

Animal Nutrition and Metabolism Group Symposium on ‘Quality inputs for quality foods’

Producing tender and flavoursome beef with enhanced nutritional characteristics

A. P. Moloney^{1,2*}, M. T. Mooney², J. P. Kerry³ and D. J. Troy²

¹*Teagasc, Grange Research Centre, Dunsany, Co. Meath, Republic of Ireland*

²*Teagasc, National Food Centre, Dunsinea, Castleknock, Dublin 15, Republic of Ireland*

³*University College, Cork, Republic of Ireland*

The perception of healthiness and/or safety, tenderness, juiciness and aroma or flavour are important quality criteria that influence the decision of a consumer to purchase beef. Beef production systems represent the combined and interacting effects of genotype, gender, age at slaughter and nutrition before slaughter. The present paper highlights recent information on how beef production systems can be modified to enhance the tenderness, flavour and healthiness of beef. Carcass management post-slaughter has a larger effect on meat tenderness than gender, genotype or feeding systems. Optimum ‘pasture to plate’ management systems are being established to ensure beef tenderness. The chemistry underlying beef flavour is complex, with in excess of 140 components identified in cooked beef volatiles. Flavour of beef is influenced by cattle diet, but assessment of flavour by a taste panel is subject to the previous experiences and preferences of the panellists. Modern lean beef can have an intramuscular fat concentration of 25–50 g/kg and can be considered a low-fat food. As the quantity of grass in the diet of cattle is increased, there is a decrease in saturated fatty acid concentration, and an increase in the *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid and conjugated linoleic acid concentrations. It is concluded that there is opportunity to exploit the diet of cattle to produce tender flavoursome beef that has an increased conjugated linoleic acid concentration, a lower fat concentration and a fatty acid profile more compatible with current human dietary recommendations.

Beef: Tenderness: Flavour: Fatty acids: Healthiness

Beef has been gradually losing market share to competing meats and other protein sources throughout the developed world. For example, The National Food Survey in the UK indicates that beef and veal consumption fell from an average of 175 g/d in 1990 to an average of 145 g/d in 1997 (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 1991, 1998). This decline in consumption reflects consumer concerns about the safety of beef as a food, the animal welfare and environmental perceptions of beef production, consumer concern about diet and health, changing consumer lifestyles and the availability of more conveniently prepared foods. The beef industry is striving to address these consumer concerns to ensure secure access to markets and to win

consumer preferences in the future. Efforts are directed at all points in the production chain, with the goal of producing beef that is compatible with the humane treatment of cattle, with environmentally-sustainable production and which is healthy, wholesome and safe (Tarrant, 1998). In the present paper the effects of modern production practices on the sensory perception, i.e. taste or eating quality, and perceived healthiness of beef are reviewed.

Tenderness

Consumer research indicates that tenderness and flavour are among the most important elements of eating quality of

Abbreviations: CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; SFA, saturated fatty acids.

***Corresponding author:** Dr A. P. Moloney, fax +353 46 26154, email amoloney@grange.teagasc.ie

meat (Becker *et al.* 1998). The post-mortem conversion of muscle to meat and the underlying biochemistry of muscle tenderness and toughness have been reviewed (Koochmarie, 1996; Tarrant, 1998). Increased knowledge of the biochemistry of meat tenderness has stimulated the development of post-slaughter technologies for improving tenderness, reducing variability and enhancing desirable biochemical changes during conditioning of meat. Among these technologies are slow chilling and electrical stimulation, the tenderstretch and tendercut methods of ageing beef, CaCl₂ injection, high pressure or ultrasound treatment, very fast chilling, the hydrodyne (explosive shock) process and the injection of organic acids (Troy, 1995).

Among the on-farm factors which may influence beef tenderness are the age, gender or genotype of the animal and nutritional management (type and quantity of ration) before slaughter. While it is generally accepted that animal maturity is negatively correlated with meat tenderness (Dransfield, 1992), cattle in many beef production systems are relatively immature (< 30 months of age) and changes in age within these production systems appear to have little effect on tenderness (Sinclair *et al.* 1998; Moloney *et al.* 2000a). Furthermore, when grown to a similar degree of fatness or when data are adjusted to a common fatness level, there are few differences in tenderness between breeds, between intact or castrated males or between male and female cattle (Homer *et al.* 1997; Sinclair *et al.* 1998). The possible impact of fatness on meat tenderness has been the subject of much discussion. As the animal matures, fat is deposited first in subcutaneous and intermuscular sites, which could provide extra insulation for muscles against the effects of refrigeration and so prevent 'cold-shortening' (induced toughness). Fat subsequently accumulates in muscle (intramuscular or marbling fat) in the perimysial connective tissue. At high intramuscular fat concentration, e.g. in Kobe beef, when the intramuscular fat concentration can exceed 200 g/kg muscle, the dilution of fibrous protein

by soft fat may decrease the resistance to shearing or chewing. Also, fat cell expansion in the perimysial connective tissue can open up the muscle structure (Wood, 1990). European beef tends to have lower intramuscular fat than US beef and lower than the 30 g/kg threshold value suggested in the USA to be necessary for optimum tenderness (Smith *et al.* 1984).

From a synthesis of published data from primarily US beef production systems, Owens & Gardner (1999) concluded that 'when fed to similar body weights and ages, differences in tenderness between ruminants fed forage or those fed concentrate generally disappear'. A similar observation was made by French *et al.* (2000b) for a more typical Western European beef production system (Table 1). Moreover, when grown to different carcass weights and fatness, but to a similar age, ration composition (*ad libitum* grass, *ad libitum* concentrates or various combinations of grass and concentrates) did not affect tenderness (French *et al.* 2000a). Aberle *et al.* (1981) proposed that faster growth rate is associated with greater tenderness, based on the assumption that *in vivo* the rate of protein synthesis and rate of protein degradation is positively correlated, and so greater post-mortem protein degradation would also occur in carcasses from faster-growing animals. However, Calkins *et al.* (1987) manipulated energy supply to cause weight loss or weight gain in young bulls, and observed no relationship between daily gain and either shear force (an instrumental assessment of toughness) or sensory panel estimates of tenderness. In a recent study (Moloney *et al.* 2000b; Table 2), steers were offered sufficient concentrates and hay to achieve a preslaughter growth rate of 0.72 kg/d continuously for 17 weeks (continuous), 0.36 kg/d for the first 8 weeks and 1.08 kg/d for the final 8 weeks (low-high), 1.08 kg/d for the first 8 weeks and 0.36 kg/d for the final 8 weeks (high-low), or 0.36 kg/d for the first 2 weeks, 0.72 kg/d during weeks 4 to 14, and 1.08 kg/d for the final 2 weeks (pulse). Preslaughter growth rate did not affect carcass weight or fatness or improve any measurement of

