

## GENERALIZED RIGID METABELIAN GROUPS

N. S. Romanovskii

UDC 512.5

**Abstract:** We study the generalized rigid groups ( $r$ -groups), in the metabelian case in more detail. The periodic  $r$ -groups are described. We prove that each divisible metabelian  $r$ -group decomposes as a semidirect product of two abelian subgroups, each metabelian  $r$ -group independently embeds into a divisible metabelian  $r$ -group, and the intersection of each collection of divisible subgroups of a metabelian  $r$ -group is divisible too.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446619010166

**Keywords:** soluble group, metabelian group, divisible group

### 1. Introduction

The author has studied algebraic and model-theoretic properties of rigid groups rather thoroughly in the papers and with joint papers with Myasnikov [1–9]. Recall the definition of rigid (soluble) group.

Assume that a group  $G$  has a normal series

$$G = G_1 > G_2 > \cdots > G_m > G_{m+1} = 1, \quad (1)$$

with the abelian quotients  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ . The action of  $G$  on  $G_i$  by conjugation  $x \rightarrow x^g = g^{-1}xg$  enables us to define on  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  the structure of a right module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$ . The group  $G$  is called *rigid* whenever for all  $i$  this module is  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$ -torsion-free, meaning that every nonzero element of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$  acts nontrivially on each nonzero element of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ .

A generalization of that concept appeared in [10]. Essentially, the condition that the  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$ -module is  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ -torsion-free is replaced with the following: Firstly, denote by  $R_i$  the quotient ring of  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$  by the annihilator of  $G_i/G_{i+1}$ , so that we can regard  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  as the right  $R_i$ -module. The new condition is that  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is  $R_i$ -torsion-free and that the canonical mapping  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_i] \rightarrow R_i$  is injective on  $G/G_i$ . For brevity, the generalized rigid groups are called *r-groups*. Some basic properties of these groups were obtained in [10]. In particular, it was shown that series (1) of existent is uniquely determined by  $G$ , and the terms of this *rigid series* are denoted by  $G_i = \rho_i(G)$ . Each subgroup of  $G$  is also an  $r$ -group, and we obtain a rigid series of the latter by intersecting it with (1) and omitting repetitions. The ring  $R_i$  defined above is called the ring *associated* with the quotient  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  of the series (1). It was shown to be a (left and right) Ore domain; therefore,  $R_i$  embeds into its skew field of fractions. The concept of a *divisible*  $r$ -group was introduced: For that each module  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  must be a divisible  $R_i$ -module and then we may regard it as a (right) vector space over the skew field of fractions of  $R_i$ .

The results of this article apply mainly to metabelian  $r$ -groups, and we understand by metabelian groups those of solubility length  $\leq 2$ . Note right away that by definition abelian  $r$ -groups can be of the two types: (1) abelian groups of prime period  $p$ , and they are all divisible in the sense of  $r$ -groups; (2) torsion-free abelian groups, and here the concept of a divisible object in the sense of  $r$ -groups coincides with the similar concept in the sense of abelian groups. We obtain the following main results. The periodic  $r$ -groups are described; in particular, they all turn out metabelian. We prove that each divisible metabelian  $r$ -group decomposes as a semidirect product of two abelian subgroups. We prove that each metabelian  $r$ -group independently embeds into a divisible metabelian  $r$ -group. We established that the intersection of every collection of divisible subgroups of a metabelian  $r$ -group is divisible too.

---

The author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 18-01-00100).

---

Novosibirsk. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 194–200, January–February, 2019;  
DOI: 10.17377/smzh.2019.60.116. Original article submitted March 9, 2018; revised March 9, 2018; accepted May 23, 2018.

## 2. Periodic $r$ -Groups

Given a pair of primes  $(p, q)$  such that  $p$  divides  $q^n - 1$  for some positive integer  $n$  and a cardinal  $\alpha \geq 1$ , construct a length 2 soluble periodic  $r$ -group denoted by  $E(p, q, \alpha)$ . As usual, denote by  $F_q$  the field with  $q$  elements and by  $\bar{F}_q$ , the algebraic closure of  $F_q$ . In the multiplicative group  $\bar{F}_q^*$  take the unique cyclic subgroup  $C$  of order  $p$ . The subring of  $\bar{F}_q$  generated by  $C$  is actually some subfield  $F_{q^n}$ . Consider a vector space  $T$  over field  $F_{q^n}$  with basis of cardinality  $\alpha$ . Put  $E(p, q, \alpha)$  to be the group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ T & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . This group decomposes as a semidirect product of  $C$  and the additive group of  $T$ . It is an  $r$ -group with the rigid series

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ T & 1 \end{pmatrix} > \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ T & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 1.$$

For  $p$  dividing  $q - 1$  the group  $E(p, q, 1)$  is the nonabelian group of order  $pq$ .

