

ON THE GROWTH OF SOLUTIONS OF HIGHER ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NAN WU AND YE-ZHOU LI

(Received 26 February, 2011)

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the growth order of the meromorphic solution of the differential equation $A_n(z)f^{(n)}(z) + \cdots + A_1(z)f'(z) + A_0f(z) = F(z)$ with meromorphic coefficients $A_0(z), A_1(z), \dots, A_n(z)$ in some angular regions and generalize a result in the complex plane \mathbb{C} .

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane. We suppose the readers know the standard notation of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions, such as $T(r, f), N(r, f), m(r, f), \delta(a, f)$. For the details, see [5, 6, 7]. The order and lower order of f are defined as follows:

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\mu(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

In this article, $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta) = \{z : \alpha \leq \arg z \leq \beta\}$, $\Omega(\alpha, \beta) = \{z : \alpha < \arg z < \beta\}$, Ω denotes an angular region.

In 2003, Belaidi and Hamani [2] investigated the growth of solutions to the differential equation

$$f^{(k)} + A_{k-1}(z)f^{(k-1)} + \cdots + A_1(z)f' + A_0(z)f = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where A_0, \dots, A_{k-1} are entire functions with $A_0 \not\equiv 0$ in \mathbb{C} . They obtained a theorem as follows:

Theorem A. *Let A_0, \dots, A_{k-1} be entire functions that satisfy*

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} \{\sigma(A_j)\} < \sigma(A_1).$$

Then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ to (1.1) of finite order satisfies $\sigma(f) \geq \sigma(A_1)$.

Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in an angular region $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$. Recall the definition of Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic in an angular region (see [6]). Set $\Omega(r) = \Omega(\alpha, \beta) \cap \{z : 1 < |z| < r\} = \{z : \alpha < \arg z < \beta, 1 < |z| < r\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{S}(r, \Omega, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega(r)} \left(\frac{|f'(z)|}{1 + |f(z)|^2} \right)^2 d\sigma$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f) = \int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{S}(t, \Omega, f)}{t} dt.$$

The order and lower order of f on Ω are defined as follows

$$\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\mu_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f)}{\log r}.$$

Remark. We remark that the order $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ of a meromorphic function f on an angular region here we give is reasonable, because $\mathcal{T}(r, \mathbb{C}, f) = T(r, f) + O(1)$.

Suppose $f \not\equiv 0$ is a function analytic on for fixed r . We define the maximum modulus $M(r, \bar{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta), f) = \max_{\alpha \leq \theta \leq \beta} |f(re^{i\theta})|$ of $f(z)$ on $\bar{\Omega}(r, \alpha, \beta)$ and the order $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ on $\bar{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, \bar{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta), f)}{\log r}.$$

In 1994, Wu [9] studied the growth of solutions to the second order linear differential equation in the angle and gave Theorem B as follows.

Theorem B. *Let $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ be analytic on $\bar{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$. If for any $K > 0$, the measure of*

$$\left\{ \theta : \alpha < \theta < \beta, \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(|A(re^{i\theta})| + 1)r^K}{|B(re^{i\theta})|} = 0 \right\}$$

is bigger than zero, then any solution $f \not\equiv 0$ to the equation

$$f'' + A(z)f' + B(z)f = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

has $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = +\infty$.

In 1992, Wu [8] also obtained a growth theorem in the angular region as follows.

Theorem C. *Let A and B be meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with $\sigma(A) < \sigma(B)$ and $\delta(\infty, B) > 0$. Then every nontrivial meromorphic solution f to (1.2) has infinite order. Furthermore, if $\sigma(B) \leq 1/2$ and $\delta(\infty, B) = 1$, then $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = +\infty$ for every angular region $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$.*

