

Original Article

Seroprevalence Survey of Zoonoses in Extremadura, Southwestern Spain, 2002–2003

Maria Angeles Asencio^{1*}, Oscar Herraiz², Jose Maria Tenias³, Eugenio Garduño⁴,
Maria Huertas¹, Rafael Carranza¹, and Julian Mauro Ramos⁵

¹Department of Microbiology, ²Clinical Analysis Services, and ³Research Support Unit, Hospital General La Mancha Centro, Alcázar de San Juan (Ciudad Real); ⁴Department of Microbiology, Hospital Universitario Infanta Cristina, Badajoz; and ⁵Health Statistics and Analysis Unit, Directorate General of Health Research and Quality Management of the Department of Health and Dependency, Regional Government of Extremadura, Mérida, Spain

SUMMARY: Our aims were to determine the seroprevalence rates for the most common types of zoonosis among the population of Extremadura (southwestern Spain) and to identify the associated risk factors. We conducted a seroepidemiological survey to collect information on family background and the habits of people residing in Extremadura between 2002 and 2003. Antibodies to *Brucella* were determined by Rose Bengal staining and a standard tube agglutination test; a titer of 1/80 was considered to be positive. Antibody titers for spotted fever, leishmaniasis, echinococcosis, and toxoplasmosis were determined by enzyme-immunoassays. Independent risk factors identified were age (younger age for brucellosis), male gender (brucellosis, spotted fever, and toxoplasmosis), occupation and contact with animals (brucellosis and spotted fever for those in contact with goats, hydatidosis for those in contact with sheep, leishmaniasis for those in contact with dogs, and toxoplasmosis for those in contact with cats and pigs), and consuming contaminated food (brucellosis by eating fresh cheese, hydatidosis by eating homemade sausages, and toxoplasmosis by eating pork). Except for leishmaniasis, the other zoonoses were more prevalent in rural areas, and, with the exception of brucellosis, they were all more prevalent in Badajoz. The distribution of zoonoses in Extremadura was strongly influenced by keeping livestock and eating habits. Thus, brucellosis was more prevalent in Caceres (associated with cheese consumption), while toxoplasmosis (pork consumption) and spotted fever (from hunting) were more common in Badajoz.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, zoonoses have become an important issue in public health due to their worldwide distribution, their incidence in humans, and the economic, health, and social problems associated with zoonoses (1–3). As a member of the European Union, Spain implements a common system of protective measures against certain types of zoonosis. These measures focus primarily on 2 aspects, a system for monitoring and reporting cases and programs to combat, control, and eradicate these types of zoonosis. Such measures have resulted in continued reduction in the number of cases reported nationwide (4).

However, since 2000, this positive development suffered a setback, with the appearance of active outbreaks of brucellosis in various regions of Spain (4). Thus, although between 2001 and 2009, the incidence of brucellosis in Extremadura declined from 7.17 to 0.93 cases per 100,000 inhabitants per year (4), Extremadura is an autonomous community with the most reported

cases in Spain, particularly in the province of Caceres, in which brucellosis occurs predominantly in small towns (5). The annual incidence of echinococcosis and spotted fever in this region is also generally higher than the national average (4).

Identifying of the sources of the foci for certain zoonoses that do not disappear (6) and assessing the epidemiological situation would aid in defining the actual impact of these diseases. To date, there have been no studies on the seroprevalence rates of zoonoses in representative samples of the general population; thus, the actual prevalence of these diseases and their association with recognized risk factors remain unknown.

Therefore, our aims in this study were to determine the seroprevalence rates for the most common types of zoonosis among humans living in Extremadura and to identify the risk factors associated with zoonosis.

METHODS

Target population: Our target population for this survey included any resident of Extremadura older than 24 months of age who had undergone a blood test in a Public Health Primary Care Clinic between 2002 and 2003.

Sampling type and size: A complex sampling design was used, with 2-stage equiprobabilistic clustering. The sample size was proportionally allocated by health area and district and stratified by age groups and gender. Extremadura is divided into 8 health areas, 4 in Badajoz (Badajoz, Merida, Don Benito-Villanueva, and Llerena-

Received May 3, 2014. Accepted July 22, 2014. J-STAGE Advance Publication November 25, 2014.

DOI: 10.7883/yoken.JJID.2014.181

*Corresponding author: Mailing address: Hospital General La Mancha Centro, Avda de la Constitución 3, 13.600 Alcázar de San Juan (Ciudad Real), Spain. Tel: +34926580567, Fax: +34926546882, E-mail: marian_asencio@yahoo.es

Zafra) and 4 in Cáceres (Cáceres, Coria, Navalmoral, and Plasencia), with 36 health districts in all. To determine the required sample size, a formula for simple random sampling was used, after applying a correction factor for design effect that was estimated to be 1.4. Participating health centers were randomly chosen through computer assignments of pseudorandom numbers, using the 1991 census as the reference population.

