

ANNIHILATING AND POWER-COMMUTING GENERALIZED  
SKEW DERIVATIONS ON LIE IDEALS IN PRIME RINGS

VINCENZO DE FILIPPIS, Messina

(Received June 1, 2015)

*Abstract.* Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2 and 3,  $Q_r$  its right Martindale quotient ring,  $C$  its extended centroid,  $L$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  a fixed positive integer. Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of the ring  $R$ . An additive map  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -derivation (or a skew derivation) on  $R$  if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + \alpha(x)D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation (or a generalized skew derivation) on  $R$  if there exists a skew derivation  $D$  on  $R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

We prove that, if  $F$  is a nonzero generalized skew derivation of  $R$  such that  $F(x) \times [F(x), x]^n = 0$  for any  $x \in L$ , then either there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , or  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and there exist  $a \in Q_r$  and  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = ax + xa + \lambda x$  for any  $x \in R$ .

*Keywords:* generalized skew derivation; Lie ideal; prime ring

*MSC 2010:* 16W25, 16N60

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a prime ring with center  $Z(R)$ , extended centroid  $C$ , right Martindale quotient ring  $Q_r$  and symmetric Martindale quotient ring  $Q$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is a *derivation* on  $R$  if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $a \in R$  be a fixed element. Many results in literature indicate how the global structure of a ring  $R$  is often tightly connected to the behaviour of additive mappings defined on  $R$ . A well known result of Posner [22] states that if  $d$  is a derivation of  $R$  such that  $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for any  $x \in R$ , then either  $d = 0$  or  $R$  is commutative. In [17] Lanski generalized Posner's theorem to a Lie ideal. Later in [2] the following result was proved:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2,  $L$  a Lie ideal of  $R$ ,  $d$  a nonzero derivation of  $R$  such that  $[d(u), u]^n \in Z(R)$  for any  $u \in L$ . Then  $R$  satisfies  $s_4$ , the standard identity of degree 4.*

*In particular, if  $d$  satisfies  $[d(u), u]^n = 0$  for any  $u \in L$ , then  $L \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

More recently in [9] the author considered a similar situation in the case the derivation  $d$  is replaced by a generalized derivation. More specifically, an additive map  $G: R \rightarrow R$  is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $G(xy) = G(x)y + xd(y)$ . More precisely, the main result in [9] is the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2 with right Martindale quotient ring  $U$  and extended centroid  $C$ ,  $G \neq 0$  a generalized derivation of  $R$ ,  $L$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  such that  $[G(u), u]^n = 0$  for all  $u \in L$ . Then there exists an element  $a \in C$  such that  $G(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in R$ , unless when  $R$  satisfies  $s_4$  and there exist  $b \in U$ ,  $\beta \in C$  such that  $G(x) = bx + xb + \beta x$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

*In particular, if  $[G(x), x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then there exists an element  $a \in C$  such that  $G(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

In [24], Wang considered a similar situation in the case the derivation  $d$  is replaced by a nontrivial automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $R$  and proved the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring with center  $Z$ ,  $L$  a noncentral Lie ideal of  $R$ , and  $\sigma$  a nontrivial automorphism of  $R$  such that  $[u^\sigma, u]^n \in Z$  for all  $u \in L$ . If either  $\text{char}(R) > n$  or  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ , then  $R$  satisfies  $s_4$ .*

More recently, in [12] Dhara and Mondal extended the results contained in [22], [17], [2] and [9], by studying an annihilating condition on commutators and proved the following:

**Theorem 1.4** ([12], Theorem 1.2). *Let  $R$  be a prime ring with right Martindale quotient ring  $Q_r$  and extended centroid  $C$ ,  $F \neq 0$  a generalized derivation of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  such that  $F(x)[F(x), x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , unless when  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and  $\text{char}(R) = 2$ .*

**Theorem 1.5** ([12], Theorem 1.1). *Let  $R$  be a prime ring with right Martindale quotient ring  $Q_r$  and extended centroid  $C$ ,  $F \neq 0$  a generalized derivation of  $R$ ,  $L$  a noncentral Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  such that  $F(x)[F(x), x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then either there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , or  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and there exist  $a \in Q_r$  and  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = ax + xa + \lambda x$  for any  $x \in R$ , unless when  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and  $\text{char}(R) = 2$ .*

