


**Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program
Climate Research Facility Operations
Quarterly Report**

July 1 – September 30, 2008



Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy,
Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research

Contents

1. Data Availability	1
2. Site Visit Requests, Archive Accounts, and Research Computer Accounts.....	2
3. Safety	4
4. Publications.....	5

Tables

Table 1. Operational Statistics for the Fixed ACRF Sites for the Period July 1 – September 30, 2008.	2
Table 2. Summary of ACRF User Site Visits, Archive Accounts, and Research Computer Accounts for the Period October 1, 2007 – September 30, 2008.	4
Table 3. Consecutive Days of Injury-Free Operation,* July 1 – September 30, 2008.	4
Table 4. Consecutive Days Since the Last Recordable Lost Time Incident or Property Damage Incident, NSA, SGP & TWP October 1, 1998 – September 30, 2008 – AMF January 1, 2004 – September 30, 2008.	5
Table 5. Number of Publications that Use ACRF Data since 1990.	6

Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program Climate Research Facility Operations Quarterly Report July 1 – September 30, 2008

1. Data Availability

Description. Individual raw data streams from instrumentation at the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Program Climate Research Facility (ACRF) fixed and mobile sites are collected and sent to the Data Management Facility (DMF) at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) for processing in near real-time. Raw and processed data are then sent daily to the ACRF Archive, where they are made available to users. For each instrument, we calculate the ratio of the actual number of data records received daily at the Archive to the expected number of data records. The results are tabulated by (1) individual data stream, site, and month for the current year and (2) site and fiscal year (FY) dating back to 1998.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) requires national user facilities to report time-based operating data. The requirements concern the actual hours of operation (ACTUAL); the estimated maximum operation or uptime goal (OPSMAX), which accounts for planned downtime; and the VARIANCE $[1 - (\text{ACTUAL}/\text{OPSMAX})]$, which accounts for unplanned downtime. The OPSMAX time for the fourth quarter of FY 2008 for the Southern Great Plains (SGP) site is 2,097.60 hours ($0.95 \times 2,208$ hours this quarter). The OPSMAX for the North Slope Alaska (NSA) locale is 1,987.20 hours ($0.90 \times 2,208$), and that for the Tropical Western Pacific (TWP) locale is 1,876.80 hours ($0.85 \times 2,208$). The OPSMAX time for the ARM Mobile Facility (AMF) is not reported this quarter because the data have not yet been released from China to the DMF for processing. The differences in OPSMAX performance reflect the complexity of local logistics and the frequency of extreme weather events. It is impractical to measure OPSMAX for each instrument or data stream. Data availability reported here refers to the average of the individual, continuous data streams that have been received by the Archive. Data not at the Archive are caused by downtime (scheduled or unplanned) of the individual instruments. Therefore, data availability is directly related to individual instrument uptime. Thus, the average percentage of data in the Archive represents the average percentage of the time (24 hours per day, 92 days for this quarter) the instruments were operating this quarter.

Summary. Table 1 shows the accumulated maximum operation time (planned uptime), actual hours of operation, and variance (unplanned downtime) for the period July 1 – September 30, 2008, for the fixed sites. The AMF has been deployed to China, but the data have not yet been released. The fourth quarter comprises a total of 2,208 hours. The average exceeded our goal this quarter.

Table 1. Operational Statistics for the Fixed ACRF Sites for the Period July 1 – September 30, 2008.

Site	Hours of Operation			Data Availability	
	Opsmax	Actual	Variance	Goal	Actual
NSA	1,987.20	2,075.52	-0.0444	0.90	0.94
SGP	2,097.60	2,097.60	+0.0000	0.95	0.95
TWP	1,876.80	2,097.60	-0.1176	0.85	0.95
Site Average	1,987.20	2,097.60	-0.0589	0.90	0.95

