

# THE RAO–REITER CRITERION FOR THE AMENABILITY OF HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Ya. A. Kopylov

UDC 517.986.6

**Abstract:** We prove that a homogeneous space  $G/H$ , with  $G$  a locally compact group and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ , is amenable in the sense of Eymard–Greenleaf if and only if the quasiregular action  $\pi_\Phi$  of  $G$  on the unit sphere of the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G/H)$  for some  $N$ -function  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  satisfies the Rao–Reiter condition  $(P_\Phi)$ .

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0037446618060125

**Keywords:** locally compact group, homogeneous space, amenability,  $N$ -function, Orlicz space,  $\Delta_2$ -condition

## 1. Introduction

In this article, we assume that all topological groups are separated.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . The homogeneous space  $G/H$  is called *amenable* (in the sense of Eymard–Greenleaf [1, 2]) if there exists a  $G$ -invariant mean on  $L^\infty(G/H)$  or, equivalently, the pair  $(G, H)$  possesses the *fixed point property*: For every action of  $G$  by continuous affine transformations on a nonempty convex compact subset  $Q$  of a locally convex space  $W$  such that there is a fixed point for  $H$  in  $Q$ , there is also a fixed point for  $G$  in  $Q$ .

It follows from the definition (see [1]) that every homogeneous space of an amenable group is amenable and if  $H$  is an amenable subgroup of  $G$  then  $G/H$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable. This means that the most interesting case of the above definition is when  $G$  and  $H \leq G$  are nonamenable but  $G/H$  is amenable. Examples of this situation are given by the homogeneous spaces  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  (a homogeneous space with positive invariant measure of finite volume) and  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/H$ , where  $H$  is the second commutant of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  (a homogeneous space of infinite volume).

Let  $V$  be a normed space of functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) such that if  $f \in V$  then

$$\lambda_G(g)f(x) = f(g^{-1}x), \quad x \in G,$$

for all  $g \in G$  lies in  $V$  and  $\|\lambda_G(g)f\|_V = \|f\|_V$ . Then  $\lambda_G : G \rightarrow B(V)$  is called the *left regular representation* of  $G$  in  $V$ .

In [3] (see also [4, Theorem 8.3.2]), Stegeman proved that, for a locally compact group  $G$ , the following conditions  $(P_p)$  (called *Reiter's conditions*) are equivalent for all  $p \geq 1$ :

$(P_p)$  for every compact set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in L^p(G)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{L^p(G)} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_G(s)f - f\|_p < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in F$ .

Here  $\|\cdot\|_p$  stands for the  $L^p$ -norm and integration is carried out with respect to a left-invariant Haar measure on  $G$  (such a measure is defined up to a constant factor).

If  $G$  is a locally compact group,  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  is the natural projection, then  $G$  acts on  $G/H$  continuously by the rule  $g\pi(x) = \pi(gx)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in G$ . It is well known that the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits the so-called “quasi- $G$ -invariant measure” connected with chosen left-invariant Haar measures on  $G$  and  $H$ .

---

The author was supported by the Program of Basic Scientific Research of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Grant No. 1.1.2, Project 0314–2016–0007).

---

Novosibirsk. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1375–1382, November–December, 2018; DOI: 10.17377/smzh.2018.59.612. Original article submitted November 14, 2017.

In [1], Eymard defined a quasiregular representation  $\pi_p$  of a locally compact group  $G$  on  $L^p(G/H)$  and established the equivalence of the amenability of a homogeneous space  $G/H$ , where  $G$  is a locally compact group and  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , to all conditions of the form

$(P_p)$  (respectively,  $(P_p^*)$ ) for every compact (respectively, finite) set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in L^p(G/H)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_p = 1$  such that  $\|\pi_p(s)f - f\|_p < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in F$ .

In [5, Proposition 2, pp. 387–389], Rao proved that a locally compact group  $G$  is amenable if and only if, for an  $N$ -function  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  and the corresponding Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  with the gauge norm

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi \left( \frac{f(x)}{k} \right) d\mu_G(x) \leq 1 \right\},$$

$G$  satisfies the property

$(P_\Phi)$  for every compact set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_G(s)f - f\|_{(\Phi)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in F$ .

In [6], the author established the equivalence of the amenability of a closed subgroup  $H$  of a second-countable locally compact group  $G$  and the fulfillment of condition  $(P_\Phi)$  for its left regular representation on  $L^\Phi(G)$ .

