

# ON THE PRONORMALITY OF SUBGROUPS OF ODD INDEX IN SOME EXTENSIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

W. Guo, N. V. Maslova, and D. O. Revin

UDC 512.542

**Abstract:** We study finite groups with the following property (\*): All subgroups of odd index are pronormal. Suppose that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $A$  with property (\*), and the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $G/A$  are self-normalizing. We prove that  $G$  has property (\*) if and only if so does  $N_G(T)/T$ , where  $T$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $A$ . This leads to a few results that can be used for the classification of finite simple groups with property (\*).

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446618040043

**Keywords:** finite group, pronormal subgroup, Sylow 2-subgroup, subgroup of odd index, wreath product, direct product, self-normalizing subgroup, simple group, symplectic group

## 1. Introduction

We will consider only finite groups, so the term a “group” will always mean a “finite group.”

Since the celebrated Feit–Thompson Theorem [1] stating that the order of a nonabelian simple group is even, the subgroups of odd index have played an important role in the theory of finite nonsolvable and, in particular, simple groups (see [2–8]). This paper is concerned with pronormality of the subgroups of odd index in nonsolvable groups.

Following Hall, we say that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is *pronormal* if the subgroups  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G$ .

In this paper, we study the groups  $G$  that enjoy the property:

Every subgroup of  $G$  of odd index is pronormal in  $G$ . (\*)

It is clear that property (\*) is inherited by homomorphic images. We are interested in the conditions for a group  $G$  with a normal subgroup  $A$  to have property (\*) provided that so do  $A$  and  $G/A$ . This is motivated by the following

**Problem A.** Which finite simple groups have property (\*)?

Problem A, studied in [9–12], stems from the article [13] describing the normalizers of Sylow 2-subgroups in finite simple groups as well as the observation: In a group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H$  of odd index is pronormal if and only if  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for all  $g \in N_G(S)$ , where  $S$  is a fixed Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  lying in  $H$ . Since most of the finite simple groups have a self-normalizing Sylow 2-subgroup (that is, coinciding with its normalizer), we see that the finite simple groups satisfy property (\*) in general. Also, property (\*) was established for many simple groups with non-self-normalizing Sylow 2-subgroups (for example, for all sporadic simple groups, the groups of Lie type over fields of characteristic 2, and

---

The first author was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant 11771409). The second author was supported by the President of the Russian Federation (Grant MK–6118.2016.1) and the State Maintenance Program for the Leading Universities of the Russian Federation (Agreement 02.A03.21.0006 of 27.08.2013). The third author was supported by the CAS President’s International Fellowship Initiative (Grant 2016VMA078) and the Program of Fundamental Scientific Research of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences No. I.1.1 (Project 0314–2016–0001).

<sup>†</sup>) To Victor Danilovich Mazurov on his 75th birthday and Anatoly Semenovitch Kondratev on his 70th birthday.

---

Hefei; Ekaterinburg; Novosibirsk. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 773–790, July–August, 2018; DOI: 10.17377/smzh.2018.59.404. Original article submitted October 11, 2017.

the groups  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(q)$  and  ${}^2G_2(q)$ ; see [10]). At the same time there exist simple groups that do not have property (\*): If  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $n \neq 2^k, 2^k(2^{2m} + 1)$ , then  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  has a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index [12]. Note that if  $q \not\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  or  $n = 2^k$ , then  $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  has property (\*) (see [12]).

An attempt of induction in studying Problem A for the classical groups raises the question about the conditions under which property (\*) is enjoyed by a group such that property (\*) is enjoyed by the factors of some subnormal series. The following situation is typical in this connection. Given a group  $G$ , suppose that a subgroup  $H$  of odd index and an element  $g$  of the normalizer of  $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_2(H)$  lie in a maximal subgroup  $M$ . To prove that  $H$  is pronormal, it suffices to show that  $M$  has property (\*). The description of maximal subgroups of odd index [4, 5, 14, 15] and the inductive hypothesis usually guarantee that all composition factors of  $M$  have property (\*). The group  $M$  itself is often a direct or central product of groups with property (\*) or a wreath product of a group with property (\*) and a symmetric group (which has property (\*) since all Sylow 2-subgroups of the latter are self-normalizing).

The difficulty here is that property (\*) is not transferred even to such a simple type of extension as a direct product<sup>1)</sup> and, furthermore, even to a direct product of simple groups. We say that a group  $L$  belongs to the list  $\mathcal{L}_0$  if  $L = J_1$  or  $L = {}^2G_2(3^{2m+1})$  for some natural  $m$ . It is known [10] that the groups of  $\mathcal{L}_0$  have property (\*) and the normalizer of a Sylow 2-subgroup in such a group is a 2-Frobenius group of order  $2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 3$  (see [13]).

**Proposition 1.** *A direct product  $L \times K$  of finite groups has a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index if  $L \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and the index of a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $K$  in  $N_K(S)$  is a multiple of 7. In particular, a direct product of two subgroups from  $\mathcal{L}_0$  does not satisfy property (\*).*

Thus, property (\*) is not inherited by direct products. It is not inherited by normal subgroups either. Indeed, a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSp}_6(3))$  is self-normalizing (see, for instance, [17]), and so  $G$  has property (\*), while its normal subgroup  $N = \mathrm{PSp}_6(3)$  has a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index [11, Theorem 2].

On the other hand, it is easy to show that the Sylow 2-subgroups of a group  $G$  are self-normalizing provided that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $A$  such that the Sylow 2-subgroups of both  $A$  and  $G/A$  are self-normalizing. As we mentioned, in the study of Problem A, we often need to check property (\*) for a wreath product of a group satisfying property (\*) by some symmetric group. This raises the question of whether or not the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in an extension of a group with property (\*) by a group whose Sylow 2-subgroups are self-normalizing.

In general, the answer is in the negative (for example, in a wreath product  $X \wr S_n$ , where  $X$  is an abelian group of odd order and the degree  $n$  is not coprime to  $|X|$ , the wreathing group is not pronormal [11, Corollary to Theorem 1]). Nevertheless, in this paper we find a criterion for the affirmative answer. Also, we demonstrate the power of this criterion by proving that the direct products of some simple groups and some wreath products have property (\*).

NOTATION. Fix a prime  $p$ .

We write  $H \leq_p G$  if  $H \leq G$  and  $p$  does not divide  $|G : H|$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_p$  be the class of all finite groups with self-normalizing Sylow  $p$ -subgroups, and let  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  be the class of all finite groups  $G$  in which  $H \leq_p G$  implies that  $H$  is pronormal in  $G$ .

In this notation,  $\mathcal{Y}_2$  is exactly the class of groups with property (\*). Observe also that  $\mathcal{X}_p \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_p$  (see Lemma 1).

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that a group  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $A$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $G/A \in \mathcal{X}_p$ . Let  $T$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ ;
- (2)  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ .

This assertion turns out a useful tool for proving property (\*) in wreath products. As an example of how Theorem 1 can be used, we prove Theorem 2 which in turn will be used to prove (\*) in direct

---

<sup>1)</sup>For example, [16] was addressed the question of when a given subgroup of a direct product is pronormal.

products of simple groups (see Theorem 3), as well as in studying Problem A for some simple groups of classical series: symplectic, linear, and unitary groups.

NOTATION. We define the partial order  $\preceq$  on the set of naturals as follows: Given numbers  $a$  and  $b$  with binary expansions

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \cdot 2^i, \quad b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_i \cdot 2^i,$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and almost all  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are zero, we write

$$a \preceq b \quad \text{if and only if } \alpha_i \leq \beta_i \text{ for all } i.$$

It is clear that  $\preceq$  is a suborder of the natural linear order on the set of naturals.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian group and  $G = \prod_{i=1}^t (A \wr S_{n_i})$ , where all wreath products are natural permutations. Then  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_2$  if and only if for every natural  $m$  such that  $m \preceq n_i$  for some  $i$ , the number  $(|A|, m)$  is a power of 2.*

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G = \prod_{i=1}^t G_i$ , where  $G_i \cong \text{PSp}_{n_i}(q_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , while all  $n_i$  are powers of 2 and all  $q_i$  are odd. Then every subgroup of odd index is pronormal in  $G$ .*

This theorem is an important step in studying property  $(*)$  for the symplectic groups  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  in the only open case when  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $n = 2^k(2^{2m} + 1)$ .

Theorem 3 and Proposition 1 suggest the conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $G = \prod_{i=1}^t G_i$ , where for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$   $G_i$  is a nonabelian simple group such that  $G_i$  satisfies condition  $(*)$  and  $N_{G_i}(S_i)/S_i$  is abelian, with  $S_i$  a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_i$ . Then  $G$  satisfies  $(*)$  too.*

## 2. Preliminaries

Our terminology and notation are mostly standard and can be found in [17, 18]. We write  $H \text{ prn } G$  to abbreviate that “ $H$  is a pronormal subgroup of a group  $G$ .”

As usual, given a set  $\pi$  of primes,  $\pi'$  stands for the set of all primes not in  $\pi$ . Also, if  $n$  is a natural, then  $n_\pi$  is the largest natural divisor of  $n$  such that all prime divisors of  $n_\pi$  are in  $\pi$ .

Given a subset  $\pi$  of the set of all primes, let  $O_\pi(G)$  denote the  $\pi$ -radical (the largest normal  $\pi$ -subgroup) of  $G$ .

We write  $\text{Soc}(G)$  for the socle of  $G$  (the subgroup generated by all minimal nonidentity normal subgroups of  $G$ ). Recall that a group is *almost simple* if its socle is a nonabelian simple group.

We write  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  for the set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

**Lemma 1** [9, Lemma 5]. *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H \leq G$ . Suppose that  $H$  has a Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . Then*

- (1)  $H \text{ prn } G$ ;
- (2)  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for every  $g \in N_G(S)$ .

**Lemma 2** [16, Propositions 2.1, 4.3, 4.4, and Corollary 4.7]. *Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  and  $H \leq G$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\pi_i$  be the coordinate projection  $G \rightarrow G_i$  and let  $C_i = \{x \in G_i \mid [x, \pi_i(H)] \leq G_i \cap H\}$ . Then*

- (1)  $C_i = N_G(H) \cap G_i$ ;
- (2) if  $\pi_i(H) \text{ prn } G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and

$$N_G(H) = \langle N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) \mid i = 1, 2 \rangle = N_{G_1}(\pi_1(H)) \times N_{G_2}(\pi_2(H)),$$

then  $H \text{ prn } G$ ;

(3) if at least one of the groups  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is solvable, then  $H \text{ prn } G$  if and only if  $\pi_i(H) \text{ prn } G_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and

$$N_G(H) = \langle N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) \mid i = 1, 2 \rangle = N_{G_1}(\pi_1(H)) \times N_{G_2}(\pi_2(H));$$

(4) if at least one of the groups  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is solvable, then  $H \text{ prn } G$  if and only if  $\pi_i(H) \text{ prn } G_i$  and  $N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) \leq C_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Lemma 3** [10, Lemma 5]. Let  $H$  and  $M$  be subgroups of  $G$ , with  $H \leq M$ . Then

(1) if  $H \text{ prn } G$ , then  $H \text{ prn } M$ ;

(2) if  $S \leq H$  for some Sylow subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ , with  $N_G(S) \leq M$ , and  $H \text{ prn } M$ , then  $H \text{ prn } G$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $G$  is a group,  $A$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $A$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $H \text{ prn } G$ ;

(2)  $H \text{ prn } A$  and  $G = N_G(H)A$ ;

(3)  $H \text{ prn } A$  and  $H^G = H^A$ .

PROOF. It suffices to establish the equivalence of (1) and (2), since it is well known that for  $G$ ,  $A$ , and  $H$  as in the hypothesis of the lemma,  $G = N_G(H)A$  if and only if  $H^G = H^A$ .

The fact that (1) implies (2) is well known, and we provide a proof only for completeness. Suppose that  $H \text{ prn } G$  and  $g \in G$ . Then  $H \text{ prn } A$  by Lemma 3 and there is  $t$  such that

$$t \in \langle H, H^g \rangle \leq A, \quad H^t = H^g.$$

Thus  $gt^{-1} \in N_G(H)$ , and so  $g \in N_G(H)A$ .

We prove now that (2) implies (1). Suppose that  $H \text{ prn } A$  and  $G = N_G(H)A$ . Let  $g \in G$ . There are  $n \in N_G(H)$  and  $a \in A$  such that  $g = na$ . Therefore  $H^g = H^{na} = H^a$  and since  $H$  is pronormal in  $A$ , we have that  $H$  and  $H^a$  are conjugate by  $t \in \langle H, H^a \rangle = \langle H, H^g \rangle$ . It follows that  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate by  $t \in \langle H, H^g \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5** [10, Lemma 3; 19, Chapter I, Proposition (6.4)]. Suppose that  $H$  is a subgroup and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\bar{\phantom{x}} : G \rightarrow G/N$  be the natural epimorphism. Then

(1) if  $H \text{ prn } G$ , then  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}$ ;

(2)  $H \text{ prn } G$  if and only if  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}$  and  $H \text{ prn } N_G(HN)$ ;

(3) if  $N \leq H$ , then  $H \text{ prn } G$  if and only if  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}$ .

In particular, a subgroup  $H$  of odd index is pronormal in  $G$  if and only if  $H/O_2(G)$  is pronormal in  $G/O_2(G)$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N \in \mathcal{X}_p$ . Then  $H \text{ prn } G$  and  $H \text{ prn } HN$  are equivalent for  $H \leq_p G$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 3, it suffices to show that the pronormality of  $H$  in  $HN$  implies the pronormality of  $H$  in  $G$ . Let  $\bar{\phantom{x}} : G \rightarrow G/N$  be the natural epimorphism.