Here we continue this line of investigation and examine what happens in case  $F \neq 0$  is a generalized skew derivation of  $R$  such that  $F(x)[F(x), x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ , where  $S$  is an appropriate subset of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  is a fixed integer. More specifically, let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$ . An additive map  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -derivation (or a *skew derivation*) on  $R$  if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + \alpha(x)D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . In this case  $\alpha$  is called an *associated automorphism* of  $D$ . Basic examples of  $\alpha$ -derivations are the usual derivations and the map  $\alpha$ -id, where “id” denotes the identity map. Let  $b \in Q$  be a fixed element. Then a map  $D: R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $D(x) = bx - \alpha(x)b$ ,  $x \in R$ , is an  $\alpha$ -derivation on  $R$  and it is called an *inner  $\alpha$ -derivation* (an *inner skew derivation*) defined by  $b$ . If a skew derivation  $D$  is not inner, then it is called *outer*.

An additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a *generalized  $\alpha$ -derivation* (or a *generalized skew derivation*) on  $R$  if there exists an additive mapping  $D$  on  $R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The map  $D$  is uniquely determined by  $F$  and it is called an *associated additive map* of  $F$ . Moreover, it turns out that  $D$  is always an  $\alpha$ -derivation (see [19], [20] for more details).

Let us also mention that an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  is *inner* if there exists an invertible  $q \in Q$  such that  $\alpha(x) = qxq^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ . If an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(R)$  is not inner, then it is called *outer*.

The first step in the study of power commuting condition on generalized skew derivation was done in [3], where the following result is proved:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2 with extended centroid  $C$ ,  $F \neq 0$  a generalized skew derivation of  $R$ , and  $n \geq 1$  such that  $[F(x), x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then there exists an element  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

In this paper we would like to extend all the previously cited results to the case of prime rings of characteristic different from 2 and 3.

The result we obtain is the following:

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2 and 3,  $Q_r$  its right Martindale quotient ring,  $C$  its extended centroid,  $F$  a nonzero generalized skew derivation of  $R$ ,  $L$  a non-central Lie ideal of  $R$  and  $n \geq 1$  a fixed positive integer. If  $F(x)[F(x), x]^n = 0$  for any  $x \in L$ , then either there exists  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , or  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and there exist  $a \in Q_r$  and  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $F(x) = ax + xa + \lambda x$  for any  $x \in R$ .*

In order to prove our result, we need to recall the following known facts:

**Fact 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Then  $I$ ,  $R$  and  $Q$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities with coefficients in  $Q$  (see [7]). Furthermore,  $I$ ,  $R$  and  $Q_r$  satisfy the same generalized polynomial identities with automorphisms (Theorem 1 in [5]).*

**Fact 1.9.** *If  $R$  is a prime ring satisfying a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity and  $\alpha$  an automorphism of  $R$  such that  $\alpha(x) = x$  for all  $x \in C$ , then  $\alpha$  is an inner automorphism of  $R$  ([1], Theorem 4.7.4).*

## 2. THE INNER CASE

Let  $a, b \in Q_r$  and  $F: R \rightarrow R$ , such that  $F(x) = ax + \alpha(x)b$  for all  $x \in R$ . In this section we study the case when  $(ar + \alpha(r)b)[ar + \alpha(r)b, r]^n = 0$  for all  $r \in [R, R]$ . Under this assumption, we prove that  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$ , so that the conclusions of Theorem 1.5 hold.

The starting point is the case when there exists an invertible element  $q \in Q$  such that  $\alpha(x) = qxq^{-1}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

In the sequel we make a frequent use of the following:

**Fact 2.1** ([10]). *Let  $K$  be an infinite field and  $n \geq 2$ . If  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are not scalar matrices in  $M_n(K)$  then there exists an invertible matrix  $P \in M_n(K)$  such that each of the matrices  $PA_1P^{-1}, \dots, PA_kP^{-1}$  has all nonzero entries.*

