be essential, if $\alpha(A)$ is an essential ideal in E .

Let $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of A by B . Then there is a unique homomorphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow M(B)$ such that $\sigma \circ \alpha = \iota$, where $M(B)$ is the multiplier algebra of B , and ι is the inclusion map from B into $M(B)$. It is known that σ is injective if and only if the extension is essential.

The Busby invariant of (E, α, β) is a homomorphism τ from A into the corona algebra $\mathcal{Q}(B) = M(B)/B$ defined by $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(b))$ for $a \in A$, where $\pi: M(B) \rightarrow M(B)/B$ is the quotient map, and $b \in E$ such that $\beta(b) = a$. Therefore the Busby invariant of (E, α, β) is the unique homomorphism such that the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \tau & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & M(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M(B)/B & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between extensions of A by B and homomorphisms $\tau: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B)$.

If A is unital and the Busby invariant is unital, then (E, α, β) is said to be unital.

Let $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} E_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} A \rightarrow 0$ be two extensions of A by B with Busby invariants τ_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then (E_1, α_1, β_1) and (E_2, α_2, β_2) are called strongly equivalent if there exists a unitary $u \in M(B)$ such that $\tau_2(a) = \pi(u)\tau_1(a)\pi(u)^*$ for any $a \in A$.

Let H be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and let \mathcal{K} be the ideal of compact operators in $B(H)$.

If B is a stable C^* -algebra (i.e. $B \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong B$), then the sum of two extensions τ_1 and τ_2 is defined to be the homomorphism $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2$, where $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B) \oplus \mathcal{Q}(B) \subseteq M_2(\mathcal{Q}(B)) \cong \mathcal{Q}(B)$.

Let \mathbb{T} be the unit circle in the complex plane and let $C(\mathbb{T})$, which is called circle algebra, denote the set of all continuous functions on \mathbb{T} . Sometimes we also call matrix algebras over $C(\mathbb{T})$ circle algebras. We consider unital essential extensions of $C(\mathbb{T})$ by \mathcal{K} . By BDF theory, we have that the extension group $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{T})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . It follows from a well-known theorem of Voiculescu in [11] that extensions with the same index are isomorphic. Suppose that \mathcal{T}_k is the extension of $C(\mathbb{T})$ by \mathcal{K} with index $-k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_k \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow 0$. Since \mathcal{T}_k is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_{-k} , we only consider the case $k \geq 0$, and call these algebras \mathcal{T} -algebras.

One can construct these algebras as below. Let S be the unilateral shift operator on H and let π be the quotient map from $B(H)$ onto the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{Q} = B(H)/\mathcal{K}$. Set $u = \pi(S^k)$ for $k > 0$. Then u is a unitary in \mathcal{Q} . Let $\text{sp}_e(S^k)$ denote the essential spectrum of S^k . We have $\text{sp}_e(S^k) = \text{sp}(\pi(S^k)) = \mathbb{T}$. Set $\mathcal{T}'_k = C^*(S^k, \mathcal{K})$. Then we have

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'_k \xrightarrow{\psi} C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to see that the Busby invariant of the above extension is defined by mapping z to u , where z is the identity function on \mathbb{T} . Then \mathcal{T}'_k is a unital essential extension with index $-k$ since z has a lift to S^k in \mathcal{T}'_k . Therefore $\mathcal{T}'_k \cong \mathcal{T}_k$.

When $k = 0$, one can choose a unitary $S_0 \in B(H)$ such that $\text{sp}_e(S_0) = \mathbb{T}$. Then \mathcal{T}_0 is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(S_0, \mathcal{K})$ generated by S_0 and \mathcal{K} in $B(H)$.

If A is a C^* -algebra, let $V(A)$ denote the semigroup consisting of Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in matrix algebras over A . By computation, we have the semigroups of \mathcal{T} -algebras (see [7] for details):

$$V(\mathcal{T}_0) = \{(m, n): m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n = 0, m \geq 0\}$$

$$V(\mathcal{T}_1) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \sqcup \mathbb{N}$$

$$V(\mathcal{T}_k) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \sqcup \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_k.$$

Obviously, $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$ has the cancellation property, so \mathcal{T}_0 is finite. In fact, \mathcal{T}_0 is an AT -algebra by Theorem 3.5. Moreover, $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is not finitely generated. Hence \mathcal{T}_0 is quite different from \mathcal{T}_k ($k \geq 1$).

