

Dietary adequacy and dietary quality of Inuit in the Canadian Arctic who smoke and the potential implications for chronic disease

Stacey E Rittmueller, Cindy Roache and Sangita Sharma*

Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, 1-126 Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Research Innovation, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E1, Canada

Submitted 3 November 2010: Accepted 8 December 2011: First published online 24 January 2012

Abstract

Objective: To compare dietary intake and quality among adult Inuit by smoking status.

Design: A cross-sectional study using data from a validated quantitative FFQ.

Setting: Three isolated communities in Nunavut, Canada.

Subjects: Adult Inuit (n 208), aged between 19 and 79 years, from randomly selected households.

Results: Average energy intake did not differ between male smokers (n 22) and non-smokers (n 14; 16 235 kJ and 13 503 kJ; $P=0.18$), but was higher among female smokers (n 126) compared with non-smokers (n 46; 12 704 kJ and 8552 kJ; $P<0.0001$). Average daily nutrient intakes were similar among men and higher among female smokers compared with non-smokers for all nutrients ($P\leq 0.05$) except $n-3$ fatty acids, vitamin A, vitamin D and Se. Female smokers had lower intake densities of thiamin, niacin, vitamin B₆, folate, Mg, Na ($P\leq 0.05$), protein, $n-3$ fatty acids, cholesterol, Fe ($P\leq 0.01$), vitamin B₁₂ and Se ($P\leq 0.001$). Between 20% and 50% of male and female smokers were below the Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) for Ca, folate, Mg and vitamins A and K, and more than 50% were below the DRI for fibre and vitamin E. The proportion of smokers below the DRI was lower for all nutrients, except fibre and folate among men. Among smokers, non-nutrient-dense foods and traditional foods contributed less to energy (-2.1% and -2.0% , respectively).

Conclusions: Adult smokers consumed fewer nutrient-dense, traditional foods, but had increased energy intake, which likely contributed to fewer dietary inadequacies compared with non-smokers. Promoting traditional food consumption supplemented with market-bought fruits and vegetables is important to improve dietary quality, especially among smokers.

Keywords
Nunavut
Inuit
Dietary adequacy
Smoking
Chronic disease

The burden of chronic disease is becoming increasingly apparent among Inuit in the Canadian Arctic. Compared with all of Canada, life expectancy is 13 years lower and stroke mortality is two times higher among Inuit⁽¹⁾, and the prevalence of self-reported heart disease is three times higher among Aboriginal populations (i.e. First Nations, Metis and Inuit/Inuvialuit)⁽²⁾. Behavioural risk factors such as smoking and diet might play a central role in chronic disease development and mortality among Inuit^(3–5). The effects of smoking on cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, stroke and certain cancers are well recognized^(6,7). Similarly, diets high in energy and fat and low in micronutrients have been linked to diseases such as obesity, CVD and cancer⁽⁸⁾. Both diet and smoking induce physiological changes, such as increased endothelial damage, oxidized low-density lipoproteins

and atherosclerosis, that increases risk for development of these diseases^(9–11). In addition to its direct effect on tissues, smoking can contribute to unbalanced nutrient profiles through a combination of altered taste preferences, metabolism and demand of certain nutrients, such as folate, β -carotene, Se, Ca and vitamin C^(9,12,13). Clustering of multiple behavioural risk factors such as smoking, low levels of physical activity, high alcohol consumption and poor diet, especially low intake of fruits and vegetables, may further increase the risk of chronic disease and the adversity of associated health outcomes. Diets of smokers in several populations have been shown to differ from those of non-smokers and have included more processed foods, meat and dairy and fewer fruits and vegetables⁽¹⁴⁾. Subsequently, higher intake of saturated fat and lower intakes of micronutrients

*Corresponding author: Email gita.sharma@ualberta.ca

such as vitamin C, vitamin A, folate and fibre have been reported among smokers^(12,15).

There is limited health research overall among Inuit and, to the authors' knowledge, specifically there are no previous studies that have explored dietary intake differences among Inuit exclusively by smoking status. Previous research among Inuit in Nunavut, Canada, highlighted inadequate intakes of dietary fibre, Ca, folate and vitamins A, D and E⁽⁵⁾. According to the Aboriginal Peoples Survey in 2006, smoking prevalence among Inuit in Nunavut was 64%, and the highest prevalence was among residents 20–24 years of age (73%)⁽¹⁶⁾. The high prevalence of chronic disease and lower life expectancy among this population warrant the exploration of known chronic disease risk factors to create targeted disease prevention efforts. The purpose of the present study was to compare dietary intake and quality between adult Inuit smokers and non-smokers in Nunavut, Canada.