Table 1. The effect of diet (D) and ageing time (T) post mortem on Warner Bratzler shear force (WBSF) and taste panel assessment of beef (data from French *et al.* 2000b)

T(d)	Grass silage and concentrates			Grass (g/kg DM)												Statistical significance of effect of:			
				0			510			770			1000						
	2	7	14	2	7	14	2	7	14	2	7	14	2	7	14	SE	D	T	D × T
WBSF (N)	51.9	37.1	35.6	55.0	37.8	33.3	49.7	36.1	37.5	38.9	33.2	31.4	53.4	38.4	39.1	2.39	NS	***	*
Percentage cook loss	31.3	34.6	32.4	33.1	33.5	32.9	31.7	34.5	33.6	30.9	33.2	31.3	30.2	32.1	31.8	0.570	NS	***	NS
Tenderness†	4.62	5.02	5.34	4.44	5.43	5.73	4.25	4.84	5.63	5.10	5.83	5.60	4.77	5.15	5.65	0.187	NS	***	*
Texture‡	3.57	3.68	3.70	3.42	3.69	4.03	3.41	3.78	3.90	3.77	3.91	3.57	3.48	3.72	3.67	0.123	NS	**	NS
Flavour§	3.79	3.94	3.69	3.76	3.97	3.99	3.74	4.01	3.86	3.83	3.90	3.72	3.69	3.58	3.80	0.112	NS	NS	NS
Juiciness	4.97	4.27	3.59	4.34	4.54	4.03	4.53	4.73	4.08	4.20	4.33	3.64	4.64	4.08	3.97	0.224	NS	***	NS
Chewiness¶	3.49	3.27	3.20	3.67	3.21	2.77	3.88	3.40	2.75	3.43	2.87	2.82	3.53	3.28	2.95	0.130	NS	***	NS
Acceptability††	3.37	3.62	3.49	3.19	3.55	3.82	3.20	3.60	3.79	3.54	3.79	3.48	3.27	3.46	3.58	0.134	NS	***	*

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

† Scale 1–8; 1 extremely tough, 8 extremely tender.

‡ Scale 1–6; 1 very poor, 6 very good.

§ Scale 1–6; 1 very poor, 6 very good.

|| Scale 1–8; 1 extremely dry, 8 extremely juicy.

¶ Scale 1–6; 1 not chewy, 6 extremely chewy.

†† Scale 1–6; 1 not acceptable, 6 extremely acceptable.

Table 2. Carcass weight and tenderness of the *longissimus dorsi* muscle in steers with different preslaughter growth patterns (data from Moloney *et al.* 2000b)

	Growth pattern†				SED	Statistical significance of difference
	Continuous	Low-high	High-low	Pulse		
Preslaughter growth rate (kg/d)	0.76	1.15	0.52	0.73		
Carcass weight (kg)	300	300	296	296	4.5	NS
Fat score	3.36	3.31	3.39	3.60	0.218	NS
WBSF (kg): 2 d‡	5.96	7.42	6.22	6.97	0.907	NS
7 d	4.13	5.54	4.43	4.69	0.558	NS
14 d	3.88	4.63	4.33	3.82	0.490	NS
Tenderness§: 2 d‡	3.65	3.62	4.47	3.93	0.469	NS
7 d	5.59	5.05	5.36	5.10	0.414	NS
14 d	6.09	4.80	5.26	5.27	0.376	*

WBSF, Warner Bratzler shear force.

* $P < 0.05$.

† Final 8 weeks for continuous (fed to achieve a preslaughter growth rate of 0.72 kg/d continuously for 17 weeks), low-high (fed to achieve a preslaughter growth rate of 0.36 kg/d for first 8 weeks and 1.08 kg/d for final 8 weeks), high-low (fed to achieve a preslaughter growth rate of 1.08 kg/d for first 8 weeks and 0.36 kg/d for final 8 weeks); final 3 weeks for pulse (fed to achieve a preslaughter growth rate of 0.36 kg/d for first 2 weeks, 0.72 kg/d during weeks 4 to 14, and 1.08 kg/d for the final 2 weeks).

‡ Period of ageing.

§ Sensory assessment of tenderness; scale 1–8; 1 extremely tough, 8 extremely tender.

tenderness thus rejecting the hypothesis that preslaughter growth rate *per se* increases tenderness. Feeding concentrate diets to cattle before slaughter has improved meat tenderness in some studies (Coleman *et al.* 1995; Van Koeveering *et al.* 1995). This effect may be associated with turnover of insoluble collagen and greater solubility of newly-synthesized collagen, but may also be an indirect response to increased surface and intramuscular fat, and a decreased rate of carcass chilling compared with carcasses from unsupplemented animals. Additionally, where cattle are grown at different rates (high-concentrate rations *v.* high-grass rations) to a common degree of fatness, differences in tenderness may also reflect the greater maturity of the slow-growing animals.

In summary, animal management factors appear to have a smaller impact on beef tenderness than post-mortem carcass management. To ensure meat tenderness at the point of purchase by the consumer, all points of the beef production chain should be optimised. The industry is moving in this direction by developing a systems approach that is analogous to the 'hazard analysis at critical control points' approach used in food-safety assurance. This 'palatability assurance at critical control points' approach facilitates the incorporation at every stage of the production chain, from genetic selection to final meat preparation and cooking, of new technologies that can help to optimise eating quality and reduce variability in beef.

Flavour

Flavour is an important component of the eating quality of all foods, including meat. The meaty flavours of cooked meat result from reactions between carbohydrates and proteins and between their breakdown products (Mottram, 1992). The products of heat-induced oxidation of fatty acids, particularly polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), such as aliphatic aldehydes, ketones and alcohols, may have intrinsic flavours and they may also react further with

Maillard products to give other compounds that contribute to flavour (Elmore *et al.* 1997). Attributes of meat such as flavour and aroma, which are part of the eating sensation, do not lend themselves easily to objective measurement, and either trained 'taste' panels or a panel of 'typical' consumers are most frequently used to assess flavour. In these procedures meat is prepared under standardised cooking conditions, and the members of the panel are asked to score (often on an eight-point scale) the sensations of interest. The sensations assessed may be described differently in different studies. For example, in some studies (French *et al.* 2000b), panellists are asked to score flavour from very poor to very good. In many American studies panellists assess flavour intensity, off-flavour intensity or flavour desirability (Miller, 1994).