Suppose that E_1 and E_2 are finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras, that is,

$$E_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j}), \quad E_2 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(\mathcal{T}_{r_j}).$$

Then there exist extensions $0 \rightarrow I(E_i) \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow Q(E_i) \rightarrow 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I(E_1) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(\mathcal{K}), & I(E_2) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(\mathcal{K}), \\ Q(E_1) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(C(\mathbb{T})), & Q(E_2) &= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(C(\mathbb{T})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi_i: E_i \rightarrow Q(E_i)$ be the quotient maps. If $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a homomorphism, then we have $\phi(I(E_1)) \subset I(E_2)$. So there is a commutative diagram in the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I(E_1) & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & Q(E_1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \bar{\phi} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I(E_2) & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & Q(E_2) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\phi}: Q(E_1) \rightarrow Q(E_2)$ is the induced map of ϕ .

Then AT -algebras are defined to be inductive limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. One can see [7] for more details of AT -algebras.

3. The main results

Theorem 3.1.

- (1) Every AT -algebra E contains a stable AF ideal $I(E)$ such that the quotient $Q(E)$ is an AT -algebra.
- (2) Every quotient of an AT -algebra is an AT -algebra.

Proof. Let E be an AT -algebra. Suppose $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$, where E_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras.

(1) Let $I_n = I(E_n)$, $A_n = Q(E_n)$, $I_0 = \varinjlim I(E_n)$ and $A_0 = \varinjlim Q(E_n)$. Then I_0 is a stable AF -ideal of E , and A_0 is an AT -algebra. Moreover, we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow 0$.

(2) Suppose that I is a non-zero ideal of E . Then there is an ideal I'_n of E_n for every n such that $I = \varinjlim I'_n$.

Let $E_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} E_{n,i}$, where $E_{n,i}$ is a matrix algebra over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Since I'_n is an ideal of E_n , I'_n has the form $I'_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} I'_{n,i}$, where $I'_{n,i}$ is an ideal of $E_{n,i}$. Since $I(E_{n,i})$ is a simple essential ideal, it follows that either $I(E_{n,i}) \subset I'_{n,i}$ or $I'_{n,i} = \{0\}$. Therefore $E_{n,i}/I'_{n,i}$ is equal to $E_{n,i}$ or a quotient $Q(E_{n,i})$. Note that $E_n/I'_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} E_{n,i}/I'_{n,i}$, so we see that E_n/I'_n is a direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras and AT -algebras. It follows that the map $\phi'_n: E_n/I'_n \rightarrow E/I'$ is an injective homomorphism and $E/I = \varinjlim E_n/I'_n$ since $I = \varinjlim I'_n$. Therefore E/I is an AT -algebra. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let E be an AT -algebra and let B be a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of E . If B is an AT -algebra, then B admits an approximate identity consisting of projections. Conversely, if B admits an approximate identity consisting of projections, then B is an AT -algebra.*

Proof. Suppose that B is an AT -algebra. Let $B = \varinjlim (B_n, \varphi_n)$, where B_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras and φ_n is the canonical map from B_n into B . Then $\{\varphi_n(1_{B_n})\}$ is an approximate identity for B consisting of projections.

Conversely, let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_n)$ and let B be a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of E . Then B is σ -unital. If B admits an approximate identity consisting of projections, then it contains a countable increasing approximate identity consisting of projections by Theorem 6 in [8]. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate identity for B . Since B is hereditary, we have $e_n E e_n \subset B$ and $B = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n E e_n}$. Note that $e_n \leq e_{n+1}$, so it follows that $e_n E e_n \subset e_{n+1} E e_{n+1}$ and $B = \varinjlim e_n E e_n$.

By Theorem 3.1, E is an extension of an AT -algebra A by a stable AF -algebra I . Let π be the quotient map from E into A . Therefore, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow e_n I e_n \rightarrow e_n E e_n \rightarrow \pi(e_n) A \pi(e_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $I' = \varinjlim e_n I e_n$ and $A' = \varinjlim \pi(e_n) A \pi(e_n)$. Then there is an extension $0 \rightarrow I' \rightarrow B \rightarrow A' \rightarrow 0$. Since $e_n I e_n$ is an AF -algebra and $\pi(e_n) A \pi(e_n)$ is a AT -algebra, B is an extension of an AT -algebra by an AF -algebra.