In this article, given a locally compact group  $G$  and a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , we introduce some “quasiregular” action  $\pi_\Phi$  of  $G$  on the unit sphere  $S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$  of the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G/H)$ , where  $\Phi$  is an  $N$ -function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, and prove the equivalence of the amenability of  $G/H$  and the Rao–Reiter conditions  $(P_\Phi)$  and  $(P_\Phi^*)$  for homogeneous spaces:

$(P_\Phi)$  ( $(P_\Phi^*)$ ) for every compact (finite) set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in L^\Phi(G/H)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = 1$  such that  $\|\pi_\Phi(s)f - f\|_{(\Phi)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in F$ .

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic notions about  $N$ -functions and Orlicz spaces. In Section 3, we provide some necessary information about integration on locally compact groups and homogeneous spaces and define the quasiregular action  $\pi_\Phi$ . In Section 4, we prove our main result on the equivalence of amenability and conditions  $(P_\Phi)$  and  $(P_\Phi^*)$ .

## 2. $N$ -Functions and Orlicz Spaces

DEFINITION. A nonnegative function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $N$ -function if

- (i)  $\Phi$  is even and convex;
- (ii)  $\Phi(x) = 0 \iff x = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = 0$ ;  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{x} = \infty$ .

An  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  has left and right derivatives (which can differ only on an at most countable set; for instance, see [7, Theorem 1, p. 7]). The left derivative  $\varphi$  of  $\Phi$  is left continuous, nondecreasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , and such that  $0 < \varphi(t) < \infty$  for  $t > 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . The function

$$\psi(s) = \inf\{t > 0 : \varphi(t) > s\}, \quad s > 0,$$

is called the *left inverse* of  $\varphi$ .

The functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \Psi(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \psi(t) dt$$

are called *complementary  $N$ -functions*.

The  $N$ -function  $\Psi$  complementary to an  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  can also be expressed as

$$\Psi(y) = \sup\{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

DEFINITION. An  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, which is written as  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if there exists a constant  $K > 2$  such that  $\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

Henceforth, let  $\Phi$  be an  $N$ -function and let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space. Given a measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , put

$$\rho_\Phi(f) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(f) d\mu.$$

DEFINITION. The vector space

$$L^\Phi(\Omega) = L^\Phi(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = \{f : \rho_\Phi(af) < \infty \text{ for some } a > 0\}$$

is called an *Orlicz space* on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -function to  $\Phi$ . As usual, we identify two functions equal outside a negligible set. The functional  $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$  (called the *Orlicz norm*), defined for  $f \in L^\Phi(\Omega)$  as

$$\|f\|_\Phi = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu \right| : \rho_\Psi(g) \leq 1 \right\},$$

is a seminorm on  $L^\Phi(\Omega)$ . It becomes a norm if  $\mu$  possesses the *finite subset property* (see [7, p. 59]): If  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$  then there is  $B \in \Sigma$ ,  $B \subset A$ , such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ .

The *gauge norm* (or *Luxemburg norm*) of  $f \in L^\Phi(\Omega)$  is defined by the formula

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \rho_\Phi\left(\frac{f}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This is a norm without any constraint on  $\mu$  (see [7, Theorem 3, p. 54]).

Suppose that  $\mu$  possesses the finite subset property. As was shown in [8, Chapter 10], a left-invariant Haar measure on a locally compact group has this property.

It is well known that the Orlicz and gauge norms are equivalent; namely (see, for example, [7, pp. 61–62]):  $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \|f\|_\Phi \leq 2\|f\|_{(\Phi)}$ .

We will need the following version of Hölder's inequality for Orlicz spaces [7, p. 62]:

**Hölder's Inequality.** *If  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are two complementary  $N$ -functions,  $f \in L^\Phi$  and  $g \in L^\Psi$ , then  $fg \in L^1$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_{(\Phi)}\|g\|_\Psi$  ( $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\Phi\|g\|_{(\Psi)}$ ).*

### 3. The Quasiregular Action $\pi_\Phi$

Recall some basic definitions and facts of the theory of integration on locally compact groups.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Denote by  $\mu_G$  and  $\mu_H$  left-invariant Haar measures on  $G$  and  $H$  respectively and by  $\pi$ , the projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$ .