A body of information exists on the effects of beef production practices on flavour, measured in this way. Grain source had little effect (Miller *et al.* 1996), while source of ensiled forage generally also had little or no effect on beef flavour (Berry *et al.* 1988; Moloney *et al.* 1999). In many American studies panellists rated the flavour of grass-fed beef inferior to that of grain-fed beef (Griebenow *et al.* 1997). Since flavour desirability and flavour intensity increase with fat content (Owens & Gardner, 1999), these findings may reflect differences in fatness in animals produced on both rations. In contrast, panellists in the Republic of Ireland (French *et al.* 2000b; Table 1) and in Canada (McCaughy & Cliplef, 1996) found no difference in the flavour of grass-fed and grain-fed beef. This finding may reflect a higher antioxidant concentration, with consequent protection against lipid oxidation and the production of 'off-flavours' (see p. 224) in the grass used in these studies. It may also reflect differences in the previous experience of the panellists. Thus, when grass-fed British and grain-fed Spanish lamb were offered to both British and Spanish panellists, both agreed that the British lamb had more intense lamb flavour, but whereas the British panellists gave a higher 'flavour liking' score to British lamb, the

Spanish panellists preferred the flavour of the grain-fed Spanish lamb (Sanudo *et al.* 1998). In the literature summary of Owens & Gardner (1999) age, perhaps through increasing carcass fatness, was positively associated with flavour desirability. In contrast, daily gain, possibly reflecting a faster rate of lean tissue gain, was negatively related to flavour desirability. Although flavour intensity increased with increasing *longissimus* lipid content, greater maturity of lean tissue reduced flavour intensity.

The meat descriptive attribute method, as described earlier, provides an indication of flavour differences between treatments, but changes in specific flavour attributes have also been assessed (Miller, 1994). Identified flavours in beef are listed in Table 3. Undesirable (to American panellists) flavours, such as 'milky' and 'grassy', associated with forage-fed beef have been attributed to an increase in the concentration of linolenic acid in neutral and polar lipids. Myristic, palmitic and margaric acids have been related to 'cowy' and 'painty' flavours of beef (Camfield *et al.* 1997). Larick & Turner (1990) showed that as the concentration of linolenic acid in muscle phospholipids declined and that of linoleic acid increased, flavours identified as 'sweet' and 'gamey' declined, whereas 'sour', 'blood-like' and 'cooked beef fat' increased. During post-mortem ageing, desirable flavours ('beefy', 'brothy', 'browned-caramel' and 'sweet') typically decrease, while 'bitter' and 'sour' flavours increase (Spanier *et al.* 1997). In addition to altering the flavour of fresh beef, unsaturated fatty acids are susceptible to rancidity during ageing or with exposure to O₂. Beef from grass-fed cattle developed off-flavours more quickly and reached higher concentrations of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances during ageing than beef from grain-fed cattle in the studies of Reagan *et al.* (1981) and Xiong *et al.* (1996). 'Fishy' flavours are often detected in beef from grass-fed cattle after several months of storage, even if the beef is frozen during storage (Moore & Harbord, 1977). Vatansever *et al.* (1999) reported that panellists rated beef from cattle fed rations that contained

fish oil, linseed oil or palm oil concentrates similarly for beef flavour, abnormal flavour and overall liking. However, when panellists were trained to distinguish beef flavour intensity, 'fatty' or 'greasy', 'blood', 'livery', 'metallic', 'bitter', 'sweet', 'rancid', 'fishy', 'acidic', 'cardboard', 'vegetable' and hedonic overall liking, beef from steers fed fish-oil concentrate was rated more 'rancid' and more 'fishy' than beef from the other rations (Enser *et al.* 1997). However, overall scores were low, and overall liking was similar for control and fish-oil-fed animals, although steaks from linseed-fed animals were preferred. These observations indicate that conclusions as to beef flavour are also influenced by the methodology employed in its assessment. Dietary supplements of antioxidants generally retard lipid oxidation and the accumulation of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (Kerry *et al.* 2000), and thereby may delay appearance of objectionable flavours, particularly for beef with higher concentrations of PUFA.

There appears to be a myriad of chemical compounds contributing to meat flavour. Larick *et al.* (1987) showed that lipid breakdown products such as aldehydes and ketones were more apparent in volatile compounds from beef produced on grass rather than on grains. Terpenoids derived from chlorophyll were also detected and correlated with flavour changes. Elmore *et al.* (1997, 1999) reported higher concentrations of lipid oxidation products in the aroma extracts of steaks from cattle finished on grass silage and the oil supplements described earlier (Enser *et al.* 1997). In particular, *n*-alkanals, 2-alkanals, 1-alkanals, and alkyl-furans were increased up to 4-fold. Most of these compounds were derived from the auto-oxidation of the more abundant mono- and diunsaturated fatty acids during cooking, and such auto-oxidation appeared to be promoted by increased levels of PUFA. Compounds resulting from reactions between lipid breakdown products and the products of Maillard reactions between sugars and amino groups including thiazoles and 3-thiazolines were reported for the first time in beef, and were greatly increased in animals fed PUFA supplements.

Table 3. Flavours detectable by trained panellists in cooked beef

Aromatics:	Cooked beefy or brothy
	Cooked beef fat
	Serumy or bloody
	Grainy or cowy
	Cardboardy
	Painty
	Fishy
	Livery or organy
	Soured (grainy)
	Medicinal
	Other
Feeling factors:	Metallic
	Astringent
	Throat irritation
	Chemical burn
Basic tastes:	Salty
	Sour
	Bitter
After tastes:	Metallic aftertaste
	Soapy aftertaste
	Other aftertaste

Juiciness

Despite its close relationship to overall beef desirability, juiciness has received limited research attention. For grass-fed cattle, but not grain-fed cattle, juiciness scores tended to increase during post-mortem ageing up to 10 d (Xiong *et al.* 1996). Ground beef from feedlot cattle was judged as considerably juicier than ground beef from pasture-finished cattle (Simonne *et al.* 1996), but *longissimus* steaks from concentrate- and grass-fed cattle had similar juiciness (French *et al.* 2000a). The compiled literature data of Owens & Gardner (1999) indicate that juiciness was negatively related to *longissimus* moisture and positively related to *longissimus* fat concentration. Mandell *et al.* (1997) noted that *longissimus* steaks with higher fat content (3.5–5 %) were more juicy than steaks that contained 2–3 % intramuscular lipid. Although electrical stimulation of the carcass usually improves beef tenderness, it has been reported to reduce juiciness (Nour *et al.* 1994), possibly through altering glycogen or energy reserves and the rate of pH decline post-mortem. Myristic, palmitic and margaric

acid concentrations of the *longissimus* were related negatively to juiciness (Camfield *et al.* 1997).