Let $E'_n = \text{im } \phi_n \cong E_n / \ker \phi_n$. Then $E = \varinjlim E'_n$ and E'_n is a direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras and AT -algebras.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $e_n \in E$, it follows from Lemma 2.7.2 in [4] that there exist a sufficiently large number $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and projection $p_{k_n} \in E'_{k_n}$ such that $p_{k_n} \sim e_n$. Then $e_n E e_n \cong p_{k_n} E p_{k_n}$ and hence $e_n E e_n \cong \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{k_n} E'_i p_{k_n}} = \overline{\bigcup_{i \geq k_n} p_{k_n} E'_i p_{k_n}}$.

Let $E'_i = E'_{i,1} \oplus E'_{i,2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E'_{i,k}$ and $p_{k_n} = q_1 \oplus q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus q_k \in E'_i$. Then $p_{k_n} E'_i p_{k_n} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k q_j E'_{i,j} q_j$. Note that $q_j E'_{i,j} q_j$ is a unital hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $E'_{i,j}$. Hence $q_j E'_{i,j} q_j$ is also an AT -algebra when $E'_{i,j}$ is an AT -algebra.

If $E'_{i,j} = M_r(\mathcal{T})$, then we have the following extension:

$$0 \rightarrow q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j \rightarrow q_j E'_{i,j} q_j \rightarrow \pi(q_j) Q(E'_{i,j}) \pi(q_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $q_j \in I(E'_{i,j}) (= M_r(\mathcal{K}))$, we have that $q_j E'_{i,j} q_j (= q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j)$ is isomorphic to a matrix algebra M_d for some positive integer d .

If q_j is not contained in $I(E'_{i,j})$, then $\pi(q_j)Q(E'_{i,j})\pi(q_j)$ is still a circle algebra since it is a corner of $M_r(C(\mathbb{T}))$. Since $I(E'_{i,j})$ is an ideal, $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $I(E'_{i,j})$. Note that $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is isomorphic to a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{K} , so it is simple. Since a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to a direct sum of a sequence of subalgebras $\mathcal{K}(H_\alpha)$ and $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is simple, it follows that $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{K}(H_\alpha)$. Let $I(E'_{i,j}) \cong \mathcal{K}(H)$. Then $q_j(H)$ is an infinite dimensional subspace of H , and there is a sequence of mutually orthogonal finite projections $\{q'_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$ such that $q'_n \leq q_j$. Therefore $\{q'_n\} \subset q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$, and $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is infinite dimensional. So we have $\dim(H_\alpha) = \infty$ and $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j \cong \mathcal{K}$. It follows that $q_j I(E'_{i,j}) q_j$ is a corner of a matrix algebra over \mathcal{T} -algebra, so $e_n E e_n$ is an AT -algebra. Since an inductive limit of AT -algebras is also an AT -algebra, we conclude that B is an AT -algebra. \square

Example 1. By Theorem 3.2, the ideal $C_0(0, 1)$ of $C(\mathbb{T})$ is not an AT -algebra. A hereditary C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{T} -algebra is not necessarily an AT -algebra. For example, we consider $E = M_m(\mathcal{T}_k)$. Note that $M_m(C_0(0, 1))$ is an ideal of $Q(E)$. Let π be the quotient map from E onto $Q(E)$ and let B be the inverse image of $M_m(C_0(0, 1))$ under π . Then B is an ideal of E and the sequence $0 \rightarrow M_m(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B \rightarrow M_m(C_0(0, 1)) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. If B is an AT -algebra, then there is an approximate identity $\{p_n\}$ consisting of projections. Therefore $\{\pi(p_n)\}$ is an approximate identity for $M_m(C_0(0, 1))$ consisting of projections. This is impossible.

Lemma 3.3. Let A be a unital finite C^* -algebra. Suppose that A is simple. Then every C^* -subalgebra of A is not isomorphic to \mathcal{K} .

Proof. Let A_0 be a C^* -subalgebra of A which is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} . Then the unit 1 of A is not in A_0 .

Let p be the minimal projection of A_0 and let $\{p_i\}$ be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections of A_0 such that $p_i \sim p$. Since p is full in A , there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$ such that

$$x_1 p x_1^* + x_2 p x_2^* + \dots + x_n p x_n^* = 1.$$

Let $v = (x_1 p, x_2 p, \dots, x_n p)$. We have that $vv^* = 1$ and v^*v is a projection. Therefore $v^*v = [p x_i^* x_j p]_{n \times n} \leq p \oplus p \oplus \dots \oplus p$.