Methods

The survey instrument and data collection protocol have been described elsewhere^(17,18). In brief, a validated quantitative FFQ (QFFQ) designed specifically for this population was administered between June 2008 and October 2008 to Inuit adults in three isolated communities in Nunavut^(17–19). Households were randomly selected from three communities using housing maps provided by the local government. One individual per household was recruited to participate, namely the main food shopper and/or preparer, and these were typically women. Children (<19 years) as well as pregnant and breast-feeding women were excluded due to their altered and changing dietary requirements. Participants reported the consumption amount and frequency of 150 items during a 30 d period by using three-dimensional food models and choosing from eight categories ranging from 'never' to 'two or more times per day'⁽¹⁸⁾. A food composition table specific for this population was developed using the Canadian food composition tables within NutriBase, Clinical Nutrition Manager version 7.17 (CyberSoft Inc., Phoenix, AZ, USA), supplemented with data from the Canadian Nutrient File⁽²⁰⁾.

The non-nutrient-dense foods (NNDF) category comprised the following foods: butter/margarine, jam/marmalade, sugar/honey, non-dairy coffee whitener, hash browns/potato patties/French fries, gravy, salad dressing including mayonnaise and dips, ice cream, cakes/muffins, pies, cheesecake, chocolate bars, potato chips, crackers, cookies, hard candy, popcorn, granola bars, sweetened drinks and unsweetened drinks.

Traditional food items were defined as those obtained through subsistence practices, such as hunting and fishing, and were grouped into the following three categories: (i) traditional land foods (caribou, polar bear, musk ox and the organs of these animals); (ii) traditional

sea foods (seal, seal liver, *muktuk* (whale skin and fat)) and various kinds of wild local fish (e.g. char and trout); and (iii) traditional sky foods (goose and ptarmigan).

The mean and standard deviation of daily energy and nutrient intakes were calculated for all participants. The energy intake requirement was defined using the Institute of Medicine's⁽²¹⁾ estimated amount of kilojoules needed to maintain energy balance for men or women aged 31–50 years at the very low physical activity–sedentary level because of previously documented low physical activity levels among this population^(22,23). Nutrients of interest were selected based on published effects of smoking on decreased antioxidant potential and dietary risk factors for chronic disease. To compare the nutrient intakes of smokers with non-smokers, nutrient densities per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) were determined by dividing each participant's daily nutrient intakes by their energy intake (kcal), multiplied by 4184. As nutrient densities were not normally distributed, the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to determine differences in nutrient densities between smokers and non-smokers. Smokers were defined as consuming more than one cigarette daily. All smokers were treated the same, regardless of pack-years, due to small sample size.

Dietary adequacy was calculated using the Estimated Average Requirements (EAR) based on the gender- and age-specific (19–30 years, 31–50 years, 51–70 years, >70 years) recommendations⁽²⁴⁾. Where the EAR was not available, as for dietary fibre, vitamin D, vitamin K, pantothenic acid, K, Na and Ca, the Adequate Intake (AI) was used, as recommended⁽²¹⁾. Due to the small sample size per age group, the number and percentage of participants not meeting the recommendations for selected nutrients were first determined by age-specific EAR and then pooled for all age groups.

Participants who reported extreme energy intake >20 920 kJ (>5000 kcal; n 3) were excluded from the analysis. No participant reported an energy intake of <2092 kJ (<500 kcal). All analyses were stratified by gender and smoking status. Data were analysed using the SAS statistical software package version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All tests and P values were two-sided and considered statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from the Committee on Human Studies at the University of Hawaii and the Office of Human Research Ethics at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. The Nunavut Research Institute licensed the present study.

Results

The prevalence of smoking among men and women in the present study was 61% and 73%, respectively. Men who reported smoking (n 22) consumed on average 16 235 kJ (3880 kcal) daily, which is 2732 kJ (653 kcal) more than men who did not smoke (n 14) and 7030 kJ

Table 1 Daily intakes of energy and selected nutrients among adult Inuit in Nunavut, Canada, by gender and smoking status, 2008