In this paper, we consider the following differential equation

$$A_n(z)f^{(n)}(z) + A_{n-1}(z)f^{(n-1)}(z) + \cdots + A_0(z)f(z) = F(z), \quad (1.3)$$

where $A_0(z), \dots, A_n(z), F(z)$ are meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} . We investigate the higher order and meromorphic coefficient case and give estimations of the growth of the solution in some angular regions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A_0(z)$ be a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} of finite lower order $\mu < \infty$ and nonzero order $0 < \lambda \leq \infty$ and $\delta(\infty, A_0) > 0$. For any positive and finite τ with $\mu \leq \tau \leq \lambda$, consider the angular region $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$ with*

$$\beta - \alpha > 2\pi - \frac{4}{\tau} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, A_0)}{2}}.$$

If $A_j(z) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} with $T(r, A_j) = o(T(r, A_0))$, then every solution $f \not\equiv 0$ to the equation

$$A_n f^{(n)} + A_{n-1} f^{(n-1)} + \cdots + A_0 f = 0$$

has the order $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(f) = +\infty$ in $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$.

It is easy to see that Theorem C is a consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A_1, \dots, A_n, F be meromorphic functions in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $f \not\equiv 0$ be a meromorphic solution to equation (1.3) of finite order. If the angular region $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies*

$$\beta - \alpha > \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(A_j)}, \frac{\pi}{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(F)}, \frac{\pi}{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(f)}; j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

and $\max_{j \neq k} \{\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(A_j), \sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(F)\} < \sigma_{\alpha+\delta, \beta-\delta}(A_k)$, where $\delta > 0$ is small enough, then $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(f) \geq \sigma_{\alpha+\delta, \beta-\delta}(A_k)$.

Finally, we give Theorem 1.3, which is the complex plane case of Theorem 1.2 and is a generalization of Theorem A.

Theorem 1.3. *Let A_1, \dots, A_n, F be meromorphic functions in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $f \not\equiv 0$ be a meromorphic solution of equation (1.3) of finite order. If*

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} \{\sigma(A_j), \sigma(F)\} < \sigma(A_k),$$

then $\sigma(f) \geq \sigma(A_k)$.

2. Basic Knowledge and Some Lemmas

In order to prove the theorems, we give some lemmas. The following result is from [11, 12, 13].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $T(r)$ be a non-negative and non-decreasing real function in $(0, \infty)$ with lower order*

$$\mu(T) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} < \infty$$

and order

$$0 < \lambda(T) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r)}{\log r} \leq \infty.$$

Then for any positive number $\mu \leq \sigma \leq \lambda$ and any set E with finite measure, there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ such that

- (1) $r_n \notin E$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n}{n} = \infty$;
- (2) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r_n)}{\log r_n} \geq \sigma$;
- (3) $T(t) < (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{2t}{r_n}\right)^\sigma T(r_n/2)$, $t \in [r_n/n, nr_n]$;
- (4) $T(t)/t^{\sigma-\varepsilon_n} \leq 2^{\sigma+1} T(r_n)/r_n^{\sigma-\varepsilon_n}$, $1 \leq t \leq nr_n$, $\varepsilon_n = [\log n]^{-2}$.

The sequence $\{r_n\}$ is called the Pólya peaks of order σ outside E . Given a positive function $\Lambda(r)$ satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(r) = 0$, for $r > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$D_\Lambda(r, a) = \left\{ \theta \in [-\pi, \pi) : \log^+ \frac{1}{|f(re^{i\theta}) - a|} > \Lambda(r)T(r, f) \right\},$$

and

$$D_\Lambda(r, \infty) = \{\theta \in [-\pi, \pi) : \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| > \Lambda(r)T(r, f)\}.$$

The following result is called the spread relation, which was conjectured by Edrei [3] and proved by Baernstein [1].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(z)$ be transcendental and meromorphic in \mathbb{C} of the finite lower order $\mu < \infty$ and the positive order $0 < \lambda \leq \infty$ and have one deficient value $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then for any sequence of Pólya peaks $\{r_n\}$ of order $\sigma > 0$, $\mu \leq \sigma \leq \lambda$ and any positive function $\Lambda(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, we have*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{meas } D_\Lambda(r_n, a) \geq \min \left\{ 2\pi, \frac{4}{\sigma} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(a, f)}{2}} \right\},$$

where meas denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $D_\Lambda(r_n, a)$.