Since $p \oplus p \oplus \dots \oplus p \sim p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$, there is a projection $q \in A$ such that $1 \sim q \leq p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n < 1$. This is a contradiction since A is finite. Therefore there is no C^* -subalgebra of A which is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} . \square

Theorem 3.4. Let E be a unital AT -algebra and let I be a maximal ideal of E . Then E/I is an AT -algebra.

Proof. Since I is a maximal ideal, E/I is simple. By Theorem 3.1, E/I is an AT -algebra. Suppose that E/I is the inductive limit of $(B_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$, where B_n is finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Let $I' = \varinjlim I(B_n)$. If E/I is infinite, then $I' \neq \{0\}$ (Otherwise, E/I is an AT -algebra by Theorem 3.1). Note that $I' \subset E/I$ and E/I is simple, so we have $I' = E/I$. This is a contradiction since E/I is infinite. It follows that E/I is finite if it is simple. By Lemma 3.3, there is no C^* -subalgebra of E/I which is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} . Since I' is a stable AF -algebra, we have $I' = \{0\}$. So $E/I \cong Q(E/I)$ is an AT -algebra. \square

Remark. Let E be a unital AT -algebra. If E admits a stable maximal AT -ideal, then by the above Theorem, E is an extension of an AT -algebra. However, in general, a maximal ideal of an AT -algebra is not necessarily an AT -algebra. For example, we consider \mathcal{T}_k . Let I be a maximal ideal of \mathcal{T}_k . Then \mathcal{T}_k/I is a simple AT -algebra by Theorem 3.4. Since \mathcal{K} is a minimal ideal of \mathcal{T}_k , it follows that $\mathcal{K} \subset I$, and \mathcal{T}_k/I is a quotient of $C(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_k/I \cong \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_k/I \cong (\mathcal{T}_k/\mathcal{K})/(I/\mathcal{K})$, $C(\mathbb{T})/(I/\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $I/\mathcal{K} \cong C_0(0, 1)$. So we obtain $I \cong \pi^{-1}(C_0(0, 1))$. It follows that I is not an AT -algebra or an AT -algebra by Example 1.

Theorem 3.5. *If E is an AT -algebra and $\delta_1: K_1(Q(E)) \rightarrow K_0(I(E))$ is the associated index map, then E is an AT -algebra if and only if $\delta_1 = 0$.*

Proof. Let E be the inductive limit of $\{E_n, \phi_{n,n+1}\}$. Since $I(E)$ and $Q(E)$ are both AT -algebras, it follows from Proposition 4(ii) in [6] that $\delta_1 = 0$ if and only if $\text{sr}(E) = 1$. For any n and i , if $E_{n,i}$ is isomorphic to $M_m(\mathcal{T}_k)$ ($k > 0$) for some m and k , then we have $\phi_n|_{I(E_{n,i})} = 0$ since $\text{sr}(E) = 1$. Thus $\phi_n(E_n)$ is an AT -algebra. We conclude that E is an AT -algebra by $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(E_n)$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let E be an AT -algebra and let I be an ideal of E . Suppose that the partial map vanishes on the ideal $I(M_k(\mathcal{T}_0))$ of $M_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$. Then E/I is an AT -algebra if and only if $I(E) \subset I$.*

Proof. Let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ and $I_0 = I(E)$. Then $I_0 = \varinjlim I(E_n)$. If $I_0 \not\subset I$, then there is $(p \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cap I = \{0\}$ since $\bigcup \{p \otimes \mathcal{K}: p \in P(I_0)\}$ is dense in $I_0 \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong I_0$. Note that $(p \otimes \mathcal{K}) \subset I_0$, so we see that there is a positive integer n such that $\phi_n|_{I(E_n)} \neq 0$ and $\phi_n(I(E_n)) \cap (p \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\}$. Let $I_n = \phi_n^{-1}(I \cap \phi_n(E_n))$. Then $I(E_n) \not\subset I_n$. Otherwise, if $I(E_n) \subset I_n$, then $\phi_n(I(E_n)) \subset \phi_n(I_n) \subset I$.

Since $I(E_n) \not\subset I_n$, there is a positive integer i such that $I(E_{n,i}) \cap I_n = \{0\}$. It follows that $\phi_n|_{I(E_{n,i})} \neq 0$. Otherwise, $\phi_n(I(E_{n,i})) = 0$ and hence $I(E_{n,i}) \subset I_n$.