Zoonosis types and variables included in this study: Zoonoses of greater interest to the autonomous community of Extremadura were chosen. These included brucellosis, echinococcosis, Mediterranean spotted fever, leishmaniasis, and toxoplasmosis. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect demographic data, personal and family histories, current and/or prior occupation and occupation of the head of household, any vaccines and pharmacological treatments received, contact with animals (sheep, goats, cows, dogs, and cats), outdoor excursions (hunting, fishing, etc.), tick bites, and consumption of unpasteurized milk or dairy products (cheese and/or homemade butter), homemade sausages, and big game meat (wild boar, deer). We excluded those people with any type of immunosuppressive disease and/or who had received immunosuppressive therapy during the 3 months prior to this study.

Serological techniques: The presence of IgG antibodies for *Brucella* was determined using a slide agglutination test with Rose Bengal staining (Difco, Madrid, Spain). To confirm the results and determine antibody titers, the tube agglutination standard test was performed with the same reagent; a titer of 1/80 was considered to be the threshold for a positive result. IgG antibody titers for the other zoonoses (spotted fever, leishmaniasis, toxoplasmosis, and echinococcosis) were determined using commercial enzyme immunoassays (Vircell, SL, Granada, Spain).

Data analysis: We evaluated our data using descriptive analyses. Point prevalence was estimated from the proportion (as determined from 95% confidence intervals) of the population that had antibodies directed against the diseases under study in each group (by age and gender), and stratified by the different variables ex-

amined.

Ethics statement: All experiments were in compliance with relevant laws and guidelines and in accordance with the ethical standards established in the Declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS

A total 2,660 subjects were selected for this study. Their mean age was 32.2 years (SD: 23.8 years; range: 2–93 years). Our sample population included slightly more women (1,464; 55%) than men (1,196; 45%). The distributions for zoonosis prevalence by age and gender are shown in Table 1. Toxoplasmosis was the most prevalent zoonosis in Extremadura, particularly in the province of Badajoz, distantly followed by spotted fever and echinococcosis. In general, adults were more often affected than were children.

Brucellosis: This zoonosis primarily affected men (5-fold increased risk) between the ages of 20 and 50 years (Table 1). It was significantly related to occupation and contact with cattle (Table 2). Independent risk factors included male gender and having contact with goats and pigs (Table 3). Infection prevalence was higher in the health areas of Plasencia and Don Benito (Table 4), and predominantly in rural areas (Table 5).

Echinococcosis: No differences in prevalence were found for sex or age (Table 1). Actually, the only independent risk factors associated with this infection were consuming homemade sausages and contact with dogs (Table 3). Echinococcosis prevalence was higher in the health areas of Llerena and Navalmoral (Table 4), and mostly in rural areas (Table 5).

Spotted fever: This infection was slightly more prevalent among males aged 60 years and older (Table 1), and was significantly associated with occupation, tick bites, and contact with cows, goats, and pigs (Table 2). Independent risk factors included sex, age, tick bites, and contact with goats (Table 3). It was more prevalent in Badajoz and Llerena (Table 4), particularly in rural areas (Table 5).

Leishmaniasis: The prevalence of this infection was similar for both sexes, with a peak among those aged 60

Table 1. Seroprevalence of zoonosis analyzed by age and gender subgroups

| Age (yr) | M (n) | F (n) | Brucellosis | | Echinococcosis | | Spotted fever | | Leishmaniasis | | Toxoplasmosis | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F |
| 2–4 | 90 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 (3.6%) | 7 (7.3%) | 6 (6.7%) | 0 | 2 (2.2%) | 5 (5.2%) | 7 (7.8%) |
| 5–9 | 152 | 178 | 2 (1.1%) | 0 | 6 (3.4%) | 7 (4.6%) | 9 (5.1%) | 6 (3.9%) | 2 (1.1%) | 1 (0.7%) | 17 (9.6%) | 11 (7.2%) |
| 10–14 | 173 | 166 | 1 (0.6%) | 0 | 6 (3.6%) | 4 (2.3%) | 8 (4.8%) | 6 (3.5%) | 3 (1.8%) | 1 (0.6%) | 13 (7.8%) | 9 (5.2%) |
| 15–19 | 168 | 104 | 1 (1%) | 0 | 3 (2.9%) | 4 (2.4%) | 4 (3.8%) | 3 (1.8%) | 4 (3.8%) | 2 (1.2%) | 22 (21.2%) | 21 (12.5%) |
| 20–29 | 220 | 132 | 4 (3%) | 1 (0.5%) | 3 (2.3%) | 4 (1.8%) | 13 (9.8%) | 10 (4.5%) | 1 (0.8%) | 1 (0.5%) | 36 (27.3%) | 43 (19.5%) |
| 30–39 | 125 | 101 | 5 (5%) | 0 | 5 (5%) | 5 (4%) | 7 (6.9%) | 3 (2.4%) | 1 (1%) | 1 (0.8%) | 38 (37.6%) | 41 (32.8%) |
| 40–49 | 121 | 110 | 4 (3.6%) | 1 (0.8%) | 4 (3.6%) | 1 (0.8%) | 8 (7.3%) | 9 (7.4%) | 1 (0.9%) | 2 (1.7%) | 58 (52.7%) | 64 (52.9%) |
| 50–59 | 131 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 4 (5.1%) | 2 (1.55) | 4 (5.1%) | 11 (8.4%) | 1 (1.3%) | 1 (0.8%) | 55 (70.5%) | 80 (61.1%) |
| 60–69 | 141 | 113 | 1 (0.9%) | 0 | 3 (2.7%) | 0 | 17 (15%) | 8 (5.7%) | 7 (6.2%) | 7 (5%) | 89 (78.8%) | 109 (77.3%) |
| >69 | 143 | 118 | 2 (1.7%) | 1 (0.7%) | 2 (1.7%) | 1 (0.7%) | 17 (14.4%) | 10 (7%) | 3 (2.5%) | 4 (2.8%) | 98 (83.1%) | 119 (83.2%) |
| Total | 1,464 | 1,196 | 20 (1.7%) | 3 (0.2%) | 36 (3%) | 31 (2.1%) | 94 (7.9%) | 72 (4.9%) | 23 (1.9%) | 22 (1.5%) | 431 (36.0%) | 504 (34.4%) |
| Total | 2,660 | | 0.9% | | 2.5% | | 6.4% | | 1.7% | | 35.2% | |