Nevanlinna theory on the angular domain plays an important role in this paper. Let us recall the following terms (see [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) &= \frac{\omega}{\pi} \int_1^r \left(\frac{1}{t^\omega} - \frac{t^\omega}{r^{2\omega}} \right) [\log^+ |f(te^{i\alpha})| + \log^+ |f(te^{i\beta})|] \frac{dt}{t}, \\ B_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) &= \frac{2\omega}{\pi r^\omega} \int_\alpha^\beta \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \sin \omega(\theta - \alpha) d\theta, \\ C_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) &= 2 \sum_{1 < |b_n| < r} \left(\frac{1}{|b_n|^\omega} - \frac{|b_n|^\omega}{r^{2\omega}} \right) \sin \omega(\theta_n - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \pi/(\beta - \alpha)$, and $b_n = |b_n|e^{i\theta_n}$ is a pole of $f(z)$ in the angular domain $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$, appears according to its multiplicity. The Nevanlinna's angular characteristic is defined as follows:

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) = A_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) + B_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) + C_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f).$$

The following lemma (see [4]) is the Nevanlinna first and second fundamental theorem on the angular domains.

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function on the angular domain $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for any complex number a ,*

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) = S_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a} \right) + O(1), \quad r \rightarrow \infty;$$

and for any $q(\geq 3)$ distinct points $a_j \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, q$),

$$(q - 2)S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q C_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_j} \right) + Q_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f),$$

where

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) = (A + B)_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{f'}{f} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^q (A + B)_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{f'}{f - a_j} \right) + O(1).$$

The key point is the estimation of the error term $Q_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$, which can be obtained for our purpose of this paper as follows. And the following is true (see [4]). Write

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) = A_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{f^{(p)}}{f} \right) + B_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{f^{(p)}}{f} \right).$$

Then

$$(1) Q_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) = O(\log r) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ when } \sigma(f) < \infty;$$

(2) $Q_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) = O(\log r + \log T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \notin E$ when $\sigma(f) = \infty$, where E is a set with finite linear measure.

Zheng [13] compared the relationship between $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, f^{(k)})$ and obtained

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f^{(k)}) &\leq N(r, f^{(k)}) + m(r, f) + m(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}) \\ &= k\overline{N}(r, f) + T(r, f) + m(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}) \\ &\leq (k+1)T(r, f) + m(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}). \end{aligned}$$

The following result is useful for our study, the proof of which is similar to the case of the characteristic function $T(r, f)$ and $T(r, f^{(k)})$ on the whole complex plane, see [12].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane. Then for any angular domain $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$, we have*

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f^{(p)}) \leq (p+1)S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) + Q_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f).$$

Wu [8] established the following which estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function in an angular region.

Lemma 2.5. *Let f be a meromorphic function on the angular region $\overline{\Omega}(\alpha, \beta)$ with finite order ρ , let $\Gamma = \{(n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_j, m_j)\}$ denote a finite set of distinct pairs of integers satisfying $n_i > m_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be given constants. Then there exists $K > 0$ depending only on f, ε, δ such that*

$$\left| \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{f^{(m)}(z)} \right| < K|z|^{(n-m)(k_\delta+2\rho+1+\varepsilon)} (\sin k_\delta(\varphi - \alpha - \delta))^{-2^{n-m}}, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $(n, m) \in \Gamma$ and all $z = re^{i\varphi} \in \Omega(\alpha + \delta, \beta - \delta)$ except for an \mathbb{R} -set, that is, a countable union of discs whose total radii have finite sum, where $k_\delta = \pi/(\beta - \alpha - 2\delta)$.

In order to make it clearly, we give the definition of an \mathbb{R} -set.

Definition 2.1. Let $B(z_n, r_n) = \{z : |z - z_n| < r_n\}$ be an open disk on the complex plane. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$. We call $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B(z_n, r_n)$ an \mathbb{R} -set.