**Fact 2.2** ([11], Proposition 1). *Let  $H$  be a field of characteristic different from 2,  $R = M_t(H)$  the matrix ring over  $H$  and  $t \geq 3$ . Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $R$ , with  $a = \sum_{r,s=1}^t a_{rs}e_{rs}$  and  $b = \sum_{r,s=1}^t b_{rs}e_{rs}$ , with  $a_{rs}, b_{rs} \in H$ . For any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $R$ , we denote  $\varphi(a) = \sum_{r,s=1}^t \varphi(a)_{rs}e_{rs}$ ,  $\varphi(b) = \sum_{r,s=1}^t \varphi(b)_{rs}e_{rs}$ , with  $\varphi(a)_{rs}, \varphi(b)_{rs} \in H$ .*

If  $a_{ij}b_{ij} = 0$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $\varphi(a)_{ij}\varphi(b)_{ij}$  for any  $i \neq j$  and for any  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R)$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$  or  $b \in Z(R)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R = M_k(C)$  be the ring of  $k \times k$  matrices over  $C$ , with  $k \geq 3$ . If  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $(ar + qrq^{-1}b)[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^n = 0$  for all  $r \in [R, R]$ , then either  $q \in Z(R)$  or  $q^{-1}b \in Z(R)$ . In any case  $F$  is an inner generalized derivation of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** The symbol  $e_{ij}$  will always denote the usual matrix unit with 1 at the  $(i, j)$ -entry and zero elsewhere.

By our assumption  $R$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + q[x_1, x_2]q^{-1}b)[a[x_1, x_2] + q[x_1, x_2]q^{-1}b, [x_1, x_2]]^n.$$

Say  $q = \sum_{hl} q_{hl}e_{hl}$  and  $q^{-1}b = \sum_{hl} v_{hl}e_{hl}$  for  $q_{hl}, v_{hl} \in C$ . For  $i \neq j$ ,  $[x_1, x_2] = e_{ij}$  in (3.1) and right multiplying by  $e_{ij}$  we have that  $(-1)^n q e_{ij} q^{-1} b (e_{ij} q e_{ij} q^{-1} b)^n e_{ij} = 0$ , that is  $q_{ji}v_{ji} = 0$  for any  $i \neq j$ . Moreover, for any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $R$  one has that

$$(\varphi(a)[x_1, x_2] + \varphi(q)[x_1, x_2]\varphi(q^{-1}b))[\varphi(a)[x_1, x_2] + \varphi(q)[x_1, x_2]\varphi(q^{-1}b), [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

is still an identity for  $R$ . Thus, in light of Fact 2.2, it follows that either  $q \in Z(R)$  or  $q^{-1}b \in Z(R)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R = M_2(C)$  be the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $C$ . If  $(ar + qrq^{-1}b)[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^n = 0$  for all  $r \in [R, R]$ , then either  $q \in Z(R)$  or  $q^{-1}b \in Z(R)$ . In any case  $F$  is an inner generalized derivation of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** First we recall that for any  $x, y \in M_2(C)$ , either  $[x, y]^2 = 0$  or  $0 \neq [x, y]^2 \in Z(R)$ .

Assume that there exists  $r \in [R, R]$  such that  $0 \neq [ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^2 \in Z(R)$ . Thus, by our assumption and since  $[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]$  is an invertible matrix, it follows that  $ar + qrq^{-1}b = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore we may assume that

$$(2.2) \quad [ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^2 = 0$$

for all  $r \in [R, R]$ . Suppose that  $q \notin Z(R)$  and  $q^{-1}b \notin Z(R)$ , that is neither  $q$  nor  $q^{-1}b$  is a scalar matrix.

Assume first that  $C$  is infinite, then, by Fact 2.1, there exists an invertible matrix  $T \in M_m(C)$  such that each of the matrices  $TqT^{-1}, Tq^{-1}bT^{-1}$  has all nonzero entries. Denote by  $\chi(x) = TxT^{-1}$  the inner automorphism induced by  $T$ . Say  $\chi(q) = \sum_{hl} q'_{hl}e_{hl}$  and  $\chi(q^{-1}b) = \sum_{hl} v'_{hl}e_{hl}$  for  $0 \neq q'_{hl}, 0 \neq v'_{hl} \in C$ . Without loss of generality, we may replace  $q, q^{-1}b$  by  $\chi(q)$  and  $\chi(q^{-1}b)$ , respectively. As above in the relation (2.2), let  $i \neq j$ ,  $r = e_{ij}$  and multiply on the left by  $e_{ij}$ . Thus it follows  $e_{ij}(qe_{ij}q^{-1}be_{ij})^2$ , which means  $q'_{ji}v'_{ji} = 0$ , a contradiction.