M, male/men; F, female/women.

Table 2. Frequency of exposure to various risk factors for the types of zoonoses analyzed

| Risk factor | Brucellosis | | Echinococcosis | | Spotted fever | | Leishmaniasis | | Toxoplasmosis | |
|---|-------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | N (2,637) | P (23) | N (2,593) | P (67) | N (2,494) | P (166) | N (2,615) | P (45) | N (1,725) | P (935) |
| High-risk profession ¹⁾ | 9.3% | 52.4%* | 9.7% | 9.8% | 9.3% | 16.7%* | 9.8% | 7.9% | 5.7% | 15.9%* |
| High-risk profession for head of family | 19.3% | 45.5%* | 19.5% | 18.2% | 19.5% | 20.2% | 19.5% | 20.7% | 16.8% | 26.4%* |
| Non-sterilized milk | 12.4% | 21.7% | 12.5% | 13.4% | 12.5% | 12.7% | 12.5% | 15.6% | 10.3% | 16.6%* |
| Raw-milk cheese | 46.8% | 60.9% | 46.8% | 49.3% | 47.2% | 42.8% | 46.8% | 53.3% | 43.0% | 54.0%* |
| Homemade butter | 2.7% | 0% | 2.5% | 7.5%* | 2.7% | 2.4% | 2.6% | 8.9%* | 3.0% | 2.0% |
| Raw vegetables | 40.9% | 26.1% | 40.6% | 47.8% | 40.9% | 38.0% | 40.8% | 37.9% | 38.6% | 44.7%* |
| Homemade sausages | 63.6% | 87% | 63.6% | 74.3% | 63.9% | 63.3% | 63.8% | 66.7% | 61.4% | 68.2%* |
| Game | 15.4% | 34.8%* | 15.5% | 17.9% | 15.5% | 16.3% | 15.6% | 11.1% | 14.8% | 17.0% |
| Outdoor excursions | 49.1% | 65.2% | 49.2% | 50.7% | 49.1% | 50.6% | 49.2% | 48.9% | 50.9% | 46.1%* |
| Tick bites | 6.6% | 13% | 6.7% | 3.0% | 6.3% | 11.4%* | 6.6% | 8.9% | 6.2% | 7.5% |
| Contact with cows | 9.5% | 60.9%* | 9.9% | 9.0% | 9.5% | 16.9%* | 9.9% | 11.1% | 9.3% | 11.1% |
| Contact with sheep | 12.7% | 60.9%* | 13.2% | 13.4% | 12.9% | 17.5% | 13.1% | 15.6% | 12.6% | 14.1% |
| Contact with goats | 7.5% | 60.9%* | 7.9% | 7.5% | 7.5% | 15.1%* | 7.8% | 15.6% | 7.2% | 9.3% |
| Contact with pigs | 17.1% | 78.3%* | 17.6% | 17.9% | 17.2% | 23.5%* | 17.7% | 13.3% | 16.2% | 20.2%* |
| Contact with dogs | 49.8% | 65.2% | 49.7% | 59.7% | 49.8% | 52.4% | 49.9% | 53.3% | 53.0% | 44.4%* |
| Contact with cats | 30.6% | 65.2%* | 30.9% | 31.3% | 30.6% | 34.3% | 30.8% | 33.3% | 30.6% | 31.4% |

¹⁾: High-risk professions: agricultural industry, animal husbandry, veterinarians, butchers, slaughterers. N, negative; P, positive.