Suppose that  $H \text{ prn } HN$ . By Lemma 5(2), it is sufficient to prove that  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}$  and  $H \text{ prn } N_G(HN)$ .

Let  $T$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $T \leq H$  and let  $\bar{g} \in N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})$ . Since  $\bar{G} \in \mathcal{X}_p$ , it follows that  $\bar{g} \in N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T}) = \bar{T} \leq \bar{H}$  and, by Lemma 1,  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}$ .

If  $K = N_G(HN)$ , then the Frattini argument yields

$$K = HNN_K(T) \leq HNN_K(NT) = HNNT = HN,$$

and so  $H \text{ prn } HN = K = N_G(HN)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7** [13, Corollary of Theorems 1–3]. Let  $G$  be a nonabelian simple group and  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ .

(1) If  $G$  is isomorphic to  ${}^2G_2(3^{2n+1})$  or  $J_1$ , then  $N_G(S) \cong 2^3 \rtimes (7 \rtimes 3) < \text{Hol}(2^3)$ .

(2) If  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ , with  $q$  an odd prime power, then  $N_G(S) = S$  for  $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ ; and if  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , then  $N_G(S)/S$  is an elementary abelian 3-group of order  $3^t$ , where  $t$  is defined by the binary expansion

$$n = 2^{s_1} + \cdots + 2^{s_t}, \quad s_1 > \cdots > s_t \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 8** [9, Lemma 4]. *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and let  $g \in G$ . Suppose that for some  $y \in \langle H, H^g \rangle$  the subgroups  $H^y$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H^y, H^g \rangle$ . Then  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ .*

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $Q$  be a subgroup of odd index in a group  $L = L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_n$ , where  $L_i$  are finite groups, and let  $\pi_i$  be the projection from  $L$  to  $L_i$ . If there is  $i$  such that  $L_i$  is almost simple,  $L_i/\text{Soc}(L_i)$  is a 2-group and  $\pi_i(Q) = L_i$ , then  $L_i \leq Q$ .*

PROOF. Since  $L_i \trianglelefteq L$ , we have  $Q \cap L_i \trianglelefteq Q$ , and so  $\pi_i(Q \cap L_i)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_i(Q) = L_i$ .

Choose  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(L)$  such that  $S \leq Q$ . Then  $S \cap L_i \in \text{Syl}_2(L_i)$  and  $S \cap L_i = \pi_i(S \cap L_i) \leq \pi_i(Q \cap L_i)$ . Therefore,  $\pi_i(Q \cap L_i)$  is a normal subgroup of odd index in  $L_i$ . The group  $L_i$  is almost simple and  $L_i/\text{Soc}(L_i)$  is a 2-group, so  $\pi_i(Q \cap L_i) = L_i$ , whence  $L_i \leq Q$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 10** [11, Theorem 1]. *Let  $H$  and  $V$  be subgroups of a group  $G$  such that  $V$  is abelian and normal in  $G$  and  $G = HV$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $H$  is pronormal in  $G$ ;
- (2)  $U = N_U(H)[H, U]$  for every  $H$ -invariant subgroup  $U \leq V$ .

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $G = V \rtimes B$ , where  $V$  is an abelian normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $B \leq G$ , and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Define the mapping  $\tau : G \rightarrow B$  that sends  $g \in G$  to  $b \in B$  such that  $g = vb$  for some  $v \in V$ . Then  $\tau$  is a homomorphism and  $H \text{ prn } HV$  whenever  $\tau(H) \text{ prn } \tau(H)V$ .*

PROOF. The assertion that  $\tau$  is a homomorphism is trivial.

Let  $U$  be an  $H$ -invariant subgroup of  $V$ . As  $V$  is abelian, we have that  $U$  is  $\tau(H)$ -invariant. By Lemma 10, it follows from  $\tau(H) \text{ prn } \tau(H)V$  that  $U = N_U(\tau(H))[\tau(H), U]$ . Since  $U \cap \tau(H)$  is trivial,  $N_U(\tau(H)) = C_U(\tau(H))$ .

Again using the fact that  $V$  is abelian, we see that  $C_U(H) = C_U(\tau(H))$  and  $[\tau(H), U] = [H, U]$ . This yields

$$U = C_U(H)[H, U] \leq N_U(H)[H, U].$$

Thus  $U = N_U(H)[H, U]$ . By Lemma 10,  $H \text{ prn } HV$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $C$  be an abelian group of odd order, while  $L = C \wr S_{2t}$  and  $G = L \wr S_n$  be the natural permutation wreath products. Suppose also that  $A$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  equal to the base of the corresponding wreath product. Let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(L)$  and  $T \in \text{Syl}_2(A)$ . Then*

- (1)  $N_L(S) \cong C \times S$ ;
- (2)  $T \cong \underbrace{S \times \cdots \times S}_{n \text{ times}}$ ;
- (3)  $N_A(T) \cong \underbrace{N_L(S) \times \cdots \times N_L(S)}_{n \text{ times}}$ ;
- (4)  $N_G(T) \cong N_L(S) \wr S_n$ ;
- (5)  $N_G(T)/T \cong C \wr S_n$ .

PROOF. Assertions (1)–(3) are obvious. Assertion (4) easily follows from (3) and the Frattini argument. Assertion (5) follows from (1) and (4).  $\square$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $G = \text{PSL}_2(q)$ , where  $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ , and let  $g \in N_G(S)$  be an element of odd order. Suppose that  $\langle H, H^g \rangle < G$  and the following holds: If  $\langle H, H^g \rangle \leq M < G$ , where  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $M$  is isomorphic to a dihedral group. Then  $g = 1$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $g \neq 1$ . Using the fact that  $N_G(S) \cong A_4$ , we have  $N_G(S) = \langle S, g \rangle$ . Fix a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  including  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . Since  $M$  has a normal cyclic 2-complement  $V$ ,  $H$  has a normal cyclic 2-complement  $U$  too and  $U \leq V$ . Also  $M = SV$  and  $H = SU$ . It follows that  $U^g$  is a normal 2-complement in  $H^g$  and since  $H^g \leq M$ , we have that  $U^g \leq V$ . So  $U$  and  $U^g$  coincide being subgroups of the same order in the cyclic group  $V$ . As  $g \in N_G(S)$ , we see that  $H^g = S^g U^g = SU = H$ ; therefore,  $g \in N_G(H)$  and  $H$  is normalized by  $N_G(S) = \langle S, g \rangle$ . Now  $\langle H, H^g \rangle = H$  is a subgroup of the

solvable group  $HN_G(S)$  which does not lie in any maximal subgroup of  $G$  isomorphic to a dihedral group because  $HN_G(S)$  has the subgroup  $N_G(S) \cong A_4$ ; a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Proposition 1

In this section we prove Proposition 1, and thereby show that property  $(*)$  is not inherited by direct products even if the factors are simple groups.