Nutrient	Men					Women				
	Non-smoker (n 14)		Smoker (n 22)		DRI†	Non-smoker (n 46)		Smoker (n 126)		DRI†
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age (years)	47.7	16.8	38.5	12.9	—	52.4	14.4	38.7***	10.7	—
Energy (kJ)‡,§	13 503	6069	16 235	6081	9205	8552	3634	12 704**	5319	7531
%E from protein	20	7.3	21	6.1	10–35	22	5.5	21	7.2	10–35
%E from carbohydrates	45	8.6	44	9.3	45–65	43	9.4	45	8.8	45–65
%E from fat	29	5.2	31	5.6	20–35	26	5.2	27	5.7	20–35
Protein (g)	174	83.8	209	96.8	—	130	54.9	175**	106.0	—
Carbohydrate (g)	388	221.7	442	162.9	—	236	122.1	358***	173.5	—
Sugars (g)	187	159.7	232	115.0	<25 %E	112	73.9	179***	123.7	<25 %E
Dietary fibre¶ (g)	15.8	7.8	19.7	9.0	38	10.9	5.9	14.6***	6.7	25
Fat (g)	104.0	42.7	137.1	63.9	—	63.0	27.2	95.8***	41.8	—
SFA†† (g)	35.9	16.4	48.2	24.0	<10 %E	20.7	9.8	33.9***	14.7	<10 %E
MUFA (g)	36.0	14.6	48.8	22.6	—	22.3	9.9	32.8***	14.3	—
PUFA (g)	16.3	7.0	20.2	9.4	—	10.3	4.9	14.5***	6.6	—
n-3 fatty acids (g)	2.1	1.4	2.1	1.4	—	1.4	0.7	1.7	1.3	—
n-6 fatty acids (g)	12.0	6.2	15.8	8.2	—	7.0	4.4	10.6***	5.0	—
Cholesterol (mg)	530	273.7	730	458.1	As low as possible	428	412.1	491***	308.9	As low as possible
Vitamin A‡‡ (µg-RAE)	831	599.5	893	485.5	900	842	839.7	1155***	1632	700
Thiamin‡‡ (mg)	2.4	0.9	2.9	1.1	1.2	1.8	0.7	2.3***	1.1	1.1
Riboflavin‡‡ (mg)	4.1	1.4	5.2	2.4	1.3	3.1	1.8	4.2***	2.1	1.1
Niacin‡‡ (mg)	40.6	21.5	51.8	26.8	16	27.2	11.7	36.9***	18.4	14
Pantothenic acid¶¶ (mg)	9.6	4.1	12.7	5.9	5	6.9	3.5	10.4***	6.1	5
Vitamin B₆‡‡ (mg)	2.3	1.3	3.1	1.4	1.3	1.6	0.8	2.1***	1.0	1.3
Total folate‡‡ (µg-DFE)	445	143.7	505	194.3	400	315	141.8	415***	177.8	400
Vitamin B₁₂‡‡ (µg)	16.2	9.4	17.6	13.8	2.4	16.3	14.2	16.5	15.2	2.4
Fe‡‡ (mg)	30.8	13.3	32.2	12.7	8	23.0	11.2	29.8*	18.2	18
Vitamin C‡‡ (mg)	193	222.4	215	115.2	90	132	106.7	202***	135.5	75
Vitamin D¶,§§ (µg)	5.1	3.0	8.8	7.2	5	4.1	3.3	5.3	4.9	5
Vitamin E‡‡, (mg)	4.6	2.0	5.8	2.9	15	3.0	1.6	4.3***	2.6	15
Vitamin K¶ (µg)	113.4	94.6	164.0	135.3	120	53.9	43.2	132.0***	114.9	90
Ca¶ (mg)	1060	635.7	1412	670.3	1000	802	557.2	1223***	639.5	1000
Mg‡‡ (mg)	411	178.0	471	164.2	420	293	106.4	396***	164.8	320
K¶ (g)	4.5	2.0	5.2	2.1	4.7	3.2	1.3	4.4***	2.0	4.7
Na¶ (g)	4.7	1.8	5.3	2.3	1.5	3.1	1.3	4.3***	2.4	1.5
Se‡‡ (µg)	152	79.5	198	97.6	55	150	94.7	176	208.1	55
Zn‡‡ (mg)	19.9	8.4	24.7	10.6	11	15.4	7.5	21.7***	14.2	8

DRI, Dietary Reference Intake; %E, percentage of energy; RAE, retinol activity equivalents; DFE, dietary folate equivalents.

Mean values were significantly different from those of non-smokers of the same gender: * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$.†The DRI are presented using Adequate Intake/RDA for men and women aged 31–50 years, Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Ranges and the recommendation on saturated fat intake by Joint WHO/FAO^(21,46).

‡1 kcal = 4.184 kJ.

§Estimated kilojoules needed to maintain energy balance for men or women aged 31–50 years at the very low physical activity–sedentary level.

||Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Range.

¶Adequate Intake.

††Recommendation on saturated fat intake by Joint WHO/FAO⁽⁴⁶⁾.

‡‡RDA.

§§As cholecalciferol in the absence of adequate exposure to sunlight.

|||As α -tocopherol.

(1680 kcal) above the Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) for energy (9205 kJ/2200 kcal daily). Female smokers (n 126) consumed on average 12 704 kJ (3036 kcal) daily compared with non-smoking women (n 46) who consumed an average of 8552 kJ (2043 kcal) daily. Daily energy intake was 5173 kJ (1236 kcal) and 1021 kJ (243 kcal) above the DRI (7531 kJ/1800 kcal) for female smokers and non-smokers, respectively. The mean (SD) number of cigarettes smoked daily among male and female smokers was 8.9 (6.2) and 8.0 (4.9), respectively (data not shown). Average daily energy and nutrient intakes did not differ between male smokers and non-smokers, and all men regardless of smoking status had average intakes below the DRI for fibre, vitamin A and vitamin E (Table 1). Average daily energy and nutrient intakes were higher among female smokers compared with non-smokers for all nutrients ($P < 0.05$) except n -3 fatty acids, vitamin A, vitamin D and Se. Average intakes of fibre, K and vitamin E were below recommendations for all females.