Table 3. Independent factors for seroprevalence of zoonosis in Extremadura

| Risk factor | Brucellosis | Echinococcosis | Spotted fever | Leishmaniasis | Toxoplasmosis |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | OR (CI 95%) | OR (CI 95%) | OR (CI 95%) | OR (CI 95%) | OR (CI 95%) |
| Sex (male vs. female) | 5.71 (1.66–19.7) | | 1.58 (1.15–2.18) | | 1.21 (0.99–1.48) |
| Age (1 year increase) | | | 1.013 (1.006–1.019) | 1.021 (1.008–1.033) | 1.066 (1.061–1.071) |
| High-risk profession | | | | | |
| Unsterilized milk | | | | | |
| Raw-milk cheese | | | | | |
| Homemade butter | | | | 3.98 (1.37–11.5) | |
| Raw vegetables | | | | | |
| Homemade sausages | | 1.61 (0.92–2.82) | | | |
| Game | | | | | |
| Outdoor excursions | | | | | |
| Tick bite | | | 1.51 (0.89–2.55) | | |
| Contact with cows | | | | | |
| Contact with sheep | | | | | |
| Contact with goats | 7.08 (2.43–20.6) | | 1.86 (1.17–2.97) | | |
| Contact with pigs | 9.44 (2.69–33.1) | | 1.37 (1.04–1.80) | | |
| Contact with dogs | 0.31 (0.11–0.91) | 1.42 (0.86–2.33) | | 1.36 (0.74–2.49) | |
| Contact with cats | | | | | 1.22 (0.97–1.54) |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

to 69 years (Table 1). The independent risk factors associated with this infection were age, consuming homemade butter, and contact with dogs (Table 3). The areas of highest prevalence were Mérida and Plasencia (Table 4), with a slight predominance in urban areas (Table 5).

Toxoplasmosis: This infection had a similar prevalence in both sexes, and the incidence of this zoonosis increased monotonically with age (Table 1). A statistically significant association was found between infection and an individual's occupation, consuming certain foods, such as raw milk, cheese, raw vegetables, and homemade sausage, and contact with pigs and dogs (Table 2). Identified independent risk factors were sex, age, and contact with pigs and cats (Table 3). The areas with

greatest toxoplasmosis prevalence were Badajoz and Llerena (Table 4), mostly in rural areas (Table 5). This zoonosis exhibited the greatest differences between the eight sample health areas, with a north-south and, to a lesser extent, a west-east gradient.

DISCUSSION

We found that the zoonoses investigated in our study were all associated with known risk factors, such as occupation, contact with animals, and consuming certain foods. Moreover, their incidence displayed an uneven geographical distribution, particularly toxoplasmosis that was most prevalent in Badajoz, and brucellosis, which was predominant in Cáceres. The seroprevalence

Table 4. Distribution of the seroprevalence for zoonosis by healthcare area

| Healthcare area | Brucellosis | | Echinococcosis | Spotted fever | Leishmaniasis | Toxoplasmosis ¹⁾ |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| | <i>n</i> | <i>n</i> (%) | <i>n</i> (%) | <i>n</i> (%) | <i>n</i> (%) | <i>n</i> (%) |
| Badajoz | 514 | 3 (0.6) | 13 (2.5) | 43 (8.4) | 8 (1.6) | 227 (44.2) |
| Cáceres | 351 | 2 (0.6) | 5 (1.4) | 19 (5.4) | 5 (1.4) | 105 (29.9) |
| Coria | 226 | 1 (0.4) | 8 (3.5) | 10 (4.4) | 2 (0.9) | 71 (31.4) |
| Don Benito | 371 | 5 (1.3) | 5 (1.3) | 15 (4) | 6 (1.6) | 136 (36.7) |
| Llerena | 253 | 3 (1.2) | 11 (4.3) | 21 (8.3) | 3 (1.2) | 97 (38.3) |
| Mérida | 346 | 1 (0.3) | 8 (2.3) | 19 (5.5) | 9 (2.6) | 113 (32.7) |
| Navalmoral de la Mata | 232 | 1 (0.4) | 9 (3.9) | 14 (6) | 3 (1.3) | 69 (29.7) |
| Plasencia | 367 | 7 (1.9) | 8 (2.2) | 25 (6.8) | 9 (2.5) | 117 (31.9) |

¹⁾: chi squared ($P < 0.01$).

Table 5. Seroprevalence for zoonosis in Extremadura by rural or urban area

| | Rural (<i>n</i> = 1,785) | Urban (<i>n</i> = 857) | <i>P</i> |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Brucellosis | 19 (1.1%) | 4 (0.5%) | 0.12 |
| Echinococcosis | 54 (3%) | 13 (1.5%) | 0.02 |
| Spotted fever | 118 (6.6%) | 46 (5.4%) | 0.22 |
| Lesihmaniasis | 27 (1.5%) | 18 (2.1%) | 0.27 |
| Toxoplasmosis | 644 (36.1%) | 286 (33.4%) | 0.17 |

rate of brucellosis was actually lower than expected based on reported cases, which emphasized that the seroprevalence rate of toxoplasmosis was the highest in this region (35%). Except for leishmaniasis, the other zoonoses were more prevalent in rural areas.