Now let  $E$  be an infinite field which is an extension of the field  $C$  and let  $\bar{R} = M_t(E) \cong R \otimes_C E$ . Consider the generalized polynomial

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2) = [a[x_1, x_2] + q[x_1, x_2]q^{-1}b, [x_1, x_2]]^2$$

which is a generalized polynomial identity for  $R$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(x_1, x_2)$  is homogeneous in both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of degree 4. Hence the complete linearization of  $\Phi(x_1, x_2)$  is a multilinear generalized polynomial  $\Theta(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ , and

$$\Theta(x_1, x_2, x_1, x_2) = 4^2 \Phi(x).$$

Clearly, the multilinear polynomial  $\Theta(x, y)$  is a generalized polynomial identity for  $R$  and  $\overline{R}$  too. Since  $\text{char}(C) \neq 2$ , we obtain  $\Phi(r_1, r_2) = 0$  for all  $r_1, r_2 \in \overline{R}$ , and the conclusion follows from the first part of the present Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Application of Theorem 1.5 to Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 leads to the following:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R = M_k(C)$  be the ring of  $k \times k$  matrices over  $C$ , with  $k \geq 2$  and  $F(x) = ax + qxq^{-1}b$  for any  $x \in R$ , where  $a, b, q$  are fixed elements of  $R$  and  $q$  is invertible. If  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $(ar + qrq^{-1}b)[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^n = 0$  for all  $r \in [R, R]$ , then either there exists  $\lambda \in Z(R)$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , or  $k = 2$  and there exist  $a' \in R$  and  $\lambda \in Z(R)$  such that  $F(x) = a'x + xa' + \lambda x$  for any  $x \in R$ .*

As a consequence we also have:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $R = M_k(C)$  be the ring of  $k \times k$  matrices over  $C$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $F(x) = ax + qxq^{-1}b$  for any  $x \in R$ , where  $a, b, q$  are fixed elements of  $R$  and  $q$  is invertible. If  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  and  $(ar + qrq^{-1}b)[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^n = 0$  for all  $r \in R$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in Z(R)$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

*Proof.* By using the same argument as in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have that either  $q \in Z(R)$  or  $q^{-1}b \in Z(R)$ . In any case  $F$  is an inner generalized derivation of  $R$  and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2,  $a, b, q \in Q_r$ , where  $q$  is an invertible element, and  $n \geq 1$  a fixed integer such that  $F(x) = ax + qxq^{-1}b$  and*

$$(2.3) \quad (ar + qrq^{-1}b)[ar + qrq^{-1}b, r]^n = 0$$

*for all  $r \in [R, R]$ . Then either  $q \in C$  or  $q^{-1}b \in C$ . In any case either there exists  $\lambda \in Z(R)$  such that  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , or  $k = 2$  and there exist  $a' \in R$  and  $\lambda \in Z(R)$  such that  $F(x) = a'x + xa' + \lambda x$  for any  $x \in R$ .*

*Proof.* In what follows we assume that both  $q^{-1}b \notin C$  and  $q \notin C$ ; if not we are done by Theorem 1.5.

Thus

$$(2.4) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + q[x_1, x_2]q^{-1}b)[a[x_1, x_2] + q[x_1, x_2]q^{-1}b, [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

is a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity for  $R$ . By [21]  $Q_r$  is a primitive ring, which is isomorphic to a dense subring of the ring of linear transformations of a vector space  $V$  over a division ring  $D$ , and  $D$  is finite-dimensional over its center  $C = Z(D)$ . If  $\dim_D V = k$  is finite, then  $R$  is a simple ring which satisfies a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity. By Lemma 2 in [16] (see also Theorem 2.3.29 in [23]),  $R \subseteq M_t(K)$  for a suitable field  $K$ , moreover,  $M_t(K)$  satisfies the same generalized identity of  $R$ , hence  $M_t(K)$  satisfies (2.4). In this case we are done by using Lemma 2.5.