‘Healthy beef’

Fatty acids

In a recent briefing paper from The British Nutrition Foundation (1999), it was concluded that ‘meat and meat products are an integral part of the UK diet and make a valuable contribution to nutritional intakes’. The fat content of meat is less than it used to be, as a result of changes in breeding, feeding and butchery techniques. Further, lean meat is an important source of bioavailable Fe, Zn and other trace elements such as Cu and Se, along with B vitamins and vitamin D. Nevertheless, there is a perception among consumers, and often the medical profession, that beef is a high-fat food with a high proportion of saturated fatty acids (SFA) that are considered to increase the risk of CHD. Such a perception has probably contributed to the decline in beef consumption, since medical authorities worldwide recommend that energy intake from fat should not exceed 30–35 % total energy intake, that energy intake from SFA should not exceed 10 % total energy intake, and that energy intake from monounsaturated fatty acids and PUFA should be approximately 16 and 7 % total energy intake respectively. Furthermore, an increase in *n*-3 PUFA consumption such that *n*-6:*n*-3 PUFA is 4:1 has also been recommended (Gibney, 1993; Department of Health, 1994; US Department of Agriculture, 2000). Such recommendations have provided impetus to develop strategies to alter the total fat concentration and the fatty acid composition of beef fat to be more compatible with consumer requirements. Modern meat is not as fat as it was previously. Higgs (2000) has described how the combination of changes mentioned by the British Nutrition Foundation (1999) has resulted in modern lean beef with an intramuscular fat concentration of 5 % compared with 25 % in 1950–1970s. PUFA:SFA is lower in ruminant tissue than in non-ruminant tissue, due to hydrogenation of dietary unsaturated fatty acids by rumen micro-organisms. Nevertheless, SFA represent less than half the total fatty acids to beef, and of the total SFA 30 % are represented by stearic acid, which has been shown to be

neutral in its effect on plasma cholesterol in human subjects (Bonanome & Grundy, 1988). These data indicate that the common reference to beef fat as very saturated is erroneous. A proportion of dietary unsaturated fatty acids bypasses the rumen intact and is absorbed and deposited in body fat (Wood & Enser, 1997). Increasing the dietary supply of PUFA, particularly *n*-3 PUFA, is one strategy to increase PUFA concentrations in ruminant tissue. An important difference between single-stomached animals and ruminants is that the long-chain *n*-3 PUFA, including eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid, are not incorporated into triacylglycerols to any important extent in ruminants. They are incorporated mainly into membrane phospholipids and, therefore, are found predominantly in muscle (Enser *et al.* 1996). This factor provides the opportunity to manipulate intramuscular fatty acid composition without large increases in fatness *per se*.

There are many reports on the effects of beef cattle diets on the fatty acid composition of muscle (for example, see Rule *et al.* 1995; Wood & Enser, 1997; Demeyer & Doreau, 1999). Grass has higher PUFA, and particularly higher *n*-3 PUFA, primarily as linolenic acid, than grain-based ruminant feeds. In general, grass-fed beef has higher concentrations of PUFA, particularly in the phospholipid fraction, than grain-fed beef (Griebenow *et al.* 1997). As shown in Table 4, an increase in the proportion of grass in the diet of finishing steers decreased the SFA concentration, increased PUFA:SFA, increased the *n*-3 PUFA concentration and decreased *n*-6:*n*-3 PUFA (French *et al.* 2000c). The *n*-3 PUFA detected in meat from the grass-fed cattle in this study were predominantly linolenic acid. The health benefits of *n*-3 PUFA from plant and marine (i.e. eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acid) sources appear to differ. An expert workshop on this issue (de Deckere *et al.* 1998) concluded that ‘there is incomplete but growing evidence that consumption of the plant *n*-3 PUFA, alpha-linolenic acid, reduces the risk of coronary heart disease. An intake of 2 g/d or 1 % of energy as alpha-linolenic acid appears prudent. The ratio of total *n*-3 over *n*-6 PUFA (linoleic acid) is not useful for characterising foods or diets because plant and marine *n*-3 PUFA show different effects, and because a decrease in *n*-6 PUFA intake does not produce the same effects as an increase in *n*-3 PUFA intake.

Table 4. The effect of diet on intramuscular fatty acid composition of beef (data from French *et al.* 2000c)

Fatty acid (g/100 g fatty acids)	Silage and concentrates	Grass (g/kg DM)				SE	Statistical significance of effect of diet
		0	510	770	1000		
18:2	2.60	2.96	2.60	2.32	2.11	0.105	NS
18:2 (conjugated linoleic acid)	0.47 ^{cd}	0.37 ^d	0.54 ^{bc}	0.66 ^b	1.08 ^a	0.040	***
18:3	0.71 ^d	0.72 ^d	0.87 ^c	1.01 ^b	1.13 ^a	0.031	***
SFA	47.72 ^a	48.07 ^a	45.71 ^b	44.86 ^b	42.82 ^c	0.415	***
MUFA	41.83	41.48	40.90	42.31	43.07	0.249	NS
PUFA	4.14 ^a	4.93 ^a	4.53 ^a	4.71 ^a	5.35 ^b	0.29	*
<i>n</i> -6 Fatty acids	2.96	3.21	3.12	3.04	3.14	0.106	NS
<i>n</i> -3 Fatty acids	0.91 ^c	0.84 ^c	1.13 ^b	1.25 ^{ab}	1.36 ^a	0.042	***
<i>n</i> -6: <i>n</i> -3 Fatty acids	3.61 ^a	4.15 ^a	2.86 ^b	2.47 ^b	2.33 ^b	0.197	**
PUFA:SFA	0.09 ^a	0.09 ^a	0.10 ^a	0.11 ^{ab}	0.13 ^b	0.007	**

a,b,c,d Means within rows with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

SFA, total saturated fatty acids; MUFA, total monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, total polyunsaturated fatty acids.