The majority of participants were below the DRI for dietary fibre (90–100%) and vitamin E (90–100%), regardless of smoking status or gender. Between 20% and 50% of male smokers were below the DRI for Ca, folate, Mg, K and vitamins A, D and K, and more than 50% were below the DRI for fibre and vitamin E; however, the proportion of male smokers below the DRI for these nutrients was lower compared with non-smokers, with the exception of folate (Table 2). Considering female

smokers, between 20% and 50% were below the DRI for Ca, folate, Mg and vitamins A and K, and more than 50% were below the DRI for fibre, K and vitamins D and E, although these proportions were lower compared with non-smoking females (Table 2).

No significant differences were seen in nutrient intake density (per 4184 kJ/1000 kcal) between male smokers and non-smokers (Table 3). Female smokers had significantly lower intake densities of thiamin, niacin, vitamin B₆, folate, Mg, Na ($P \leq 0.05$), protein, n -3 fatty acids, cholesterol, Fe ($P \leq 0.01$), vitamin B₁₂ and Se ($P \leq 0.001$), and significantly higher intake densities of saturated fat and vitamin K ($P \leq 0.01$; Table 3).

Among men and women combined, the primary contributors to energy, protein and carbohydrates were the same regardless of smoking status (Table 4). Comparing smokers with non-smokers, NNDF contributed less to energy (−2.1%), fat (−4.3%) and carbohydrates (−1.3%). The contribution of traditional foods to energy was lower (−2.0%) among adults who smoked compared with non-smokers. Smokers had similar contributions of dairy to energy (6.9% *v.* 6.3%) and fruits to energy (3.3% *v.* 3.7%).

Discussion

The present study supplements the limited literature available on nutrition of adult Inuit by exploring dietary

Table 2 Percentage of adult Inuit below the Dietary Reference Intakes by gender and smoking status, Nunavut, Canada, 2008

Nutrient	Men		Women	
	Non-smokers	Smokers	Non-smokers	Smokers
	(n 14)	(n 22)	(n 46)	(n 126)
	%	%	%	%
Dietary fibre (g)†	100.0	100.0	95.7	91.3
Ca (mg)†	57.1	27.3	78.3	43.7
Total folate (μ g-DFE)‡	14.3	27.3	56.5	27.8
Vitamin A (μ g-RAE)‡	42.9	36.4	37.0	19.8
Vitamin B ₆ (mg)‡	7.1	0.0	32.6	13.5
Vitamin C (mg)‡	28.6	4.5	34.8	13.5
Vitamin D (μ g)†,§	71.4	31.8	82.6	64.3
Vitamin E (mg)†,	100.0	95.5	100.0	97.6
Fe (mg)‡	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.0
Zn (mg)‡	14.3	4.5	13.0	6.3
Thiamin (mg)‡	0.0	0.0	4.3	6.3
Riboflavin (mg)‡	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Niacin (mg)‡	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.4
Pantothenic acid (mg)†	14.3	9.1	32.6	16.7
Vitamin B ₁₂ (μ g)‡	0.0	0.0	2.2	2.4
Vitamin K (μ g)†	64.3	50.0	80.4	46.0
Mg (mg)‡	35.7	31.8	43.5	19.8
K (g)†	64.3	45.5	84.8	63.5
Na (g)†	0.0	0.0	8.7	4.8
Se (μ g)‡	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.0

DFE, dietary folate equivalents; RAE, retinol activity equivalents.

†Adequate Intake used for comparison.

‡Estimated Average Requirement used for comparison.

§As cholecalciferol in the absence of adequate exposure to sunlight.

||As α -tocopherol.

Table 3 Nutrient density per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) among adult Inuit by gender and smoking status, Nunavut, Canada, 2008

