

SANDIA REPORT

SAND2009-4184

Unlimited Release

Printed September 2009

Wind Energy Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS): Data Collection Recommendations for Reliability Analysis

Valerie A. Peters, Paul S. Veers, Alistair Ogilvie

Prepared by
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185 and Livermore, California 94550

Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited.

Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors.

Printed in the United States of America. This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Telephone: (865) 576-8401
Facsimile: (865) 576-5728
E-Mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov
Online ordering: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

Available to the public from

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Rd.
Springfield, VA 22161

Telephone: (800) 553-6847
Facsimile: (703) 605-6900
E-Mail: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
Online order: <http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.asp?loc=7-4-0#online>



SAND2009-4184
Unlimited Release
Printed September 2009

Wind Energy Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS): Data Collection Recommendations for Reliability Analysis

Valerie A. Peters, Paul S. Veers, Alistair Ogilvie
Wind Energy Technology Department
Sandia National Laboratories
P.O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, NM 87185-1124

Abstract

This report addresses the general data requirements for reliability analysis of fielded wind turbines and other wind plant equipment. The report provides a list of the data needed to support reliability and availability analysis, and gives specific recommendations for a Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) to support automated analysis.

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
DATA REQUIREMENTS	8
RECOMMENDATIONS	10
PROCESSES – HOW TO TRACK DATA	10
1. <i>Develop a detailed taxonomy.....</i>	<i>10</i>
2. <i>Fill in all the data fields – accurately.....</i>	<i>10</i>
3. <i>Integrate ease of use into data recording</i>	<i>10</i>
4. <i>Assign dedicated data entry and quality assurance staff.....</i>	<i>11</i>
DATA – WHAT DATA TO TRACK.....	11
1. <i>Identify equipment status.....</i>	<i>11</i>
2. <i>Track equipment downtime.....</i>	<i>11</i>
3. <i>Identify maintenance action performed.....</i>	<i>11</i>
4. <i>Record inspections and other scheduled maintenance events</i>	<i>11</i>
5. <i>Identify the affected equipment/area for all maintenance actions.....</i>	<i>11</i>
6. <i>Identify which part caused the maintenance.....</i>	<i>12</i>
7. <i>Track maintenance and parts cost.....</i>	<i>12</i>
8. <i>Identify source of parts.....</i>	<i>12</i>
APPENDIX A: DEFINITIONS & ACRONYMS	13

Executive Summary

This data collection recommendations report was written by Sandia National Laboratories to address the general data requirements for reliability analysis of fielded wind turbines. This report is intended to help the reader develop a basic understanding of what data are needed from a Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) and other data systems, for reliability analysis. The report provides 1) a list of the data needed to support reliability and availability analysis and 2) specific recommendations for a CMMS to support automated analysis. Though written for reliability analysis of wind turbines, much of the information is applicable to a wider variety of equipment and a wider variety of analysis and reporting needs.

The “Data Requirements” section of this report outlines the field maintenance data required to support reliability and availability analysis and reporting. The data requirements include:

- Machine ID
- Event Type
- Failure Mode ID
- Failure Mode Name
- Equipment Status
- Event Date & Time
- Man Hours
- Total Downtime
- Cost Data
- Operational Time
- Equipment Configuration

The “Recommendations” section presents detail on specific recommendations that address common issues Sandia has seen in CMMS design and implementation. These recommendations are grouped into “process” suggestions on *how* the data is tracked and “data” suggestions on *what* data is tracked.

Process Suggestions

- Develop a detailed taxonomy
- Fill in all the data fields – accurately
- Integrate ease of use into data recording
- Assign dedicated data entry and quality assurance staff

Data Suggestions

- Identify equipment status
- Track equipment downtime
- Identify maintenance action performed
- Record inspections and other scheduled maintenance events
- Identify the affected equipment/area for all maintenance actions
- Identify which part caused the maintenance
- Track maintenance and parts cost
- Identify source of parts

Introduction

This data collection recommendations report was written by Sandia National Laboratories to support high-quality reliability tracking and process improvement. The report describes the data collection requirements that enable a Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) and related systems to provide the data needed for reliability analysis of fielded wind turbines.