Brucellosis: Spain is one of the European Union countries that has the highest incidence of brucellosis, after Greece and Portugal (7), and Extremadura is an autonomous community with the highest incidence (8). This disease is primarily associated with *Brucella melitensis* and goat herding, although it is also associated with consuming fresh goat milk cheese (9). Based on our data, brucellosis was a zoonosis that was strongly associated with rural areas and occupation, as it was more frequently found among males who were in contact with goats or pigs. Gender is an important factor because those people with occupations that involve close contact with livestock are mostly men, those who are generally aged 30 to 40 years (10–12), and often involves various members of the same family (13). Our data showed that the prevalence of brucellosis was higher in those households for which the head of household had a high risk occupation.

The higher prevalence found in certain rural areas was due to disease outbreaks that occurred in recent years, which were mostly related to consuming raw-milk cheese (5,9,14). Despite measures that were established by the national brucellosis eradication program, the unauthorized sale of raw-milk cheese has made Extremadura a region with the highest incidence of this infection, with an overall prevalence of 0.9%. It is essential to debunk the mistaken belief that “homemade” is a guarantee for quality and raising awareness that these products can cause serious health problems. The prevalence of brucellosis that we found was similar to that found in other endemic areas (15), but lower than ex-

pected after taking into account the incidence data reported for this region (4). The epidemiological pattern of indirect transmission was in line with those observed in other areas of Spain, including Castile-La Mancha, Valencia, Andalusia, and Zaragoza (12,16–18), while its occupational epidemiological profile was similar to that found in other areas, such as Castile-Leon and Lerida (13,19–24).

Echinococcosis: Spain ranks third among European Union countries for the incidence of echinococcosis, after Bulgaria and Germany (7). This disease is endemic to the Mediterranean area (3), primarily in areas associated with animal husbandry like Extremadura, where this zoonosis has a high socioeconomic impact (25,26). In rural areas it is closely linked to the presence of dogs and sheep that carry the parasite *Echinococcus granulosus*, which may also be borne by wild game (27,28). Based on our data, this disease affected both sexes equally across all age groups, although older individuals tended to be the most affected, probably due to a longer exposure to risk factors, and with a marked predominance in rural areas. We found a slightly lower seroprevalence rate for Extremadura (2.5%) than for other affected Spanish regions, such as Castile-Leon (3.4%) (29) and La Rioja (30). In our study, contact with dogs tended to increase the risk of infection, although this was not statistically significant, probably due to the success of the echinococcosis control program that was implemented in 1983 (31,32) that emphasized pet deworming programs.

Spotted fever: An acute, albeit benign infection with a marked rural prevalence, spotted fever is caused by *Rickettsia conorii* and is transmitted to humans throughout the Mediterranean area due to common dog tick bites (33). We found a seroprevalence rate of 6.4% for Mediterranean fever, which was higher than that observed in the Canary Islands (4.4%) (34) but lower than the rates found in Andalusia (8.7%) and Catalonia (8%) (35,36), with male gender, age, and contact with animals being associated risk factors. This pattern was consistent with the profile associated with this disease (35,37), which in Extremadura may have been related to the number of people who go hunting with dogs and who may occasionally have been bitten by ticks, particularly in the area around Badajoz due to its climatic and orographic conditions (27). Our results showed an increased risk of becoming infected after coming in contact with goats, which indicated that occupational fac-

tors should also be taken into account in the epidemiology of this disease (38).

Leishmaniasis: In Spain, leishmaniasis is caused by *Leishmania infantum*, with urban transmission caused by sand fly bites and with visceral involvement (39). We found a seroprevalence rate of 1.7%, which was similar to that found in the Murcia region (40), but lower than that in Castile-Leon (4.9%) (41). However, unlike these other regions, in Extremadura this infection is more common in urban areas, perhaps because of the growing number of pet-owning families (42), in which both the young and elderly people keep close contact with animals (43). Furthermore, dog culling in countries like Spain is not generally accepted because a dog is considered to be another member of the family. Our study showed that both age and contact with dogs were risk factors for contact with *Leishmania*. Consuming homemade butter also appeared to be significantly associated with a history of contact, although this variable has not been recognized as a risk factor for leishmaniasis.