Let now  $\dim_D V = \infty$ . As in Lemma 2 in [25], the set  $[R, R]$  is dense on  $R$ . By the fact that (2.4) is a generalized polynomial identity of  $R$ , we know that  $R$  satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad (ax + qxq^{-1}b)[ax + qxq^{-1}b, x]^n.$$

Suppose first that there exist  $v \in V$  such that  $\{v, q^{-1}bv\}$  are linearly  $D$ -independent. Since  $\dim_D V = \infty$ , there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{v, q^{-1}bv, w\}$  are linearly  $D$ -independent. By the density of  $R$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that  $sv = 0$ ,  $sq^{-1}bv = q^{-1}w$  and  $sw = -v$ . In this case we also have  $[as + qsq^{-1}b, s]^n v = v$  and (2.5) implies the contradiction

$$0 = (as + qsq^{-1}b)[as + qsq^{-1}b, s]^n v = w \neq 0.$$

This means that for any choice of  $v \in V$ ,  $v, q^{-1}bv$  are linearly  $D$ -dependent. Standard arguments prove that there exists  $\beta \in D$  such that  $q^{-1}bv = v\beta$  for all  $v \in V$  and also, by using this fact, that  $q^{-1}b \in Z(R)$ . Thus  $R$  satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad (a + b)x[(a + b)x, x]^n$$

and by Theorem 1.4, we have that  $a + b = \lambda \in Z(R)$  and  $F(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a non-commutative prime ring of characteristic different from 2,  $a, b \in Q_r$ ,  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  an outer automorphism of  $R$  such that  $(ax + \alpha(x)b)[ax + \alpha(x)b, x]^n = 0$  for all  $x \in [R, R]$ . Then  $a \in C$  and  $b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* In the following, we assume that either  $a \notin C$  or  $b \neq 0$ .

Hence, by [6]  $R$  is a GPI-ring and  $Q_r$  is also a GPI-ring by [7]. By Martindale's theorem in [21],  $Q_r$  is a primitive ring having nonzero socle and its associated division ring  $D$  is finite-dimensional over  $C$ . Hence  $Q_r$  is isomorphic to a dense subring of the ring of linear transformations of a vector space  $V$  over  $D$ , containing nonzero linear transformations of finite rank.

By [15], page 79, there exists a semi-linear automorphism  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $\alpha(x) = TxT^{-1}$  for all  $x \in Q_r$ . Hence,  $Q_r$  satisfies  $(ax + TxT^{-1}b)[ax + TxT^{-1}b, x]^n$ .

If for any  $v \in V$  there exists  $\lambda_v \in D$  such that  $T^{-1}cv = v\lambda_v$ , then, by a standard argument, it follows that there exists a unique  $\lambda \in D$  such that  $T^{-1}bv = v\lambda$  for all  $v \in V$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned}(ax + \alpha(x)b)v &= (ax + TxT^{-1}b)v = axv + T(xv\lambda) = axv + T((xv)\lambda) \\ &= axv + T(T^{-1}bxv) = axv + bxv = (a + b)xv.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all  $v \in V$ ,

$$(ax + \alpha(x)b - (a + b)x)v = 0$$

which implies  $ax + \alpha(x)b = (a + b)x$  for all  $x \in Q_r$ , since  $V$  is faithful. Therefore we have both  $(a + b)x[(a + b)x, x]^n = 0$  and  $\alpha(x)b = bx$  for all  $x \in Q$ . Thus  $a + b \in C$  follows from Theorem 1.5. Moreover, since  $Q_r$  satisfies  $\alpha(x)b = bx$  and the  $\alpha(x)$ -word degree is 1, Theorem 3 in [5] yields that  $yb - bx$  is an identity for  $Q$ . This implies  $b = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

In light of the previous argument, we may suppose there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $\{v, T^{-1}bv\}$  is linearly  $D$ -independent.

Consider first the case  $\dim_D V \geq 4$ .

Thus there exist  $w, w' \in V$  such that  $\{w, w', v, T^{-1}bv\}$  are linearly  $D$ -independent. Moreover, by the density of  $Q_r$ , there exists  $r, s \in Q_r$  such that

$$rv = sv = v, \quad rT^{-1}bv = 0, \quad sT^{-1}bv = w, \quad rw = T^{-1}w', \quad rw' = 0, \quad sw' = v.$$

Hence, by the main assumption, we get the contradiction

$$0 = (a[r, s] + T[r, s]T^{-1}b)[a[r, s] + T[r, s]T^{-1}b, [r, s]]^n v = w' \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we have just to consider the case when  $\dim_D V \leq 3$ .