This report is intended to provide the reader with a basic understanding of what data are needed for reliability analysis of wind turbines. The report does not describe the technical details of implementing a CMMS, nor does it detail state-of-the-art CMMS systems. The report was written for *reliability* analysis of wind *turbines*, though much of the information is applicable to a wider variety of equipment and a wider variety of analysis and reporting needs.

In addition to enabling analysis, there are many other reasons why a company would implement a CMMS. Formalizing communication within the company, enabling consistent external communication (with suppliers or financial partners, for example), and providing historical documentation are just a few of the benefits. Sandia's reliability work has led to the identification of some common CMMS setup and implementation questions. This report was written to provide answers to these common questions and address common gaps in maintenance data collection.

The "Data Requirements" section of this report outlines the field maintenance data required to support reliability and availability analysis and reporting. The "Recommendations" section of the report presents detail on specific recommendations that address common issues Sandia has seen in CMMS design and implementation. These recommendations are grouped into "process" suggestions on *how* the data is tracked and "data" suggestions on *what* data is tracked. "Appendix A" contains a list of definitions and acronyms for terms used in the report.

Data Requirements

This section outlines the field maintenance data required to support reliability and availability analysis and reporting. In a typical maintenance data system, there is usually one record for each event in a main “Events” database table. Supporting data (such as additional parts needed to correct the failure event, employee time expended for maintenance, part costs, or system operating hours) may be stored in one or many other tables and/or databases. Each element of the basic data needs is listed below. Note that frequently these items are not stored in the system as they are described here; instead, multiple data fields may be required to create each of these items.

1. Machine ID: Links the maintenance event with a specific system or piece of equipment.
2. Event Type: Type of maintenance event (e.g., component failure, preventative maintenance, inspection).
3. Failure Mode ID: A unique identifier or code for each failure mode. (Here, “failure mode” refers to any maintenance-related event, not just failures.) This unique ID may come from one or more of the following:
 - A breakdown of the system (e.g., taxonomy or equipment breakdown structure)
 - A brief description of the failure mechanism
 - A description of an external event that causes downtime or maintenance
 - A description of how the system reacts to the failure
4. Failure Mode Name: A unique descriptive label for each failure mode.
5. Equipment Status: The status of the equipment or system during the maintenance event (e.g., offline, degraded, online, etc.).
6. Event Date & Time: Date and time when the status of the equipment changes (or, if the equipment status does not change due to the event, the date and time the maintenance event begins).
7. Man Hours: The total number of person-hours required to complete the maintenance action. Note that this may be very different (greater than *or* less than) total downtime.
8. Total Downtime: The amount of time the equipment was down or offline due to the event (or, if the equipment was not down due to the event, the duration of the maintenance event), ideally with each of the following recorded individually:
 - Active maintenance time
 - Time spent waiting for a part from supply
 - Time spent waiting for a technician to become available
 - Time spent waiting for other administrative delays

In addition to the data that is needed for each maintenance or downtime event, supplemental system information is also needed. This information may or may not be captured in the Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS).

9. **Cost Data:** Cost information is usually obtained from a different source than the reliability field data and includes:
 - **Nominal cost:** Typically, this is the component-level repair/replace cost.
 - **Cost per hour:** Cost of the maintenance event in terms of penalty per downtime hour. Examples include maintenance man-hour cost, lost revenue, lost opportunity cost, or a customer-imposed penalty.
 - **Fixed cost per failure:** Recurring cost per maintenance event, independent of nominal and hourly costs. Examples include a fixed trip charge, an administrative cost, or a paperwork cost.
10. **Operational Time:** This is the total time over which the reliability of the equipment is evaluated. (For example, most plants are run such that turbines should be available around-the-clock, but others are subject to externally-introduced non-operating time, such as curtailment.)
11. **Equipment Configuration:** A qualitative or quantitative understanding of how the equipment functions, and how failure modes combine to cause or avoid an overall equipment failure. In the case of a standard wind turbine most events that require maintenance cause turbine downtime, but others do not (e.g., some preventive maintenance and inspection work). A list of maintenance events that do not bring down the turbine may suffice for this data requirement, or, if the system has complex redundancy, a reliability block diagram or a fault tree may be needed.