Nutrient	Men				Women			
	Non-smokers (n 14)		Smokers (n 22)		Non-smokers (n 46)		Smokers (n 126)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Protein (g)	54.6	18.5	53.8	16.9	65.7	19.8	56.7**	19.9
Carbohydrates (g)	117	22.1	116	25.0	113	24.9	118	23.6
Sugars (g)	52.7	21.7	60.9	26.6	51.9	25.7	58.3	24.0
Dietary fibre (g)	5.0	1.4	5.2	1.8	5.3	1.6	5.0	1.8
Fat (g)	32.9	5.6	34.8	6.0	31.1	5.1	31.8	5.7
SFA (g)	11.4	3.0	12.2	2.6	10.1	2.3	11.3**	2.7
MUFA (g)	11.4	2.2	12.4	2.4	11.1	2.3	10.9	2.3
PUFA (g)	5.2	1.3	5.2	1.3	5.1	1.5	4.8	1.2
n-3 fatty acids (g)	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.6**	0.4
n-6 fatty acids (g)	3.8	1.5	4.0	1.2	3.3	1.6	3.6	1.2
Cholesterol (mg)	179	103.9	193	116.0	206	130.1	165**	95.0
Vitamin A (μ g-RAE)	275	220.0	233	98.4	434	550.4	396	574.2
Thiamin (mg)	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.3	0.8*	0.2
Riboflavin (mg)	1.4	0.4	1.4	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.4	0.6
Niacin (mg)	12.5	3.2	13.1	4.0	14.0	4.7	12.5*	4.4
Pantothenic acid (mg)	3.1	1.0	3.3	1.2	3.4	1.2	3.5	1.6
Vitamin B ₆ (mg)	0.7	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.7*	0.2
Total folate (μ g-DFE)	147	35.9	133	34.5	160	44.3	144*	49.5
Vitamin B ₁₂ (μ g)	5.5	3.5	4.8	4.3	8.1	5.6	5.3***	4.0
Fe (mg)	10.3	4.8	8.7	3.3	11.5	3.8	9.7**	3.9
Vitamin C (mg)	50.9	33.0	59.3	33.1	62.4	44.6	67.3	41.2
Vitamin D (μ g)†	1.8	1.3	2.3	1.8	2.1	1.5	1.8	1.4
Vitamin E (mg)‡	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.7	1.4	0.5	1.5	0.7
Vitamin K (μ g)	37.4	30.0	41.9	26.9	27.3	20.8	47.8**	46.4
Ca (mg)	347	160.2	369	146.2	389	180.8	417	198.2
Mg (mg)	129	28.3	124	27.5	150	35.3	135*	30.6
K (g)	1.4	0.3	1.3	0.3	1.6	0.4	1.5	0.4
Na (g)	1.5	0.3	1.4	0.3	1.5	0.4	1.4*	0.4
Se (μ g)	47.7	17.3	50.4	15.3	74.4	36.7	55.9***	40.0
Zn (mg)	6.4	2.2	6.6	2.5	7.6	2.2	7.0	2.8

RAE, retinol activity equivalents; DFE, dietary folate equivalents.

Mean values were significantly different from those of non-smokers of the same gender: * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$.

†As cholecalciferol in the absence of adequate exposure to sunlight.

‡As α -tocopherol.

intake differences by smoking status. The smoking prevalence among men and women in our study was consistent with a recent Statistics Canada survey⁽¹⁶⁾. Overall, the comparison of dietary intake and quality by smoking status varied by gender. There were no significant differences between male smokers and non-smokers in average daily energy and nutrient intakes or dietary quality; however, nutrient intakes were significantly higher and diet quality significantly lower for a majority of nutrients comparing female smokers and non-smokers. The energy intake among all participants was high; however, this was anticipated because this population is known to have high energy requirements due to the challenging climate. The QFFQ contains a large number of food items that make a substantial contribution to energy intake⁽¹⁹⁾. Despite the possible overestimation of energy intake, the QFFQ used in the present study was developed specifically for this population and has been shown to be a valid measure of dietary intake. As discussed in the Methods section, extreme intakes (<2092 kJ/<500 kcal and >20 920 kJ/>5000 kcal) were excluded. The high energy intake levels are also

reflected by high rates of overweight and obesity in this population^(5,18).

A lower proportion of smokers did not meet the recommendations for all nutrients of interest, with the exception of fibre and folate among males. Therefore, non-smokers appeared to have fewer dietary inadequacies than smokers. Smokers had higher energy intake but consumed fewer nutrient-dense, traditional foods which might have contributed to inadequate nutrient intakes. The number of smokers with nutrient intakes below recommendations may not be very different from non-smokers in this population group; however, it is worth noting the increased demand tobacco and its metabolites places on certain nutrients, especially antioxidants such as vitamin C, vitamin A and β -carotene⁽¹³⁾.

Decreased antioxidant intake, particularly vitamins A and C⁽¹³⁾, have been documented among smokers in other populations and high levels of smoking-associated oxidative stress subsequently increase the antioxidant demand⁽²⁵⁾. Contradicting these observations from previous literature, smokers in the present study had higher average daily intakes and similar nutrient densities compared with

Table 4 Top ten food sources of energy and selected nutrients among Inuit in Nunavut, Canada, by smoking status, 2008