The following result was firstly established by Zheng [12, Theorem 2.4.7], which gives the relation between $S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f)$ and $\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f)$, and it is crucial for our study.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f(z)$ be a function meromorphic on $\Omega = \Omega(\alpha, \beta)$. Then*

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) \leq 2\omega^2 \frac{\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f)}{r^\omega} + \omega^3 \int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega, f)}{t^{\omega+1}} dt + O(1),$$

and for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, putting $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z : \alpha + \varepsilon < \arg z < \beta - \varepsilon\}$,

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) \geq \omega \sin(\omega\varepsilon) \frac{\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega_\varepsilon, f)}{r^\omega} + \omega^2 \sin(\omega\varepsilon) \int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega_\varepsilon, f)}{t^{\omega+1}} dt + O(1), \quad \omega = \frac{\pi}{\beta - \alpha}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. We suppose that there exists a nontrivial meromorphic solution f such that $\sigma_{\alpha,\beta}(f) < +\infty$. In view of Lemma 2.5, there exists a constant $M > 0$ not depending on z such that

$$\left| \frac{f^{(j)}(z)}{f(z)} \right| < |z|^M \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

for all $z \in \Omega(\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon)$ except for a \mathbb{R} -set D . For D , we can define a set $E = \{r > 0 | \exists z \in D, \text{ s.t. } |z| = r\}$ thus

$$\text{meas } E < \infty.$$

Since $\mu(A_0) < \infty$, $T(r, A_0)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.1, there exists a sequence of Pólya peaks $\{r_n\}$ of order τ of $T(r, A_0)$ and $r_n \notin E$. We define a real function $\Lambda(r)$ by

$$\Lambda(r)^2 = \max \left\{ \frac{T(r_n, A_j)}{T(r_n, A_0)}, \frac{\log r_n}{T(r_n, A_0)}; j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}, \text{ for } r_n \leq r < r_{n+1}.$$

Obviously $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(r) = 0$. In light of Lemma 2.2, for all sufficiently large n and small $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\beta - \alpha > 2\pi - \frac{4}{\tau} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, A_0)}{2}} + 4\varepsilon$, we have

$$\text{meas } D_\Lambda(r_n) \geq \min \left\{ 2\pi, \frac{4}{\tau} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, A_0)}{2}} \right\} - \varepsilon,$$

where

$$D_\Lambda(r_n) = \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) : \log^+ |A_0(r_n e^{i\theta})| > \Lambda(r_n) T(r_n, A_0)\}.$$

We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}(D_\Lambda(r_n) \cap [\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon]) &\geq \text{meas}(D_\Lambda(r_n)) - \text{meas}([- \pi, \pi] \setminus (\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon)) \\ &= \text{meas}(D_\Lambda(r_n)) - \text{meas}([- \pi, \alpha + \varepsilon] \cup [\beta - \varepsilon, \pi]) \\ &> \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha + \varepsilon}^{\beta - \varepsilon} \log^+ |A_0(r_n e^{i\theta})| d\theta &\geq \int_{D_\Lambda(r_n) \cap [\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon]} \log^+ |A_0(r_n e^{i\theta})| d\theta \\ &\geq \varepsilon \Lambda(r_n) T(r_n, A_0). \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\text{meas } D'_\Lambda(r_n) = \text{meas}\{\theta : r_n e^{i\theta} \in D\} = 0.$$

Set $D_{n,\varepsilon} = D_\Lambda(r_n) \cap [\alpha + \varepsilon, \beta - \varepsilon] \setminus D'_\Lambda(r_n)$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_{n,\varepsilon}} \log^+ |A_0(r_n e^{i\theta})| d\theta &\leq \int_{D_{n,\varepsilon}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log^+ \left| \frac{f^{(j)}(r_n e^{i\theta})}{f(r_n e^{i\theta})} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^n \log^+ |A_j(r_n e^{i\theta})| \right) d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{D_{n,\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=1}^n \log^+ |A_j(r_n e^{i\theta})| d\theta + O(\log r_n) \\ &\leq \Lambda^2(r_n) T(r_n, A_0). \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities imply that

