



ISSN 1600-5368



# Crystal structure of (*E*)-3-(5-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde

Sung-Gon Kim

Department of Chemistry, Kyonggi University, 154-42, Gwanggyosan-ro, Yeong-tong-gu, Suwon 443-760, Republic of Korea. \*Correspondence e-mail: sgkim123@kyonggi.ac.kr

Received 27 August 2014; accepted 28 October 2014

Edited by T. N. Guru Row, Indian Institute of Science, India


The title compound,  $C_9H_7BrO_2$ , displays a *trans* configuration with respect to the  $C=C$  double bond and is essentially planar [maximum deviation from the least-squares plane through all non-H atoms = 0.056 (4) Å]. The vinylaldehyde group adopts an extended conformation with a  $C-C-C-C$  torsion angle of 179.7 (4)°. In the crystal, molecules are linked by classical  $O-H\cdots O$  and weak  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds into a three-dimensional supramolecular network.

**Keywords:** crystal structure; *trans* configuration; vinylaldehyde group; hydrogen bonding; three-dimensional supramolecular network 2-hydroxycinnamaldehydes.

**CCDC reference:** 1031276

## 1. Related literature

For the synthesis of 2-hydroxycinnamaldehydes, see: Kim *et al.* (2004); Zeiter & Rose (2009). For their biological activity, see: Kwon *et al.* (1996); Lee *et al.* (1999); Ka *et al.* (2003); Gan *et al.* (2009); Han *et al.* (2011). For their synthetic applications, see: Cabrera *et al.* (2008); Zu *et al.* (2009); Choi & Kim (2010); Lee & Kim (2011); Lee *et al.* (2011). For related structures, see: Kang & Kim (2013).



## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Crystal data

$C_9H_7BrO_2$   
 $M_r = 227.06$   
 Orthorhombic, *Pna*2<sub>1</sub>  
 $a = 12.1230$  (11) Å  
 $b = 15.0901$  (14) Å  
 $c = 4.8763$  (4) Å

$V = 892.06$  (14) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

$\mu = 4.56$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 200$  K  
 $0.41 \times 0.35 \times 0.15$  mm

### 2.2. Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007)  
 $T_{min} = 0.256$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.548$   
 6031 measured reflections  
 2052 independent reflections  
 1683 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{int} = 0.024$

### 2.3. Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.086$   
 $S = 1.16$   
 2052 reflections  
 110 parameters  
 1 restraint  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.48$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.58$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983)  
 Absolute structure parameter: 0.021 (19)

**Table 1**

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$O1-H1\cdots O2^i$	0.84	1.89	2.693 (5)	160
$C5-H5\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.95	2.35	3.267 (6)	162

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + 1$ ; (ii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Kyonggi University Research Grant 2013.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GW2149).

## References

- Bruker (2007). *SMART*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cabrera, S., Reyes, E., Alemán, J., Milelli, A., Kobbelaard, S. & Jørgensen, K. A. (2008). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 12031–12037.
- Choi, K.-S. & Kim, S.-G. (2010). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **51**, 5203–5206.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Gan, F. F., Chua, Y. S., Scarmagnani, S., Palaniappan, P., Franks, M., Poobalasingam, T., Bradshaw, T. D., Westwell, A. D. & Hagen, T. (2009). *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **387**, 741–747.
- Han, Y.-M., Shin, D.-S., Lee, Y.-J., Ismail, I. A., Hong, S.-H., Han, D. C. & Kwon, B. M. (2011). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **21**, 747–751.
- Kim, J. H., Lee, S., Kwon, M.-G., Park, Y. S., Choi, S.-K. & Kwon, B.-M. (2004). *Syn. Commun.* **34**, 1223–1228.
- Ka, H., Park, H. J., Jung, H. J., Choi, J. W., Cho, K. S., Ha, J. & Lee, K. T. (2003). *Cancer Lett.* **196**, 143–152.
- Kang, K.-T. & Kim, S.-G. (2013). *Acta Cryst.* **E69**, o534.
- Kwon, B. M., Cho, Y. K., Lee, S. H., Nam, J. Y., Bok, S. H., Chen, S. K., Kim, J. A. & Lee, I. R. (1996). *Planta Med.* **62**, 183–184.