**Lemma 14.** *A direct product of a Frobenius group of order 21 and a cyclic group of order 7 has a nonpronormal subgroup.*

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a direct product of a Frobenius group  $F$  of order 21 and a cyclic group of order 7. We can identify  $X$  with a subgroup of the form  $F \times C$  in the Cartesian square  $F \times F$  of  $F$ , where  $C = \langle c \rangle$  is the kernel of  $F$  of order 7. We claim that  $Y = \langle (c, c) \rangle$  is not pronormal in  $X$ . Indeed, choose a nonidentity element  $t$  in a Frobenius complement of  $F$ , and put  $g = (t, 1) \in X$ . Then  $c^t \neq c$ , and so  $Y$  and  $Y^g = \langle (c^t, c) \rangle$  are distinct and not conjugate in the abelian subgroup  $\langle Y, Y^g \rangle \leq C \times C$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and let  $K$  be a finite group such that the index of a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S_K$  of  $K$  in  $N_K(S_K)$  is a multiple of 7. By Lemma 7, the normalizer in  $L$  of its Sylow 2-subgroup  $S_L$  has a subgroup that is a Frobenius group of order 21. Thus, the normalizer in  $L \times K$  of its Sylow 2-subgroup  $T = S_L \times S_K$  contains a direct product  $X$  of a Frobenius group of order 21 and a cyclic group of order 7, and  $X$  in turn contains a nonpronormal subgroup  $Y$  by Lemma 14. Now we have that  $YT$  is a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index in  $L \times K$ : it is not pronormal even in  $XT$  since its homomorphic image  $YT/T$  is not pronormal in  $XT/T$ .  $\square$

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

First, we prove the implication  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  of Theorem 1. Let  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $H \leq_p N_G(T)$ . By Lemma 5, it suffices to show that  $N_G(T) \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ . Applying the Frattini argument, we see that  $N_G(T) \leq_p G$  and so  $H \leq_p G$ . Hence  $H \text{ prn } G$  and, by Lemma 3,  $H \text{ prn } N_G(T)$ .

The implication  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  of Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following

**Lemma 15.** *Suppose that  $A \trianglelefteq G$ , while  $T$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ ,  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , and  $H \leq_p G$ . Then*

- (1)  $H$  is pronormal in  $N_{HA}(H \cap A)$ ;
- (2) if  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , then  $H$  is pronormal in  $HA$ ;
- (3) if  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $G/A \in \mathcal{X}_p$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ .

PROOF. Let  $H \leq_p G$ . Then  $H$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S \cap A = T$ , and so  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(H \cap A) \subseteq \text{Syl}_p(A)$ .

We put  $X = N_{HA}(H \cap A)$  and  $Y = N_A(H \cap A)$ , and let  $\bar{\phantom{x}} : X \rightarrow X/(H \cap A)$  be the natural homomorphism. It is clear that  $H \leq N_{HA}(H \cap A) = X$ ; hence,  $X = N_{HA}(H \cap A) = HN_A(H \cap A) = HY$ .

Now we prove assertions (1)–(3) of the lemma.

(1) Suppose that  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ . We claim that  $H \text{ prn } X$ .

Applying the Frattini argument to the subgroups  $H$  and  $Y$  having the normal subgroup  $H \cap A$ , since  $T = S \cap A \in \text{Syl}_p(H \cap A)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} H &= N_H(T)(H \cap A), & Y &= N_Y(T)(H \cap A), \\ X &= HY = N_H(T)N_Y(T)(H \cap A). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\overline{H} = \overline{N_H(T)}, \quad \overline{X} = \overline{N_H(T)N_Y(T)} = \overline{\langle N_H(T), N_Y(T) \rangle}. \quad (1)$$

Observe that  $S \leq N_H(T)$  and so the index of  $N_H(T)$  in its every overgroup is coprime to  $p$ . In particular,  $N_H(T) \leq_p N_G(T)$  and  $N_H(T)/T \leq_p N_G(T)/T$ . Since  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , it follows that

$N_H(T)/T \text{ prn } N_G(T)/T$ . By Lemma 5,  $N_H(T) \text{ prn } N_G(T)$ , and together with (1), Lemma 3, and the fact that

$$N_H(T) \leq \langle N_H(T), N_Y(T) \rangle \leq N_G(T),$$

this yields  $N_H(T) \text{ prn } \langle N_H(T), N_Y(T) \rangle$ . Applying Lemma 5 twice, we have

$$\overline{H} = \overline{N_H(T)} \text{ prn } \overline{\langle N_H(T), N_Y(T) \rangle} = \overline{X}, \quad H \text{ prn } X.$$

(2) Suppose that  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ . We show that  $H \text{ prn } HA$ .

Take  $g \in HA$ . Then  $g = ha$ , where  $h \in H$  and  $a \in A$ . The index  $|A : (H \cap A)|$  is coprime to  $p$  since  $H \cap A$  contains  $T \in \text{Syl}_p(A)$ , and so  $(H \cap A) \leq_p A$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , it follows that  $(H \cap A) \text{ prn } A$ . Thus,  $H^y \cap A = H^a \cap A$  for some  $y \in \langle H \cap A, H^a \cap A \rangle = \langle H \cap A, H^g \cap A \rangle \leq \langle H, H^g \rangle$ . By Lemma 8, without loss of generality, we can replace  $H$  by  $H^y$  and assume that  $H \cap A = H^a \cap A = H^g \cap A$ , which implies that  $g \in N_{HA}(H \cap A) = X$ . But  $H \text{ prn } X$  by assertion (1). Hence  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  if  $g \in HA$  and so  $H \text{ prn } HA$ , proving assertion (2).

(3) Suppose that  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , and  $G/A \in \mathcal{X}_p$ . We prove that  $H \text{ prn } G$  and so  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ .

Take  $g \in N_G(S)$ . Since  $SA/A \in \text{Syl}_p(G/A)$  and  $G/A \in \mathcal{X}_p$ , it follows that  $N_{G/A}(SA/A) = SA/A$  and

$$g \in N_G(S) \leq N_G(SA) = SA \leq HA.$$

But  $H \text{ prn } HA$  by assertion (2). Thus,  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for every  $g \in N_G(S)$ , which shows that  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 1.  $\square$

We also note the following assertion that generalizes Theorem 1 and provides a criterion for an extension of a group in  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  by another group in  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  to be in  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let a group  $G$  have a normal subgroup  $A$  and let  $\overline{\phantom{x}} : G \rightarrow G/A$  be the natural epimorphism. Let  $T$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ . Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ ;
- (2)  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , and  $\overline{N_G(H)} = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H})$  for every subgroup  $H \leq_p G$ .