Since $I(E_{n,i})$ is an essential ideal of $E_{n,i}$, ϕ_n is injective on $E_{n,i}$ and $E_{n,i}$ is not isomorphic to matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_0 . Notice that I_n is an ideal of E_n , so $I_n \cap E_{n,i}$ is an ideal of $E_{n,i}$. Since $I_n \cap I(E_{n,i}) = \{0\}$, it follows that $I_n \cap E_{n,i} = \{0\}$. Let π_n be the quotient map from E_n onto E_n/I_n . Then $\pi_n(E_{n,i}) = E_{n,i}/(E_{n,i} \cap I_n) \cong E_{n,i}$. Since $E_{n,i}$ is not finite, this is a contradiction for E/I is an AT -algebra. Thus $I(E) \subset I$. \square

Remark. The condition the partial map vanishes on the ideal $I(M_k(\mathcal{T}_0))$ of $M_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ in Theorem 3.6 is necessary. For example, let $E = M_{n_1}(\mathcal{T}_1) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathcal{T}_0)$. Note that \mathcal{T}_0 is an AT -algebra by Theorem 3.5. Then $I(E) = M_{n_1}(\mathcal{K}) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathcal{K})$, and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{n_1}(\mathcal{K}) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow E \rightarrow M_{n_1}(C(\mathbb{T})) \oplus M_{n_2}(C(\mathbb{T})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Take $I = M_{n_1}(\mathcal{K}) \oplus 0$. Then $E/I \cong M_{n_1}(C(\mathbb{T})) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is an AT -algebra, and $I(E) \not\subset I$.

The following lemma must be known to others.

Lemma 3.7. *If A is a stable C^* -algebra and p is a projection in A , then there is a C^* -subalgebra B_0 of A which is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} such that $p \in B_0$.*

Proof. Since $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong A$, without loss of generality, we consider $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Since $A \otimes \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(A)$ and $p \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a projection $q_1 \in M_n(A)$ such that $\|p - q_1\| < 1$. This implies that there is a unitary $u \in \widehat{A \otimes \mathcal{K}}$ such that $p = \text{Ad}(u)(q_1)$. Since $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_n(A) \subset M_{kn}(A)$, there are projections q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k in $M_{kn}(A)$ such that $q_i \sim q_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $q_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. For $k+1$, put $q_{k+1} = (0, 0, \dots, q_1)$ in $M_{(k+1)n}(A)$, where 0 repeats k times. Then q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{k+1} are mutually orthogonal and equivalent. Therefore there is a sequence of mutually orthogonal and equivalent projections $\{q_n\}$. Put $p_n = \text{Ad}(u)(q_n)$. Then $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of mutually orthogonal and equivalent projections in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Let $v_{1i}v_{1i}^* = p_1$ and $v_{1i}^*v_{1i} = p_{ii}$. Set $v_{ij} = v_{1i}^*v_{1j}$. Then $\{v_{ij}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is a system of matrix units. Let $A_n = C^*\{v_{ij}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ and $\{e_{ij}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be the matrix units for M_n . Let $\alpha_n: A_n \rightarrow M_n$ be the map such that $\alpha_n(v_{ij}) = e_{ij}$, so α_n is an isomorphism. Let $i_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ be the inclusion map and $j_n: M_n \rightarrow M_{n+1}$ such that $j_n(a) = a \oplus 0$ for $a \in M_n$.

For any $x \in A_n$, let $x = \sum \lambda_{ij}v_{ij}$. Then

$$\alpha_{n+1} \circ i_n(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \lambda_{ij}e_{ij} + \sum_{i=n+1 \text{ or } j=n+1} 0 \cdot e_{ij} = j_n(\alpha_n(x)).$$

So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{i_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim A_n \\ \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & & & \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{j_1} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} \end{array}.$$

Therefore $\varinjlim A_n \cong \mathcal{K}$. □

Theorem 3.8. Let $0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$ be two extensions of C^* -algebras and let $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a homomorphism. Suppose that B_1 is stable and admits an approximate identity consisting of projections (not necessarily countable), and suppose that A_2 is a unital simple finite algebra. Then $\phi(B_1) \subset B_2$. If we further assume that $E_1 = E_2$ and B_1 is a maximal ideal, then $B_1 = B_2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that B_i is an ideal of E_i . Let $\pi_i: E_i \rightarrow A_i$ be the quotient map and $\{p_\alpha\}$ be the approximate identity for B_1 consisting of projections. Since B_1 is stable, for any α , there is a C^* -subalgebra $B_\alpha \cong \mathcal{K}$ such that $p_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ by Lemma 3.7. Note that B_α is simple, so $\pi_2 \circ \phi|_{B_\alpha}$ is injective or $\pi_2 \circ \phi|_{B_\alpha} = 0$. Since A_2 is simple and finite, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\pi_2 \circ \phi|_{B_\alpha} = 0$. Therefore $\phi(p_\alpha) \in B_2$.