The group  $G$  acts on the homogeneous space  $G/H$  by the rule:

$$s\bar{x} := \overline{s x}, \text{ where } s \in G, \quad \bar{x} = xH \in G/H.$$

Denote by  $\Delta_G$  the modular function of a locally compact group  $G$ , i.e. the continuous function such that

$$\int_G f(xs) dx = \frac{1}{\Delta_G(s)} \int_G f(x) dx$$

for all  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $s \in G$ .

Given  $f$  and  $u \in G/H$ , take an arbitrary representative  $x$  of the coset  $u$  and consider the function  $\alpha : y \mapsto f(xy)$  on  $H$ . If  $\alpha$  is integrable over  $H$  then the left invariance of  $\mu_H$  implies that  $\int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y)$  is independent of the choice of  $x$  with  $\pi(x) = u$ .

It is known that the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a *quasi- $G$ -invariant* measure  $\mu_{G/H}$  on  $H$  which is unique up to equivalence. Here the “quasi- $G$ -invariance” means that all left translates of  $\mu_{G/H}$  by the elements of  $G$  are equivalent to  $\mu_{G/H}$ . The measure  $\mu_{G/H}$  can be described as follows (see [9, Chapter VII, 2.5] or [1]):

(a) There exists a positive continuous function  $\rho$  on  $G$  such that  $\rho(xy) = \frac{\Delta_H(y)}{\Delta_G(y)}\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $y \in H$ .

Put  $\mu_{G/H} = (\rho\mu_G)/\mu_H$  (see [9, Chapter VII, 2.2, Definition 1]).

(b) If  $f \in L^1(G, \rho\mu_G)$  then the set of  $\bar{x} = \pi(x) \in G/H$  for which  $y \mapsto f(xy)$  is not  $\mu_H$ -integrable is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -negligible, the function  $\bar{x} = \pi(x) \mapsto \int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y)$  is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -integrable, and

$$\int_G f(x)\rho(x) d\mu_G(x) = \int_{G/H} d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \int_H f(xy) d\mu_H(y).$$

(c) There exists a nonnegative continuous function  $h$  on  $G$  with  $\int_H h(xy) dy = 1$  for all  $x \in G$  such that a function  $w$  on  $G/H$  is  $\mu_{G/H}$ -measurable ( $\mu_{G/H}$ -integrable) if and only if  $h(w \circ \pi)$  is  $\rho\mu_G$ -measurable ( $\rho\mu_G$ -integrable). If  $w \in L^1(G/H) := L^1(G/H, \mu_{G/H})$  then

$$\int_{G/H} w(\bar{x}) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \int_G h(x)w(\pi(x))\rho(x) d\mu_G(x).$$

(d) Let  $\chi$  be the function on  $G \times G/H$  well-defined by the formula

$$\chi(s, \bar{x}) = \frac{\rho(sx)}{\rho(x)} \quad s \in G, \bar{x} \in G/H, x \in \bar{x}.$$

For  $f \in L^1(G/H)$ , we then have

$$\int_{G/H} f(s\bar{x}) d\mu_G(\bar{x}) = \int_{G/H} \chi(s^{-1}, x)f(\bar{x}) d\mu_G(\bar{x}). \quad (1)$$

Considering the Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G/H)$ , put

$$S_1(L^\Phi(G/H)) := \{f \in L^\Phi(G/H) \mid \|f\|_{(\Phi)} = 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ . By analogy with [1], for  $f \in S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$  and  $\bar{x} \in G/H$ , we put

$$[\pi_\Phi(s)f](\bar{x}) = \Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(f(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\}.$$

Here  $\Phi^{-1}$  stands for the preimage with the same sign as  $f(s^{-1}\bar{x})$ .