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Separate recommendations for alpha-linolenic acid, marine *n*-3 PUFA and linoleic acid are preferred.' Grass-fed beef can contribute to diets designed to achieve an increased consumption of *n*-3 PUFA.

The fatty acid composition of beef can also be manipulated by including fatty acids in the diet that are protected from rumen hydrogenation (Scott *et al.* 1971; Demeyer & Doreau, 1999). An example of the relative success of such a strategy is shown in Table 5. Inclusion of bruised whole linseed, a rich source of linolenic acid, resulted in 100 % increase in the concentration of linolenic acid in muscle, while the linseed oil–fish oil treatment increased the marine *n*-3 PUFA concentrations (Scollan *et al.* 2000). Further research is in progress to improve the transfer of dietary PUFA to muscle. Current data, therefore, indicate that beef can be produced that has a lower fat concentration (<5 %), has a decreased amount of atherogenic SFA, has increased total PUFA concentration and improved concentrations of *n*-3 and *n*-6 PUFA and *n*-3:*n*-6 PUFA than was possible in the past. That modern lean beef can play a role in a healthy diet was demonstrated recently when lean red meat and lean white meat were compared as components of a cholesterol-lowering diet for human subjects (Davidson *et al.* 1999). Both diets produced similar reductions in LDL-cholesterol and elevations in HDL-cholesterol levels, which were maintained throughout 36 weeks of treatment.

Conjugated linoleic acid

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) refers to a mixture of positional and geometric isomers of linoleic acid (18:2*n*-6) in which the double bonds are conjugated instead of existing in the typical methylene-interrupted configuration. Nine different isomers of CLA have been reported as occurring naturally in food. Of these isomers, the *cis*-9, *trans*-11 form is believed to be the most common natural form of CLA with biological activity, but biological activity has been proposed for other isomers, especially the *trans*-10, *cis*-12-isomer. Although not widely accepted, 'ruminic acid' has been proposed as a 'common name' for the major CLA isomer found in natural products. Conjugated linoleic acid

has been shown to be an anti-carcinogen, and to have anti-atherogenic, immunomodulating, growth-promoting, lean body mass-enhancing and anti-diabetic properties (Pariza, 1997; Parodi, 1999; Bessa *et al.* 2000). It is found in highest concentrations in fat from ruminant animals, where it is produced in the rumen as the first intermediate in the biohydrogenation of dietary linoleic acid by the enzyme, linoleic acid isomerase from the bacteria *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. In the second step of the pathway, the conjugated diene is hydrogenated to *trans*-11-octadecenoic acid (*trans*-vaccinic acid) and further hydrogenation results in stearic acid (Kepler & Tove, 1967). Since there are potential health benefits arising from CLA consumption, there is considerable research effort directed to increasing the CLA content of ruminant-derived food. Milk-fat CLA concentrations are primarily influenced by linoleic acid supply to the rumen, by inclusion of grass in the diet and by forage:concentrate in the diet (Kelly *et al.* 1998*a,b*; Jiang *et al.* 1996). Few data are available on strategies to increase CLA concentration in other adipose tissue depots. The effect of increasing grass consumption by beef cattle on intramuscular CLA concentration is shown in Table 4. An increase in the proportion of grass in the diet caused a linear increase in CLA concentration, while a grass silage and concentrate diet resulted in a lower CLA concentration than a grass-based diet with a similar forage:concentrate value (French *et al.* 2000*c*). Reported CLA concentrations in beef are summarised in Table 6. Concentrations in Irish and Australian beef can be two to three times higher than those in US beef. This finding presumably reflects the greater consumption of PUFA-rich pasture throughout the year by cattle in these countries. The *cis*-9-, *trans*-11-CLA isomer contributes 57–85 % of the total CLA in beef fat. In addition, there is evidence that the CLA concentration increases in foods that are cooked and/or otherwise processed.

Epidemiological studies support the hypothesis that there is some factor in whole milk that has a protective effect against breast cancer and CHD (Knekt *et al.* 1996). Lower incidences of these diseases were related to greater consumption of whole milk but not to intakes of low-fat

Table 5. Fatty acid composition of *longissimus dorsi* muscle from steers fed grass silage and concentrates with different sources of oil (data from Scollan *et al.* 2000)

	Control	Linseed	Fish oil	Linseed and fish oil	SED	Statistical significance of difference
Fatty acids (mg/100 g tissue)						
12:0	3.20	3.79	3.70	4.36	0.789	NS
14:0	121	152	173	169	34.0	NS
16:0	1029	1089	1305	1171	206.0	NS
18:0	528	581	543	490	104.0	NS
18:1(<i>trans</i>)	63	147	184	173	33.2	**
18:1	1209	1471	1260	1225	279.0	NS
18:2	81	78	66	64	9.2	NS
18:3	22	43	26	30	5.6	**
20:4	23	21	14	17	1.5	***
20:5	11	16	23	15	1.9	***
22:6	2.2	2.4	4.6	4.9	0.52	***
Total fatty acids	3529	4222	4292	3973	741.0	NS

** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Table 6. Conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in uncooked beef

Diet	Country	Concentration (mg/g fat)	Reference
Unknown	Canada	1.2–3.0	Ma <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Barley (800 g/kg diet)	Canada	1.7–1.8	Mir <i>et al.</i> (2000)
Grass silage and concentrates	UK	3.2–8.0	Enser <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Maize (820 g/kg diet)	USA	3.9–4.9	McGuire <i>et al.</i> (1998)
Unknown	USA	2.9–4.3	Chin <i>et al.</i> (1992)
Unknown	USA	1.7–5.5	Shanta <i>et al.</i> (1994)
Grain	USA	5.1	Shanta <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Concentrate	Japan	3.4	Tsuneishi <i>et al.</i> (1999)
Grass	USA	7.4	Shanta <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Grass (?)	Australia	2.3–12.5	Fogerty <i>et al.</i> (1988)
Grass	Ireland	3.7–10.8	French <i>et al.</i> (2000c)
Unknown	Germany	1.2–12.0	Fritsche & Steinhart (1998)

milk. The authors suggested that CLA in milk fat may be the protective factor. Moreover, the incidence of breast cancer was lower in women with higher breast tissue levels of CLA (Lavillonniere *et al.* 1998). The CLA content of blood serum and breast milk can be modified by diet (Parodi, 1999). In earlier studies in Australia, breast milk from women of the Hare Krishna religious sect contained twice as much CLA as milk from Australian mothers on conventional diets (11.2 v 5.8 g/kg; Fogerty *et al.* 1988). This finding was attributed to the large amount of butter and ghee (a clarified butter) consumed by the Hare Krishna community. The CLA concentration in human milk can be enhanced by increasing the CLA content of the maternal diet, which suggests an opportunity to protect the female neonate from subsequent breast cancer development (Parodi, 1999).