Gathering the data as described above will allow for calculation of many different measures of system reliability performance, including Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF), Mean Time To Repair (MTTR), Mean Downtime (MDT), and various measures of Availability. With these reliability measures, the components, failure modes, and maintenance events that contribute most to system unreliability and downtime can be identified.

Recommendations

This section outlines specific recommendations for the design and implementation of a CMMS. These recommendations are made based on Sandia's experience in reliability modeling and analysis for wind turbines and plants, in addition to Sandia's extensive experience performing reliability analysis on a wide variety of systems.

The recommendations provided here will help provide an accurate set of data that supports reliability analysis for a variety of purposes. The data should be able to describe the activities of the equipment 24 hours per day, 7 days a week, and should include failure events, parts replacements, scheduled downtime, and other maintenance actions. Establishing a system-of-record and ensuring that this system is easily integrated into maintenance processes is the key to collecting and recording high-quality data.

Processes – How to track data

1. Develop a detailed taxonomy

Developing a detailed equipment breakdown or taxonomy helps ensure that maintenance data is captured with enough detail to be useful. Using a breakdown of the equipment that provides a unique assessment opportunity for each component or part ensures greater insight in determining which assemblies, subassemblies, or components significantly affect reliability and availability performance. (For example, "Drivetrain-Gearbox-Bearings-Planetary Bearing" provides much more information than just "Gearbox".)

2. Fill in all the data fields – accurately

With any data collection system, one of the biggest challenges is ensuring that data is entered for every applicable data field. In addition to entering all the relevant information, ensuring that standard and correct information is entered is also essential. There is often a trade-off to be made when weighing the value of data collected against the cost of collecting it. With the right hardware and smart software, it should be possible to help technicians record data quickly and accurately without adding an unnecessary burden. A well-designed CMMS can greatly reduce the amount of follow-up data entry and quality assurance required.

3. Integrate ease of use into data recording

To have an accurate and consistent CMMS, it is important to limit the amount of time spent entering and updating records. This can be achieved by incorporating automated data collection and validation into maintenance processes. In addition to automated validation, use of handheld devices can decrease entry error and allow for automated capture of many data elements (for example, date, time, and technician).

Frequently, all the ways that maintenance data will be used are not known at the time the CMMS is implemented. Modern software systems can provide an interface that makes data entry easy and accurate, and they can also store information in a way that facilitates later use by the various groups who need to access the data.

4. Assign dedicated data entry and quality assurance staff

The simplest method for improving data collection is to obtain data as close to the maintenance activity as possible. Individuals specifically responsible for data entry and accuracy can be a real asset. An assigned data collector needs to ensure that the information is both complete and correct; this may involve interaction with technicians. Part of the solution may be to provide portable computing equipment for technicians to record and manage day-to-day operations. Another part of the solution may be to ensure the data collection system is easy to use and has “smart” capabilities (e.g., flagging missing or inconsistent data).

Data – What data to track

1. Identify equipment status

Equipment status is a crucial part of understanding reliability and availability. For all failure or maintenance events, the equipment’s status (Online, Degraded, Non-Operational, etc.) should be clearly indicated for the duration of the event. Capturing when the equipment is not Online is critical to identifying key drivers of availability and reliability performance.

2. Track equipment downtime

In addition to tracking the man-hours associated with each maintenance event, the total equipment downtime should also be recorded. This downtime should include the entire duration the equipment was not Online, and ideally would distinguish between time spent performing active maintenance, administrative delays, logistics delays, and any other downtime contributors.