$$\varepsilon \Lambda(r_n) \leq \Lambda^2(r_n).$$

This contradicts $\Lambda(r_n) \rightarrow 0$. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. Set $q = \max_{j \neq k} \{\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(A_j), \sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(F)\} < \sigma_{\alpha+\delta, \beta-\delta}(A_k) = p$. Suppose that f is a solution of (1.3) with $\sigma(f) < +\infty$. It follows from (1.3) that

$$-A_k(z) = A_n(z) \frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)} + \cdots + A_{k+1}(z) \frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)} + A_{k-1}(z) \frac{f^{(k-1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)} + \cdots + A_0(z) \frac{f(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)} - \frac{F(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Hence from Nevanlinna theory of value distribution of meromorphic functions in the angular region, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_k) &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} \left(S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_j) + S_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f^{(k)}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, F) + S_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) + O(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_j) + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f^{(j)})) + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, F) \\ &\quad + (n+1) S_{\alpha, \beta} \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_j) + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f^{(j)})) \\ &\quad + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, F) + (n+1) S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_j) + (j+1) S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)) + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, F) \\ &\quad + (n+1)(k+1) S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f) + O(\log r) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

holds for all r outside a set $E \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\text{meas } E = \delta < +\infty$. It follows from (4.2) that for all $r \notin E$

$$S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_k) \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, A_j) + O(S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)) + S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, F) + O(\log r). \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 2.6 and (4.3), we have

$$\omega \sin(\omega \varepsilon) \frac{\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega_\varepsilon, A_k)}{r^\omega} + O(1) \leq 2\omega^2 \frac{\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega)}{r^\omega} + \omega^3 \int_1^r \frac{\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega)}{t^{\omega+1}} dt + O(\log r), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} \mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, A_j) + O(\mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, f)) + \mathcal{T}(r, \Omega, F)$.

Since $\sigma_{\alpha+\delta, \beta-\delta}(A_k) = p$, by the existence of Pólya peaks, there exists $\{r_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ outside E such that

$$\liminf_{r_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mathcal{T}(r_n, \Omega_\delta, A_k)}{\log r_n} \geq p. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, for any given $0 < \varepsilon < (p - q)/2$, and for $0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k$

$$\mathcal{T}(r_n, \Omega, A_j) < r_n^{q+\varepsilon}, \quad \mathcal{T}(r_n, \Omega, A_k) > r_n^{p-\varepsilon}, \quad \mathcal{T}(r_n, \Omega, F) < r_n^{q+\varepsilon} \quad (4.6)$$

hold for sufficiently large r_n . Moreover, putting $\sigma = \sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$, then

$$\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega, A_j) < t^{q+\varepsilon}, \quad \mathcal{T}(t, \Omega, F) < t^{q+\varepsilon}, \quad \mathcal{T}(t, \Omega, f) < t^{\sigma+\varepsilon} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_1^{r_n} \frac{\mathcal{T}(t, \Omega)}{t^{\omega+1}} dt \leq O(r_n^{q+\varepsilon-\omega} + r_n^{\sigma+\varepsilon-\omega}). \quad (4.7)$$

From (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) we get for sufficiently large r_n ,

$$r_n^{p-\varepsilon} \leq O(r_n^{q+\varepsilon} + r_n^{\sigma+\varepsilon}) + O(r_n^\omega \log r_n). \quad (4.8)$$

In view of $O(r_n^{q+\varepsilon}) < \frac{1}{2}r_n^{p-\varepsilon}$, and $O(r_n^\omega \log r_n) < r_n^{\sigma+\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}r_n^{p-\varepsilon} \leq O(r_n^{\sigma+\varepsilon}).$$