Toxoplasmosis: This is an urban zoonosis that is caused by *Toxoplasma gondii*. This infection is usually asymptomatic and self-limiting (44), except in immunocompromised patients (45). For humans, the most important sources of infection include contact with cats and eating raw or undercooked meat, contaminated water or food, and via placental transmission (46), as well as contact with soil (47). The seroprevalence rate of this infection in Extremadura at about 35% was moderate and consistent with those found in other countries of central and southern Europe at 30%–50% (48), although prevalence rates vary widely depending on the country (8). In our study, toxoplasmosis incidence was associated with age because the probability of contracting this disease increases with progressive exposures to risk factors, among which consuming pork and pork products is quite significant, particularly in the province of Badajoz that has a tradition of raising and slaughtering pigs at home for family use (49). In our study, no significant differences were found among those individuals who reported contact with cats, despite the known role of these animals in the epidemiology of this disease. Preventing infection is particularly important for non-immunized pregnant women due to the risk of congenital toxoplasmosis (50,51). Among the pregnant women included in our study, 25% had been immunized, which was in accordance with other studies done in Spain (52–54).

Our study had some limitations. The cross-sectional design did not allow us to verify whether or not an infection had occurred recently, so that its association with certain risk factors may have been uncertain. In addition, a possible information bias during data collection may have resulted in observing some unexpected associations. However, despite this possible bias, the classic risk factors for zoonosis were reproduced in our selected sample. Another limitation involves the study population itself. Although we believed that selecting patients who had been to health clinics was an ideal framework with which to conduct a seroepidemiological survey because it promotes patient cooperation and ensures proper data collection, this resulted in another important limitation; the population treated at the health

centers in question may not have adequately reflected the reference population.

Periodic seroprevalence studies provide useful information regarding the evolution of a given population's immunity against the infections studied, which promotes efficient decision-making based on data that represent the actual situations for these infections, and allows for more precisely adjusting the cut-off points for the serological techniques that are used.

In conclusion, despite the continuing decline in the number of zoonosis cases that are reported nationally, human cases are still detected in areas like Extremadura. The most interesting finding of our study was that the observed seroprevalence rate of brucellosis was lower than expected based on the incidence in this region. Also noteworthy was the observed seroprevalence rate of toxoplasmosis, which suggested that more than one third of the population in Extremadura suffered from this infection and that immunized pregnant women were protected from the risk of vertical transmission. The distributions of zoonoses found in this study can be easily associated with the cultural patterns of this region that is characterized by hunting and the raising of livestock, an increase in the number of pets kept by the inhabitants, and the local eating habits associated with raising livestock and other local eating habits. Thus, living in Badajoz was associated with toxoplasmosis primarily through consuming pork products and with spotted fever through hunting. In contrast, in the north of Cáceres, brucellosis was associated with raw-milk cheese consumption.

Acknowledgments We wish to thank Dr. Javier Blanco Palenciano, head of the Microbiology Department of the Infanta Cristina Hospital in Badajoz, along with Dr. Paloma Martín Cordero and Dr. Rosa María Sánchez Silos, microbiologists at the same hospital. We are also grateful to the Department of Medicine of the Medical School at the University of Extremadura, especially to Dr. MT Blanco Roca, Dr. Ciro Pérez Giraldo, and Dr. Cipriano Hurtado Manzano for their advice and support during the study period. Finally, we would like to thank the members of the regional government of Extremadura, particularly Dr. José Felipe Sánchez Cancho and Dr. Mara Álvarez Díaz, for their participation in this project.

This study was funded by a grant from the Department of Consumer Protection and Public Health of the Ministry of Health.

Conflict of interest None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Torgerson PR. One world health: socioeconomic burden and parasitic disease control priorities. *Vet Parasitol.* 2013;195: 223-32.
2. Dean AS, Crump L, Greter H, et al. Global burden of human brucellosis: a systematic review of disease frequency. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012;6:e1865.
3. Rojo-Vázquez FA, Pardo-Lledias J, Francos-Von Hunefeld M, et al. Cystic echinococcosis in Spain: current situation and relevance for other endemic areas in Europe. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2011;5: e893.
4. Boletín epidemiológico semanal. Instituto de Salud Carlos III. Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad. Available at <<http://revista.isciii.es/index.php/bes/>>.
5. Sanz C, Saez JL, Alvarez J, et al. Mass vaccination as a complementary tool in the control of a severe outbreak of bovine brucellosis due to *Brucella abortus* in Extremadura, Spain. *Prev Vet Med.* 2010;97:119-25.
6. Castell Monsalve J, Rullan JV, Peiro Callizo EF, et al. Epidemic outbreak of 81 cases of brucellosis following the consumption of fresh cheese without pasteurization. *Rev Esp Salud Publica.*