PROOF. If  $G$  and  $A$  are as in the hypothesis of the theorem and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\overline{N_G(H)} = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{H})$  is equivalent to the equality  $H^A = H^{N_G(HA)}$ .

To prove the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), let  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  and  $H \leq_p G$ . The fact that  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  can be proved in the same manner as in Theorem 1. Notice that  $H \text{ prn } HA$  and  $H \text{ prn } N_G(HA)$  by Lemma 5. Now Lemma 4 implies that  $H^A = H^{N_G(HA)}$ .

To prove the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), suppose that  $H \leq_p G$ . By Lemma 15,  $H \text{ prn } HA$ . The equality  $H^A = H^{N_G(HA)}$  and Lemma 4 yield  $H \text{ prn } N_G(HA)$ . By Lemma 5 and the fact that  $G/A \in \mathcal{Y}_p$ , it follows that  $H \text{ prn } G$ , and so  $G \in \mathcal{Y}_p$  since  $H$  is an arbitrary subgroup.  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with the following result:

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian group of odd order and let*

$$G = \prod_{i=1}^t (A \wr S_{n_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^t V_i H_i,$$

where all wreath products are natural permutations, while  $V_i$  denotes the base group of the corresponding wreath product and  $H_i \cong S_{n_i}$ . Suppose that  $(|A|, n_i) = 1$  for every  $i$ . Then  $H = \prod_{i=1}^t H_i$  is pronormal in  $G$ .

PROOF. Observe that  $G = V \rtimes H$ , where  $V = \langle V_i \mid i = 1, \dots, t \rangle$ . We write the group  $V$  additively, and so  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i$ .

To prove the pronormality of  $H$  in  $G$ , we use Lemma 10. Let  $T_i$  be a subgroup generated by a cycle of length  $n_i$  in  $H_i$  and let  $T = \prod_{i=1}^t T_i$ . Note that  $(|T|, |V|) = 1$  because of the condition imposed on  $n_i$ .

It is easy to see that the centralizer  $C_{V_i}(T_i)$  is the whole diagonal subgroup of the base group  $V_i$  in  $A \wr S_{n_i} = V_i H_i$  and  $C_{V_i}(T_i)$  coincides with  $C_{V_i}(H_i)$ . This yields

$$C_V(T) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t C_{V_i}(T_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t C_{V_i}(H_i) = C_V(H).$$

Let  $U$  be an arbitrary  $H$ -invariant subgroup of  $V$ . Then

$$C_U(H) = U \cap C_V(H) = U \cap C_V(T) = C_U(T).$$

Since the orders of  $T$  and  $V$  are coprime, from [20, Chapter 4, Lemma 4.28] it follows that

$$U = C_U(T) + [T, U] = C_U(H) + [T, U] \leq C_U(H) + [H, U] = N_U(H) + [H, U],$$

and so by Lemma 10  $H \text{ prn } HV = G$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $A$  be an abelian group and let

$$G = \prod_{i=1}^t (A \wr S_{n_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^t V_i H_i, \quad (2)$$

where all wreath products are natural permutations,  $V_i H_i = A \wr S_{n_i}$ ,  $V_i$  denotes the base group of the corresponding wreath product (written additively), and  $H_i = S_{n_i}$ . Put

$$V = \langle V_i \mid i = 1, \dots, t \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i, \quad B = \langle B_i \mid i = 1, \dots, t \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^t H_i.$$

It is clear that  $G = VB$ .

By Lemma 5, we can assume that the order of  $A$  is odd. We need to check that  $G$  satisfies property  $(*)$  if and only if the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if for a natural } m, \text{ we have } m \preceq n_i \\ & \text{for one of the naturals } n_i, \text{ then } (m, |A|) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Suppose first that there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  such that  $m \preceq n_i$  and  $(|A|, m) \neq 1$ . We claim that  $G$  has a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $i = t$ . In this case the subgroup  $T \leq S_{n_t}$ , which is isomorphic to  $S_m \times S_{n_t-m}$ , has odd index in  $S_{n_t}$  by the main result of [15]. Define the subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  as

$$M = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (A \wr S_{n_i}) \right) \times (A \wr T) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (A \wr S_{n_i}) \times (A \wr S_{n_t-m}) \right) \times (A \wr S_m) = M_1 \times M_2,$$

where

$$M_1 \cong \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (A \wr S_{n_i}) \times (A \wr S_{n_t-m}), \quad M_2 \cong A \wr S_m.$$

The factor group  $G/M_1 \cong M_2$  has a nonpronormal subgroup  $H \cong S_m$  of odd index by [11, Corollary 1]. Applying Lemma 5, we see that the full preimage of  $H$  in  $G$  is a nonpronormal subgroup of odd index in  $G$ .



Suppose now that  $G$  satisfies  $(**)$  but  $(*)$  does not hold.

Let  $G$  be a group of the form (2) that satisfies  $(**)$ , includes a nonpronormal subgroup  $H$  of odd index, and has the minimal possible order among the groups satisfying  $(**)$  but not satisfying  $(*)$ .

It follows by Lemma 6 that  $H$  is not pronormal in  $HV$ . By Lemma 11, we can assume that  $H \leq B$ .

If  $H = B$ , then  $H \text{ prn } HV$  by Lemma 16, which is a contradiction. Thus  $H < B$ .

Let  $\pi_i$  be the projection from  $H$  to  $H_i$ . By Lemma 9, there is  $j$  such that the projection  $\pi_j(H)$  of  $H$  to  $H_j$  is a proper subgroup in  $H_j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $j = t$ . It follows that there is a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $H_t$  of odd index such that  $\pi_t(H) \leq M < H_t$ . Then

$$H \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} V_i H_i \right) \times (V_t M) = G_1.$$

Assume that  $H_t$  acts on the set  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n_t\}$  naturally and regard the base group  $V_t$  as a permutation module of  $H_t$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is intransitive on  $\Omega$ . Then  $M \cong S_m \times S_{n_t-m}$  and

$$G_1 = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (A \wr S_{n_i}) \right) \times (A \wr S_m) \times (A \wr S_{n_t-m}).$$

Using the fact that the index of  $M$  in  $H_t$  is odd and the main result of [15], we conclude that  $n_t \geq m$  and  $n_t \geq n_t - m$ . Hence  $G_1$  is a subgroup of the form (2) satisfying  $(**)$ . By the choice of  $G$ , it follows that  $H$  is pronormal in  $G_1$ . Then  $H \text{ prn } HV$  since  $V \leq G_1$ ; a contradiction.

Thus  $M$  is transitive on  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $M$  is imprimitive. Then  $M$  is the stabilizer of a partition of  $\Omega$  into  $u$  subsets  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_u$  of size  $r > 1$ , with  $n = ru$ , and  $M \cong S_r \wr S_u$ . The main result of [15] implies that  $r$  is a power of 2.

We write  $\rho: M \rightarrow S_u$  for the action of  $M$  on the set of blocks  $\Sigma = \{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_u\}$ .