Parodi (1994) proposed that dietary *trans*-11-18:1, the predominant *trans*-monounsaturated fatty acid in milk fat and ruminant tissue fat could be converted by desaturase enzymes to CLA in human subjects. Recently, Salminen *et al.* (1998) showed that feeding subjects a diet enriched with *trans*-fatty acids from hydrogenated vegetable oil increased blood CLA concentrations, probably reflecting the presence of *trans*-11-18:1 in the mixture of *trans*-fatty acids. A similar pathway may operate in ruminants (Corl *et al.* 1998) since *trans*-11-18:1 is an intermediate in the linoleic desaturation pathway in the rumen. While animal studies have shown benefits of dietary concentrations of CLA as low as 1–5 g/kg, the minimum effective dose to confer cancer protection in human subjects has not yet been clarified. However, this dose level is a subject of intense medical research.

Conclusion

Modern systems of cattle management can produce beef that is tender, flavoursome and healthy for consumers. Based on available information, carcass management post-slaughter has a larger effect on meat tenderness than production factors such as gender or feeding system. Optimum 'pasture to plate' management systems are being established to ensure beef tenderness. Flavour is an important component of beef acceptability, but assessment of flavour is subject to the previous experiences and preferences of members of a

taste panel and to the methodology used. There is opportunity to exploit the diet of cattle to produce beef that has an increased CLA concentration, a lower total fat concentration and a fatty acid profile more compatible with current human dietary recommendations.

References

- Aberle ED, Reeves ES, Judge MD, Hunsley RE & Perry TW (1981) Palatability and muscle characteristics of cattle with controlled weight gain: Time on a high energy diet. *Journal of Animal Science* **52**, 757–763.
- Becker T, Benner E & Glitsch K (1998) *Report on Consumer Behaviour Towards Meat in Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom*. Hohenheim, Germany: Department of Agricultural Policy and Agricultural Economics, University of Hohenheim.
- Berry BW, Leddy KF, Bond J, Rumsey T & Flammound AC (1988) Effects of silage diets and electrical stimulation on the palatability, cooking and pH characteristics of beef loin steaks. *Journal of Animal Science* **66**, 892–900.
- Bessa RJB, Santos-Silva J, Ribeiro JMR & Portugal AV (2000) Reticulo-rumen biohydrogenation and the enrichment of ruminant edible products with linoleic acid conjugated isomers. *Livestock Production Science* **63**, 201–211.
- Bonanome A & Grundy SM (1988) Effect of dietary stearic acid on plasma cholesterol and lipoprotein levels. *New England Journal of Medicine* **318**, 1244–1248.
- British Nutrition Foundation (1999) *Meat in the Diet*. London: British Nutrition Foundation.
- Calkins CR, Seideman SC & Crouse JD (1987) Relationships between rate of growth, catheptic enzymes and meat palatability in young bulls. *Journal of Animal Science* **64**, 1448–1457.
- Camfield PK, Brown AJ Jr, Lewis PK, Rakes LV & Johnson ZB (1997) Effects of frame size and time-on-feed on carcass characteristics, sensory attributes, and fatty acid profiles of steers. *Journal of Animal Science* **75**, 1837–1844.
- Chin SF, Liu W, Storkson JM, Ha YL & Pariza MW (1992) Dietary sources of conjugated dienoic isomers of linoleic acid, a newly recognized class of anticarcinogens. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **5**, 185–197.
- Coleman SW, Gallavan RH, Williams CB, Phillips WA, Volesky JD, Rodriguez S & Bennett GL (1995) Silage or limit-fed grain growing diets for steers. 1. Growth and carcass quality. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**, 2609–2620.
- Corl BA, Chouinard PY, Brennan DE, Dwyer DA, Griinari JM & Nurmela KV (1998) Conjugated linoleic acid in milk fat of