2. Site Visit Requests, Archive Accounts, and Research Computer Accounts

Description. The Site Access Request System is a web-based database used to track visitors to the fixed and mobile sites, all of which have facilities that can be visited. The NSA locale has the Barrow and Atqasuk sites. The SGP site has a central facility, 23 extended facilities, 4 boundary facilities, and 3 intermediate facilities. The TWP locale has the Manus, Nauru, and Darwin sites. HFE represents the AMF statistics for the Shouxian, China, deployment in 2008. FKB represents the AMF statistics for the Haselbach, Germany, past deployment in 2007. NIM represents the AMF statistics for the Niamey, Niger, Africa, past deployment in 2006. PYE represents just the AMF Archive statistics for the Point Reyes, California, past deployment in 2005. In addition, users who do not want to wait for data to be provided through the ACRF Archive can request a research account on the local site data system. The seven computers for the research accounts are located at the Barrow and Atqasuk sites; the SGP central facility; the TWP Manus, Nauru, and Darwin sites; and the DMF at PNNL. In addition, the ACRF serves as a data repository for a long-term Arctic atmospheric observatory in Eureka, Canada (80°05' N, 86°43' W) as part of the multiagency Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) Program. NOAA began providing instruments for the site in 2005, and currently cloud radar data are available. The intent of the site is to monitor the important components of the Arctic atmosphere, including clouds, aerosols, atmospheric radiation, and local-scale atmospheric dynamics. Because of the similarity of ACRF NSA data streams and the important synergy that can be formed between a network of Arctic atmospheric observations, much of the SEARCH observatory data are archived in the ARM archive. Instruments will be added to the site over time. For more information, please visit <http://www.db.arm.gov/data>. The designation for the archived Eureka data is YEU and is now included in the ACRF user metrics. This quarterly report provides the cumulative numbers of visitors and user accounts by site for the period October 1, 2007 – September 30, 2008.

DOE requires national user facilities to report facility use by total visitor days—broken down by institution type, gender, race, citizenship, visitor role, visit purpose, and facility—for actual visitors and for active user research computer accounts. This information is maintained but not presented in this report.

Research computer accounts are counted in the same manner as for the ACRF Archive accounts: an individual is counted as only one unique user per site, even though he or she opens and closes an account several times to obtain different data at one or more sites. However, site visitors are counted each time they visit, because most visitors participate in multiple, unrelated experiments or events.

Also, users that visit sites can connect their computer or instrument to an ACRF network, which requires an on-site device account. Remote (off-site) users can also have remote access to any ACRF instrument or computer system at any ACRF site, which requires an off-site device account. These accounts are also tracked.

All user accounts are established for a period of up to one year and must be renewed annually. To report users, we counted the number of active users for the previous 12 months during the last month of the quarterly reporting period.

Summary. Table 2 shows the summary of cumulative users for the period October 1, 2007 – September 30, 2008. For the fourth quarter of FY 2008, the overall number of users is down substantially (about 30%) from last quarter. Most of this decrease resulted from a reduction in the ACRF Infrastructure users (e.g., site visits, research accounts, on-site device accounts, etc.) associated with the AMF China deployment. While users had easy access to the previous AMF deployment in Germany that resulted in all-time high user statistics, physical and remote access to on-site accounts are extremely limited for the AMF deployment in China. Furthermore, AMF data have not yet been released from China to the Data Management Facility for processing, which affects Archive user statistics. However, Archive users are only down about 10% from last quarter. Another reason for the apparent reduction in Archive users is that data from the Indirect and Semi-Direct Aerosol Campaign (ISDAC), a major field campaign conducted on the North Slope of Alaska, are not yet available to users.

The AMF was deployed in China with an anticipated operational startup date of April 1, 2008. But, logistics and data communications issues resulted in delaying the official startup date to May 19, 2008. Although the AMF has been collecting data since its initial deployment, data communications issues have not been fully resolved to date, and the stored observations made by the AMF have not been received at the Data Management Facility for processing before being sent to the ACRF Archive. However, there are contributions to user statistics by the AMF deployment in China that are not related specifically to data, and they have been included in this report. This quarter, 25% of the Archive users are ARM science-funded principal investigators and 37% of all other facility users are either ARM science-funded principal investigators or ACRF infrastructure personnel.