Let  $L \trianglelefteq M$  be the kernel of the homomorphism  $\rho$ . Then  $L$  is the direct product of  $u$  isomorphic copies of  $S_r$  corresponding to the blocks  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_u$  of  $M$ , while  $W = LV_t$  is isomorphic to the direct product of  $u$  isomorphic copies of  $A \wr S_r$ .

Observe that

$$G_2 = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} A \wr S_{n_i} \right) \times W \trianglelefteq G_1$$

is a group of the form (2) satisfying  $(**)$ . Hence subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $G_2$ . Also

$$G_1/G_2 = MV_t/W = MV_t/LV_t \cong M/L \cong S_u,$$

and so Sylow 2-subgroups of  $G_1/G_2$  are self-normalizing by [21, Lemma 4]. Let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G_1)$  be such that  $S \leq H$  and  $T = S \cap G_2 \in \text{Syl}_2(G_2)$ . By Lemma 12,  $N_{G_1}(T)/T \cong A^{t-1} \times A \wr S_u$ , and by the minimality of  $G$ , the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $N_{G_1}(T)/T$ . Applying Theorem 1, we see that the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $G_1$ , and so  $H \text{ prn } HV$  since  $V \leq G_1$ ; a contradiction.

Thus  $M$  is primitive. Since  $M$  contains some Sylow 2-subgroup of  $H_t$ , it follows that  $M$  contains a transposition. Then by [22, Theorem 13.3]  $M = H_t$ , which is a contradiction because  $M$  is a proper subgroup of  $H_t$ .  $\square$

## 6. Proof of Theorem 3

We begin with the following

**Lemma 17.** *If  $G = \prod_{i=1}^t G_i$ , where  $G_i \cong \text{PSL}_2(q_i) = \text{PSp}_2(q_i)$  is a simple group and  $q_i > 3$  is odd for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , then the subgroups of  $G$  of odd index are pronormal.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that the lemma is false, and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order, i.e.,  $G$  is a group of the form as in the hypothesis,  $G$  has a nonpronormal subgroup  $H$  whose index in  $G$  is

odd, and  $G$  has minimal possible order among such groups. Let  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(H) \subseteq \text{Syl}_2(G)$ . By Lemma 1,  $N_G(S) > S$  and  $N_G(S)$  contains an element  $g$  of odd order such that  $H$  and  $H^g$  are not conjugate in  $K = \langle H, H^g \rangle$ .

Observe that  $q_i \equiv \pm 3$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Otherwise, let  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, t\}$  be the set of those indices  $i$  for which  $q_i \not\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  and let  $J$  be the set of the other indices. Then

$$G = AB, \quad \text{where } A = \langle G_i \mid i \in J \rangle \text{ and } B = \langle G_i \mid i \in I \rangle.$$

Since  $B \in \mathcal{X}_2$  and  $A \in \mathcal{Y}_2$  by the choice of  $G$ , by Theorem 1 it suffices to show that  $N_G(T)/T \in \mathcal{Y}_2$ , where  $T \in \text{Syl}_2(A)$ . The group  $N_G(T)/T$  is isomorphic to the direct product of an elementary abelian group  $V$  of order  $3^{|J|}$  and  $B$ . By Lemma 6, to prove the pronormality of a group  $X$  of odd index in  $V \times B$ , it suffices to prove that  $X$  is pronormal in  $VX$ , which in turn follows from Lemma 11.

As usual,  $\pi_i$  denotes the coordinate projection  $G \rightarrow G_i$ .

Suppose that there is an index  $i$  such that  $\pi_i(H) = G_i$ . Then applying Lemma 9, we see that  $G_i \leq H$ . By the choice of  $G$ , the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $G/G_i \cong \prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ , and so  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 5; a contradiction.

Thus,  $\pi_i(H) < G_i$  for every  $i$ . Then for every  $i$  there is a maximal subgroup of  $G_i$  that includes  $\pi_i(H)$ . In general, such a subgroup is not uniquely determined. The following possibilities are given:

(I) There is an index  $j$  such that  $\pi_j(H)$  lie in some nonsolvable maximal subgroup  $M_j$  of  $G_j$ . By the main result of [14], there are two further subcases here.

(I1)  $M_j = C_{G_j}(\sigma)$  for a field automorphism  $\sigma$  of prime odd order  $r$  of  $\text{PSL}_2(q_j)$ . By [18, Proposition 4.5.4],  $M_j \cong \text{PSL}_2(\tilde{q}_j)$ , where  $q_j = \tilde{q}_j^r$ .

(I2)  $M_j \cong A_5 \cong \text{PSL}_2(5)$ .

Thus in Case I

$$H \leq G^* = \left( \prod_{i \neq j} G_i \right) \times M_j,$$

and comparing the indices  $|N_G(S) : S|$  and  $|N_{G^*}(S) : S|$ , it is easy to see that  $G^*$  includes  $N_G(S)$ . The choice of  $G$  yields  $H \text{ prn } G^*$ , and since  $G^*$  contains the normalizer of a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ , it follows from Lemma 3 that  $H$  is pronormal in  $G$ ; a contradiction.

(II) For every  $i$ , all maximal subgroups of  $G_i$  including  $\pi_i(H)$  are solvable; and so by the main result of [14], each of them is isomorphic to either  $A_4$ , or the dihedral group  $D_{q_i - \varepsilon_i}$ , where  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  is chosen so that 4 divides  $q_i - \varepsilon_i$ .

Given  $i$ , we choose the maximal subgroup  $M_i$  containing  $\pi_i(H)$  as follows.

If  $\pi_i(H)$  lies in some maximal subgroup isomorphic to  $A_4$  then we take it as  $M_i$  (for instance, this is always the case if the order of  $\pi_i(H)$  is a power of 2). In this case, it is easy to see that every overgroup of a Sylow 2-subgroup is normal in  $M_i$ . Therefore,  $N_{G_i}(H \cap G_i) = N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) = M_i$ . Since the index of a Sylow 2-subgroup

$$S_i \in \text{Syl}_2(H \cap G_i) \subseteq \text{Syl}_2(M_i) \subseteq \text{Syl}_2(G_i)$$

in  $M_i$  is equal to 3, it follows that  $H \cap G_i, \pi_i(H) \in \{S_i, M_i\}$ .