The proof of the next statement was outlined by Mazurov, to whom the author is grateful.

**Lemma.** *For two primes  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $p$  divides  $q - 1$  and  $E = E(p, q, 1)$  is the nonabelian group of order  $pq$ , this group is not a subgroup of the multiplicative group of any skew field.*

PROOF. Assume on the contrary that  $E$  lies in the multiplicative group of a skew field  $D$ . Were the characteristic of  $D$  prime,  $E$  would generate a finite subring of  $D$ , which must be a skew subfield, and therefore a field, in contradiction with the noncommutativity of  $E$ . Thus, the characteristic of  $D$  must be 0.

Take a nonzero element  $v \in D$  and denote by  $V$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace generated by  $v \cdot E$ . Note that  $V$  is finite-dimensional, and  $E$  acts on  $V$  by right multiplication fixed-point-freely. The last condition is equivalent to the property that the linear transformation of  $V$ , representing a nontrivial element of  $E$ , always lacks eigenvalue 1. Extend  $V$  to the space  $W$  over the field of complexes  $\mathbb{C}$ . The group  $E$  also acts on  $W$  fixed-point-freely. Take a subgroup  $A = \langle a \rangle$  of order  $p$  and a subgroup  $B = \langle b \rangle$  of order  $q$  in  $E$ . Then  $B$  is normal in  $E$  and  $aba^{-1} = b^r$ , where  $1 < r < q$  and  $r^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Denote a primitive degree  $q$  root of unity by  $\xi$ . We may assert that  $\{\xi, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^{q-1}\}$  is the set of all eigenvalues of  $b$ . The space  $W$  decomposes as the direct sum  $W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_{q-1}$  of the subspaces  $W_i = \{x \in W \mid xa = \xi^i x\}$ . Take  $0 \neq w \in W_1$ . Since  $(wa)b = w(aba^{-1})a = (wb^r)a = \xi^r \cdot wa$ , it follows that  $W_1a = W_r$ . Furthermore, we find that  $w, wa, \dots, wa^{p-1}$  lie in distinct  $W_i$ . Thus, the vector  $w + wa + \dots + wa^{p-1}$  is nonzero, but it is fixed under the transformation  $a$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $r$ -group of solubility length  $m$ . Assume that some quotient  $\rho_i(G)/\rho_{i+1}(G)$  of the rigid series of  $G$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion. Then this quotient has prime period  $p$  and either  $i = 1$  or  $i = m$ . In the case  $i = 1$  and  $m > 1$  the order of the quotient equals  $p$ .*

PROOF. Since by assumption  $\rho_i(G)/\rho_{i+1}(G)$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion, it contains a nontrivial element  $u$  of prime order  $p$ . In the additive language,  $up = 0$ . But then  $p\mathbb{Z}$  lies in the kernel of the action of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and the whole quotient  $\rho_i(G)/\rho_{i+1}(G)$  has period  $p$ .

Assume that  $i = 1$  and  $m > 1$  and put  $A = \rho_1(G)/\rho_2(G)$ . As we observed,  $A$  is an abelian group of period  $p$ . Suppose that  $A$  is not cyclic. Then  $A$  includes a subgroup  $A'$  isomorphic to the direct product of two cyclic groups of order  $p$ . Denote by  $R_2$  the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)/\rho_3(G)$ . Note that  $R_2$  is commutative, embeds into a field, and is a homomorphic image of the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}A$ . Furthermore,  $A$  and, therefore,  $A'$  embed into  $R_2^*$ . However, the multiplicative group of a field cannot include a subgroup isomorphic to  $A'$ . The resulting contradiction implies that  $A$  is the cyclic group of order  $p$ .