- 1996;70:303-11.
7. EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control). The European Union Summary Report on Trends and Sources of Zoonoses, Zoonotic Agents and Food-borne Outbreaks in 2011. EFSA J. 2013;11:3129 [250 pp.]. Available at <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal>.
 8. Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, et al. The new global map of human brucellosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006;6:91-9.
 9. Viciano Clemente FJ, Alejandro Alejandro J. Situación de la brucelosis en Badajoz. Bol Epidemiol Extremadura 1. 1997;13:117-24. Spanish.
 10. Rodríguez Torres A, Orduña Domingo A, Ariza Cardenal X, et al. Epidemiología y prevención de la brucelosis. La brucelosis como enfermedad profesional. In: Almaraz A, Rodríguez Torres A, et al. Manual de Brucelosis. Edita: Junta de Castilla y León 2001. Consejería de Sanidad y Bienestar Social. Dirección General de Salud Pública; 2001. p. 45-62. Spanish.
 11. Maraví-poma E, García-Carasusan M, Gamboa J, et al. Brucellosis: study of 22 cases. I. Epidemiology. Rev Clin Esp. 1982;166:55-8.
 12. Colmenero JD, Porras JJ, Valdivieso P, et al. Brucellosis: a prospective study of 100 cases. Med Clin. 1986;86:43-8.
 13. Serra Álvarez J, Godoy García P. Incidence, etiology and epidemiology of brucellosis in a rural area of the province of Lleida. Rev Esp Salud Pública. 2000;74:45-53.
 14. Álvarez Díaz MM, Morillo del Pozo G, Ramos Aceitero M. Brote de brucelosis en la Zona Básica de Salud de la Serena, Badajoz (octubre 1999-abril 2000). Bol Epidemiol Extremadura. 2000;1:169-72. Spanish.
 15. Serra J, Pujol R, Godoy P. Seroepidemiological study of brucellosis in a rural endemic area. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2000;18:74-8.
 16. Castell Monsalve J, Gutiérrez Ávila G, Ruiz Valdepeñas MA. Three outbreaks of brucellosis in a one-year period investigated by the occupational health service in Ciudad Real (Spain). Gac Sanit. 2009;23:562-3.
 17. Talamante Serrulla S, Calderón Martínez C, Cortés Vizcaino C, et al. An epidemiologic study of brucellosis in the province Valencia (1943-1989). Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 1991;65:259-67.
 18. Arribas Lorente JL, Navarro Gracia JF, Hernández Navarrete MJ, et al. Epidemiology of brucellosis. Retrospective study of 246 hospital cases. Rev Clin Esp. 1989;185:60-4.
 19. Zapatero E, Useros JL. editors. Epidemiología de la Brucelosis en Valladolid Durante los Años 1965 a 1971. Madrid: Dirección General de Sanidad. Ed. Giménez, 1971. Spanish.
 20. Navarro JF. Epidemiology of brucellosis in the province of Ávila. Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 1974;48:885-906.
 21. Suárez Fernández P. La brucelosis en la provincia de León. Rev Med Univ Navarra. 1978;22:49-54. Spanish.
 22. Ruiz Martínez P, Martínez González T, Simal Gil E, et al. Epidemiologic study of brucellosis in the province of Soria, 1967-1978. Departments of general pathology and microbiology, university college of Seria. Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 1981;55:999-1014.
 23. Cuesta J, Prieto J, Sánchez JM, et al. Epidemiological study of brucellosis in the province of Zamora in 1973-1982. Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 1984;753-67.
 24. Gallart J, Guerra A, Gorosabel J, et al. Brucellosis en la provincia de Burgos. Estudio clínico-epidemiológico de 181 casos. Atención Primaria. 1987;4:45-9. Spanish.
 25. Carmena D, Sanchez-Serrano LP, Barbero-Martinez I. *Echinococcus granulosus* infection in Spain. Zoonoses Public Health. 2008;55:156-65.
 26. Benner C, Carabin H, Sánchez-Serrano LP, et al. Analysis of the economic impact of cystic echinococcosis in Spain. Bull World Health Organ. 2010;88:49-57.
 27. González González E. Papel de la fauna cinegética en la difusión de zoonosis. Actuación veterinaria en monterías. En: Zoonosis en Extremadura. Junta de Extremadura. Consejería de Sanidad y consumo; 2001. p. 337-69. Spanish.
 28. Martin-Hernando MP, Gonzalez LM, Ruiz-Fons F, et al. Massive presence of *Echinococcus granulosus* (Cestoda, Taeniidae) cysts in a wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) from Spain. Parasitol Res. 2008;103:705-7.
 29. Gutiérrez MP, Ramírez I, Zarzosa MP, et al. Seroprevalencia de infección por *Echinococcus granulosus* en la población de Castilla y León. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2003;21:563-7. Spanish.
 30. Jiménez S, Pérez A, Gil H, et al. Progress in control of cystic echinococcosis in La Rioja, Spain: decline in infection prevalences in human and animal hosts and economic costs and benefits. Acta Trop. 2002;83:213-21.
 31. Vega Monclus E, Gimeno Ortiz A, Calero Carretero R, et al. Epidemiologic study and economic repercussions of echinococcosis and hydatidosis in the Province of Badajoz. Rev Sanid Hig Publica (Madr). 1985;59:1483-518.