Table 2. Summary of ACRF User Site Visits, Archive Accounts, and Research Computer Accounts for the Period October 1, 2007 – September 30, 2008.

Site	Visitors	Visitor Days	On-Site Device Accounts	Off-Site Device Accounts	Research Accounts	Archive Accounts	Total Users*
NSA	108	1,511	6	41	22	190	367
SGP	120	835	9	58	19	406	612
TWP	35	223	6	41	23	220	325
HFE	294	2,799	6	26	10		336
FKB						55	55
PYE						35	35
NIM						82	82
DMF					58		58
YEU						2	2
Total	557	5,368	27	166	132	990	1,872

*Excludes visitor days.

3. Safety

For reporting purposes, the three ACRF sites and the AMF operate 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, and 52 weeks per year. Time is reported in days instead of hours. If any lost work time is incurred by any employee, it is counted as a workday loss. Table 3 reports the consecutive days since the last recordable or reportable injury or incident causing damage to property, equipment, or vehicle for the period July 1 – September 30, 2008. There were no incidents this reporting period.

Table 3. Consecutive Days of Injury-Free Operation,* July 1 – September 30, 2008.

ES&H Category	NSA	SGP	TWP	AMF
Days Worked without a Lost-Time Incident	92	92	92	92
Days Worked without a Recordable Accident	92	92	92	92
Days Worked without a Property-Damage Incident	92	92	92	92
Days Worked without a Reportable Loss to Vehicles	92	92	92	92

*“Injury-free” is defined as days without a recordable lost-time incident or property-damage incident.

This quarterly report also includes historical safety performance data, which are provided in Table 4 as a summary of safety statistics for the period October 1, 1998 – September 30, 2008.

Table 4. Consecutive Days Since the Last Recordable Lost Time Incident or Property Damage Incident, NSA, SGP & TWP October 1, 1998 – September 30, 2008 – AMF January 1, 2004 – September 30, 2008.

ES&H Category	NSA	SGP	TWP	AMF
Days Worked without Lost Time Incident	3651	418*	3651	1734
Days Worked without a Recordable Accident	3651	418*	3651	1734
Days Worked without a Property-Damage Incident	3651	3651	3651	1734
Days Worked without a Reportable Loss to Vehicles	3651	3651	3651	1734

*SGP has had four lost work day cases and one recordable medical case to date:

FY 1998: 2 lost days restricted work for lower back sprain;

FY 1999: 14 lost days for fracture of wrist (slipped and fell on ice after hail storm);

FY 2000: 162 lost days and 130 restricted days due to an alleged injury from a congenital defect to back.

*SGP FY 2006: Recordable medical treatment cases: 1) A technician sustained a tick bite in April 2006, seen by a physician, and treated with an antibiotic. There was no lost time from this incident.

*SGP FY2007-2008: (UPDATED) 45 lost days and 10 restricted days due to an alleged back injury. A technician alleged that he injured his back when he stepped in a hole at a remote field site. An additional 125 lost days have been added for FY2008 for a total of 180 days lost. Said technician continues to be off work pending disposition by Workman's Compensation.

4. Publications

Description. As an additional measure of performance, this quarterly report includes the number of publications that are based on ACRF data, with emphasis on this year's contribution but also summarizing historical data, collection of which began in 1990. The publication categories are: abstracts or presentations at conferences, technical reports, books, book chapters, journal articles, and papers in conference proceedings.

Summary. Table 5 shows the number of publications by category for 1990 through September 2007, the number of publications for FY 2008, and the total of publications for 1990 through September 2008.

Table 5. Number of Publications that Use ACRF Data since 1990.

CATEGORY	1990–SEPTEMBER 2007	FY 2008	1990–SEPTEMBER 2008
Abstracts or Presentations	2,081	190	2,271
Technical Reports	170	33	203
Books	3	0	3
Book Chapters	51	1	52
Journal Articles	1,699	72	1,771
Conference Papers	1,768	26	1,794