Of course in this case  $Q_r$  satisfies

$$(a[x_1, x_2] + \alpha([x_1, x_2])b)[a[x_1, x_2] + \alpha([x_1, x_2])b, [x_1, x_2]]^3.$$

Therefore the  $\alpha(x_i)$ -word degree is 4. Since either  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(R) \geq 5$ , Theorem 3 in [5] implies that  $Q_r$  satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + [t_1, t_2]b)[a[x_1, x_2] + [t_1, t_2]b, [x_1, x_2]]^3.$$

In particular,  $Q_r$  satisfies both

$$(2.8) \quad a[x_1, x_2][a[x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2]]^3$$



and

$$(2.9) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + [x_1, x_2]b)[a[x_1, x_2] + [x_1, x_2]b, [x_1, x_2]]^3.$$

Applying Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 respectively to (2.8) and (2.9) we have simultaneously that  $a \in C$  and  $a - b \in C$ , that is both  $a \in C$  and  $b \in C$ . Since if  $b = 0$  we are done, here we assume  $b \neq 0$  and prove that a contradiction follows.

In fact, if  $a, b \in C$  and  $b \neq 0$  then (2.7) is a polynomial identity for  $Q_r$  with coefficients in  $C$ . By the well known Posner's theorem, there exists a field  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $Q_r$  and the matrix ring  $M_m(\mathcal{K})$  satisfy the same polynomial identities, in particular  $M_m(\mathcal{K})$  satisfies (2.7). Moreover, we may assume  $m \geq 2$  since  $Q_r$  is not commutative. Therefore, for  $[x_1, x_2] = e_{12}$  and  $[t_1, t_2] = e_{21}$  in relation (2.7) we have the contradiction  $ae_{12} + (-1)^n be_{21} = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

Here we can finally prove the main theorem of this paper. We remark that Chang, in [4] showed that any (right) generalized skew derivation of  $R$  can be uniquely extended to the right Martindale quotient ring  $Q_r$  of  $R$  as follows: a (right) generalized skew derivation is an additive mapping  $F: Q_r \rightarrow Q_r$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in Q_r$ , where  $d$  is a skew derivation of  $R$  and  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . Notice that there exists  $F(1) = a \in Q_r$  such that  $F(x) = ax + d(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**P r o o f** of Theorem 1.7. It is easy to see that  $R$  is non-commutative as  $L$  is non-central. Notice that, in case  $\alpha$  is the identity map on  $R$ , then  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  and we conclude by Theorem 1.5. Moreover, since  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$ , there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $0 \neq [I, R] \subseteq L$  (see [14], pages 4–5, [13], Lemma 2, Proposition 1, [18], Theorem 4). By the assumption, we have  $F([x, y])[F([x, y]), [x, y]]^n = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$  and also for all  $x, y \in Q_r$  (see [8], Theorem 2). This implies that

$$(3.1) \quad (a[x, y] + d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y) - d(y)x - \alpha(y)d(x))[a[x, y] + d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y) - d(y)x - \alpha(y)d(x), [x, y]]^n = 0, \quad x, y \in Q_r,$$

that is

$$(3.2) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + d(x_1)x_2 + \alpha(x_1)d(x_2) - d(x_2)x_1 - \alpha(x_2)d(x_1))[a[x_1, x_2] + d(x_1)x_2 + \alpha(x_1)d(x_2) - d(x_2)x_1 - \alpha(x_2)d(x_1), [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

is an identity for  $Q_r$ .

In what follows we may assume that the associated automorphism  $\alpha$  is not the identity map and also that  $d \neq 0$ . In fact, if either  $\alpha = \text{id}$  or  $d = 0$ , then  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $R$  and the result follows from Theorem 1.5.