Foods	Energy (%)		Protein (%)		Fat (%)		Carbohydrates (%)	
	NS	S	NS	S	NS	S	NS	S
NNDF†	31.6	29.5	29.5	33.6	25.1	20.8	51.0	49.7
Traditional land foods‡	11.1	11.8	28.7	21.3	20.6	23.3	10.4	10.9
Traditional sea foods§	11.0	8.3	12.7	13.7	12.2	9.3	8.2	7.4
Beef and pork	10.3	11.1	5.8	7.1	9.8	11.4	4.5	6.4
White breads	7.5	7.6	5.1	6.6	8.3	8.4	4.2	3.6
Dairy	5.6	6.9	4.9	4.0	6.6	6.2	3.0	2.3
Noodles	3.9	5.4	3.0	3.2	4.2	5.9	3.0	3.9
Fruits	3.7	3.3	2.3	3.2	4.0	5.1	2.6	2.3
Chicken/turkey	2.6	3.3	1.3	1.2	2.5	2.3	2.6	3.2
Cereals	2.4	2.0	1.1	0.9	2.0	3.0	2.4	2.1
Total	89.7	89.2	94.4	94.8	95.3	95.7	91.9	91.8

NS, non-smokers; S, smokers; NNDF, non-nutrient-dense foods.

†Including: butter/margarine, jam/marmalade, sugar/honey, non-dairy coffee whitener, hash browns/potato patties/French fries, gravy, salad dressing including mayonnaise and dips, ice cream, cakes/muffins, pies, cheesecake, chocolate bars, potato chips, crackers, cookies, hard candy, popcorn, granola bars, sweetened drinks, sugar-free drinks (e.g. sugar-free Kool-Aid™, Kraft Foods Inc., Northfield, IL, USA), unsweetened juice, coffee, tea, artificial sweetener, and carbonated drinks (regular and diet).

‡Including: caribou, musk ox, polar bear and their organs.

§Including: seal, seal liver, *muktuk* (whale skin and fat) and several wild, local fish (e.g. char and trout).

||Wheat bread was among the top ten contributors of carbohydrates for non-smokers. Among smokers, this value corresponds to vegetables.

non-smokers, and fewer participants were below the DRI for these nutrients. Even so, between 20 % and 36 % of smokers were below the DRI for vitamin A, a nutrient which may have depleted serum concentration in smokers^(26,27) and might be associated with decreased risk of anaemia^(28,29), which has been documented as highly prevalent among Inuit⁽³⁰⁾.

A high proportion of smokers had nutrient profiles which might increase the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Average daily intake of Mg was above the DRI for male and female smokers; however, between 20 % and 32 % of all smokers were below recommended intakes. Mg is involved in a wide range of physiological mechanisms⁽³¹⁾ and low serum concentrations have been associated with CVD^(31,32) and diabetes⁽³³⁾. Between 46 % and 64 % of smokers were below the recommended K intake. K is inversely related to the risk of hypertension and high K mitigates the blood-pressure-raising effects of Na⁽³⁴⁾. This is notable among smokers as Na intakes were three to four times higher than the DRI. High Na, energy, saturated fat and cholesterol intakes combined with low K and Mg intakes might increase the risk of obesity, atherosclerosis, hypertension and CVD among smokers in this population^(33,35).

Differences in dietary quality between female smokers and non-smokers was evident in the lower intake density of several nutrients among smokers, many of which are consistent with the lower intake of traditional foods among this group⁽³⁶⁾. These differences cannot be attributed to smoking alone, as female smokers were significantly younger than non-smokers and age has been correlated with traditional food intake^(22,37). Stratifying respondents by demographic factors beyond gender was outside the scope of the present study. Certainly a wide range of demographic factors contribute to smoking and dietary intake; however, the primary aim of the study was to characterize the dietary intake by smoking status, regardless of factors that might have contributed to a participant's smoking status. Considering dietary patterns, the high contribution of NNDF and low contribution of traditional food to energy intake was consistent with the ongoing nutritional transition in this population⁽¹⁸⁾. Traditional foods such as caribou, musk ox and marine mammals are rich in protein, polyunsaturated fats, vitamins A and D, several B vitamins and Fe^(36,38). The lower dietary quality documented among female smokers reinforces previous recommendations⁽³⁹⁾ of promoting nutrient-rich diets, primarily from traditional food sources.

The present study is not without limitations. Targeted participants for the study included the main food shopper/preparer of the household who are mostly women, thus there was a lower amount of male participants. This likely contributed to the lack of difference seen between male smokers and non-smokers and limits the generalizability of these results to the male population.

The small overall sample size prohibited in-depth exploration and inferential statistical comparisons of dietary intake differences by level of smoking and behaviours that affect dietary intake, smoking and chronic disease risk, such as physical activity⁽⁴⁰⁾ or alcohol consumption^(41–43). Previous literature^(44,45) has discussed dietary intake differences based on socio-economic status (SES), including a study among this population⁽³⁷⁾ which documented higher consumption frequency of traditional foods, fruits and vegetables among Inuit men and women with higher material style of life scores, a proxy for SES. Despite these limitations, the present study was able to provide a general overview of the dietary intake differences between Inuit smokers and non-smokers. Although it provided mixed results on the dietary adequacy varying by gender and smoking status, our study highlights nutrients affected by smoking and the potential increased risk for diet-related chronic diseases. Further exploration of the differences in dietary adequacy among Inuit by smoking status should consider disaggregating participants as only smokers, only alcohol consumers and participants who use both substances to further understand the effects of smoking and alcohol use on dietary adequacy.