- Lee, C. W., Hong, D. H., Han, S. B., Park, S. H., Kim, H. K., Kwon, B. M. & Kim, H. M. (1999). *Planta Med.* **65**, 263–266.
- Lee, Y. & Kim, S.-G. (2011). *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **32**, 311–314.
- Lee, Y., Seo, S. W. & Kim, S.-G. (2011). *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **353**, 2671–2675.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.
- Zeitler, K. & Rose, C. A. (2009). *J. Org. Chem.* **74**, 1759–1762.
- Zu, L., Zhang, S., Xie, H. & Wang, W. (2009). *Org. Lett.* **11**, 1627–1630.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2014). E70, o1219–o1220 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814023708]

## Crystal structure of (*E*)-3-(5-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde

Sung-Gon Kim

### S1. Structural commentary

For related structures, see: Kang *et al.* (2013). 2-Hydroxycinnamaldehyde, isolated from the stern bark of *cinnamomum cassia*, and its synthetic derivatives have been shown to inhibit on farnesyl protein transferase in vitro as well as angiogenesis. (Kwon *et al.* 1996; Lee *et al.* 1999; Ka *et al.* 2003). Andrecently they also have been reported to have anti-tumor effects against various human tumor cells in vitro and in vivo (Gan *et al.* 2009; Han *et al.* 2011). In view of these potential applications and in continuation of our work, the structure of the title compound has been carried out and the results are presented here.


X-ray analysis confirms the molecular structure and atom connectivity as illustrated in Fig. 1. The title compound is essentially planar. The C=C double bond is in an *E* conformation and the vinylaldehyde groups adopt extended conformations as can be seen from the torsion angles C2—C7—C8—C9 = 179.7 (4)°. In the crystal, the molecules are linked by classic O—H⋯O hydrogen bond and weak C—H⋯O hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

### S2. Synthesis and crystallization


5-Bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (5.0 mmol, 1.01 g) and (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetaldehyde (6.0 mmol, 1.83 g) were dissolved in benzene (50 ml). After stirring for 6 h, solvent was evaporated. Purification by silica gel chromatography was afforded the title compound. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from an n-hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution..

### S3. Refinement

All H atoms were positioned geometrically, (O—H = 0.84 Å and C—H = 0.95–0.96 Å) and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = xU_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ , where  $x = 1.2$  for all other H atoms.

**Figure 1**

A view of the molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

**Figure 2**

A partial view of the crystal packing of the title compound. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

**(E)-3-(5-Bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde***Crystal data*C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub> $M_r = 227.06$ Orthorhombic,  $Pna2_1$ Hall symbol:  $P\ 2c\ -2n$  $a = 12.1230\ (11)\ \text{\AA}$  $b = 15.0901\ (14)\ \text{\AA}$  $c = 4.8763\ (4)\ \text{\AA}$  $V = 892.06\ (14)\ \text{\AA}^3$  $Z = 4$  $F(000) = 448$  $D_x = 1.691\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$ 

Cell parameters from 3701 reflections

 $\theta = 2.7\text{--}28.2^\circ$  $\mu = 4.56\ \text{mm}^{-1}$  $T = 200\ \text{K}$ 

Block, pale yellow

 $0.41 \times 0.35 \times 0.15\ \text{mm}$ *Data collection*Bruker SMART CCD area-detector  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

 $\phi$  and  $\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(SADABS; Bruker, 2007)

 $T_{\min} = 0.256$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.548$ 

6031 measured reflections

2052 independent reflections

1683 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$  $\theta_{\max} = 28.3^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.2^\circ$  $h = -16 \rightarrow 13$  $k = -20 \rightarrow 19$  $l = -6 \rightarrow 5$ *Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$  $wR(F^2) = 0.086$  $S = 1.16$ 

2052 reflections

110 parameters

1 restraint

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.P)^2 + 1.2584P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.48\ \text{e \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.58\ \text{e \AA}^{-3}$ 

Absolute structure: Flack (1983)

Absolute structure parameter: 0.021 (19)

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.5560 (4)	0.3452 (3)	0.1499 (9)	0.0325 (9)
O1	0.4596 (3)	0.3084 (2)	0.0686 (7)	0.0452 (8)
H1	0.4091	0.3236	0.1766	0.068*

C2	0.6537 (4)	0.3149 (3)	0.0237 (8)	0.0295 (9)
C3	0.7531 (3)	0.3521 (3)	0.1065 (9)	0.0305 (9)
H3	0.8200	0.3336	0.0229	0.037*
C4	0.7550 (3)	0.4153 (3)	0.3081 (10)	0.0352 (10)
Br1	0.89425 (3)	0.45978 (3)	0.4305 (2)	0.04971 (16)
C5	0.6611 (3)	0.4457 (2)	0.4299 (17)	0.0350 (8)
H5	0.6645	0.4905	0.5663	0.042*
C6	0.5603 (4)	0.4099 (3)	0.3509 (8)	0.0359 (11)
H6	0.4942	0.4299	0.4351	0.043*
C7	0.6470 (4)	0.2463 (3)	−0.1865 (9)	0.0350 (9)
H7	0.5764	0.2211	−0.2199	0.042*
C8	0.7312 (4)	0.2157 (3)	−0.3365 (10)	0.0339 (9)
H8	0.8032	0.2391	−0.3108	0.041*
C9	0.7127 (3)	0.1470 (3)	−0.5372 (13)	0.0368 (10)
H9	0.6403	0.1234	−0.5524	0.044*
O2	0.7830 (3)	0.1175 (2)	−0.6872 (7)	0.0426 (8)