In the case of  $\Phi(t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p}$ ,  $\pi_\Phi$  extends to Eymard’s quasiregular representation  $\pi_p$  on  $L^p(G/H)$ . Unlike  $\pi_p(s)$ , the mappings  $\pi_\Phi(s)$  are nonlinear but  $\pi_\Phi$  still defines an action of  $G$  on the unit sphere of  $L^\Phi(G/H)$ . Namely, we have

**Lemma.** *If  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  then  $\pi_\Phi$  defines a continuous action of  $G$  on  $S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , applying (1) and the remarks of [10, pp. 95–96] to  $f \in S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \|f\|_{(\Phi)} &= \int_{G/H} \Phi(f(\bar{x})) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \int_{G/H} \chi(s^{-1}, x)\Phi(f(s^{-1}\bar{x})) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} \Phi[\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(f(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\}] d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \|\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \cdot)\Phi(f(s^{-1}\cdot))\}\|_{(\Phi)} = \|\pi_\Phi(s)f\|_{(\Phi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\pi_\Phi(s)f \in S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\Phi(s_1 s_2)f(\bar{x}) &= \Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s_2^{-1}s_1^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(f(s_2^{-1}s_1^{-1}\bar{x}))\} \\ &= \Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s_1^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi[\Phi^{-1}(\chi(s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1}\bar{x})\Phi(f(s_2^{-1}s_1^{-1}\bar{x})))]\} = [\pi_\Phi(s_1)\pi_\Phi(s_2)f](\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the equality

$$\chi(s_2^{-1}s_1^{-1}, \bar{x}) = \chi(s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1}\bar{x})\chi(s_1^{-1}, \bar{x}),$$

which is immediate from the definition of  $\chi$ .

Thus,  $\pi_\Phi(s_1 s_2) = \pi_\Phi(s_2) \circ \pi_\Phi(s_1)$ , and so  $\pi_\Phi$  indeed defines an action of  $G$  on  $S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$ . The continuity of  $\pi_\Phi$  is clear since  $\Phi$  is continuous and strictly monotone implying that  $\Phi^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

Refer to  $\pi_\Phi$  as the *quasiregular action* of  $G$  on  $S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$ .

#### 4. Amenability of Homogeneous Spaces and the Rao–Reiter Conditions

The main result of the article is as follows:

**Theorem.** *Assume that  $\Phi$  is an  $N$ -function satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $H$  be a closed subgroup in  $G$ . Then the homogeneous space  $G/H$  is amenable if and only if  $G/H$  satisfies the Rao–Reiter condition  $(P_\Phi)$  or  $(P_\Phi^*)$ :*

$(P_\Phi)$   $((P_\Phi^*))$  for every compact (finite) set  $F \subset G$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $f \in S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$  with  $f \geq 0$  such that  $\|\pi_\Phi(s)f - f\|_{(\Phi)} < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in F$ .

PROOF. It is well known (see [1]) that  $G/H$  is amenable if and only if  $G/H$  satisfies Reiter's condition  $(P_1)$ . We will prove that

$$(P_\Phi) \iff (P_1), \quad (2)$$

by modifying the arguments of Eymard in the proof of the equivalence  $(P_p) \iff (P_1)$  and the ideas that were involved by Rao in [5] in his proof of (2) for locally compact groups  $G$ . The equivalence  $(P_\Phi^*) \iff (P_1^*)$  is proved in absolutely the same manner; now, the familiar equivalence  $(P_1) \iff (P_1^*)$  (see [1]) gives  $(P_\Phi) \iff (P_\Phi^*)$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be the left derivative of  $\Phi$  and let  $\Psi$  be the complementary  $N$ -function to  $\Phi$ .

$(P_1) \implies (P_\Phi)$  Take arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a compact set  $F \subset G$ . Since  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , by [11, Theorem 7, p. 16],

$$\lim_{\rho_\Phi(f) \rightarrow 0} \|f\|_{(\Phi)} = 0$$

and hence there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\rho_\Phi(f) < \delta$  then  $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} < \varepsilon$ .

Choose  $g \in L^1(G/H)$  such that  $g \geq 0$ ,  $\|g\|_1 = 1$ ,  $\|\pi_1(s)g - g\|_1 < \delta$  for all  $s \in F$ . Put  $f = \Phi^{-1} \circ g$ . Then  $f \geq 0$  and

$$\int_{G/H} \Phi(f(\bar{x})) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \int_{G/H} \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(g(\bar{x}))) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \|g\|_1 = 1,$$

whence  $\|f\|_{(\Phi)} = 1$  (see [10, pp. 95–96]). Since

$$\Phi(a - b) \leq |\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)|, \quad a \geq 0, b \geq 0$$

(see, for example, [5, p. 388]), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/H} \Phi\{[\pi_\Phi(s)f](\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x})\} d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) &= \int_{G/H} \Phi[\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(f(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\} - f(\bar{x})] d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} |\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})g(s^{-1}\bar{x}) - g(\bar{x})| d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \|\pi_1(s)g - g\|_1 < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|\pi_\Phi(s)f - f\|_{(\Phi)} < \varepsilon$  and so  $G/H$  satisfies condition  $(P_\Phi)$ .