3. Identify maintenance action performed

Depending on the definition of availability used, various event types will or will not be included in the calculation. For example, scheduled maintenance may be included in availability metrics used at the plant, but typically not in the availability metrics used by turbine manufacturers. Additionally, the maintenance type has a direct effect on cost. For example, if only an inspection is performed, there may not be a parts cost and the equipment may remain Online, but a personnel cost may still be incurred. Tracking the type of maintenance performed for each maintenance event will allow for flexibility and precision in calculating reliability metrics.

4. Record inspections and other scheduled maintenance events

Even inspections and scheduled maintenance that are relatively short in duration, relatively infrequent, and/or can occur while the system is running are crucial to understanding the availability and reliability performance of a system. All scheduled events form a part of the maintenance history of the system and should be recorded in the CMMS to capture the associated man-hours and the impact on availability and cost.

5. Identify the affected equipment/area for all maintenance actions

A simple way to clearly identify the area of the equipment where a maintenance action occurs is to use a taxonomy, or equipment breakdown structure, to determine the affected part for all maintenance actions. In addition to parts replacements, this should be captured for all types of events, including scheduled maintenance, inspections, and repairs.

6. Identify which part caused the maintenance

To truly understand the impact each part has on overall reliability and availability, it is important to distinguish between parts that caused a failure (“primary failures”), parts that failed as a result of the primary failure (“secondary failures”), and other parts that need to be repaired/replaced in the process of performing maintenance on parts with primary and secondary failures (“ancillary failures”). For example, if a power spike from a power supply causes the power supply to fail and also shorts out a circuit board under a console panel, then the power supply has a primary failure, the circuit board has a secondary failure, and the console panel has an ancillary failure. If multiple parts are worked on for the same maintenance action, a “Failed Part” field could be used to identify parts with primary failures and distinguish them from those with secondary or ancillary failures. Additionally, parts are sometimes opportunistically replaced when other maintenance events are underway, thus significantly reducing their replacement time and/or cost compared to their usual replacement time and/or cost. These opportunistic replacement activities should also be captured.

In some cases, the part with a primary failure may not be obvious at the time of the maintenance event. In these cases, returning to the maintenance record after the root cause is discovered will be important, to create an accurate and complete assessment of the maintenance event.

7. Track maintenance and parts cost

While Availability and Reliability are key metrics in assessing equipment performance, understanding what is driving maintenance costs can be just as valuable. Typically, the parts and personnel costs are stored outside the maintenance system, and the relevant information from the maintenance system (including parts replaced and man-hours) is used to calculate the total cost for each maintenance event.

8. Identify source of parts

For relevant event types, the source of parts should be clearly captured. This includes parts cannibalized from other equipment, purchased outside the main supply system, and acquired by others means (including parts machined on site). Identifying the source of parts (including those exchanged between equipment) will allow for accurate cost calculations, in addition to setting the stage for advanced CMMS uses such as parts and inventory tracking; this can be accomplished through a “Parts Source” field.

Appendix A: Definitions & Acronyms

- **CMMS:** Computerized Maintenance Management System. A software system that tracks work orders and/or maintenance performed.
- **Failure Mode:** Any maintenance-related event, including failure, inspection, scheduled maintenance, etc.
- **MDT:** Mean Downtime
- **MTBF:** Mean Time Between Failure
- **MTTR:** Mean Time To Repair

DISTRIBUTION:

<p>Mark Anderson Centennial Power, Inc. 1150 West Century Avenue PO Box 5558 Bismarck, ND 58506</p>	<p>Warren Ault LM Glasfiber ND Inc. 117 N. Jefferson Street, Suite 201 Chicago, IL 60661</p>
<p>Paul Baker Moventas, Inc. 8823 N. Harborgate Street Portland, OR 97203</p>	<p>Larry Barr enXco 17298 Commerce Way Tracy, CA 95377</p>
<p>Dr. Phillip O. Barry NAWRTC at Mesalands Community College 911 South Tenth Street Tucumcari, NM 88401</p>	<p>Benjamin Bell Garrad Hassan America, Inc. 45 Main Street, Suite 302 Peterborough, NH 03458</p>
<p>Harvey Benes Nebraska Public Power District PO Box 310 402 East State Farm Road North Platte, NE 69103-0310</p>	<p>Daniel W. Bernadett AWS Truewind LLC 463 New Karner Road Albany, NY 12205</p>
<p>Roy Blackshear AEP, Desert Sky Wind Farm lp PO Box 518 Iraan, TX 79744</p>	<p>Eckart Bodenbach Winergy Drive Systems Corporation 950 Tollgate Road Elgin, IL 60123</p>
<p>Ken Bolin XCEL Energy 1225 17th Street, #483 Denver, CO 80202-5534</p>	<p>Geoffrey Bratton JP Morgan Capital Corporation 10 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603</p>
<p>C.P. Sandy Butterfield NREL/NWTC 1617 Cole Boulevard MS 3811 Golden, CO 80401</p>	<p>Jon N. Chafin Wind Hunter 821 East Dove Loop Road, Suite 2425 Grapevine, TX 76051</p>
<p>Craig Christensen Clipper Windpower Technology, Inc. 6305 Carpinteria Ave., Suite 300 Carpinteria, CA 93013</p>	<p>Mike Curley NERC 116-390 Village Blvd. Princeton, NJ 08540</p>

<p>Salvatore A. DellaVilla Strategic Power Systems, Inc. 11016 Rushmore Drive Frenette Building Suite 275 Charlotte, NC 28277</p>	<p>Edgar DeMeo Renewable Energy Consulting Services 2791 Emerson St. Palo Alto, CA 94306</p>
<p>Ted De Rocher Caithness Operating Company, LLC 9790 Gateway Dr., Suite 220 Reno, NV 89521</p>	<p>Tracy Deadman AES Wind Generation 4300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22203</p>
<p>Chris E. Derickson Nebraska Public Power District PO Box 310, 402 East State Farm Road North Platte, NE 69103-0310</p>	<p>Carlos J. Diaz Edison Mission Energy-Midwest Generation EME, LLC 440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60605</p>
<p>John R. Dunlop American Wind Energy Association 448 Morgan Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55405</p>	<p>Philip K. Dutton 206 Reveille Road Austin, TX 78746</p>
<p>Marc Dworkin Reunion Power LLC PO Box 2049 Manchester Center, VT 05255</p>	<p>Scott Eatherton AES Wind Generation 14740 Altamont Pass Road Tracy, CA 95391</p>
<p>Ahmed Elgamal University of California-San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive #0085 San Diego, CA 92093-0085</p>	<p>Neal Emmerton Babcock & Brown 777 Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 200-13 Palm Springs, CA 92262</p>
<p>Miguel Ezpeleta Acciona Wind Energy USA, LLC 101 North Wacker Drive, Suite 610 Chicago, IL 60606</p>	<p>Margaret M. Ganczak Vestas Americas 1881 SW Naito Parkway, Suite 100 Portland, OR 97201</p>
<p>Ben M. Givens American Electric Power 1423 CR 131, Trent Wind Farm, L.P. Trent, TX 79561-3029</p>	<p>Randall Grayson enXco 215 111th Street Lake Wilson, MN 56151</p>
<p>AnneMarie Graves Garrad Hassan America, Inc. 11770 Bernardo Plaza Court, Suite 209 San Diego, CA 92128</p>	<p>Gary Hackett Portland General Electric Company 121 SW Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204-2908</p>