Suppose that  $d$  is  $X$ -inner. Then there exist  $c \in Q_r$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(Q_r)$  such that  $d(x) = cx - \alpha(x)c$  for all  $x \in R$ . In this case  $F(x) = (a + c)x - \alpha(x)c$ . It follows from Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 that either  $F(x) = \lambda x$ , where  $\lambda \in C$ , or  $R \subseteq M_2(C)$  and  $F(x) = a'x + xa' + \lambda x$ , with  $a' \in Q_r$  and  $\lambda \in C$ .

Assume that  $d$  is outer. By [8], Theorem 1, and (3.2) it follows that  $Q_r$  satisfies the generalized polynomial identity

$$(a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 + \alpha(x_1)t_2 - t_2x_1 - \alpha(x_2)t_1)[a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 + \alpha(x_1)t_2 - t_2x_1 - \alpha(x_2)t_1, [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

and in particular,

$$(3.3) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - \alpha(x_2)t_1)[a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - \alpha(x_2)t_1, [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

is an identity for  $Q_r$ .

Moreover, for  $t_1 = 0$  in (3.3) we have that  $Q_r$  satisfies  $a[x_1, x_2][a[x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2]]^n$ , and by Theorem 1.5 it follows easily that  $a \in C$ .

Let us first consider the case when  $\alpha$  is an inner automorphism of  $R$ . Then there exists an invertible element  $q \in Q_r$  such that  $\alpha(x) = qxq^{-1}$ . Since  $1 \neq \alpha \in \text{Aut}(R)$ , we may assume  $q \notin C$ . Thus we may write (3.3) as

$$(3.4) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - qx_2q^{-1}t_1)[a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - qx_2q^{-1}t_1, [x_1, x_2]]^n.$$

Replace in (3.4)  $t_1$  by  $qx_1$ , then it follows that  $Q_r$  satisfies

$$(a + q)[x_1, x_2][(a + q)[x_1, x_2], [x_1, x_2]]^n$$

and as above we get  $a + q \in C$ , that is  $q \in C$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally, we assume that  $\alpha$  is outer. By [6]  $R$  is a GPI-ring and  $Q_r$  is also GPI-ring by [7]. By Martindale's theorem in [21],  $Q_r$  is a primitive ring having nonzero socle and its associated division ring  $D$  is finite-dimensional over  $C$ . Hence  $Q_r$  is isomorphic to a dense subring of the ring of linear transformations of a vector space  $V$  over  $D$ , containing nonzero linear transformations of finite rank.

Moreover, we know that there exists a semi-linear automorphism  $T \in \text{End}(V)$  such that  $\alpha(x) = TxT^{-1}$  for all  $x \in Q_r$ . Hence, by (3.3),  $Q_r$  satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - Tx_2T^{-1}t_1)[a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - Tx_2T^{-1}t_1, [x_1, x_2]]^n.$$

Notice that, if for any  $v \in V$  there exists  $\lambda_v \in D$  such that  $T^{-1}v = v\lambda_v$ , then, by a standard argument, it follows that there exists a unique  $\lambda \in D$  such that  $T^{-1}v = v\lambda$  for all  $v \in V$ . In this case

$$\alpha(x)v = (TxT^{-1})v = T xv \lambda$$

and

$$(\alpha(x) - x)v = T(xv\lambda) - xv = T(T^{-1}xv) - xv = 0,$$

which implies the contradiction that  $\alpha$  is the identity map, since  $V$  is faithful.

Therefore, there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $\{v, T^{-1}v\}$  is linearly  $D$ -independent.

Consider first the case  $\dim_D V \geq 3$ . Thus there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\{w, v, T^{-1}v\}$  is linearly  $D$ -independent. Moreover, by the density of  $Q_r$ , there exists  $r, s, t \in Q_r$  such that

$$rv = sv = tv = v, \quad sT^{-1}bv = T^{-1}w, \quad rw = 0, \quad sw = v.$$

Hence, by (3.5), we get the contradiction

$$0 = (a[r, s] + ts - TsT^{-1}t)[a[r, s] + ts - TsT^{-1}t, [r, s]]^n v = v - w \neq 0.$$

Therefore, we have just to consider the case when  $\dim_D V \leq 2$ .