- dairy cows originates in part by endogenous synthesis from *trans*-11 octadecenoic acid. *Journal of Animal Science* **76**, Suppl. 1, 233.
- Davidson MH, Hunninghake D, Maki KC, Kwiterovich PO Jr & Kafonek S (1999) Comparison of the effects of lean red meat vs. lean white meat on serum lipid levels among free-living persons with hypercholesterolemia. *Archives of Internal Medicine* **159**, 1331–1338.
- de Deckere EAM, Korver O, Verschuren PM & Katan MB (1998) Health aspects of fish and *n*-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids from plant and marine origin. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **52**, 749–753.
- Demeyer D & Doreau M (1999) Targets and procedures for altering ruminant meat and milk lipids. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **58**, 593–607.
- Department of Health (1994) *Nutritional Aspects of Cardiovascular Disease. Report on Health and Social Subjects* no. 46. London: H.M. Stationery Office.
- Dransfield E (1992) Meat tenderness. *Meat Focus International* September issue, 237–245.
- Elmore JS, Mottram DS, Enser M & Wood JD (1997) Thiazoles and 3-thiazolines in cooked beef aroma. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **45**, 3603–3607.
- Elmore SJ, Mottram DJ, Enser M & Wood JD (1999) Effect of the polyunsaturated fatty acid composition of beef muscle on the profile of aroma volatiles. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **47**, 1619–1625.
- Enser M, Hallett K, Hewett B, Fursey GAJ & Wood JD (1996) Fatty acid content and composition of English beef, lamb and pork at retail. *Meat Science* **44**, 443–458.
- Enser M, Kurt E, Nute GE, Wood JD, Mottram DS, Elmore S & Scollan ND (1997) Effect of dietary linseed and fish oil on the composition of phospholipid fatty acids, eating quality and cooked flavour volatiles of beef *Longissimus lumborum* muscle. *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science* p. 48. Penicuik, Midlothian: British Society of Animal Science.
- Enser M, Scollan ND, Choi NJ, Kurt E, Hallett K & Wood JD (1999) Effect of dietary lipid on the content of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in beef muscle. *Animal Science* **69**, 143–146.
- Fogerty AC, Ford GL & Svoronos D (1988) Octadeca-9, 11-dienoic acid in foodstuffs and in the lipids of human blood and breast milk. *Nutrition Reports International* **38**, 937–944.
- French P, O'Riordan EG, Monahan FJ, Caffrey PJ, Mooney MT, Troy DJ & Moloney AP (2000a) The eating quality of meat from steers fed grass and/or concentrates. *Meat Science* (In the Press).
- French P, O'Riordan EG, Monahan FJ, Caffrey PJ, Vidal M, Mooney MT, Troy DJ & Moloney AP (2000b) Meat quality of steers finished on autumn grass, grass silage or concentrate-based diets. *Meat Science* **56**, 173–180.
- French P, Stanton C, Lawless F, O'Riordan EG, Monahan FJ, Caffrey PJ & Moloney AP (2000c) Fatty acid composition, including conjugated linoleic acid, of intramuscular fat from steers offered grazed grass, grass silage or concentrate-based diets. *Journal of Animal Science* **78**, 2849–2855.
- Fritsche J & Steinhart H (1998) Amounts of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in German foods and evaluation of daily intake. *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel Untersuchung und Forschung* **206A**, 77–82.
- Gibney MJ (1993) Fat in animal products: facts and perceptions. In *Safety and Quality of Food from Animals. British Society of Animal Production Occasional Publication* no. 17, pp. 57–61 [JD Wood and TLJ Lawrence, editors]. Edinburgh: British Society of Animal Production.
- Griebenow RL, Martz FA & Morrow RE (1997) Forage-based beef finishing systems: A review. *Journal of Production Agriculture* **10**, 84–91.
- Higgs JD (2000) Leaner meat: An overview of the compositional changes in red meat over the last 20 years and how these have been achieved. *Food Science and Technology Today* **14**, 22–26.
- Homer DB, Cuthbertson A, Homer DLM & McMenamin P (1997) Eating quality of beef from different sire breeds. *Animal Science* **64**, 403–408.
- Jiang J, Bjoerck L, Fonden R & Emanuelson M (1996) Occurrence of conjugated *cis*-9, *trans*-11-octadecadienoic acid in bovine milk: effects of feed and dietary regimen. *Journal of Dairy Science* **79**, 438–445.
- Kelly ML, Berry JR, Dwyer DA, Griinari JM, Chouinard PY, Van Amburgh ME & Bauman DE (1998a) Dietary fatty acid sources affect conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in milk from lactating dairy cows. *Journal of Nutrition* **128**, 881–885.
- Kelly ML, Kolver EF, Bauman DE, Van Amburgh ME & Muller LD (1998b) Effect of intake of pasture on concentrations of conjugated linoleic acid in milk of lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **81**, 1630–1636.
- Kepler CR & Tove SB (1967) Biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids: III. Purification and properties of a linoleate ¹²-*cis*, ¹¹*trans*-isomerase from *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **242**, 5686–5692.
- Kerry JP, Buckley DJ & Morrissey PA (2000) Improvement of the oxidative stability of beef and lamb with vitamin E. In *Antioxidants in Muscle Foods*, pp. 229–261 [EA Decker, C Faustman and Lopez-Bote, editors]. New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Knekt P, Jarvinen R, Seppanen R, Pukkala E & Aromaa A (1996) Intake of dairy products and the risk of breast cancer. *British Journal of Cancer* **73**, 687–691.
- Koohmaraie M (1996) Biochemical factors regulating the toughening and tenderization processes of meat. *Meat Science* **43**, 5193–5201.
- Larick DK, Hedrick HB, Bailey ME, Williams JE, Hancock DL, Garner GB & Morrow RE (1987) Flavour constituents of beef as influenced by forage and grain feeding. *Journal of Food Science* **52**, 245–251.
- Larick DK & Turner BE (1990) Flavour characteristics of forage- and grain-fed beef as influenced by phospholipid and fatty acid compositional differences. *Journal of Food Science* **55**, 312–368.
- Lavillonniere F, Riboli E, Martin JC, Lhuillery C, Chajes V & Bounoux P (1998) High conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content in breast adipose tissue protects against breast cancer. *European Section Meeting of the American Oil Chemists Association (cited in National Cattlemen's Beef Association (1999) Conjugated Linoleic Acid and Dairy Beef—An Update*. Denver, CO: NCBA.
- McCaughy WP & Cliplef RL (1996) Carcass and organoleptic characteristics of meat from steers grazed on alfalfa/grass pastures and finished on grain. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **76**, 149–152.
- McGuire MA, Duckett SK, Andrae JG, Giesy JG & Hunt CW (1998) Effect of high-oil corn on content of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in beef. *Journal of Animal Science* **76**, Suppl. 1, 301.
- Ma DW, Wierzbicki AA, Field CJ & Clandinin MT (1999) Conjugated linoleic acid in Canadian dairy and beef products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **47**, 1956–1960.
- Mandell IB, Gullett EA, Wilton JW, Allen OB & Osborne VR (1997) Effects of diet and slaughter endpoint on growth performance, carcass composition and beef quality traits in Limousin and Charolais steers. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **77**, 23–32.
- Miller RK (1994) Sensory methods to evaluate muscle foods. In *Muscle Foods, Meat Poultry and Seafood Technology*, pp. 333–360 [DM Kinsman, AW Ketula and BC Brendenstein, editors]. New York: Chapman & Hall.