Hence, $\sigma_{\alpha, \beta}(f) = \sigma \geq p$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. Set $\iota = \max_{j \neq k} \{\sigma(A_j), \sigma(F)\} < \sigma(A_k) = \kappa$. Suppose that f is a solution to (1.3) with $\sigma(f) = \sigma < +\infty$. It follows from (1.3) that

$$-A_k(z) = A_n(z) \frac{f^{(n)}}{f^{(k)}} + \cdots + A_{k+1}(z) \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}} + A_{k-1}(z) \frac{f^{(k-1)}}{f^{(k)}} + \cdots + A_0(z) \frac{f}{f^{(k)}} - \frac{F(z)}{f^{(k)}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Hence from Nevanlinna theory of value distribution of meromorphic functions in the complex plane,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, A_k) &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} \left(T(r, A_j) + T\left(r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f^{(k)}}\right) \right) + T(r, F) + T\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (T(r, A_j) + T(r, f^{(j)})) + T(r, F) + (n+1)T\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + O(1) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (T(r, A_j) + T(r, f^{(j)})) + T(r, F) + (n+1)T(r, f^{(k)}) + O(1) \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} (T(r, A_j) + (j+1)T(r, f)) + T(r, F) \\ &\quad + (n+1)(k+1)T(r, f) + O(\log r) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

holds for all r outside a set $E \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\text{meas } E = \delta < +\infty$. It follows from (5.2) that for all $r \notin E$

$$T(r, A_k) \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k} T(r, A_j) + O(T(r, f)) + T(r, F) + O(\log r). \quad (5.3)$$

Since $\sigma(A_k) = \kappa$, by the existence of Pólya peaks, there exists $\{r_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ outside E such that

$$\liminf_{r_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r_n, A_k)}{\log r_n} \geq \kappa.$$

Therefore for any given $0 < \varepsilon < (\kappa - \iota)/2$, and for $0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq k$

$$T(r_n, A_j) < r_n^{\iota+\varepsilon}, \quad T(r_n, A_k) > r_n^{\kappa-\varepsilon}, \quad T(r_n, F) < r_n^{\iota+\varepsilon} \quad (5.4)$$

hold for sufficiently large r_n . From (5.3) and (5.4), we get for sufficiently large r_n ,

$$r_n^{\kappa-\varepsilon} \leq O(r_n^{\iota+\varepsilon} + r_n^{\sigma+\varepsilon}) + O(\log r_n). \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore $\sigma(f) = \sigma \geq \kappa$. \square

References

- [1] A. Baernstein, *Proof of Edrei's spread conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **26** (1973), 418-434.
- [2] B. Belaidi and K. Hamani, *Order and hyper-order of entire solutions of linear differential equations with entire coefficients*, Electronic J. of Differ. Equations, **17** (2003), 1-12.
- [3] A. Edrei, *Sums of deficiencies of meromorphic function*, J. Analyse Math., **14** (1965), pp.79-107
- [4] A. A. Goldberg and I. V. Ostrovskii, *The Distribution of Values of Meromorphic Functions* (in Russian), Izdat. Nauk. Moscow, 1970.
- [5] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Maruzen Co. LTD Tokyo, 1959.
- [7] L. Yang, *Value Distribution and New Research*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] S. J. Wu, *Estimates for the logarithmic derivative of a meromorphic function in an angle, and their application*. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Analysis I (Zhong Li, Fu Yao Ren, Lo Yang and Shun Yan Zhang eds.), International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994, 235-240.
- [9] S. J. Wu, *On the growth of solutions of second order linear differential equations in an angle*, Complex Variables, **24** (1994), 241-248.
- [10] J. F. Xu and H. X. Yi, *Solutions of higher order linear differential equations in an angle*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **22** (4) (2009), 484-489.
- [11] L. Yang, *Borel directions of meromorphic functions in an angular domain*, Sci. in China, Math. (I) (1979), 149-163.
- [12] J. H. Zheng, *Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [13] J. H. Zheng, *On transcendental meromorphic functions with radially distributed values*, Sci. in China Ser.A. Math., **47** (3) (2004), 401-416.

Nan Wu
Department of Mathematics,
School of Science,
China University of Mining and Technology
(Beijing),
Beijing, 100083,
People's Republic of China.
wunan2007@163.com

Ye-Zhou Li
School of Science,
Beijing University of Posts and Tele
Communications,
Beijing, 100876,
People's Republic of China.
yiyexiaoquan@yahoo.com.cn