In this case, by (3.3), since  $a \in C$ ,  $\alpha(x_i)$ -word degree is 3 and either  $\text{char}(R) = 0$  or  $\text{char}(R) \geq 5$ , it follows by Theorem 3 in [5] that  $Q_r$  satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad (a[x_1, x_2] + t_1x_2 - y_2t_1)[t_1x_2 - y_2t_1, [x_1, x_2]]^2.$$

For  $x_1 = e_{12}$ ,  $x_2 = e_{21}$ ,  $t_1 = e_{22}$ ,  $y_2 = e_{12}$  in (3.6) it follows that

$$4(ae_{11} - ae_{22} + e_{21} - e_{12}) = 0$$

and easy computations show that  $a = 0$  and  $4(e_{21} - e_{12}) = 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### References

- [1] *K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale III., A. V. Mikhaev: Rings with Generalized Identities.* Pure and Applied Mathematics 196, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *L. Carini, V. De Filippis: Commutators with power central values on a Lie ideal.* Pac. J. Math. **193** (2000), 269–278. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *L. Carini, V. De Filippis, G. Scudo: Power-commuting generalized skew derivations in prime rings.* Mediterr. J. Math. **13** (2016), 53–64. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *J.-C. Chang: On the identity  $h(x) = af(x) + g(x)b$ .* Taiwanese J. Math. **7** (2003), 103–113. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

- [5] *C. L. Chuang*: Differential identities with automorphisms and antiautomorphisms. II. *J. Algebra* *160* (1993), 130–171. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *C.-L. Chuang*: Differential identities with automorphisms and antiautomorphisms. I. *J. Algebra* *149* (1992), 371–404. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *C.-L. Chuang*: GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *103* (1988), 723–728. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *C.-L. Chuang, T.-K. Lee*: Identities with a single skew derivation. *J. Algebra* *288* (2005), 59–77. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *V. De Filippis*: Generalized derivations and commutators with nilpotent values on Lie ideals. *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.* *22* (2006), 167–175. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *V. De Filippis, O. M. Di Vincenzo*: Vanishing derivations and centralizers of generalized derivations on multilinear polynomials. *Commun. Algebra* *40* (2012), 1918–1932. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *V. De Filippis, G. Scudo*: Strong commutativity and Engel condition preserving maps in prime and semiprime rings. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* *61* (2013), 917–938. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *B. Dhara, S. Kar, S. Mondal*: Generalized derivations on Lie ideals in prime rings. *Czech. Math. J.* *65 (140)* (2015), 179–190. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *O. M. Di Vincenzo*: On the  $n$ -th centralizer of a Lie ideal. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., A Ser. (7) 3* (1989), 77–85. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [14] *I. N. Herstein*: *Topics in Ring Theory*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [15] *N. Jacobson*: *Structure of Rings*. Colloquium Publications 37. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1964. [MR](#)
- [16] *C. Lanski*: An Engel condition with derivation. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *118* (1993), 731–734. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [17] *C. Lanski*: Differential identities, Lie ideals, and Posner’s theorems. *Pac. J. Math.* *134* (1988), 275–297. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [18] *C. Lanski, S. Montgomery*: Lie structure of prime rings of characteristic 2. *Pac. J. Math.* *42* (1972), 117–136. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *T.-K. Lee*: Generalized skew derivations characterized by acting on zero products. *Pac. J. Math.* *216* (2004), 293–301. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [20] *T.-K. Lee, K.-S. Liu*: Generalized skew derivations with algebraic values of bounded degree. *Houston J. Math.* *39* (2013), 733–740. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [21] *W. S. Martindale III.*: Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity. *J. Algebra* *12* (1969), 576–584. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [22] *E. C. Posner*: Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *8* (1957), 1093–1100. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [23] *L. H. Rowen*: *Polynomial Identities in Ring Theory*. Pure and Applied Math. 84, Academic Press, New York, 1980. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [24] *Y. Wang*: Power-centralizing automorphisms of Lie ideals in prime rings. *Commun. Algebra* *34* (2006), 609–615. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [25] *T.-L. Wong*: Derivations with power-central values on multilinear polynomials. *Algebra Colloq.* *3* (1996), 369–378. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

*Author’s address:* Vincenzo De Filippis, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Messina, Viale Stagno d’Alcontres 31, 98166 Messina, Italy, e-mail: [defilippis@unime.it](mailto:defilippis@unime.it).