$(P_\Phi) \implies (P_1)$  Since  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , by [7, p. 79, Proposition 8], we conclude that

$$S := \sup\{\rho_\Psi(\varphi \circ |v|) : v \in L^\Phi(G/H), \|v\|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1\} < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Fix arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a compact set  $F \subset G$ . Then  $g \in S_1(L^\Phi(G/H))$  such that  $\|\pi_\Phi(s)g - g\|_{(\Phi)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(S+1)}$  for all  $s \in F$ , where  $S$  is as in (3). Put  $f = \Phi \circ g$ . Then, since  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , we have

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_{G/H} \Phi(g(\bar{x})) d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) = \|g\|_{(\Phi)} = 1.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and the inequality

$$|\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)| \leq |a - b|(\varphi(a) + \varphi(b)), \quad a, b \geq 0$$

(cf. [5, p. 388]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_1(s)f - f\|_1 &= \int_{G/H} |\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(g(s^{-1}\bar{x})) - \Phi(g(\bar{x}))| d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} |\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(g(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\} - g(\bar{x})| |\varphi(\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(g(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\}) + \varphi(g(\bar{x}))| d\mu_{G/H}(\bar{x}) \\ &\leq \|\pi_\Phi(s)g - g\|_{(\Phi)} \|\varphi(\Phi^{-1}\{\chi(s^{-1}, \bar{x})\Phi(g(s^{-1}\bar{x}))\}) + \varphi(g(\bar{x}))\|_\Psi \\ &\leq \|\pi_\Phi(s)g - g\|_{(\Phi)} [\|\varphi \circ \pi_\Phi(s)g\|_\Psi + \|\varphi \circ g\|_\Psi]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Using the Lemma, we see that  $\|\pi_\Phi(s)g\|_{(\Phi)} = \|g\|_{(\Phi)} = 1$ . Applying (4), (3), and the familiar inequality  $\|v\|_\Psi \leq \rho_\Psi(v) + 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_1(s)f - f\|_1 &\leq \|\pi_\Phi(s)g - g\|_{(\Phi)} [\|\varphi \circ \pi_\Phi(s)g\|_\Psi + \|\varphi \circ g\|_\Psi] \\ &\leq \|\pi_\Phi(s)g - g\|_{(\Phi)} [\rho_\Psi(\varphi \circ \pi_\Phi(s)g) + \rho_\Psi(\varphi \circ g) + 2] < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(S+1)} 2(S+1) = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\pi_1(s)f - f\|_1 \leq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

## References

1. Eymard P., *Moyennes invariantes et représentations unitaires*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. (1972) (Lect. Notes Math.; vol. 300).
2. Greenleaf F. P., "Amenable actions of locally compact groups," J. Funct. Anal., vol. 4, 295–315 (1969).
3. Stegeman J. D., "On a property concerning locally compact groups," Nederl. Akad. Wet., Proc., Ser. A, vol. 68, 702–703 (1965).
4. Reiter H. and Stegeman J. D., *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*. 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford (2000).
5. Rao M. M., "Convolutions of vector fields. III: Amenability and spectral properties," in: *Real and Stochastic Analysis. New Perspectives*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2004, 375–401.
6. Kopylov Ya., "Amenability of closed subgroups and Orlicz spaces," Sib. Élektron. Mat. Izv., vol. 10, 183–190 (2013).
7. Rao M. M. and Ren Z. D., *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York etc. (1991) (Pure Appl. Math.; vol. 146).
8. Rao M. M., *Measure Theory and Integration*, Marcel Dekker, New York (2004) (Pure Appl. Math.; vol. 265).
9. Bourbaki N., *Intégration*. Chapitres VII and VIII, Hermann, Paris (1963) (Act. Sci. Ind.; no. 1306).
10. Krasnoselskii M. A. and Rutitskii Ya. B., *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen (1961).
11. Rao M. M. and Ren Z. D., *Applications of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York (2002) (Pure Appl. Math.; vol. 250).

YA. A. KOPYLOV  
SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA  
E-mail address: yakop@math.nsc.ru