<p>Bruce Hamilton PPM Energy, Inc.-A Scottish Power Company 1125 NW Couch, Suite 700 Portland, OR 97209-4129</p>	<p>Bruce Hammett WECS Electric Supply, Inc. Box 580276, 19465-3A N. Indian Avenue North Palm Springs, CA 92258-0276</p>
<p>David A. Healy MidAmerican Energy 666 Grand Avenue, PO Box 657 Des Moines, IA 50309-2506</p>	<p>James Heenan GE Energy 2 Central Quay, 89 Hydepark Street Glasgow, G3 8 BW Great Britain</p>
<p>Bill Holley GE Wind GTTC, 200-D 300 Garlington Road Greenville, SC 29615</p>	<p>James Holly BP Alternative Energy North America Inc. 501 Westlake Park Boulevard Houston, TX 77079</p>
<p>Peter Hjuler Jensen Riso National Laboratory Station for Wind Turbines, Box 49 DK-4000, Denmark</p>	<p>Desiree Johnson Iberdrola Renewables 1125 NW Couch St, Suite 700 Portland, OR 97209</p>
<p>Thomas Jonsson iQwind LLC 8208 NW 30th Terrace Miami, FL 33122</p>	<p>Gary Kanaby Knight & Carver Yacht Center 2423 Hoover Avenue National City, CA 91950</p>
<p>Morten Karulf ABB Danish Wind Team Meterbuen 33 8382 Skovlunde Denmark</p>	<p>Carl Knowlan Horizon Wind Energy 808 Travis Street, Suite 700 Houston, TX 77002</p>
<p>Clifford Lange Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. 5215 Hellyer Ave. Suite 210 San Jose CA, 95138</p>	<p>Benjamin Lanz IMCORP 179 Middle Turnpike Storrs, CT 06268</p>
<p>Scott Larwood 1120 N. Stockton St. Stockton, CA 95203</p>	<p>S. Doug Levitt CalWind Resources Inc. 2659 Townsgate Rd. #122 Westlake Village, CA 91361</p>
<p>Steve Lockard TPI Composites, Inc. 373 Market Street Warren, RI 02885-0367</p>	<p>James Locke AIRBUS North America Engineering, Inc. 213 Mead Street Wichita, KS 67202</p>

<p>James Lyons Novus Energy Partners 201 North Union St., Suite 350 Alexandria, VA 22314</p>	<p>Shaw Makaremi Clipper Windpower 6305 Carpinteria Ave. Carpinteria, CA 93013</p>
<p>Lance Manuel University of Texas at Austin 1 University Station, C1748 Austin, TX 78712</p>	<p>Shane Mawhinney B9 Energy (O&M) Ltd. Willowbank Road Milbrook Industrial Estate Larne, Co. Antrim N. Ireland, BT402SF United Kingdom</p>
<p>Brian McNiff McNiff Light Industry 43 Dog Island Road Harborside, ME 04642</p>	<p>Glenn Melski Enel North America, Inc. One Tech Drive, Suite 220 Andover, MA 01810</p>
<p>Jim Mikel Energy Maintenance Service, LLC PO Box 158 Gary, SD 57237-0158</p>	<p>Steve Mikel Suzlon Wind Energy Corporation 620 3RD Avenue Pipestone, MN 56164</p>
<p>Amir Mikhail Clipper Windpower Technology, Inc. 6305 Carpinteria Ave., Suite 300 Carpinteria, CA 93013</p>	<p>Laura Miner Invenergy LLC One South Wacker Drive Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60606</p>
<p>Jim Morgan, LCDR, USN Mesalands Community College 911 South Tenth Street Tucumcari, NM 88401</p>	<p>Larry Mumper SKF USA Inc. 1510 Gehman Road, PO Box 332 Kulpsville, PA 19443-0332</p>
<p>Walt Musial NREL/NWTC 1617 Cole Boulevard MS 3811 Golden, CO 80401</p>	<p>Library NWTC (5) NREL/NWTC 1617 Cole Boulevard Golden, CO 80401</p>
<p>Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands T.S. Obdam PO Box 1 1755 ZG Petten The Netherlands</p>	<p>Tim Olsen Tim Olsen Consulting 1428 S. Humboldt St. Denver, CO 80210</p>