Otherwise, take  $M_i$  to be dihedral. Note that in this case  $\pi_i(H)$  is dihedral too and  $O(\pi_i(H)) \neq 1$ . Furthermore, if  $M_i$  is dihedral, then by the choice of  $M_i$ , the group  $N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H))$  is contained in some dihedral maximal subgroup too and we can assume that this subgroup is  $M_i$ . Moreover, we claim that

$$H \cap G_i = \pi_i(H) = N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) = N_{G_i}(H \cap G_i)$$

in this case, and so our assumption that  $N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) \leq M_i$  in fact does not impose any additional restrictions on  $M_i$ . Indeed, as in the proof of Lemma 9,  $H \cap G_i \trianglelefteq \pi_i(H)$ . But a Sylow 2-subgroup of the dihedral group  $\pi_i(H)$  is self-normalizing, and so is its every overgroup; in particular,

$$\pi_i(H) = N_{\pi_i(H)}(H \cap G_i) = H \cap G_i.$$

Similarly, since every maximal subgroup of  $G_i$ , including  $\pi_i(H)$ , is dihedral; it follows that

$$N_{M_i}(\pi_i(H)) = \pi_i(H) = N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)).$$

Thus in Case II  $N_{G_i}(H \cap G_i) = N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H))$  for all  $i$ .

We derive a contradiction by showing that in Case II the subgroups  $H$  and  $H^g$  are conjugate in  $K = \langle H, H^g \rangle$ .

By Lemma 9, it follows that there is  $j$  such that  $\pi_j(\langle H, H^g \rangle) < G_j$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\pi_j(\langle H, H^g \rangle) \leq M_j$ , where the maximal subgroup  $M_j$  of  $G_j$  is chosen as above. (The only hypothetical case when the type of the chosen subgroup  $M_j$  differs from the type of the maximal subgroup containing  $\pi_j(\langle H, H^g \rangle)$  is the situation where  $\pi_j(H)$  is equal to a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_j$ . It is clear that in this situation  $\pi_j(g)$  normalizes  $\pi_j(H)$ , and so  $\pi_j(\langle H, H^g \rangle)$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup in  $G_j$  too.)

Let  $G^* = \langle M_j, G_i \mid i \neq j \rangle = G_0 \times M_j$ , where  $G_0 = \langle G_i \mid i \neq j \rangle = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_{j-1} \times G_{j+1} \times \cdots \times G_n$ . The group  $G^*$  contains  $H$ . By the choice of  $M_j$ , it follows that whenever  $M_j$  is dihedral, every maximal subgroup of  $G_j$  including  $\pi_j(\langle H, H^g \rangle) = \langle \pi_j(H), \pi_j(H)^{\pi_j(g)} \rangle$  is also dihedral and, by Lemma 13,  $\pi_j(g) = 1$ . Hence,

$$\pi_j(g) \in N_{G_j}(H \cap G_j) = N_{G_j}(\pi_j(H)) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_j(g) \in M_j \leq G^*$$

regardless of the structure of  $M_j$ . Since  $\pi_i(g) \leq G_i \leq G^*$  for  $i \neq j$ , it follows that

$$g \in \langle \pi_i(g) \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle \leq G^*.$$

To derive a contradiction, it suffices to show that  $H \text{ prn } G^*$ . To this end, we use Lemma 2.

Denote the coordinate projections from  $G^* = G_0 \times M_j$  to  $G_0$  and  $M_j$  by  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$ . It is clear that

$$\pi_i(h) = \pi_i(\gamma(h)) \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad \pi_j(h) = \pi_j(\mu(h)) = \mu(h)$$

for every  $h \in H$ . The minimality of  $G$  yields  $\gamma(H) \text{ prn } G_0$  and using the structure of  $M_j$ , we see that  $\mu(H) \text{ prn } M_j$ .

From the above,  $H \cap M_j = H \cap G_j$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_{M_j}(\mu(H)) = N_{G_j}(\pi_j(H)) = N_{G_j}(H \cap G_j)$  and the factor group  $N_{M_j}(\mu(H))/(H \cap M_j)$  is abelian. Hence

$$N_{M_j}(\mu(H)) \leq \{x \in M_j \mid [x, \mu(H)] \leq H \cap M_j\}.$$

By Lemma 2, it suffices to prove that  $N \leq C$ , where

$$N = N_{G_0}(\gamma(H)), \quad C = \{x \in G_0 \mid [x, \gamma(H)] \leq H \cap G_0\}.$$

Given  $i \neq j$ , we have  $\pi_i(H) = \pi_i(\gamma(H)) \leq \pi_i(N)$ ; therefore,

$$N \leq N_0 = \langle N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H)) \mid i \neq j \rangle.$$

Since  $H \cap G_i \leq N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H))$ , each of the groups  $N_{G_i}(\pi_i(H))/(H \cap G_i)$  is abelian and  $H \cap G_i \leq H \cap G_0$ , we conclude that  $H \cap G_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_0$  and  $N_0/(H \cap G_0)$  is abelian. Thus,

$$[y, \gamma(H)](H \cap G_0)/(H \cap G_0) \leq [N_0(H \cap G_0)/(H \cap G_0), N_0(H \cap G_0)/(H \cap G_0)] = 1$$

for all  $y \in N$ . Hence  $[y, \gamma(H)] \leq H \cap G_0$  and  $y \in \{x \in G_0 \mid [x, \gamma(H)] \leq H \cap G_0\} = C$ .

This shows that  $N \leq C$  and thereby proves Lemma 17.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** We proceed by induction on the order of  $G$ . Observe that the simple groups  $\text{PSL}_2(q) = \text{PSp}_2(q)$ , and  $A_5 \cong \text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{PSp}_2(5)$  in particular, also can be factors in  $G$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of odd index in  $G$  and let  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  such that  $S \leq H$ . We claim that  $H \text{ prn } G$ . Suppose that this is false, and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimum possible order.

Let  $\pi_i$  be the projection from  $G$  to  $G_i$ . Suppose that there is an index  $i$  such that  $\pi_i(H) = G_i$ . Then  $G_i \leq H$  by Lemma 9. By the inductive hypothesis, the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $\prod_{j \neq i} G_j$ , and so  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 5; a contradiction.

Thus  $\pi_i(H) < G_i$  for all  $i$ . Then there is a maximal subgroup  $M_i$  of  $G_i$  such that  $\pi_i(H) \leq M_i < G_i$  for all  $i$ .

It is easy to see that the subgroup  $H$  lies in

$$G_0^i = \prod_{j \neq i} G_j \times M_i$$

for all  $i$ . Assume that there is an index  $i$  such that  $n_i \geq 4$ . By the main result of [14], the following possibilities for  $M_i$  are open.

CASE (1):  $M_i = C_A(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma$  a field automorphism of  $\text{PSp}_{n_i}(q_i)$  of prime odd order  $r$ . Then [18, Proposition 4.5.4] yields  $M_i \cong \text{PSp}_{n_i}(\tilde{q}_i)$ , where  $q_i = \tilde{q}_i^r$ . Since  $r$  is odd, it is easy to see that  $q_i \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  if and only if  $\tilde{q}_i \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $H$  is pronormal in  $G_0^i$ . By Lemma 7,  $|N_G(S) : S| = |N_{G_0^i}(S) : S|$  and so  $N_G(S) \leq G_0^i$ . Thus  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 3; a contradiction.