Suppose that  $1 < i < m$ . In this case  $\rho_i(G)$  is a nonabelian  $r$ -group; furthermore, the first quotient of the rigid series of  $G$  has prime period, for instance,  $q$ . Basing on the above, this quotient is the cyclic group of order  $q$ . Replacing  $G$  with  $\rho_{i-1}(G)$ , we may assume that  $i = 2$ , while  $B = \rho_2(G)/\rho_3(G)$  is the cyclic group of order  $q$ , and  $m \geq 3$ . Then the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)/\rho_3(G)$  is  $F_q$ . The group  $A = \rho_1(G)/\rho_2(G)$  must embed into the multiplicative group of  $F_q$ , and the period of  $A$  must be a prime  $p$  dividing  $q - 1$ . We infer that  $G/\rho_3(G)$  is isomorphic to  $E(p, q, 1)$ , which is a nonabelian group of order  $pq$ .

This group, in turn, must embed into the multiplicative group of the ring associated with  $\rho_3(G)/\rho_4(G)$ , and so, into the multiplicative group of the skew field of fractions of this ring. The latter contradicts the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *The periodic  $r$ -groups are exhausted by the abelian groups of prime period and the metabelian groups  $E(p, q, \alpha)$ .*

PROOF. Everything is clear for abelian groups. Assume that  $G$  is a periodic nonabelian  $r$ -group. Proposition 1 implies the following properties:  $G$  is length 2 soluble, the quotient  $A = \rho_1(G)/\rho_2(G)$  is the cyclic group of prime order  $p$ , and the group  $\rho_2(G)$  is of prime period, for instance  $q$ . Consider the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)$ . It is a homomorphic image of  $F_q A$ , so it is finite, has no zero divisors, and so presents some field  $F_{q^n}$ . The group  $A$  embeds into the multiplicative group of  $F_{q^n}$  and generates  $F_{q^n}$  as a subring. Therefore,  $p$  divides  $q^n - 1$  and  $n$  is minimal with this property. Now it is obvious that  $G \cong E(p, q, \alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the dimension of  $\rho_2(G)$  over  $F_{q^n}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Divisible Metabelian $r$ -Groups

**3.1.** The important property of divisible rigid groups is that they decompose as iterated semidirect products of abelian subgroups [5]. Let us verify a similar property for divisible metabelian  $r$ -groups.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a length 2 soluble  $r$ -group and  $\rho_2(G)$  is a divisible  $R$ -module, where  $R$  is the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)$ . Then the subgroup  $\rho_2(G)$  splits in  $G$ , meaning that there is a subgroup  $A \cong G/\rho_2(G)$  such that  $G$  is the semidirect product  $A \cdot \rho_2(G)$ . All other decompositions of  $G$  of this sort are of the form  $A^f \cdot \rho_2(G)$ , where  $f \in \rho_2(G)$ . Each element  $g \in G \setminus \rho_2(G)$  is conjugate to an element of  $A$  by an element of  $\rho_2(G)$ .*

**Corollary.** *Every divisible metabelian  $r$ -group decomposes as the semidirect product of some divisible abelian subgroup and  $\rho_2(G)$ .*

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By Theorem 2 of [11], there exists a strict  $R$ -decomposition of  $G$  over  $\rho_2(G)$ , i.e., a group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{G} & 0 \\ D(G) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\bar{G} = G/\rho_2(G)$  and  $D(G)$  is a torsion-free right  $R$ -module, while  $G$  embeds into this group of matrices as  $g = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ d(g) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $\bar{g} = g \cdot \rho_2(G)$ ; furthermore, the elements  $d(g)$  generate the module  $D(G)$ . Denote by  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$  and by  $V$ , the vector space over  $K$  obtained as the natural extension of the  $R$ -module  $\rho_2(G)$ . It is clear that  $\rho_2(G)$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Fixing some  $g_0 \in G \setminus \rho_2(G)$ , put  $a = \bar{g}_0$  and  $t = d(g_0)$ ; i.e.,  $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a \neq 1$ .

Given  $g = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ d(g) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$ , we have

$$[g_0, g] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t(\bar{g} - 1) - d(g)(a - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \rho_2(G).$$

Since the module  $\rho_2(G)$  is divisible, it follows that

$$u_g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t(\bar{g} - 1)(a - 1)^{-1} - d(g) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

lies in  $\rho_2(G)$  too. We have

$$gu_g = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ t(a - 1)^{-1}(\bar{g} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ v_0(\bar{g} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $v_0 = t(a - 1)^{-1} \in V$ . It is not difficult to verify that the elements  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ v_0(\bar{g} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  constitute in  $G$  a subgroup  $A$  isomorphic to  $\bar{G}$ : the mapping  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{g} & 0 \\ v_0(\bar{g} - 1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \bar{g}$  is an isomorphism. The group  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $A$  and  $\rho_2(G)$ .