Since B_2 is an ideal, $\phi(p_\alpha B_1) = \phi(p_\alpha)\phi(B_1) \subset \phi(p_\alpha)E_2 \subset B_2$. For any $b \in B_1$, since $p_\alpha b \rightarrow b$, we have $\phi(p_\alpha b) \rightarrow \phi(b)$, and then $\phi(b) \in B_2$. Thus $\phi(B_1) \subset B_2$.

Moreover, we assume $E_1 = E_2$ and B_1 is a maximal ideal. Let $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be an isomorphism. Then $\phi(B_1)$ is a maximal ideal, and therefore $\phi(B_1) = B_2$. By taking $\phi = \text{id}$, we have $B_1 = B_2$. □

COROLLARY 3.9

Let E be a unital AT-algebra. If E has a maximal ideal which is a stable AT-algebra, then such ideal is unique.

Example 2. The requirements for A_2 in Theorem 3.8 are necessary. We now give some examples. By Example 2, we see that an isomorphism between extension algebras of AT -algebras by stable AT -algebras may not map the ideal into another in the corresponding short exact sequences.

(1) If A_2 is not simple, then the homomorphism ϕ may not preserve the ideal.

For example, take $B_1 = B_2 = \mathcal{K}$, $E_1 = E_2 = \mathcal{K} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. Let $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi|_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is the inclusion map and $\phi|_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is zero.

(2) If A_2 is non unital, then the conclusion also may not hold.

For example, we set $B_1 = B_2 = \mathcal{K}$, $E_1 = E_2 = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}$ and $A_1 = A_2 = \mathcal{K}$. For any $(a, b) \in E_1$, define $\phi((a, b)) = (b, a)$, and then we have $\phi(B_1) \cap B_2 = \{0\}$.

(3) If A_2 is not finite, then $\phi(B_1) \subset B_2$ may not hold. We consider extensions of Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 by \mathcal{K} . Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow 0$ be an essential unital extension, and let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow 0$ be a trivial essential unital extension. So there exists a homomorphism $\lambda: \mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow E'$ such that $\pi \circ \lambda = \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_2}$. Since E is exact, by Kirchberg's exact imbedding theorem [10], there is a unital injective homomorphism $\eta: E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$. Let $\phi = \lambda \circ \eta$. Then ϕ is an injective homomorphism from E into E' and $\phi(\mathcal{K}) \cap \mathcal{K} = \{0\}$.

Acknowledgement

The author sincerely thanks Professor Huaxin Lin for his encouragement and guidance.

References

- [1] Elliott G A, On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **443** (1993) 179–219
- [2] Elliott G A, A classification of certain simple C^* -algebras II, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **12**(1) (1997) 97–134
- [3] Elliott G A and Gong Guihua, On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero II, *Ann. Math. (2)* **144**(3) (1996) 497–610
- [4] Lin Huaxin, An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras (NJ: World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge) (2001)
- [5] Lin Huaxin, Classification of simple C^* -algebras of tracial topological rank zero, *Duke Math. J.* **125**(1) (2004) 91–119
- [6] Lin Huaxin and Rørdam M, Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **51**(3) (1995) 603–613
- [7] Lin Huaxin and Su Hongbing, Classification of direct limits of generalized Toeplitz algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **181**(1) (1997) 89–140
- [8] Murphy G J, Diagonality in C^* -algebras, *Math. Z.* **199** (1988) 279–284
- [9] Phillips N C, A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, *Doc. Math.* **5** (2000) 49–114
- [10] Rørdam M, Classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras, Classification of nuclear C^* -algebras, Entropy in operator algebras, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 126 (Berlin: Springer) (2002) pp. 1–145
- [11] Voiculescu D, A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem, *Rev. Roum. Matn. Pures Appl.* **21** (1976) 97–113