<p>Francisco Oyague National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. MS 3811 Golden, CO 80401</p>	<p>Venkata Subbaiah Pasupulati Oak Creek Energy Systems, Inc. 14633 Willow Springs Rd. Mojave, CA 93501</p>
<p>Robert Z. Poore DNV-Global Energy Concepts 1809 7th Ave., Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98101</p>	<p>Jon Powers CalWind Resources, Inc. 2659 Townsgate Road #122 Westlake Village, CA 91361-2738</p>
<p>Pep Prats Ecotecnia Roc Boronat 78 8005 Barcelona Spain</p>	<p>Juan Restrepo Strategic Power Systems, Inc. 11016 Rushmore Drive Frenette Building Suite 275 Charlotte, NC 28277</p>
<p>Dan Rottler Puget Sound Energy PO Box 97034, PSE-09S Bellevue, WA 98009-9734</p>	<p>Paul Rowan MLS Electrosystem 9333 Timber Trail Pittsburgh, PA 15237</p>
<p>Rene Rysbergen B9 Energy O&M Ltd Millbrook Industrial Estate Larne, Northern Ireland BT40 2SF, United Kingdom</p>	<p>Michael Schmidt Iowa Lakes Community College 19 South 7 Street Estherville, IA 51334-2234</p>
<p>Matt Sakurada BP Wind Energy 130 N. Steele St., Suite A Sanford, NC 27330</p>	<p>Kevin Schroeder Invenergy LLC One South Wacker Drive Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60606</p>
<p>Shawn Sheng National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. MS 3811 Golden, CO 80401</p>	<p>Brian Smith NREL/NWTC 1617 Cole Boulevard MS 3811 Golden, CO 80401</p>
<p>Sandy Smith Utility Wind Integration Group PO Box 2787 Reston, VA 20195</p>	<p>Robert F. Steele Jr. Strategic Power Systems, Inc. 11016 Rushmore Drive Frenette Building Suite 275 Charlotte, NC 28277</p>

<p>Cece Sterling (10) Office of Wind and Hydropower Technologies EE-2B Forrestal Building U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave. SW Washington, DC 20585</p>		<p>Andrew Swift Texas Tech University Civil Engineering PO Box 41023 Lubbock, TX 79409-1023</p>
<p>Kedian Taborn Strategic Power Systems, Inc. 11016 Rushmore Drive Frenette Building Suite 275 Charlotte, NC 28277</p>		<p>Britt Theismann American Wind Energy Association 1101 14th Street, NW, 12th Floor Washington, DC 20005-5601</p>
<p>William A. Vachon W. A. Vachon & Associates PO Box 149 Manchester, MA 01944</p>		<p>Case P. van Dam Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Eng. University of California at Davis One Shields Avenue Davis, CA 95616-5294</p>
<p>Chris Walford Puget Sound Energy PO Box 97034, PSE-09S Bellevue, WA 98009-9734</p>		<p>Charles White B9 Energy (O&M) Ltd. Willowbank Road Milbrook Industrial Estate Larne, Co. Antrim, N. Ireland, BT402SF United Kingdom</p>
<p>Eric White AWS Truwind LLC 463 New Karner Road Albany, NY 12205</p>		

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION:

MS 0557 D.T. Griffith, 1523
MS 1138 K. Bauer, 6324
MS 1108 J. Torres, 6332
MS 1124 T.D. Ashwill, 6333
MS 1124 D.E. Berg, 6333
MS 1124 S.M. Gershin, 6333
MS 1124 R.R. Hill, 6333
MS 1124 W. Johnson, 6333
MS 1124 D.L. Laird, 6333
MS 1124 A. Ogilvie, 6333
MS 1124 J. Paquette, 6333
MS 1124 M.A. Rumsey, 6333
MS 1124 P.S. Veers, 6333 (10)
MS 1124 J.R. Zayas, 6333
MS 1124 Wind Library, 6333 (5)
MS 1033 A. Ellis, 6335
MS 0734 E. Stechel, 6339
MS 1188 B. Thompson, 6342
MS 1188 D. Briand, 6345
MS 1188 A. Nanco, 6345
MS 9406 V. Peters, 8114 (10)
MS 0899 Technical Library, 9536 (Electronic)



Sandia National Laboratories