CASE (2):  $M_i$  is the stabilizer of an orthogonal decomposition  $V^i = \bigoplus V_j^i$  of the natural projective module of  $G_i$  into isometric subspaces  $V_j^i$  of dimension  $s_i$ , where  $s_i = 2^{w_i} \geq 2$ .

By [18, Proposition 4.2.10],

$$M_i \cong 2^{u_i-1} \cdot (\text{PSp}_{s_i}(q_i) \wr S_{u_i}), \quad \text{where } n_i = s_i u_i.$$

Also, if  $q_i \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , then  $M_i$  has an element of order 3 which normalizes  $S \cap M_i$ ; i.e.,  $|N_{M_i}(S \cap M_i) : S \cap M_i| = 3$  by Lemma 7. Hence,  $N_G(S) = N_{G_0^i}(S)$  and Lemma 3 implies that  $H \text{ prn } G$  if and only if  $H \text{ prn } G_0^i$ .

The subgroup  $O_2(M_i)$  is normal in  $G_0^i$  and, as a 2-group, it lies in  $H$ . Consider the natural homomorphism  $\bar{\cdot} : G_0^i \rightarrow G_0^i/O_2(M_i)$ . By Lemma 5,  $H \text{ prn } G_0^i$  if and only if  $\bar{H} \text{ prn } \bar{G}_0^i$ . Clearly,

$$\bar{G}_0^i \cong \left( \prod_{j \neq i} G_j \right) \times (\text{PSp}_{s_i}(q_i) \wr S_{u_i}).$$

Now, we consider a normal subgroup  $A$  of  $\bar{G}_0^i$  isomorphic to  $(\prod_{j \neq i} G_j) \times (\text{PSp}_{s_i}(q_i))^{u_i}$ . Observe that  $\bar{G}_0^i/A \cong S_{u_i}$ , and so the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $\bar{G}_0^i/A$  are self-normalizing by [21, Lemma 4]. By the inductive hypothesis, the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $A$ . Furthermore, if  $S_0 \in \text{Syl}_2(A)$ , then

$$N_{\bar{G}_0^i}(S_0)/S_0 \cong \left( \prod_{j \neq i} C_j \right) \times C_i \wr S_{u_i},$$

where  $C_k$  is trivial if  $q_k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and isomorphic to  $C_3$  if  $q_k \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . Applying Theorem 2, we conclude that subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $N_{\bar{G}_0^i}(S_0)/S_0$ . By Theorem 1, the subgroups of odd index are pronormal in  $G_0^i$ , which is a contradiction.

CASE (3):  $q_i \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ ,  $n_i = 4$  and  $M_i \cong 2^4.A_5$ . In this situation  $O_2(M_i)$  is normal in  $G_0^i$  and, being a 2-group, it lies in  $H$ . The inductive hypothesis yields  $H/O_2(M_i) \text{ prn } G_0^i/O_2(M_i)$ . By Lemma 5,  $H \text{ prn } G_0^i$ . Lemma 7 implies that  $|N_G(S) : S| = |N_{G_0^i}(S) : S|$ . Hence  $N_G(S) \leq G_0^i$ . It follows that  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 3; a contradiction.

Thus all  $n_i$  are equal to 2. But then  $H \text{ prn } G$  by Lemma 17, which contradicts the choice of  $H$ .  $\square$

The results of this paper were obtained while the second and third authors were visiting the People's Republic of China. The authors are grateful to Professor Tatsuro Ito for hospitality.

## References

1. Feit W. and Thompson J. G., “Solvability of groups of odd order,” *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 13, no. 3, 775–1029 (1963).
2. Thompson J. G., “2-Signalizers of finite groups,” *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 14, no. 1, 363–364 (1964).
3. Mazurov V. D., “2-Signalizers of finite groups,” *Algebra and Logic*, vol. 7, no. 3, 167–168 (1968).
4. Liebeck M. W. and Saxl J., “The primitive permutation groups of odd degree,” *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, vol. 31, no. 2, 250–264 (1985).
5. Kantor W. M., “Primitive permutation groups of odd degree, and an application to finite projective planes,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 106, no. 1, 15–45 (1987).
6. Kondratev A. S. and Mazurov V. D., “2-Signalizers of finite simple groups,” *Algebra and Logic*, vol. 42, no. 5, 333–348 (2003).
7. Aschbacher M., “On finite groups of Lie type and odd characteristic,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 66, no. 2, 400–424 (1980).
8. Aschbacher M., *Overgroups of Sylow Subgroups in Sporadic Groups*, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island (1986) (Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 60, number 343).
9. Vdovin E. P. and Revin D. O., “Pronormality of Hall subgroups in finite simple groups,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 53, no. 3, 419–430 (2012).
10. Kondratev A. S., Maslova N. V., and Revin D. O., “On the pronormality of subgroups of odd index in finite simple groups,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 56, no. 6, 1101–1107 (2015).
11. Kondratev A. S., Maslova N. V., and Revin D. O., “A pronormality criterion for supplements to abelian normal subgroups,” *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, vol. 296, no. suppl. 1, S145–S150 (2017).
12. Kondratev A. S., Maslova N. V., and Revin D. O., “On the pronormality of subgroups of odd index in finite simple symplectic groups,” *Sib. Math. J.*, vol. 58, no. 3, 467–475 (2017).
13. Kondratev A. S., “Normalizers of the Sylow 2-subgroups in finite simple groups,” *Math. Notes*, vol. 78, no. 3, 338–346 (2005).
14. Maslova N. V., “Classification of maximal subgroups of odd index in finite simple classical groups,” *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, vol. 267, no. 1, S164–S183 (2009). Addendum: *Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv.*, vol. 15, 707–718 (2018).
15. Maslova N. V., “Classification of maximal subgroups of odd index in finite groups with alternating socle,” *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, vol. 285, no. suppl. 1, S136–S138 (2014).
16. Brewster B., Martínez-Pastor A., and Pérez-Ramos M. D., “Pronormal subgroups of a direct product of groups,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 321, no. 6, 1734–1745 (2009).
17. Conway J. H., Curtis R. T., Norton S. P., Parker R. A., and Wilson R. A., *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1985).
18. Kleidman P. B. and Liebeck M., *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge (1990).
19. Doerk K. and Hawkes T., *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin and New York (1992).
20. Isaacs I. M., *Finite Group Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2008).
21. Carter R. and Fong P., “The Sylow 2-subgroups of the finite classical groups,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 1, no. 2, 139–151 (1964).
22. Wielandt H., *Finite Permutation Groups*, Acad. Press, London (1964).

W. GUO

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* `wbguo@ustc.edu.cn`

N. V. MASLOVA

KRASOVSKII INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS

URAL FEDERAL UNIVERSITY, EKATERINBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `butterson@mail.ru`

D. O. REVIN

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, P. R. CHINA

*E-mail address:* `revin@math.nsc.ru`