 32. Gimeno-Ortiz A, Calero-Carretero R, Carmona-Carmona E, et al. Evaluación del programa de lucha contra la hidatidosis-echinococcosis en Extremadura, tras siete años de actuaciones. Rev San Hig Púb. 1991;65:451-61. Spanish.
 33. Walker DH, Raoult D. *Rickettsia rickettsii* and other spotted fever group *Rickettsiae* (Rocky Mountain spotted fever and other spotted fevers). In: Mandell GL, Bennett MD, Dolin R, editors. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2005. p. 2287-94.
 34. Bolaños-Rivero M, Santana-Rodríguez E, Angel-Moreno A, et al. Seroprevalence of *Rickettsia typhi* and *Rickettsia conorii* infections in the Canary Islands (Spain). Int J Infect Dis. 2011;15:e481-5.
 35. Bernabeu-Wittel M, del Toro MD, Noguera MM, et al. Seroepidemiological study of *Rickettsia felis*, *Rickettsia typhi*, and *Rickettsia conorii* infection among the population of southern Spain. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2006;25:375-81.
 36. Segura-Porta F, Diestre-Ortín G, Ortuño-Romero A, et al. Prevalence of antibodies to spotted fever group *rickettsiae* in human beings and dogs from an endemic area of mediterranean spotted fever in Catalonia, Spain. Eur J Epidemiol. 1998;14:395-8.
 37. Bartolome J, Lorente S, Hernandez-Perez N, et al. Clinical and epidemiological study of spotted fever group rickettsiosis in Albacete, Spain. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2005;23:194-6.
 38. Babalis T, Dupont HT, Tselentis Y, et al. *Rickettsia conorii* in Greece: comparison of a microimmunofluorescence assay and western blotting for seroepidemiology. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1993;48:784-92.
 39. Bruckner DA, Labarca JA. *Leishmania* and *Tripanosoma*. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Lanfry ML, Pfaller MA, editors. Manual of Clinical Microbiology. 9th ed. Washington, DC, WA: American Society of Microbiology; 2007. p. 2057-69.
 40. Chitimia L, Muñoz-García CI, Sanchez-Velasco D, et al. Cryptic Leishmaniasis by *Leishmania infantum*, a feature of canines only? A study of natural infection in wild rabbits, humans and dogs in southeastern Spain. Vet Parasitol. 2011;181:12-6.
 41. Garrote JI, Gutierrez MP, Izquierdo RL, et al. Seroepidemiologic study of *Leishmania infantum* infection in Castilla-Leon, Spain. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004;71:403-6.
 42. Paul M, King L, Carlin EP. Zoonoses of people and their pets: a US perspective on significant pet-associated parasitic diseases. Trends Parasitol. 2010;26:153-4.
 43. Acedo Sanchez C, Martín Sanchez J, Velez Bernal ID, et al. Leishmaniasis eco-epidemiology in the Alpujarra region (Granada province, southern Spain). Int J Parasitol. 1996;26:303-10.
 44. Montoya JG, Kovacs JA, Remington JS. *Toxoplasma gondii*. In: Mandell GL, Bennett MD, Dolin R, editors. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2005. p. 3170-95.
 45. Innes EA. Toxoplasmosis: comparative species susceptibility and host immune response. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 1997;20:131-8.
 46. Frenkel JK, Ruiz A. Endemicity of toxoplasmosis in Costa Rica. Am J Epidemiol. 1981;113:254-69.
 47. Robert-Gangneux F, Darde ML. Epidemiology of and diagnostic strategies for toxoplasmosis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25:264-96.
 48. Pappas G, Roussos N, Falagas ME. Toxoplasmosis snapshots: global status of *Toxoplasma gondii* seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital toxoplasmosis. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39:1385-94.
 49. Cardenal Galván JA. Prevención de zoonosis en relación con las campañas de sacrificio de cerdos para consumo familiar. En: Zoonosis en Extremadura. Junta de Extremadura. Consejería de Sanidad y Consumo; 2001. p. 323-336.
 50. Wong SY, Remington JS. Toxoplasmosis in pregnancy. Clin Infect Dis. 1994;18:853-61.
 51. Dunn D, Wallon M, Peyron F, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of toxoplasmosis: Risk estimates for clinical counselling. Lancet. 1999;353:1829-33.
 52. Bartolome Alvarez J, Martinez Serrano M, Moreno Parrado L, et

- al. Prevalence and incidence in Albacete, Spain, of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in women of childbearing age: differences between immigrant and non-immigrant (2001–2007). *Rev Esp Salud Publica*. 2008;82:333-42.
53. Muñoz Batet C, Guardiola Llobet C, Juncosa Morros T, et al. Toxoplasmosis and pregnancy. Multicenter study of 16362 pregnant women in Barcelona. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2004;123:12-6.
54. Guerra Garcia C, Fernandez Sampedro J. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in pregnant women. *Aten Primaria*. 1995;16:151-3.