Regarding  $\rho_2(G)$  as a right  $R$ -module, denote it by  $T$ . We can identify  $G$  with the group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ T & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Take  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \rho_2(G)$ , then  $a \neq 1$ . With  $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t(a-1)^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we have  $f^{-1}gf = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in A$ , so that every element  $g \in G \setminus \rho_2(G)$  is conjugate by the element  $f \in \rho_2(G)$  to an element of  $A$ .

Suppose that  $A' \cdot \rho_2(G)$  is another decomposition of  $G$  as a semidirect product and take  $1 \neq a' \in A'$ . Choose  $f \in \rho_2(G)$  with  $f^{-1}a'f \in A$ . Since both  $A$  and  $A'$  coincide with the centralizers of their nontrivial elements, we can assert that  $f^{-1}A'f = A$ .  $\square$

**3.2.** Suppose that  $G$  is an  $r$ -group of solubility length  $m$  and take the tuple  $(R_1, \dots, R_m)$  of rings associated with the corresponding quotients of the rigid series of  $G$ . By definition,  $G/\rho_i(G)$  embeds into the multiplicative group of  $R_i$  and generates the latter. Suppose that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  of the same solubility length  $m$ . Then  $H$  is also an  $r$ -group; furthermore,  $\rho_i(H) = H \cap \rho_i(G)$  and we may assume that the ring  $R_i(H)$  associated with  $\rho_i(H)/\rho_{i+1}(H)$  is the subring of  $R_i$  generated by  $H/\rho_{i+1}(H) \leq G/\rho_{i+1}(G)$ . By analogy with rigid groups, say that  $H$  is an *independent* subgroup of  $G$  whenever for all  $i$  every system of elements of  $\rho_i(H)/\rho_{i+1}(H)$  linearly independent over  $R_i(H)$  remains linearly independent over the larger ring  $R_i = R_i(G)$ . Observe that every subgroup of an abelian  $r$ -group is independent. In accordance with this definition, we can discuss the independent embeddings of one  $r$ -group into another.

**Theorem 3.** *Each metabelian  $r$ -group embeds independently into a divisible metabelian  $r$ -group.*

PROOF. If the group  $G$  is abelian then either its period is a prime and then  $G$  is divisible according to our definition, or  $G$  is a torsion-free group and then  $G$  embeds into the divisible completion (divisible hull), which is a complete torsion-free abelian group uniquely defined up to a  $G$ -isomorphism.

Suppose that the group  $G$  is length 2 soluble, put  $A = G/\rho_2(G)$ , and denote by  $R$  the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)$ . Since by Theorem 2 of [11] we can replace  $G$  by its strict  $R$ -decomposition, assume right away that  $G$  is the semidirect product of  $A$  and  $\rho_2(G)$ .

Suppose firstly that  $A$  is a group of prime period  $p$ . By Proposition 1 it must be the cyclic group of order  $p$ . If the period of  $\rho_2(G)$  is finite then Theorem 1 shows that  $G$  is isomorphic to some group  $E(p, q, \alpha)$  and is itself divisible. Suppose that the period of  $\rho_2(G)$  is infinite. Denote by  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$  which is of characteristic 0. In the  $R$ -module  $\rho_2(G)$  choose a maximal system of elements  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  which is linearly independent over  $R$  and embed  $\rho_2(G)$  into the vector space  $V$  over  $K$  with basis  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ . The group of matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ V & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a divisible  $r$ -group, and  $G$  embeds naturally into it as an independent subgroup.

Suppose that  $A$  is a torsion-free abelian group. It embeds into the multiplicative group of  $R$ . Denote by  $K$  the field of fractions of  $R$  and by  $\bar{K}$  the algebraic closure of  $K$ . The multiplicative group of  $\bar{K}$  is a complete abelian group. Denote by  $A'$  the divisible hull of  $A$  in this group, which is a divisible torsion-free abelian group. Denote by  $R'$  the subring in  $\bar{K}$  generated by  $A'$  and by  $K'$  its field of fractions. Choose in  $\rho_2(G)$  a maximal system of elements  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$  which is linearly independent over  $R$  and embed  $\rho_2(G)$  into the vector space  $V$  over  $K'$  with basis  $\{e_i \mid i \in I\}$ . The group  $G$  independently embeds into the divisible metabelian  $r$ -group  $\begin{pmatrix} A' & 0 \\ V & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *The intersection of each collection of divisible subgroups in a metabelian  $r$ -group is a divisible subgroup.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $G$  is a metabelian  $r$ -group. Take some set  $\{G_i \mid i \in I\}$  of the divisible subgroups of  $G$  and put  $H = \bigcap G_i$ . The claim is obvious if  $G$  is an abelian group or  $H \leq \rho_2(G)$  and then  $H = \bigcap \rho_2(G_i)$  is the intersection of abelian divisible  $r$ -groups.