- Miller RK, Rockwell LC, Lunt DK & Cartens GE (1996) Determination of the flavour attributes of cooked beef from cross-bred Angus steer fed corn- or barley-based diets. *Meat Science* **44**, 235–243.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1991) *National Food Survey 1990*. London: H.M. Stationery Office.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1998) *National Food Survey 1997*. London: H.M. Stationery Office.
- Mir Z, Paterson LJ & Mir PS (2000) Fatty acid composition and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content of intramuscular fat in crossbred cattle with and without Wagyu genetics fed a barley-based diet. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **80**, 195–197.
- Moloney AP, Keane MG, Mooney MT & Troy DJ (2000a) Modification of a 2 year-old beef production system: Effects on eating quality of meat. In *Beef from Grass and Forage. Occasional Symposium of the British Grassland Society* [D Puller, editor]. Reading, Berks.: British Grassland Society.
- Moloney AP, Keane MG, Mooney MT & Troy DJ (2000b) Fat deposition and muscle tenderness in steers with different patterns of concentrate consumption. In *Proceedings of the Agricultural Research Forum*, pp. 107–188 [P O'Kiely, JF Collins and T Storey, editors]. Dublin, Republic of Ireland: Teagasc.
- Moloney AP, Mooney MT, O'Kiely P & Troy DJ (1999) Fat colour and the quality of meat from beef cattle offered grass silage or maize silage-based diets. In *Proceedings of The XIIth International Silage Conference*, pp. 309–310. Uppsala, Sweden: SLU.
- Moore VJ & Harbord MW (1977) Palatability of beef from cattle fed maize silage and pasture. *New Zealand Journal of Agriculture Research* **20**, 279–281.
- Mottram DS (1992) Meat flavour. *Meat Focus International* June issue, 81–92.
- Nour AYM, Gomide LA, Mills EW, Lemenager RP & Judge MD (1994) Influence of production and postmortem technologies on composition and palatability of USDA Select grade beef. *Journal of Animal Science* **72**, 1224–1231.
- Owens FN & Gardner BA (1999) Ruminant nutrition and meat quality. *Proceedings of the Annual Reciprocal Meat Conference* **52**, 25–36.
- Pariza M (1997) Conjugated linoleic acid, a newly recognised nutrient. *Chemical Industry* **12**, 464–466.
- Parodi PW (1994) Conjugated linoleic acid: an anticarcinogenic fatty acid present in milk fat. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology* **49**, 93–97.
- Parodi PW (1999) Conjugated linoleic acid and other anti-carcinogenic agents of bovine milk fat. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82**, 1339–1349.
- Reagan JO, Stribling KV, Carpenter JA & Campion DR (1981) Microbiological, vacuum packaging and palatability attributes of beef produced at varied levels of forages and grain. *Journal of Animal Science* **53**, 1482–1488.
- Rule DC, Smith SB & Romans JR (1995) Fatty acid composition of muscle and adipose tissue of meat animals. In *The Biology of Fat in Meat Animals*, pp. 144–165 [SB Smith and DR Smith, editors]. Champaign, IL: American Society of Animal Science.
- Salminen I, Mutanen M, Jauhiainen M & Aro A (1998) Dietary trans fatty acids increase conjugated linoleic acid levels in human serum. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* **9**, 93–98.
- Sanudo C, Nute GR, Campo MM, Maria G, Baker A, Sierra I, Enser M & Wood JD (1998) Assessment of commercial lamb meat quality by British and Spanish taste panels. *Meat Science* **48**, 91–99.
- Scollan ND, Choj NJ, Kurt E, Fisher AV, Enser M & Wood JD (2000) Manipulating the fatty acid composition of muscle and adipose tissue in beef cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition* **85**, 115–124.
- Scott TW, Cook LJ & Mills SC (1971) Protection of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids against microbial hydrogenation in ruminants. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society* **48**, 358–364.
- Shanta NC, Crum AD & Decker EA (1994) Evaluation of conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in cooked beef. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **42**, 1757–1760.
- Shanta NC, Moody WG & Tabeidi Z (1997) Conjugated linoleic acid concentration in semimembranosus muscle of grass and grain-fed and zeranol-implanted beef cattle. *Journal of Muscle Foods* **8**, 105–110.
- Simonne AH, Green NR & Bransby DI (1996) Consumer acceptability and β -carotene content of beef as related to cattle finishing diets. *Journal of Food Science* **61**, 1254–1280.
- Sinclair KD, Cuthbertson A, Rutter A & Franklin MF (1998) The effects of age at slaughter, genotype and finishing system on the organoleptic properties and texture of bull beef from suckled calves. *Animal Science* **66**, 329–340.
- Smith GC, Carpenter ZL, Cross HR, Murphey CE, Abraham HC, Savell JW, Davis GW, Berry BW & Parish FC (1984) Relationship of USDA marbling groups to palatability of cooked beef. *Journal of Food Quality* **7**, 289–308.
- Spanier AM, Flores M, McMillin KW & Bidner TD (1997) The effect of post-mortem ageing on meat flavour quality in Brangus beef. Correlation of treatments, sensory, instrumental and chemical descriptors. *Food Chemistry* **59**, 531–538.
- Tarrant PV (1998) Some recent advances and future priorities in research for the meat industry. *Meat Science* **49**, S1–S16.
- Troy DJ (editor) (1995) Modern methods to improve and control meat quality with special regard to the tenderisation process. In *International Developments in Process Efficiency and Quality in the Meat Industry*, pp. 57–72. Dublin, Republic of Ireland: The National Food Centre.
- Tsuneishi E, Matsuzaki M, Shiba N & Hora SI (1999) Conjugated linoleic acid concentrations in adipose tissues of Japanese Black fattening steers. *Animal Science Journal* **70**, 547–550.
- US Department of Agriculture (2000) *Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 5th ed. Home and Garden Bulletin* no. 232 Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture.
- Van Koevever MT, Gill DR, Owens FN, Dolezal HG & Strasia GA (1995) Effect of time on feed on performance of feedlot steers, carcass characteristics, and tenderness and composition of longissimus muscle. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**, 21–28.
- Vatansever L, Kurt E, Richardson RI, Nute GR, Enser M, Scollan N & Wood JD (1999) Phospholipid fatty acids and meat quality in cattle breeds fed different diets. *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science*, p. 57. Penicuik, Midlothian: British Society of Animal Science.
- Wood JD (1990) Consequences for meat quality of reducing carcass fatness. In *Reducing Fat in Meat Animals*, pp. 344–397 [JD Wood and AV Fisher, editors]. London: Elsevier Applied Science.
- Wood JD & Enser M (1997) Factors influencing fatty acids in meat and the role of antioxidants in improving meat quality. *British Journal of Nutrition* **78**, S49–S60.
- Xiong YL, Moody WG, Blanchard SP, Liu G & Burris WR (1996) Postmortem proteolytic and organoleptic changes in hot-boned muscle from grass- and grain-fed and zeranol-implanted cattle. *Food Research International* **29**, 27–34.