Conclusions

Adult smokers in the present study consumed fewer nutrient-dense, traditional foods and had increased energy intake which likely contributed to fewer dietary inadequacies compared with non-smokers. Monitoring the dietary adequacy of smokers could prove useful for preventing diet- and smoking-related chronic diseases, especially in populations undergoing a nutrition transition and that experience an increased risk of CVD and aero-digestive cancers. The study highlights the need for comprehensive and community-based healthy lifestyle programmes that address the effects of food choice, dietary intake, physical activity and smoking on overall health. Further, studies exploring dietary adequacy of smokers may help to identify potential policy interventions to create supportive environments that enable individual behaviour change. In addition, a multi-dimensional approach to the reduction of risk for chronic disease in this population may be more effective in addressing clustered risk factors such as smoking and poor diet.

Acknowledgements

The project was supported by American Diabetes Association Clinical Research award 1-08-CR-57. All authors declare they have no conflicts of interest. S.E.R. interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. C.R. participated in the acquisition and interpretation of the data. S.S. was

responsible for the conception and design of the study. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript. The authors extend special thanks to Ms Eva Erber for performing the statistical analysis.

References

1. Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami (2009) Inuit & cancer: fact sheets. <http://www.itk.ca/publications/inuit-and-cancer-fact-sheets> (accessed April 2010).
2. Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada (2000) The Changing Face of Heart Disease and Stroke in Canada. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82f0076x/4227745-eng.pdf> (accessed May 2010).
3. Nielson NH, Storm HH, Gaudette LA *et al.* (1996) Cancer in Circumpolar Inuit 1969–1988: a summary. *Acta Oncol* **35**, 621–628.
4. Bjerregaard P, Young TK, Dewailly E *et al.* (2004) Indigenous health in the Arctic: an overview of the circumpolar Inuit population. *Scand J Public Health* **32**, 390–395.
5. Hopping BN, Mead E, Erber E *et al.* (2010) Dietary adequacy of Inuit in the Canadian Arctic. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **23**, Suppl. 1, S27–S34.
6. Ockene IS & Houston Miller N, for the American Heart Association Task Force on Risk Reduction (1997) Cigarette smoking, cardiovascular disease, and stroke: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **96**, 3243–3247.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (2009) Smoking and tobacco use: health effects of cigarette smoking. http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/health_effects/effects_cig_smoking/#disparities (accessed June 2010).
8. Popkin BM (2002) An overview on the nutrition transition and its health implications: the Bellagio meeting. *Public Health Nutr* **5**, 93–103.
9. Davis N, Katz S & Wylie-Rosett J (2007) The effect of diet on endothelial function. *Cardiol Rev* **15**, 62–66.
10. Powell JT (1998) Vascular damage from smoking: disease mechanisms at the arterial wall. *Vasc Med* **3**, 21–28.
11. Erhardt L (2009) Cigarette smoking: an undertreated risk factor for cardiovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis* **205**, 23–32.
12. Dallongeville J, Marécaux N, Fruchart JC *et al.* (1998) Cigarette smoking is associated with unhealthy patterns of nutrient intake: a meta-analysis. *J Nutr* **128**, 1450–1457.
13. Northrop-Clewes CA & Thurnham DI (2007) Monitoring micronutrients in cigarette smokers. *Clin Chim Acta* **377**, 14–38.
14. Margetts BM & Jackson AA (1993) Interactions between people's diet and their smoking habits: the dietary and nutritional survey of British adults. *BMJ* **307**, 1381–1384.
15. Palaniappan U, Starkey LJ, O'Loughlin J *et al.* (2001) Fruit and vegetable consumption is lower and saturated fat intake is higher among Canadians reporting smoking. *J Nutr* **131**, 1952–1958.
16. Tait H (2008) Aboriginal Peoples Survey, 2006: Inuit health and social conditions: supporting data tables. <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/bsolc/olc-cel/olc-cel?catno=89-637-XIE2008002&lang=eng#formatdisp> (accessed April 2010).
17. Sharma S (2010) Assessing dietary intake in a population undergoing a rapid transition in diet and lifestyle: the Arctic Inuit in Nunavut, Canada. *Br J Nutr* **103**, 749–759.
18. Sharma S (2010) Assessing diet and lifestyle in the Canadian Arctic Inuit and Inuvialuit to inform a nutrition and physical activity intervention programme. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **23**, Suppl. 1, S5–S17.