Suppose that there exists  $a \in H \setminus \rho_2(G)$ . Denote by  $A$  the centralizer of  $a$  in  $G$  and put  $A_i = A \cap G_i$ . Theorem 2 implies that there are decompositions of  $G_i$  as semidirect products  $A_i \cdot \rho_2(G_i)$ . Then  $H = B \cdot \rho_2(H)$ , where  $B = \bigcap A_i$  and  $\rho_2(H) = \bigcap \rho_2(G_i)$ . It is clear that  $B$  is a divisible abelian  $r$ -group. Let  $R$

be the ring associated with  $\rho_2(G)$  in  $G$ . The subring  $R_i$  generated by  $A_i$  is associated in  $G_i$  with  $\rho_2(G_i)$ , while the subring  $R_B$  generated by  $B$  is associated in  $H$  with  $\rho_2(H)$ . It is clear that  $R_B \leq \bigcap R_i$ . We have to prove that  $\rho_2(H)$  is a divisible  $R_B$ -module. Take  $t \in \rho_2(H)$  and  $0 \neq u \in R_B$ . Since  $u \in R_i$  for every  $i$ , it follows that  $t$  is divisible by  $u$  in each  $\rho_2(G_i)$ . The quotient is uniquely determined and independent of  $i$ . Suppose that  $su = t$ . We have  $s \in \bigcap \rho_2(G_i) = \rho_2(H)$ .  $\square$

**Problem.** *Is the intersection of each collection of divisible subgroups in an arbitrary  $r$ -group a divisible subgroup?*

**REMARK 1.** Resting on Proposition 2, we can define the divisible closure of an arbitrary subgroup  $H$  of a metabelian divisible  $r$ -group  $G$  as the intersection of all divisible subgroups which include  $H$ .

**REMARK 2.** For an arbitrary rigid group  $G$  it was established [5] that there exists a divisible closure (in a suitable divisible rigid group) in which  $G$  is an independent subgroup, and this closure is uniquely determined up to a  $G$ -isomorphism. The existence of a similar closure for every metabelian  $r$ -group follows from Theorem 3, but uniqueness does not.

## References

1. Romanovskii N. S., “Equational Noetherianness of rigid soluble groups,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 48, no. 2, 147–160 (2009).
2. Myasnikov A. and Romanovskii N., “Krull dimension of solvable groups,” J. Algebra, vol. 324, no. 10, 2814–2831 (2010).
3. Romanovskii N. S., “Divisible rigid groups,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 47, no. 6, 426–434 (2008).
4. Myasnikov A. G. and Romanovskii N. S., “Logical aspects of the theory of divisible rigid groups,” Dokl. Math., vol. 90, no. 3, 697–698 (2014).
5. Romanovskii N. S., “Divisible rigid groups. Algebraic closedness and elementary theory,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 56, no. 5, 395–408 (2017).
6. Romanovskii N. S., “Irreducible algebraic sets over divisible decomposed rigid groups,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 48, no. 6, 449–464 (2009).
7. Romanovskiy N. S., “Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz in algebraic geometry over rigid soluble groups,” Izv. Math., vol. 79, no. 5, 1051–1063 (2015).
8. Myasnikov A. G. and Romanovskii N. S., “Model-theoretic aspects of the theory of divisible rigid soluble groups,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 56, no. 1, 82–84 (2017).
9. Myasnikov A. G. and Romanovskii N. S., “Divisible rigid groups. II. Stability, saturation, and elementary submodels,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 57, no. 1, 29–38 (2018).
10. Romanovskii N. S., “Generalized rigid groups: Definitions, basic properties, and problems,” Sib. Math. J., vol. 59, no. 4, 705–709 (2018).
11. Romanovskii N. S., “Decomposition of a group over an Abelian normal subgroup,” Algebra and Logic, vol. 55, no. 4, 315–326 (2016).

N. S. ROMANOVSKII  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* [rmanvski@math.nsc.ru](mailto:rmanvski@math.nsc.ru)