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.022 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.038 (2)	−0.0013 (17)	0.0002 (17)	−0.0013 (19)
O1	0.0208 (16)	0.062 (2)	0.053 (2)	−0.0040 (15)	0.0017 (14)	−0.0239 (17)
C2	0.026 (2)	0.033 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.0007 (16)	0.0008 (15)	−0.0015 (16)
C3	0.021 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.0016 (16)	0.0024 (17)	0.0006 (18)
C4	0.026 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.045 (2)	−0.0046 (17)	−0.0061 (18)	−0.001 (2)
Br1	0.0290 (2)	0.0552 (3)	0.0649 (3)	−0.00854 (18)	−0.0047 (3)	−0.0132 (3)
C5	0.0267 (18)	0.0353 (18)	0.043 (2)	−0.0007 (14)	0.002 (4)	−0.007 (3)
C6	0.034 (2)	0.038 (2)	0.036 (3)	0.0056 (17)	0.0033 (17)	−0.0051 (18)
C7	0.031 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.039 (2)	−0.0026 (18)	0.0010 (19)	−0.0035 (19)
C8	0.028 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.0007 (18)	−0.0009 (19)	−0.0018 (18)
C9	0.029 (2)	0.0342 (19)	0.047 (3)	−0.0032 (15)	0.008 (2)	−0.002 (2)
O2	0.0374 (19)	0.0407 (17)	0.050 (2)	0.0004 (14)	0.0109 (14)	−0.0110 (15)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

C1—O1	1.353 (5)	C5—C6	1.391 (6)
C1—C6	1.384 (6)	C5—H5	0.9500
C1—C2	1.411 (6)	C6—H6	0.9500
O1—H1	0.8400	C7—C8	1.339 (6)
C2—C3	1.389 (6)	C7—H7	0.9500
C2—C7	1.459 (6)	C8—C9	1.443 (7)
C3—C4	1.370 (6)	C8—H8	0.9500
C3—H3	0.9500	C9—O2	1.208 (6)
C4—C5	1.363 (6)	C9—H9	0.9500
C4—Br1	1.913 (4)		
O1—C1—C6	122.0 (4)	C4—C5—H5	120.6
O1—C1—C2	117.6 (4)	C6—C5—H5	120.6

C6—C1—C2	120.4 (4)	C1—C6—C5	120.2 (4)
C1—O1—H1	109.5	C1—C6—H6	119.9
C3—C2—C1	118.1 (4)	C5—C6—H6	119.9
C3—C2—C7	122.6 (4)	C8—C7—C2	125.9 (4)
C1—C2—C7	119.3 (4)	C8—C7—H7	117.0
C4—C3—C2	120.3 (4)	C2—C7—H7	117.0
C4—C3—H3	119.9	C7—C8—C9	120.0 (4)
C2—C3—H3	119.9	C7—C8—H8	120.0
C5—C4—C3	122.2 (4)	C9—C8—H8	120.0
C5—C4—Br1	118.9 (4)	O2—C9—C8	124.5 (4)
C3—C4—Br1	118.9 (3)	O2—C9—H9	117.8
C4—C5—C6	118.9 (5)	C8—C9—H9	117.8
O1—C1—C2—C3	−179.8 (4)	Br1—C4—C5—C6	−176.7 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	−0.2 (6)	O1—C1—C6—C5	179.6 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C7	0.1 (6)	C2—C1—C6—C5	0.1 (7)
C6—C1—C2—C7	179.7 (4)	C4—C5—C6—C1	−0.7 (8)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1.0 (6)	C3—C2—C7—C8	−5.0 (7)
C7—C2—C3—C4	−178.9 (4)	C1—C2—C7—C8	175.1 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	−1.7 (7)	C2—C7—C8—C9	179.7 (4)
C2—C3—C4—Br1	176.5 (3)	C7—C8—C9—O2	177.5 (5)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.5 (8)		

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> $\cdots$ <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H $\cdots$ <i>A</i>
O1—H1 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.84	1.89	2.693 (5)	160
C5—H5 $\cdots$ O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.35	3.267 (6)	162

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x-1/2, -y+1/2, z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x+3/2, y+1/2, z+3/2$ .