19. Pakseresht M & Sharma S (2010) Validation of a quantitative food frequency questionnaire for Inuit population in Nunavut, Canada. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **23**, Suppl. 1, S67–S74.
20. Health Canada, Nutrition Research Division (2010) Canadian Nutrient File 2007. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/nutrition/fiche-nutri-data/cnf_downloads-telechargement_fcen-eng.php (accessed February 2010).
21. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2005) *Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrates, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein and Amino Acids*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
22. Kuhnlein HV, Receveur O, Soueida R *et al.* (2004) Arctic indigenous peoples experience the nutrition transition with changing dietary patterns and obesity. *J Nutr* **134**, 1447–1453.
23. Bjerregaard P & Young KT (1998) Personal life style and health practices. In *The Circumpolar Inuit – Health of a Population in Transition*, pp. 206–213. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
24. Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (2003) Dietary Reference Intakes: Applications in Dietary Planning. http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=10609&page=RI# (accessed April 2010).
25. Schectman G, Byrd JC & Hoffman R (1991) Ascorbic acid requirements for smokers: analysis of population survey. *Am J Clin Nutr* **53**, 1466–1470.
26. Handelman GJ, Packer L & Cross CL (1996) Destruction of tocopherols, carotenoids, and retinols in human plasma by cigarette smoke. *Am J Clin Nutr* **63**, 559–565.
27. Alberg AJ (2002) The influence of cigarette smoke on circulating concentrations of antioxidant nutrients. *Toxicology* **180**, 121–137.
28. Lynch SR (1997) Interaction of iron with other nutrients. *Nutr Rev* **55**, 102–110.
29. Fishman SM, Christian P & West KP (2000) The role of vitamins in the prevention and control of anaemia. *Public Health Nutr* **3**, 125–150.
30. Jamieson JA & Kuhnlein HV (2008) The paradox of anemia with high meat intake: a review of the multifactorial etiology of anemia in the Inuit of North America. *Nutr Rev* **66**, 256–271.
31. Saris NEL, Mervaala E, Karpunen H *et al.* (2000) Magnesium: an update on physiological, clinical and analytical aspects. *Clin Chim Acta* **294**, 1–26.
32. Touyz RM (2004) Magnesium in clinical medicine. *Front Biosci* **9**, 1278–1293.
33. Simmons D, Joshi S & Shaw J (2010) Hypomagnesaemia is associated with diabetes: not pre-diabetes, obesity or the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* **87**, 261–266.
34. Adroque HJ & Madias NE (2007) Sodium and potassium in the pathogenesis of hypertension. *N Engl J Med* **356**, 1966–1978.
35. Appel LJ, for the American Society of Hypertension Working Group (2009) ASH position paper: dietary approaches to lower blood pressure. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* **11**, 358–368.
36. Kuhnlein HV, Chan HM, Leggee D *et al.* (2002) Macro-nutrient, mineral and fatty acid composition of Canadian Arctic traditional foods. *J Food Compos Anal* **15**, 545–566.
37. Hopping BN, Erber E, Mead E *et al.* (2010) Socioeconomic indicators and frequency of traditional food, junk food, and fruit and vegetable consumption amongst Inuit adults in the Canadian Arctic. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **23**, Suppl. 1, S51–S58.
38. Kuhnlein HV, Barthel V, Farren A *et al.* (2006) Vitamins A, D, and E in Canadian Arctic traditional food and adult diets. *J Food Compos Anal* **19**, 495–506.
39. Kuhnlein HV & Receveur O (2007) Local cultural animal food contributes high levels of nutrients for Arctic Canadian indigenous adults and children. *J Nutr* **137**, 1110–1114.
40. Heath GW (2009) Physical activity transitions and chronic disease. *Am J Lifestyle Med* **3**, issue 1, 27S–31S.
41. Piasecki TM, McCarthy DE, Fiore MC *et al.* (2008) Alcohol consumption, smoking urge, and the reinforcing effects of cigarettes: an ecological study. *Psychol Addict Behav* **22**, 230–239.
42. Yeomans MR (2010) Alcohol, appetite and energy balance: is alcohol intake a risk factor for obesity? *Physiol Behav* **100**, 82–89.
43. Rehm J, Room R, Graham K *et al.* (2003) The relationship of average volume of alcohol consumption and patterns of drinking to burden of disease: an overview. *Addiction* **98**, 1209–1228.
44. Stringhini S, Sabia S, Shipley M *et al.* (2010) Association of socioeconomic position with health behaviors and mortality. *JAMA* **303**, 1159–1166.
45. James PT, Nelson M, Ralph A *et al.* (1997) Socioeconomic determinants of health: the contribution of nutrition inequalities to health. *BMJ* **314**, 1545–1549.
46. World Health Organization (2003) *Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. Report of a Joint WHO/FAO Expert Consultation*. WHO Technical Report Series no. 916, pp. 82–94. Geneva: WHO.